# **DEDICATION**

TO THE SOUL OF MY PARENTS

MY LIFE COMPANION .... MY WIFE

MY DEAR SONS

MY SISTERS, BROTHERS AND FRIENDS

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Praise be to Allah, and peace be upon his messengers.

First I acknowledge profusely and all the thanks are due to my God who enabled me to achieve this work.

Then I acknowledge with profound gratitude and sincerity the supervision and guidance I received from my supervisor Dr. Abdull Rahman Al-Zubair Deputy Dean of College of Engineering, Sudan University of Science and Technology. Also my sincere gratitude to Dr. Yahya Mohamedzain Civil Engineering Department, Sultan Qaboos University for his endless patience, continuous encouragements and generous supply of references and leading supervision.

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my wife Amal for her endless support and encouragements during my study and for her extreme efforts in printing this thesis.

My thanks also goes to Dr. Sofean for his help. Thanks are also expressed to the department of Civil Engineering, Sudan University of Science and engineering for their academic efforts.

Finally, I have to express sincere gratitude to my family and friend for their unseen but invaluable help and moral support.

Mukdad M. Al-Amery / 2005

#### <u>ABSTRACT</u>

In this study 24 sites in Khartoum City were analyzed using ADINA program to find the ultimate vertical capacity for the piles with different lengths and diameters. The analysis process depended on information derived from previous soil investigations for the sites considered in the thesis. In each site the average value for each soil parameter was estimated from all boreholes in that site. Also the average thickness of each soil layer had been calculated. In this study the piles and soil were modeled by solid 2-dimensional rectangular isoparametric 8 or 9-node elements. The elements of the pile were assumed to remain elastic at all times, and the soil was idealized as either a linear elastic material or a Mohr-Coulomb elastoplastic material.

The analysis process started with applying loads to piles incrementally until a failure was encountered. The analysis results coupled with the coordinates of the sites, were loaded into a 3D Field Pro Beta v0.76 program for mapping contour lines for them. From these contour maps it had been found that pile load capacities in the north-western region of Central Khartoum are larger than those in the south-eastern region. Also pile load capacity for Khartoum Airport site and the surrounding area was small at all depths, this indicated generally the low strength of the soil in this area.

From analysis results it had been found that pile axial capacity increased with the increase in the pile diameter and length. But the settlement of pile decreased with the increase of pile length and diameter. Besides that pile load capacity and pile settlement are affected by soil type around it.

Also it had been found that the shear stress on the pile surface and in the soil near it are affected by pile load value, pile diameter and soil type around it, and the effect of soil plasticity on the axial response of a single pile is significant at high loads.

When the pile is loaded, the distribution of vertical stress along pile decreases with the increase in pile diameter. The highest vertical stress is on the top of the pile and decreases gradually towards it's bottom. But the stresses on the soil are low (compared to the pile) and they decrease gradually towards the top of the pile and away from it. The highest strain is in the soil surrounding the bottom of the pile, and it decreases gradually also towards the top and away from pile. But the strain in the pile is small. The highest vertical displacement is in the vicinity of the pile. The settlement

of the soil around the pile will be less according to its distance away from it. The horizontal strain is tension at the bottom of the pile and compression at the top of the pile.

#### الخلاصة

في هذه الدراسة تم تحليل 24 موقع في مدينة الخرطوم باستعمال برنامج ( ADINA ) لإيجاد التحمل العمودي الأقصى لركائز مختلفة بالطول و القطر. عملية التحليل تعتمد على معرفة مستمدة من استطلاعات تربه سابقة للمواقع المدروسة في هذه الأطروحة. في كل موقع تم حساب المعدل لكل خاصية للتربة من كل حفر الاستطلاع في ذلك الموقع. كذلك تم حساب معدل السمك لكل طبقة تربة.

في هذه الدراسة مثلت الركائز و التربة بواسطة عناصر محددة مستطيلة ثنائية البعد صلبة موحدة الخواص ذات 8 أو 9عقد. العنصر المحدد في الركيزة فرض بقاءه مرن في كل الأوقات، والعنصر المحدد في التربة مثل كمادة مرنة خطية أو مادة مرنة لدنه مستوفية لنظرة مور - كولمب (Mohr-Coulomb elastoplastic).

