بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

:أية قرأنية

....... قال تعالى عالى قال تعالى

صدق الله العظيم

(سورة التوبة, أية 105)

حدىث

قال رسول الله صلي الله عليه وسلم: (إن (الله يحب إذا عمل أحدكم عملا إن يتقنه

إخرجه البخاري

DEDICATION

To my parents, the soul of my sister Bedowr, my wife and baby, my brother and sisters. To all who have been enlightening the way of my life, without them I could not be.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I feel duty – bound to express my gratefulness and thanks to my supervisor Dr. Ahmed Ali Ahmed from Sudan University of Science and Technology, Department of Economics, for his valuable comments, constructive criticism and supervision through the entire research, without whom this research would not have been possible. No doubt, Dr. Ahmed has guided this thesis with his knowledge of economics, and gave me much encouragement and support to enable completion of the study. His willingness to share knowledge and experience, to give guidance and to encourage critical thinking

has been invaluable. It was a significant privilege and honor to work with him. He inspired me in my work and I hope to continue our collaboration in future endeavors.

Words can not adequately express my thanks and sincere appreciation to Dr. Fadia Khalil, Head of Economics Department at then, University of Khartoum, for being helpful and supportive. The research has also benefited greatly from comments, suggestions and discussions with many professionals that it would be impossible to acknowledge the contributions of each. I would like to acknowledge the participation and useful feedback from Dr. Muslum Ahammed Amir of the World Bank, Dr. Salih Gibril of Saving and Social Development Bank. I am gratefully indebted to them for being around all the time.

I am also grateful to all my colleagues and friends in the field of Economics. They have all been wonderful and supportive; their inputs have contributed towards the writing of this research. Names are too numerous to mention, among others: Mr. Seaf Alnasr Ibrahim, Mr. Tarig Abdelgadir and Maha Shaboo. This research would not have been possible without the financial support provided by Nyala University. I would like to give my thanks to the administration of the University for financing and allowing me to under take this postgraduate program. It is fair to thank Research and Planning Department of Savings and Social Development Bank. Last but not least, my gratitude goes to my family whose affection, patience and moral support contributed greatly to the success of this study. Due thanks is to my parents for blessing me throughout my life and study. I am eternally grateful to them for this. Many

great thanks go to my wife, Halima Bushara, who constantly sacrificed loneliness, supported and encouraged me throughout the entire process. For this, I shall be eternally grateful and I pray for her continued good health and blessings. My lovely two years old child, Mohamed (Medo) also deserves to receive my great thanks. I sincerely hope that my success will inspire him.

ABSTRACT

The process of making financial services available to the poorest segments of the society is considered as an important part of poverty alleviation strategies. As a result, microfinance institutions have been seen as one of the tools intended to reduce the poverty of low-income people. Poverty reduction, however, can only be attained if microfinance institutions achieve a good outreach and financial sustainability. However, opinions over the relationship between outreach to the poor and financial sustainability is divided.

The question of whether financial sustainability obtained as a consequence of outreach or financial sustainability leads outreach to the poor being an issue of concern to many economists and policy makers in formal financial institutions. As various studies on the MFIs' performance in different countries confirm the possibility of achieving financial sustainability while serving the poor, other studies have found the evidence to be not so favorable and supportive to the above argument.

The main objective of this research is to examine empirically the relationship between outreach to the poor and financial sustainability of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Sudan. Therefore, the research hypothesizes that, financial sustainability leads outreach, there is no trade-off between financial sustainability and outreach goal of microfinance institutions, and microfinance in Sudan is pro poor.

The research uses a sample of 30 observations, starting from 2007:1 to 2009:6, and two proxies for outreach and financial sustainability. Namely, the study uses number of clients (NCs) and profit margin (PM) as proxies for outreach to the poor and financial sustainability respectively. A case study approach is used in which an econometric model is employed and the Granger causality test, Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Co integration test and error correction models are applied.

The results of Granger causality test suggests one way causation channels from financial sustainability to outreach in the short run. That is, financial sustainability appeared to facilitate the reaching of poorer clients. However, the error correction model results seem to be promising. Although they do not lend support to the short run relationship appeared in Granger causality test, they seem to be consistent and supportive to the long run relationship. A strong evidence of causality between financial sustainability and outreach appeared in the long run. This means both outreach and financial sustainability is a cause and effect of each others in the long run.

