

## Dedication

*To:*

*The Soul of my Grandfather,*

*The Soul of my Father,*

*My Mother,*

*My large Family,*

*My small Family,*

*My best Friends,*

## Acknowledgements

First of all I would like to acknowledge the support of my supervisor, Dr. MAKKI MOHAMMED MOHAMMEDANI to complete this study.

I would like to extend the acknowledgement to all my lecturers in my master's programme for their honour support.

It is an opportunity to thank my best friend Mohammed Mohammed Osman for his help and his paying and his patience and his outstanding effort.

I would like to thank my brothers Osman and Omer Musa for their help and support and my wife, Safaa, my daughter, Wafaa, for their patientce.

Also my colleague Ms. Nuseiba Babekir Elsideg Elhaj has to be thanked for her great helpfulness and affectionate encouragement.

## Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the difficulties of using Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Basic School. The significance of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is that, it enables the learners to express themselves fluently and help building enthusiasm in teachers of English language to use Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and its techniques. To achieve the objectives, the researcher designed a questionnaire to the teachers of English language in basic schools in Omdurman locality. The results of study proved that: there are problems with communicative language teaching in practice, also the teachers said that there are difficulties in using communicative techniques in teaching English in basic schools. These difficulties are related to syllabus, large classes and time allocated to English language. The teachers assured that some teachers are not specialized in English language nor graduated in college of education, and the subjects agreed on the significance of Communicative Language Teaching. According to the findings of the study, the researcher recommends the following:

- 1- Syllabuses should be designed in consideration to Communicative Language Teaching techniques.
- 2- Doses of elements of fun should be injected in the syllabus.
- 3- Formulaic expression should be given much care.

## ملخص البحث

هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى مناقشة صعوبات استخدام الطريقة التواصلية لتدريس اللغة الإنجليزية في مدارس الأساس بمحلية أم درمان ولاكتشاف أهمية الطريقة التواصلية لتدريس اللغة الإنجليزية والتي تمكن الدارسين للتعبير عن أنفسهم بطلاقة وبناء الحماسة في معلمي اللغة الإنجليزية لاستخدام الطريقة التواصلية وتقنياتها. للحصول على أهداف الدراسة صمم الباحث أستبياناً لمعلمي اللغة الإنجليزية في مدارس الأساس بمحلية أم درمان. أثبتت نتائج الدراسة أنه: توجد مشكلات لدى تطبيق عملية الطريقة التواصلية وقد قال المبحوثون -أيضاً- أنه توجد صعوبات لدى استخدام الطريقة التواصلية في تدريس اللغة الإنجليزية في مدارس الأساس وهذا لعدة أسباب منها: بسبب المنهج وازدحام الفصول وضيق الزمن. وقد أكد المبحوثون أن بعض المدرسين ليسوا متخصصين في اللغة الإنجليزية ولا هم خريجو كليات التربية. واتفق المبحوثون على أهمية الطريقة التواصلية في تدريس اللغة وفقاً لما توصلت إليه الدراسة فإن الباحث يوصي بالمزيد من الدراسة في العناوين التالية:

- 1- يجدر وضع الطريقة التواصلية في الاعتبار عند اعداد المناهج.
- 2- يجدر اضافة جرعات من المرح للمنهج.
- 3- يجدر اعطاء الصياغة التعبيرية مزيداً من الاهتمام.

## Table of Contents

		page
	Dedication	
	Acknowledgement	i
	Abstract	ii
	Table of Contents	v
	List of Tables	vii
	List of Figures	viii
	Chapter One: Introduction	v
1.0	Background	1
1.1	The statement of the problem	1
1.2	The Questions of the Study	2
1.3	The Hypotheses of the study	2
1.4	The objectives of the study	2
1.5	The Significance of the study	3
1.6	The Methodology of the study	3
1.7	The limits of the study	3
	Chapter Two: Literature Review	
2.1	Theoretical Framework	4
2.2	THE BEGINNINGS OF COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE TEACHING	9
2.3	The Shift Toward Communicative Language Teaching and Task-Based Instruction: A Historical Perspective	13
2.3.1	What Is Communicative Language Teaching	18
2.3.2	Defining communicative competence	18
2.4	THE PURPOSE OF COMMUNICATIVE ACTIVITIES	20
2.4.1	Some examples of Classroom Activities in Communicative Language Teaching	23
2.4.2	Accuracy Versus Fluency Activities	23
2.4.3	Fluency Tasks	24
2.4.4	Accuracy Tasks	25
2.4.5	Mechanical, Meaningful, and Communicative Practice	26

2.4.6	Mechanical practice	26
2.4.7	Meaningful practice	26
2.4.8	Communicative practice	27
2.4.9	Information-Gap Activities	27
2.4.10	Jigsaw activities	28
2.5	Other Activity Types in CLT	29
2.5.1	Task-completion activities	29
2.5.2	Information-gathering activities	29
2.5.3	Opinion-sharing activities	29
2.5.4	Information-transfer activities	29
2.5.5	Reasoning-gap activities	29
2.5.6	Role plays	29
2.5.7	Emphasis on Pair and Group Work	29
	Chapter Three: Methodology	
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	The Study Population	32
3.3	The sample of the study	32
3.4	The Tool of the Study	34
3.5	The method of the study	34
3.6	Validity and Reliability	34
	Chapter Four: Analysis and Discussion	
4.1	Introduction	36
4.2	Discussion of Results	36
4.3	Discussion of the Research Hypotheses	59
	Chapter Five: summary, conclusion and recommendations for further studies.	
5.1	Introduction	60
5.2	Summary of the Study	60
5.3	Conclusion of the Study	60
5.4	Recommendations for further studies	61
	Bibliography	62
	Appendix	64

## List of Tables

Tables	Page
Table1	32
Table2	33
Table3	33
Table4	37
Table5	38
Table6	39
Table7	40
Table8	41
Table9	42
Table10	43
Table11a	44
Table11b	45
Table11c	46
Table12	47
Table13	48
Table14	49
Table15	50
Table16a	51
Table16b	52
Table16c	53
Table16d	54
Table16e	55
Table16f	56
Table16g	57
Table16h	48

## List of Figures

Figures	Page
Figure1	33
Figure2	33
Figure3	34
Figure4	37
Figure5	38
Figure6	39
Figure7	40
Figure8	41
Figure9	42
Figure10	43
Figure11a	44
Figure11b	45
Figure11c	46
Figure12	47
Figure13	48
Figure14	49
Figure15	50
Figure16a	51
Figure16b	52
Figure16c	53
Figure16d	54
Figure16e	55
Figure16f	56
Figure16g	57
Figure16h	48