عملية التحليل تبدأ بتسليط الأحمال على الركائز تدريجيا حتى يحدث الفشل. هذه النتائج تربط مع إحداثيات المواقع وتحمل على برنامج ( 3D Field Pro Beta v0.76) لرسم الخطوط الكنتوريه لها. من خلال هذه المخططات الكنتورية وجد أن سعة تحمل الركائز في منطقة الشمال الغربي لمركز الخرطوم أكبر من منطقة الجنوب الشرقي كذلك وجد أن سعة تحمل الركائز لموقع مطار الخرطوم و المساحة التي حوله صغيرة في كل الأعماق و هذا مؤشر عام لانخفاض قوة التربة في هذه المساحة.

من نتائج التحليل وجد أن التحمل الرأسي للركيزة يزداد بزيادة قطر وطول الركيزة. لكن هبوط الركيزة يقل مع زيادة طول وقطر الركيزة وبجانب ذلك فأن سعة تحمل الركيزة وهبوط الركيزة يتأثران بنوع التربة التي حولها. كذلك وجد أن إجهاد القص على سطح الركيزة وفي التربة القريبة منها يتأثر بمقدار حمل الركيزة وقطر الركيزة ونوع التربة التي حولها. تأثير لدونة التربة على الاستجابة الرأسية لركيزة مفردة يبرز في التحميل العالي.

عند تحميل الركيزة يقل توزيع الإجهاد العمودي على طول الركيزة مع زيادة قطر الركيزة. أعلى إجهاد عمودي يكون على أعلى الركيزة ويقل تدريجيا باتجاه الأسفل ولكن هناك إجهادات قليلة على التربة (مقارنة مع الركيزة) تقل تدريجيا باتجاه أعلى الركيزة و بعيدا عنها. أعلى انفعال يكون في التربة حول أسفل الركيزة ويقل تدريجيا كذلك باتجاه الأعلى وبعيدا عنها ولكن الانفعال في الركيزة يكون صغيرا.

أعلى إزاحة عمودية للركيزة تكون في جوار الركيزة بينما الهبوط للتربة التي حولها يقل تدريجيا استنادا إلى بعدها عن الركيزة والانفعال الجانبي يكون شدا في التربة التي حول أسفل الركيزة وضغطا في التربة التي حول أعلى الركيزة.

# **Table of Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
Dedication	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstract in Arabic	· v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xiv
List of Symbols	xv
Chapter One : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction.	1
1.2 Objectives.	1
1.3 Methodology.	1
1.4 Thesis organization.	2
Chapter tue : LITEDATUDE DEVIEW	
<u>Chapter two: LITERATURE REVIEW</u>	
2.1 Introduction.	3
2.2 Types Of Piles In Terms Of Material	4
2.2.1 Steel Piles.	4
2.2.2 Concrete Piles.	5
2.2.3 Timber Piles.	5
2.2.4 Composite Piles.	5
2.3 Types Of Piles In Terms Of Load Transfer Mechanism	5
2.4 Load Transfer Mechanism.	6
2.5 Classical Method For Calculation Of Bearing Capacity	9
2.6 Finite Element Analysis of Piles.	17

## Chapter Three: FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

3.1	Introduction.	34
3.2	Description Of The Method.	36
3.3	General Finite Element Formulation.	36
	3.3.1 Finite Element Formulation of 8-node Quadrilateral Element	39
	3.3.2 For plane strain element.	41
	3.3.3 Stress-strain relation.	43
	3.3.4 For axisymmetric element.	43
3.4	Constitutive Models For Soils And Pile	45
3.5	Solution Scheme in ADINA(Newton-Raphson Iteration Algorithm)	49
	Chapter Four : ANALYSIS PROCEDURE	
4.1	Introduction	52
4.2	ADINA Program	53
	Chapter Five: SOIL CONDITIONS IN CENTRAL KHARTOUM	
5.1	Site location.	65
5.2	Study Area	66
	5.2.1 Basement Complex.	66
	5.2.2 Omdurman Formation	68
	5.2.3 Tertiary Basalts	69
	5.2.4 Gezira Formation.	69
	5.2.5 Groundwater in Khartoum Area	70
5.3	Description of Soil Profile of the Sites.	72
	Chapter Six: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
6.1	Introduction.	76
6.2	Soil Parameters	76
6.3	Typical Results.	77
6.4	Contouring of Ultimate Pile Capacity	. 83
6.5	Insight into The Behavior of Piles	97
	6.5.1 Distribution of Vertical Normal Stress & Shear Stress	
	with Depth on Pile.	101
	6.5.2 Location of Yielded Elements in The Piles	104