On the other hand, the research investigated the social dimension of microfinance (pro poor microfinance). The results reveal significant gender differences on the outreach of the case study bank. While only (27%) of women have access to credit, men have the bulk of finance (73%). This confirms the fact that banks are unable to reach the poorest segments that are present at the grass-roots community level and microfinance in Sudan is not pro poor.

The research emphasizes the importance of financial sustainability for expanding the outreach. Only viable financial institutions involved in microfinance can ensure permanency of services to an increasing number of the poor and contribute significantly to poverty reduction. However, improving financial sustainability in general is not sufficient to ensure that financial services are made available to the poorest of the poor. The social dimension of microfinance (depth of outreach) can be achieved through increasing the number of women access to credit, group lending and rural biased credit. Therefore, the banking System should develop more pro-poor products and services, delivery mechanisms and

innovative social collaterals because these are essential to attract the poor into the formal sector.

الخلاصة

تعتبر عملية اتاحة الخدمات المالية للطبقات الفقيرة في المحتمع جزء هام من استراتيجيات التقليل من حدة الفقر. بالتالي ينظر الي مؤسسات التمويل الاصغر باعتبارها احد الادوات المنوط بها القيام بهذا الدور من خلال تقديم الخدمات المالية لإصحاب الدخول المنخفضة. لكن التقليل من حدة الفقر لا يمكن تحقيقه إلا إذا إستطاعت مؤسسات التمويل الاصغر تحقيق الإستدامة المالية وزيادة عدد المستفيدين من هذه الخدمات المالية (الفقراء). و قد تباينت الاراء حول طبيعة العلاقة بين الإستدامة المالية و التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء (الإنتشار). حيث اصبحت إمكانية تحقيق الإستدامة المالية موضع اهتمام عدد كبير من الاقتصاديين وصناع القرار داخل خلال الاستدامة المالية موضع اهتمام عدد كبير من الاقتصاديين وصناع القرار داخل مؤسسات التمويل الاصغر. ففي الوقت الذي اكدت فيه عدد كبير من الدراسات التي اجربت في دول مختلفة حول اداء مؤسسات التمويل الاصغر إمكانية تحقيق الإستدامة المالية من خلال التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء, توصلت الموضوع دات المالية للفقراء الموضوع الإستدامة المالية من خلال التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء الموضوع دات الموضوع المالية للفقراء الموضوع الإستدامة المالية من خلال التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء الموضوع دات الموضوع الوقت الخي الي نتائج غير مشجعة حول ذات الموضوع الموضوع الي النوبية عير مشجعة حول ذات الموضوع الإستدامة المالية للفقراء الموضوع الوقت الخي الي نتائج غير مشجعة حول ذات الموضوع الوسيت التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية الموضوع الموضوع الموسوع الهي نتائج غير مشجعة حول ذات الموضوع الموسوع الموسوء ال

إن الغرض الاساسي من هذا البحث هو الفحص العملي لطبيعة العلاقة بين الاستدامة المالية و التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء(الإنتشار) لمؤسسات التمويل الاصغر بالسودان. لذلك إفترض البحث ان الإستدامة المالية تؤدي الي التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء, وإمكانية تحقيق الإستدامة المالية و التوسع في خدمة الفقراء معا و أن التمويل الاصغر في السودان موجه لصالح الفقراء

إتبع البحث منهجية دراسة الحالة التي تم من خلالها بناء نموذج اقتصادي طبقت فيه في اطار نموذج تصحيح الخطأ (Granger - Causality Test) اختبار سببية قرانجر وقد استخدم البحث عينة تحتوي علي 30 مشاهدة . (Error Correction Model) الربح و عدد ابتداء من يناير 2007 التي يونيو 2009, كما استخدم البحث هامش الربح و عدد المموليين (العملاء المستفيدين) كمؤشرات للاستدامة المالية و الإنتشار علي التوالي

