#### بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

# قال تعالى:

(كَمَا أَرْسَلْنَا فِيكُمْ رَسُولًا مِثْكُمْ يَتْلُو عَلَيْكُمْ آيَاتِنَا وَيُزَكِّيكُمْ وَيُعَلِّمُكُمُ الْكِتَابَ وَالْحِكْمَة وَيُعَلِّمُكُمُ مَا لَمْ تَكُونُوا تَعْلَمُونَ) صدق الله العظيم

(البقرة الاية ١٥١)

# **Dedications**

To my wife
To my suns Mowaffag and Mozaffar
For you

### **Acknowledgments**

I appreciate with thank frist of all to allah who made all thing possible.

I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to my supervisor Prof. Hamid Suliman for his guidance.

I would like also to acknowledge to my lecturers and my colleagues in Sudan University, and every one who helped me.

#### **Abstract**

This study was conducted in aperiod from march to October (2013) in Abri city which is located in Northern State.

The aim of the study was to compair blood film smears with ICT-Ag, ICT-Ab and buffy coat technique..

The study was conducted on 200 blood samples. Of them, 118 (59 %) samples were found to be positive for malaria parasites by blood smear, 128 (64%) were positive by the ICT- Antigen detection test. While the positivity of the ICT- Antibody detection test and buffy coat smears were 131 (67%) and 121 (60.5%) respectively.

In this study the sensitivity and specificity of ICT-Ag, ICT-Ab and buffy coat smears were calculated. We used the BF as gold standard to compare our results. ICT-Ag had a sensitivity and specificity of 99.15% and 88.17% respectively, while ICT-Ab showed sensitivity of 96.61, specificity 96% and buffy coat smears had sensitivity of 95.76%, specificity of 91.11% for detection of malaria.

The results also showed that the sensitivity of ICT-Ag , ICT-Ab and buffy coat smear in low malaria infections (1-10 parasites\100fields) is 98.07%, 94.23%, 90.38% respectively ,while their sensitivity in high infections was 100% ,83.33% ,100% respectively.However their sensitivity was 100% in moderate infections for all techniques.

#### الخلاصة

اجريت هذه الدراسة في الفترة مابين مايو الى اكتوبر للعام ٢٠١٣ بمدينة عبرى بالولاية الشمالية لمقارنة مسحة الدم المستخدمة في تشخيص الملاريا بفحص الاستشراب المناعى السريع المتقصى للاجسام المضادة ومسحة الدم المركز، في تشخيص طفيل الملاريا.

فى هذه الدراسة تم جمع 7.7 عينة دم من اشخاص يتوقع ان يكونوا مصابين بالملاريا ، ومن خلال الفحص وجد ان العينات الموجبة بمسحة الدم العادية 11 عينة بنسبة 90% بينما كانت العينات الموجبة بفحص الاستشر اب المناعى السريع المتقصى للانتيجين و فحص الاستشر اب المناعى السريع المتقصى للاجسام المضادة ومسحة الدم المركزة، هى 17% (17%) 17%) على التوالى

وكذلك اظهرت النتائج ان حساسية فحص الاستشراب المناعى السريع المتقصى للانتيجين و فحص الاستشراب المناعى السريع المتقصى للاجسام المضادة ومسحة الدم المركز هى 10.10% ، 71.71% على التوالى وكذلك الخصوصية 99.77.9% ، 99.77.% ، 99.77.% على التوالى وكذلك الخصوصية 99.77.% ، 99.77.% ، 99.77.%

ولوحظت ايضا من نتائج البحث ان حساسية فحص الاستشراب المناعى السريع المتقصى للانتيجين و فحص الاستشراب المناعى السريع المتقصى للاجسام المضادة ومسحة الدم المركز في الاحسابات الخفيفة بالملاريا (۱۰۰۱ طفيل في كل ۱۰۰۰ حقل مجهرى) تبلغ ۹۸٬۷۷%، دم الاحسابات العالية جدا تبلغ حساسيتها ۱۰۰%، معلى التوالى، وفي الاحسابات العالية جدا تبلغ حساسيتها ۱۰۰%، محرسات المتوسطة.

### List of contents

contents	page
الآية	I
Dedication	II
Acknowledgement	III
Abstract(English)	IV
الخلاصة	V
List of contents	VI
List of tables	VIII
List of figures	IX
1-Chapter one: introduction and literature review	
1-1- Introduction	1
1-2- Rational	2
1-3- Objectives	2
1-4- Literature review	3
1-4-1-Background	3
1-4-2-Classification	3
1-4-3-Epidemiology	4
1-4-4-Transsimission	5
1-4-5-Life cycle	6
1-4-5-1-Pre erythrocytic cycle	6
1-4-5-2- Erythrocytic cycle	7
1-4-5-3-Sporogonic cycle	7
1-4-6-Malaria in sudan	8
1-4-7-Pathology	8
1-4-8-Clinical features	9
1-4-9-Diagnosis	10
1-4-9-1-Thick blood films	10
1-4-9-2-Thin blood films	10
1-4-9-3-Semi quantitative count(in thick films)	10
1-4-9-4-Malaria rapid diagnostic test(RDTs)	11
1-4-9-1-Mechanism of action of RDTs	11

1-4-9-5- Quantitative buffy coat(QBC)		
1-4-9-6-DNA probes and PCR		
2-Chapter two: Materials and methods		
2-1-Study design	14	
2-2-Study area	14	
2-3-Sample size	14	
2-4-Data analysis	14	
2-5-Methedology	15	
2-5-1-Thick and thin blood films	15	
2-5-1-1-Preperation of thick and thin blood films	15	
2-5-1-2-Staining of thick and thin blood films	16	
2-5-1-3-Examination of thick and thin blood films	16	
2-5-1-4-Parasites count in thick blood films	16	
2-5-2-Buffy coat smear	16	
2-5-3-Malaria RDTs	17	
2-5-3-1-Interpretation of the test	17	
2-5-3-1-1-Negative results	17	
2-5-3-1-2-Positive results	17	
2-5-3-1-3-Invalid results	18	
3-Chapter three:		
Results	19	
4-Chapter four: Discussion		
Discussion	23	
Conclusions and recommendations		
References	25	

### List of tables

Table	Titel	Page
NO		NO
1	Comparison of peripheral blood smears examination with ICT-Ag & ICT- Ab & Buffy coat smear for malarial parasite detection.	19
2	Percentages of species detected by the three methods	21
3	Comparison of sensitivity and specificity of used methods	22
4	: Comparison of sensitivity and specificity according to levels of parasit-emia.	22

## List of figures

Figur.NO	Titel	Page.NO
1	Distribution of malaria in the world	5
2	Life cycle of plasmoduim	8
3	ICT card shows positive for P.f and P.v	18
4	comparison of resuls between ICT-Ag and BF	20
5	comparison of resuls between QBC and BF	20
6	comparison of resuls between ICT-Ab and BF	21
7	The auther during the study	24