0.3.3	Effect of Soil Yield.	104
6.5.4	Effect of Pile Diameter	105
6.5.5	Effect of Pile Length.	105
<u>Chapter Se</u>	even: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIO	<u>NS</u>
7.1 Summary	y	. 111
7.2 Conclusio	ons	. 111
7.3 Recomm	endations	. 113
REFERENC	<u>ES</u>	. 114
	<u>APPENDAX</u>	
Appendix A		117
Appendix B		123
Appendix C.		147
Appendix D		167

# List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	# <u>Title Page</u>
2.1	Conditions for Use of Pile Foundations. (Source : Dass, 1999)4
2.2	Load Transfer Mechanism For Pile. (Source : Dass, 1999)8
2.3	Ultimate Load-Carrying Capacity of Pile. (Source : Dass, 1999)9
2.4	Nature of Variation of Unit Point Resistance in A Homogeneous10
	Sand. (Source: Dass, 1999).
2.5	Variation of $(L_b/D)_{cr}$ with Soil Friction Angle. (Source : Dass, 1999)10
2.6	Variation of The Maximum Values of $N_c^*$ and $N_q^*$ with Soil Friction11
	Angle $\phi$ . (Source : Dass, 1999).
2.7	Compaction of Sand near Driven Piles. (Source : Dass, 1999)12
2.8	Unit Frictional Resistance for Piles in Sand. (Source: Dass, 1999)12
2.9	Variation Of $\lambda$ with Pile Embedment Length. (Source : Dass, 1999)15
2.10	Variation Of $\alpha$ with Undrained Cohesion of Clay
	(Source : Dass, 1999).
2.11	(A)Schematic Diagram of Pile Load Test Arrangement;17
	(B)Plot of Load Against Total Settlement; (C)Plot of Load Against
	Net Settlement (Source : Dass, 1999).
2.12	Typical Finite Element Mesh Used by Mohamedzein et al. (1999)20
2.13	Comparison of Vertical Movement Of Pile Between F.E. and Field21
	Experiment (After Mohamedzein et al. 1999).
2.14	Typical Finite Element Meshes: A)Single Pile Mesh;23
	B)Two-Pile Mesh (Source: Trochanis et al. 1991).
2.15	Comparison of Soil Surface Displacements away from Pile as Predicted24
	by Three-Dimensional Model and by Elastic Theory (a)vertical
	displacements;(b) horizontal displacements(Source : Trochanis et al. 1991).
2.16	Comparison Of Three-Dimensional Model With File Test In Mexico24
	City Head Load –Settlement Curves (Source : Trochanis et al. 1991)

2.17	Effects of Pile-Soil Slippage and Soil Plasticity on Axial Pile Response	
	a)Load-Settlement Curves; b)Shear Friction Distribution with Dep	th
	(Source : Trochanis et al. 1991).	
2.18	Effects of Pile-Soil Slippage and Soil Plasticity on Vertical Soil	26
	Surface Displacements Away From Pile Loaded Axially: (a)Detail (	Of
	Deformed Pile-Soil System Around Pile Tip; (b)Normalized Vertical	cal
	Soil Surface Displacements (Source: Trochanis et al 1991).	
2.19	One-Dimensional Beam-Column Elements : a) Discretization ;	27
	b)Beam-Column Element. (Source : Armaleh and Desai 1987).	
2.20	Comparison between Finite Element Predictions and Observations,.	28
	Pile2 a) Load-Displacement Curves; b) Gross Load Versus Tip and	Wall
	Loads; c) Distribution of Load in Pile (Source : Armaleh and Desai	1987).
2.21	Load Displacement Curve for Comparison with O'Neil's(1982b) Te	st30
	(Source : Kucukarslan and Banerjee 2004).	
2.22	Load Displacement Curve for Comparison with	31
	Berezantzev et al.'s (1961) test (Source : Kucukarslan and Banerjee	2004).
2.23	Load Displacement Curve for Comparison with	31
	Berezantzev et al.'s (1961) test (Source : Kucukarslan and Banerjee	2004)
2.24	Finite Element Mesh (Source : Madabhushi and Haigh 2004 )	32
2.25	a)True boundary conditions b)Modeled boundary conditions	33
	(Source: Madabhushi and Haigh 2004).	
3.1	The Process Of Finite Element Analysis (Bathe 1996)	34
3.2	8-node Isoparametric Quadrilateral Element	38
3.3	The Yield Surfaces Of The Mohr-Coulomb Model And	45
	The Drucker-Prager Model.	
3.4	The Mohr-Coulomb Model In $I_1, vs, \sqrt{J_2}$ Space	45
3.5	Shapes of The Mohr-Coulomb and The Drucker-Prager Yield	46
	Criteria on The Plane.	
3.6	Corner Treatment Of The Mohr-Coulomb Model	46
4.1	Typical Finite Element Mash Used in This Study	53
4.2	Simple Schematic for ADINA Program.	54
4.3	Degree of Freedom Selection Interface Menu in ADINA	55