أن السلاسل (Unit Root Test) بينت نتائج الدراسة الاحصائية لاختبار جذر الوحدة الزمنية لمتغير الإستدامة المالية موضع الدراسة تعاني من خاصية الجذر الوحدوي، كما بينت نتائج اختبار التكامل، (non - stationary) وأنه غير ساكن في مستواه أن المتغيرات على تكامل مشترك فيما بينها، (Co - Integration Test) المشترك وأنها تتجه إلى التوازن في الأجل الطويل حسب النتائج الإحصائية لنموذج تصحيح الخطأ

توصلت الدراسة الى أنّ الإستدامة المالية في القطاع المصرفي تؤدي الي التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء في الأجل القصير, و لم تجد الدراسة اي دليل علي ان التوسع في تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء يمكن ان يكون سبباً للإستدامة المالية في ذات الاجل, حيث تعتبر النتيجة الاخيرة عثرة في طريق المساعي الرامية الي تقديم الخدمات المالية للفقراء على اساس تجاري, لكن نتائج الاختبار الإحصائي لنموذج تصحيح الخطأ بينت ان هنالك دليل على وجود علاقة سببيّة بين التوسع في ...

للتمويل (Social Dimension) من جهة اخري فحصت الدراسة البعد الاجتماعي الاصغر او مايعرف بمدي تحيز التمويل الاصغر لصالح الطبقات الفقيرة, و نسبة لعدم و نسبة (Group lending) توفر البيانات الاحصائية عن مؤشرات التمويل الجماعي إتخذت الدراسة إمكانية حصول (Rural - Urban Ratio) عملاء الريف الي المدن مؤشرا لقياس البعد (Women Credit Access) المراة علي التمويل الاصغر الاجتماعي. حيث تعتبر المراة من اكثر الشرائح الاقتصادية فقرا. وقد توصلت الدراسة الي ان 27% فقط من النساء إستطعن الحصول علي التمويل الاصغر خلال فترة الدراسة, مما يؤكد ان الطبقات الفقيرة غير مستفيدة من خدمات التمويل.

أوصت الدراسة بضرورة تطوير القطاع المصرفي لمنتجات وخدمات تتناسب مع إحتياجات الطبقات الفقيرة و تبني سياسات من شأنها تفليل الفجوة بين القطاع المصرفي و الفقراء وإعادة النظر في اليات التوزيع و اشكال الضمانات المطلوبة من الطبقات الفقيرة بشكل يساعد علي تطوير وتنمية المجتمعات الريفية.

LIST OF CONTENTS

| No. | Title | Page |
|-----|------------------|------|
| | Basmalla | i |
| | Quran Verses | ii |
| | Dedication | iii |
| | Acknowledgement | iv |
| | English Abstract | vi |
| | Arabic Abstract | viii |
| | List of Contents | X |