4.4	Define Time Function Interface Menu in ADINA	55
4.5	Defining Time Steps Interface Menu in ADINA	.56
4.6	Point Coordinate Table Interface Menu in ADINA	.57
4.7	Define Surface of The Model Interface Menu in ADINA	58
4.8	Define Pile and Soil Layer Material Interface Menu in ADINA	58
4. 9	Define Element Group Interface Menu in ADINA	50
4.10	Apply fixity Interface Menu in ADINA.	61
4.11	Subdivision of a Model Interface Menu in ADINA	61
4.12	Mesh Surface Interface Menu in ADINA	63
4.13	Apply Load Interface Menu in ADINA	63
4.14	ADINA Solution Interface Menu in ADINA	64
5.1	Location Map Showing The Investigated Boreholes Stations	65
	in The Study Area-Khartoum (Source : Mohamed 2001).	
5.2	General geological map of Khartoum area	67
	(Based on Sudan geological map 1981) (Source : Mohamed 2001).	
5.3	Sketch block digram of the northern part of Khartoum basin	71
	(Source: Mohamed 2001).	
6.1	Location Map Showing The Investigated Boreholes Stations	80
	in Central Khartoum.	
6.2	Settlement-Load Relationship for Sudanese Company Site	81
	Piles Lengths 6,8,10,12 and 15m.	
6.3	Settlement-Load Relationship for N.E.C. Head Quarters Site	82
	Piles Lengths 6,8,10,12 and 15m.	
6.4	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	86
	Length of 6m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum.	
6.5	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	87
	Length of 8m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum.	
6.6	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	88
	Length of 10m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum.	

6.7	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	89
	Length of 12m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum.	
6.8	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	90
	Length of 15m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum.	
6.9	Location Map Showing The Two Different Regions.	91
	in Central Khartoum.	
6.10	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	93
	Length of 8m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum / Region 1	
6.11	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	94
	Length of 12m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum / Region 1	
6.12	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	95
	Length of 8m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum / Region 2	
6.13	Contour Lines for the Ultimate Pile Capacity for Piles of	96
	Length of 12m and Diameter of 0.5m in Central Khartoum / Region 2	
6.14	Horizontal and Vertical Displacements for Pile of Length 10m	98
	and Diameter of 1.0m in Burri Power Station Site.	
6.15	Stress Vector Plot for Pile of Length 6m and Diameter of 0.5m	99
	( N.E.C. Burri Power Station Site).	
6.16	Strain Vector Plot for Pile of Length 10m and Diameter of 1.0m	100
	( N.E.C. Burri Power Station Site).	
6.17	Distribution of Vertical Stress with Depth for Pile of Length 10m	102
	in N.E.C. Head Quarter Site.	
6.18	Distribution of Shear Stress with Depth for Soil and Pile	102
	of Length 10m and Diameter of 0.3m in N.E.C. Head Quarter Site.	
6.19	Distribution of Shear Stress with Depth for Soil and Pile	103
	of Length 10m and Diameter of 0.5m in N.E.C. Head Quarter Site.	
6.20	Distribution of Shear Stress with Depth for Soil and Pile	103
	of Length 10m and Diameter of 0.76m in N.E.C. Head Quarter Site.	
6.21	Distribution of Shear Stress with Depth for Soil and Pile	104
	of Length 10m and Diameter of 1.0m in N.E.C. Head Quarter Site.	
6.22	Location of Yielded Elements for Pile of Length 10m	106
	and Diameter of 0.76m in N.E.C. Head Quarters Site.	
6.23	Location of Yielded Elements for Pile of Length 10m	107
	and Diameter of 0.3m in N.E.C. Head Quarters Site.	

6.24	Load-Settlement Curves for N.E.C. Head Quarter	108
	(Pile Length 8m and Pile Diameter 0.3m).	
6.25	Load-Settlement Curves for Sudanese Company for Insurance	108
	and Reinsurance Site (Pile Length 8m and Pile Diameter 0.3m).	
6.26	Effect of Pile Diameter on Maximum Load	109
	for Sudanese Company for Insurance and Reinsurance Site.	
6.27	Effect of Pile Diameter on Maximum Load	109
	for Hotel and Commercial Development Site.	
6.28	Effect of Pile Length on Maximum Load	110
	for Sudanese Company for Insurance and Reinsurance Site.	
6.29	Effect of Pile Length on Maximum Load	110
	for Hotel and Commercial Development Site.	