| | List of Tables | xvii |
|----|---|---------|
| | List of Figures | xviii |
| | List of Appendices | xiv |
| | Abbreviations | XX |
| | INTRODUCTION | AA |
| | 1. Preface | 1 |
| | 2. The Importance of the Research | 3 |
| | 3. Objectives of the Research | 4 |
| | 4. Statement of the Problem | 5 |
| | 5. The Hypotheses of the Research | 6 |
| | 6. The Scope of the Research | 6 |
| | 7. Justifications of the Case Study Bank | 7 |
| | 8. Limitations of the Research | 7 |
| | 9. The Research Methodology | 8 |
| | 10. Sources of Data | 10 |
| | 11. Definition of Terms | 11 |
| | 12. Previous Studies | 13 |
| | 13. Organization of the Research | 16 |
| 1. | CHAPTER ONE: EMERGENCE & DEVELOPMENT OF MICROFIT | |
| 1. | | "II (CL |
| | 1.0 Introduction | 18 |
| | 1.1 Emergence of Microfinance | 18 |
| | 1.2 Microfinance Principal Concepts | 19 |
| | 1.2.1 Microcredit and Microfinance | 19 |
| | 1.2.2 Definitions of Microfinance | 20 |
| | 1.2.3 The Importance of Microfinance in Economics | 22 |
| | 1.2.4 Microfinance Products and Services | 23 |
| | 1.2.5 Basic Principles of Microfinance | 25 |
| | 1.2.5.1 MF services must be relevant to the needs of the poor | 26 |
| | 1.2.5.2 The Poor need access to a wide range of financial services | 26 |
| | 1.2.5.3 Microfinance is a powerful instrument for poverty reduction | 26 |
| | 1.2.5.4 MF means building financial systems that serve the poor | 27 |
| | 1.2.5.5 Financial sustainability is necessary for reaching poor | 27 |
| | 1.2.5.6 Interest rate ceilings damage poor's access to finance | 27 |
| | 1.2.5.7 Credit is not always appropriate | 28 |
| | 1.2.5.8 Governments should facilitate rather than provide services | 28 |
| | 1.2.5.9 Donor subsidies should not compete private sector capital | 28 |
| | 1.2.5.10 The importance of financial and outreach transparency | 29 |
| | 1.2.5.11 Using social collateral as loan security | 29 |
| | 1.2.6 Objectives of Microfinance | 29 |
| | 1.2.7 Microfinance Providers | 30 |
| | 1.2.7.1 Formal Institutions | 30 |
| | 1.2.7.2 Semi formal Institutions | 31 |
| | 1.2.7.3 Informal Institutions | 31 |
| | 1.3 Development of Microfinance | 32 |
| | 1.4 Delivery Models of Microfinance | 34 |
| | 1.4.1Soldarity Group | 34 |
| | 1.4.2 Village Banks | 35 |
| | 1.4.3 Credit Union | 35 |
| | 1.4.4 Self – Help Groups | 36 |
| | 1.4.5 Individual Lending | 36 |
| | 1.5 Microfinance in the Global Context | 36 |
| | 1.5.1 The Grameen Bank (GB) | 37 |
| | 1.5.2 Bank Rakyat in Indonesia (BRI) | 39 |
| | 1.5.3 India's Share | 40 |

| | 1.5.4 Philipines' CARD | 40 |
|--------------|---|----|
| | 1.5.5 Banco Solidario (Bolivia) | 41 |
| | 1.5.6 Compartamos (Mexico) | 41 |
| | 1.5.7 Good Faith Fund (United States) | 42 |
| | 1.6 Challenges to Microfinance Intermediation | 43 |
| | 1.6.1 Lack of Collateral | 43 |
| | 1.6.2 Information Asymmetry | 43 |
| | 1.6.3 Risk | 44 |
| | 1.6.4 Enforcement Problems | 44 |
| | 1.6.5 High Operating Costs | 44 |
| | 1.6.6 Formal Banking Procedures and Physical Access Difficulties | 44 |
| | 1.6.7 Weak Institutional Capacity | 45 |
| | 1.6.8 Enabling Policy Environment | 45 |
| | 1.6.9 Cultural Aspects | 45 |
| 2. | CHAPTER TWO: MICROFINANCE & POVERTY REDUCTION | 10 |
| - | 2.0 Introduction | 46 |
| | 2.1 Linking Microfinance to Poverty Reduction | 46 |
| | 2.1.1Theoretical Link of Microfinance to Poverty Reduction | 47 |
| | 2.1.2 Empirical Link of Microfinance to Poverty Reduction | 52 |
| | 2.1. 2.1 Impact on Income and Expenditure of Household | 52 |
| | 2.1.2.2 Impact on Human Capital Investments | 55 |
| | 2.1.2.2.1 Impact on Education | 55 |
| | 2.1.2.2.2 Impact on Health | 57 |
| | 2.1.2.2.3 Impact on Women's Empowerment | 58 |
| | 2.1.2.3 Impact on Outreach | 59 |
| | 2.1.2.4 Impact on Assets and Employment | 60 |
| | 2.2The Success of Microfinance in Helping the Poor Out of Poverty | 61 |
| | 2.3 Outreach and Financial Sustainability | 64 |
| | 2.3.1 The Concept of Outreach | 65 |
| | 2.3.1.1 Quality of Outreach | 65 |
| | 2.3.1.2 Cost of outreach | 65 |
| | 2.3.1.3 Depth of Outreach | 65 |
| | 2.3.1.4 Breadth of Outreach | 66 |
| | 2.3.1.5 Length of Outreach | 66 |
| | 2.3.1.6 The Variety of Outreach | 66 |
| | 2.3.2 Financial Sustainability | 67 |
| | 2.3.2.1 The Concept of Financial Sustainability | 67 |
| | 2.3.2.1.1 Subsidy Dependent | 68 |
| | 2.3.2.1.2 Operational Self-Sufficiency (OSS) | 68 |
| | 2.3.2.1.3 Financial Self-Sufficiency (FSS) | 68 |
| | 2.3.3 Outreach and Financial Sustainability in Theory | 72 |
| | 2.3.3.1 The Institutionist Approach | 73 |
| | 2.3.3.2 The Welfarist Approach | 74 |
| | 2.3.4 Outreach and Financial Sustainability; Empirical Evidence | 76 |
| 3. | CHAPTER THREE: THE CASE STUDY OF SUDAN | |
| | 3.0 Introduction | 81 |
| | 3.1 Microfinance in Sudan | 81 |
| | 3.1.1 Country Overview | 81 |
| | 3.1.2 Development of Microfinance in Sudan: Sector Background | 84 |
| | 3.1.3 Microfinance Markets in Sudan | 86 |
| | 3.1.3.1 The Structure of the Supply Market | 86 |
| | 3.1.3.1.1 Informal Supply Market | 87 |
| | 5.1.5.1.1 Informal Supply Market | |
| | 3.1.3.1.2 Semi-formal Supply Market | 87 |
| | *** | |

| | 4.3 Financial Sustainability versus Outreach 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4 VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses CONCLUSION Introduction Findings Recommendations Areas for Future Research REFERENCES | 122 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 133 135 135 137 141 |
|----|--|--|
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses CONCLUSION Introduction Findings Recommendations | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 133 135 135 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4 VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses CONCLUSION Introduction Findings | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 133 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4 VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses CONCLUSION Introduction | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 133 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4 VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses CONCLUSION | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 133 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4.4 Summary of the Results 4.5 Test of Hypotheses | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test 4.4 Summary of the Results | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 132 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test 4.3.2.4VEC Model Estimation and Causality Test | 124 125 126 126 128 129 131 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test 4.3.2.3 VAR Estimation and Causality Test | 124 125 126 126 128 129 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables 4.3.2.2 Co-integration Test | 124 125 126 126 128 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model 4.3.2.1 Testing the Stationarity of the Variables | 124 125 126 126 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test 4.3.2 The Econometric Model | 124 125 126 |
| | 4.3.1 Simple Correlation Test | 124 125 |
| | | 124 |
| | 40.00 110 110 0 1 | |
| | 4.2.1.4 Financial Sustainability | 122 |
| | 4.2.1.3 Social Performance | 119 |
| | 4.2.1.2 Loan Size | 117 |
| | 4.2.1.1 Outreach | 114 |
| | 4.2. 1 Performance of SSDB | 114 |
| | 4.2 Empirical Analysis and Results | 114 |
| | 4.1.4 Co-integration Test | 114 |
| | 4.1.3 Unit Root Test | 113 |
| | 4.1.2 Vector Error Correction Model and Estimation Test | 112 |
| | 4.1.1 Granger Causality Test | 111 |
| | 4.1 Research Methodology | 111 |
| | 4.0 Introduction | 111 |
| 4. | CHAPTER FOUR: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS & TEST OF HYPOT | • |
| | 3.2.3.11 Microfinance Experience of the Bank | 108 |
| | 3.2.3.10 Geographical Presence of the Bank | 106 |
| | 3.2.3.9 Organizational Structure | 106 |
| | 3.2.3.8 The Main Sources of Investment of the Bank | 106 |
| | 3.2.3.7 Guarantees | 105 |
| | 3.2.3.5 Customer's Requirements 3.2.3.6 The Feasibility Study | 104 |
| | 3.2.3.4 The Modes, Amount and Terms of Finance 3.2.3.5 Customer's Requirements | 103 |
| | 3.2.3.3 Projects Financed and the Basis of Finance 3.2.3.4 The Modes, Amount and Terms of Finance | 103 |
| | 3.2.3.2 Products and Services Provided | 102 103 |
| | 3.2.3.1 The Philosophy and Objectives of the Bank | 101 |
| | 3.2.3 Saving and Social Development bank (SSDB) | 100 |
| | 3.2.2 Historical Background to the Bank | 100 |
| | 3.2.1 The Financial Sector in Sudan | 96 |
| | 3.2 Saving and Social Development Bank | 96 |
| | 3.1.5 Constraints to Microfinance Development in Sudan | 94 |
| | 3.1.4.4 Initiatives of the World Bank and the Multi-Donor Trust Fund | 94 |
| | 3.1.4.3 Microfinance Organizations Network (MON) | 94 |
| | 3.1.4.2 The Microfinance Unit | 93 |
| | 3.1.4.1 Central Bank of Sudan's New Vision | 93 |
| | 3.1.4 Efforts to Develop Microfinance in Sudan | 93 |
| | 3.1.3.2.3 Demand for Other Services | 92 |
| | 3.1.3.2.2 Demand for Micro credit Services | 91 |
| | 3.1.3.2.1 Demand for Savings Services | 91 |