# **List of Tables**

Table #	<u>Title</u> <u>Page</u>
2.1	Average Values of Effective Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient, K, 14
	(Source : Das, 1999).
2.2	Categories of analysis /design procedures (Source :Poulos,1995) 19
3.1	Interpolation Function For Four to Eight Nodes For Two 40
	Dimensional Isoperimetric Element. (Source :Mohamedzein, 1989).
6.1	Typical Values of Poisson's Ratio, $\upsilon$ , and Modulus of Elasticity, E, 77
	(Source : Das, 1999).
6.2	Summary of Ultimate Pile Load Capacity for All Sites. 84

#### List of Symbols

- $Q_1$  The side friction developed along the shaft of pile.
- $Q_2$  The soil resisting below the tip of the pile.
- Q(z) The load carried by the pile shaft.
- f(z) The frictional resistance per unit area at any depth (z).
- p Perimeter of the pile cross section.
- $Q_p$  The maximum point resistance of pile (The point bearing of piles).
- $Q_s$  The unit skin friction f along the pile shaft.
- f Unit friction resistance at any depth z.
- z The depth of pile.
- $Q_u$  Ultimate pile capacity.
- $A_p$  Area of pile tip.
- c Cohesion of the soil supporting the pile tip.
- $q_p$  Unit point resistance of pile tip.
- q' Effective vertical stress at the level of the pile tip.
- $N_c^*, N_a^*$  The bearing capacity factors.
- $\Delta L$  Incremental pile length over which p and f are taken constant.
- L Length of the pile embedment in soil.
- $L_{\rm b}$  Length of the pile embedment in bearing stratum.
- D Diameter of the pile.
- $\phi$  The soil friction angle.
- $q_1$  The limiting point resistance of pile.
- $c_u$  Undrained cohesion of the soil.
- L' the critical depth of pile when the unit skin friction remains constant.
- *K* Effective lateral earth pressure coefficient.
- $\sigma'_{y}$  Effective vertical stress at the depth under consideration.
- $\delta$  Soil-pile friction angle.
- Average effective overburden pressure. (Mean effective vertical stress for the entire embedment length)

 $\overline{N}_{COR}$  Average corrected value of standard penetration resistance.

- $\lambda$  Factor changes with the depth of pile penetration.
- α Empirical adhesion factor.
- $Q_{all}$  Allowable load-carrying capacity for each pile.
- FS Factor of safety.
- S<sub>net</sub> Net settlement of the pile.
- *s*<sub>e</sub> Elastic settlement of the pile itself.
- $S_t$  Total settlement of the pile.
- $f_i^s$  Applied surface reaction on surface s.
- $f_i^h$  Applied body forces on volume v.
- du , Displacement incremental vector.
- $\sigma_{ij}$  Stress tensor.
- $d \ \varepsilon_{ij} \ \ {
  m Strain}$  incremental tensor.
- $d\underline{u}$  Displacement field within a finite element.
- $\underline{N}$  Interpolation polynomiyals.
- d<u>U</u> Nodal displacement.
- <u>B</u> The strain –displacement transformation matrix.
- <u>C</u> Material constitutive matrix (stress-strain matrix).
- $\sigma$  Stress matrix.
- $\underline{\varepsilon}$  Strain matrix.
- <u>R</u> Nodal force.
- <u>K</u> Structure stiffness matrix.
- <u>U</u> Nodal displacement.
- $h_k$  The interpolation function.

- $\underline{J}$  The Jacobian matrix.
- $\underline{J}^1$  The inverse of the Jacobian.
- $(\underline{J}^1)^T$  The transpose of the inverse of the Jacobian.
- $_{0}^{t}\sigma$  Engineering stresses.
- $_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}$  Engineering strains.
- $\psi$  The dilatation angle (a material constant).
- $^{t}I_{1}$  The first stress invariant at time t.
- $^tJ_2$  The second deviatoric stress invariant at time t.
- $^{t}J_{3}$  The third deviatoric stress invariant at time t.
- <u>dP</u> System of applied forces.
- <u>dF</u> Nodal forces equivalent to element stresses.
- $E_{\rm s}$  The modulus of elasticity of soil.
- υ Poisson's ratio.
- $N_F$  Field standard penetration number.
- $N_{cor}$  The corrected standard penetration number.