LIST OF TABLES:

| Items | Page |
|---|------|
| Table: 1.1 Sectors Involved in the Provision of Microfinance. | 31 |
| Table 1.2 Assumptions of the Market – based Microfinance Paradigm. | 33 |
| Table 1.3 Identify Different Paradigms of Microfinance through Distinct eras. | 34 |
| Table 2.1 Poverty Reduction through Microfinance Facilities. | 50 |
| Table 2.2 Constraints to Financial Sustainability. | 71 |
| Table: 3.1 Labor Statistics for North Sudan, 1996 Survey. | 92 |
| Table 3.2 The Geographical Distribution of Banking Network in Sudan 2008. | 97 |
| Table 3.3 Geographic Spread of Saving and Social Development Bank. | 107 |
| Table 3.4 Projects Financed by the Bank in Collaboration with other Bodies | 109 |
| Table 4.1 Correlation Matrix. | 126 |

| Table 4.2 Unit Root Tests in Level. | 127 |
|--|-----|
| Table 4.3Unit Root Tests in First Difference of PM. | 127 |
| Table 4.4 Summary of the Results of Unit Root Tests. | 128 |
| Table 4.5 Engle- Granger Co-integration tests. | 128 |
| Table 4.6 Granger Causality Test. | 130 |
| Table 4.7 Vector of Error Correction Model Based on Granger Causality Test | 131 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Items | Page |
|---|------|
| Figure 1.The Impact of Microfinance in Poverty. | 11 |
| Figure: 1.1 Minimalist and Integrated Approaches to Microfinance. | 25 |
| Figure 2.1 Transmission Mechanism of Microfinance to Poverty Reduction. | 49 |
| Figure 2.2 Private Sector Investment Reduces Poverty. | 51 |
| Figure 2.3 Sustainability-Outreach Relationships. | 70 |
| Figure 3.1 The Regional Distribution of Banking Network in Sudan. | 98 |
| Figure 3.2 Geographic Distribution of the Case Study Bank. | 107 |
| Figure 3.3 The Percent of States Share in the Bank's Network. | 108 |
| Figure 4.1 The Trend of Bank's Outreach (2007:1 – 2009:6). | 115 |
| Figure 4.2 The Annual Percent of Clients Outreach (2007 – 2008). | 116 |
| Figure 4.3 Market Share By Number of Clients. | 117 |
| Figure 4.4 The Quarterly Loan Size of the Case Study Bank. | 118 |
| Figure 4.5 The Annual Percent of Credit Provision (2007 – 2008). | 119 |
| Figure 4.6 Credit Access Share by Gender. | 120 |

| Figure 4.7 Women Credit Access by Branch during Study Period. | 121 |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4.8 The Quarterly Profit of the Case Study Bank. | 123 |
| Figure 4.9 Profit Margins in Percent in (2007 – 2008). | 124 |
| Figure 4.10 Simple Correlation Test. | 125 |

LIST of APPENDICES

| Appendix (1): Grameen Bank– The Sixteen Decisions. |
|---|
| Appendix (2): Quarterly Male/Female Credit Access Share in Percent. |
| Appendix (3): Female/ Male Credit Access Share during the Case Study Period. |
| Appendix (4): The Quarterly Loan Size of the Case Study Bank (2007:1 – 2009:6). |
| Appendix (5): Monthly Female/Male Credit Share during the Case Study Period. |
| Appendix (6): Female /Male Credit Access in Percent per Individual Branch. |
| Appendix (7): Outreach Vis-a Vis Financial Sustainability. |
| Appendix (8): The Regional Distribution of the Banking System in Sudan 2008. |
| Appendix (9): Augmented Dickey – Fuller Unit Root Test on NCs |
| Appendix (10): Augmented Dickey – Fuller Unit Root Test on PM |
| Appendix (11): Augmented Dickey – Fuller Unit Root Test on D (PM) |
| Appendix (12): Regression Results of NCs on PM |
| Appendix (13): Regression Results of PM on NCs |
| Appendix (14): Augmented Dickey–Fuller Unit Root Test on Residual of (PM) |
| Appendix (15) Augmented Dickey–Fuller Unit Root Test on Residual of (NCs) |
| Appendix (16): Vector Auto regression Estimates &Equations |
| Appendix (17): Results of Granger – Causality Test |
| Appendix (18): Vector Error Correction Estimates |

ABBREVIATIONS:

| ADC | |
|--------|--|
| ABS | Agricultural Bank of Sudan |
| ADB | Asian Development Bank |
| ADSs | Area Development Schemes |
| AIDS | Acquired Immunity Deficiency Syndrome |
| ASA | Association for Social Advancement |
| BRAC | Bangladesh Rural Advance Commission. |
| BRDB | Bangladesh Rural Development Board. |
| BRI | Bank Rakyat in Indonesia |
| CARD | Center for Agriculture and Rural Development. |
| CBOs | Community Based Organizations |
| CBS | Central Bank of Sudan |
| CBSs | Central Bureau of Statistics |
| CGAP | Consultative Group to Assist the Poor |
| CIS | Country Industrial Survey |
| CNS | Comprehensive National Strategy |
| CU | Credit Union |
| EAPs | Economically Active Poor |
| ECCP | Elnuhud Cooperative Credit Project |
| ECM | Error Correction Model |
| FCCA | Foundation for Credit and Community Assistance |
| FSS | Financial self-sufficiency |
| GB | Grameen Bank |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| GOS | Government of Sudan |
| HDI | Human Development Index |
| HPI | Human Poverty Index |
| IDPs | Internally Displaced Persons |
| JAM | Joint Assessment Mission |
| MDGs | Millennium Development Goals |
| MFIs | Microfinance Institutions |
| MFU | Microfinance Unit |
| MICS | Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey |
| MIX | Microfinance Information Exchange |
| MOFNE | Ministry of Finance and national Economy |
| MON | Microfinance Organizations Network |
| NCHI | National Corporation for Health Insurance |
| NCs | Number of Clients |
| NFSC | National Fund for Students Care |
| NFSI | National Fund for Social Insurance |
| NGOs | Non governmental Organizations |
| NKRDP | North Kordofan Rural Development Project |
| NPF | National Pensioners Fund |
| OLS | Ordinary Least Square |
| OSS | Operational self-sufficiency |
| Ph.D. | Doctorate of Philosophy |
| PM | Profit Margin |
| PRS | Poverty Reduction Strategy |
| RDRS | Rangpur Dinajpur Rural Service |
| ROSCAs | Rotating Saving and Credit Associations |
| SAPs | Structural Adjustment Policies |
| SAPS | Suucturai Aujusunent Policies |

| SHARE | Society for Helping Awakening Rural Poor through Education |
|--------|--|
| SHGs | Self-Help Groups |
| SKRDP | South Kordofan Rural Development Project |
| SMEs | Small and Medium Enterprises |
| SMS | Safe Motherhood Survey |
| SRADP | Southern Rosseries Agricultural Development Project |
| SPFS | Special Program for Food Security |
| SSDB | Saving and Social Development Bank |
| UN | United Nations |
| UNCDF | United Nations Capital and Development Fund |
| UNCIEF | United Nations Children Emergency Fund |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Program |
| UNFPA | United Nations Population Fund |
| UNHCR | United Nations High Commission for refugees |
| UNIDO | United Nations International Development Organization |
| USAID | United States Agency for International Development |
| WB | World Bank |
| VAR | Variance Autoregressive |