

DEDICATION

To my parents

To my husband

To my family, sisters and brother

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor **Dr. Hassan Ibrahim Mohammed** for his valuable guidance and advice throughout this study. Without his continuous support I would not have gone any far. I am also grateful to Dr. Haithum Rajab El Ramlawi for his supportive advice and comments that led to a successful completion of the research. I am indebted to Dr. Omran Musa Abbas and abd Algni for their appreciated help throughout the course of this study.

I am grateful to my colleagues in the Department of Agricultural Engineering for their assistance and help, and the officials and farmers of the Gezira Scheme, and Hydraulic Research Station-Wad Medani-Sudan for their tremendous support during the field study.

Finally, special thanks to my husband, and my sons for their love, patience and support without them this work would not have been accomplished.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	Page
	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	x
ABSTRACT	xii
ARABIC ABSTRACT	xv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and justification	1
1.2 Problem identification	5
1.3 Study objectives	11
1.4 Study scope	13
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	14
2.1 Irrigation development and use of water resources in the Sudan	14
2.2 On-farm irrigation water management in Gezira Scheme	20
2.3 Irrigation scheduling	24
2.3.1 Irrigation scheduling state of knowledge	28
2.3.2 Short description of the CROPWAT model	36
2.3.3 Past studies on water scheduling under deficit irrigation	38
2.3.4 Irrigation scheduling methods and techniques	44
2.3.5 Applicability and limitations of scheduling methods and techniques	46
2.3.5.1 Reliable water supply	46
2.3.5.2 Total available water (TAW)	47
2.3.5.3 Readily available water (RAW)	48
2.3.5.4 Water stress coefficient (KS)	49
2.3.5.5 Soil water balance	51
2.3.5.6 Yield-moisture stress relationship	53
2.4 Irrigation of cotton crop	54
2.5 The evaluation parameters	55
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	57
3.1 Model concepts, assumptions and limitations	57

3.2	Program technical specification	58
3.3	Part One: Simulation of soil water balance	59
3.3.1	Inputs	62
3.3.2	Outputs	62
3.3.3	Balance sheet	63
3.4	Computer water balance model	64
3.4.1	Data entry	64
3.4.2	The algorithm and processes for simulation of soil water balance	65
3.5	Dated crop water production function	70
3.6	Optimization of crop irrigation scheduling	70
3.7	The dynamic programming model	71
3.7.1	Overview of the step	71
		Page
3.7.2	Objective of the model	73
3.7.3	Model input data	74
3.7.4	Outputs	74
3.7.5	Model characteristics, technique and style	75
3.7.6	Modeling procedure	78
	CHAPTER FOUR: MODEL DEVELOPMENT	79
4.1	The study area	79
4.1.1	The programme limitations the Gezira Scheme	80
4.1.2	Water equirements	90
4.2	The study site	100
4.2.1	Data collection	100
4.2.2	Meteorological data	105
4.2.3	Soil data	105
4.2.4	Crop and agricultural data	106
4.3	Data analysis	106
	CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	109
5.1	Model verification and validation	109
5.1.1	Comparison with FAO CROPWAT 4 model.	109
5.1.2	Comparison of the model scheduling results with Gezira Standard design and actual practices	110
5.1.3	Comparison of the model with actual practices of Block Tayba farmers	116
5.2	Model applications	118

5.2.1	Simulation of effect of variation of rainfall amounts and sowing dates on irrigation scheduling	121
		Page
5.2.1.1	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on crop yield	121
5.2.1.2	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on net benefit	121
5.2.1.3	Impact of rainfall amounts on applied water depth	121
5.2.1.4	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on water stress index	124
5.2.2	Sensitivity analysis (impacts of changing crop evapotranspiration, sowing date, and rainfall amounts on irrigation scheduling)	124
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		126
6.1	Summary	126
6.2	Conclusions.	127
6.3	Recommendations	128
6.3.1	For policy making	128
6.3.2	For future research	129
REFERENCES		130
APPENDICES		145

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
-------	-------	------

3.1	Program technical specifications	58
4.1	Data for design	89
4.2	Watering periods for each working outlet during watering number 12 (time of peak demand) in Suni Minor Canal using actual discharge(81 l/s) and design discharge(116 l/s)	93
4.3	Cotton crop data	94
4.4	Cotton crop data	107
4.5	Estimation of cotton crop water requirements using FAO – CROPWAT Model	108
5.1	Model comparison with FAO.CROPWAT 4 model	109
5.2	Report of irrigation scheduling using CROPWAT 4	111
5.3	Cotton crop water requirements Report using CROPWAT 4 model	112
5.4	Comparison of performance of the models used for scheduling Acala Cotton in Gezira Scheme	113
5.5	Effect of rainfall amounts and sowing date on the different evaluation parameters	117

LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Title	Page
3.1	Sketch map of the soil water balance	59
3.2	Soil water deficit	61
3.3	Flowchart	67
4.1	Location of Gezira Scheme	80
4.2	Gezira canalization system	87
4.3	Sketch of field layout of Gezira Scheme	91
4.4(a-g)	Wad Medani meteorological data (1961-2008)	104
4.5	Estimation of irrigation water requirement for cotton crop FAO-CROPWAT model	108
5.1	Variation of recorded number of irrigations for cotton crop in Tayba block (Sunni and Ibrahim minors)	115
5.2	Variation of recorded number of irrigation intervals for cotton crop in Tayba block (Sunni and Ibrahim minors)	115
5.3	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on crop yield	120
5.4	Impact of Sowing Date and rain fall amounts on net benefit	120
5.5	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on applied water depth	120
5.6	Impact of sowing date and rainfall amounts on water stress index	120
5.7	Effect of Chaining Rain at Normal ET _c on crop yield	122
Table	Title	Page
5.8	Effect of Chaining Date at Normal RAIN and Normal ET _c on crop yield	123
5.9(a, b, c)	Effects of Chaining Rain fall and sowing dates on crop Net Benefit at Normal ET _c	124
5.10	Effect of Chaining Rain at Normal ET _c	126
5.11	Effects of chaining rain at normal ET _c on water stress	126

	index	
5.12	Effects of chaining ETc at normal rain water stress	
	index	126

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

γ	=	Psychometric constant (kPa °C-1).
Δ	=	Slope of vapour pressure curve (kPa °C-1).
Abu VI	=	Abu sita
Abu XX	=	Abu Shreen
ADE	=	Assistant Division Engineer
A R C	=	Agricultural Research Corporation
ABS	=	Agricultural Bank of Sudan
BRAC	=	Breakeven Risk Aversion Coefficient
C	=	Cost of irrigation
C Ri	=	Capillary rise from the ground water table on day i
CWR	=	Crop water requirement
C W S I	=	Crop water satisfaction index
CDF	=	Cumulative Distribution Function
CWPFM	=	Crop Water Production Function Model
D	=	Root depth.
Dr, i	=	Root zone depletion at the end of day i
Dr, i-1	=	Water content in the root zone at the end of the previous day i-1
Dt	=	Is the time step
DP	=	Dynamic programming
ETa	=	Actual evapotranspiration
ETC	=	evapotranspiration
ETc	=	Actual crop evapotranspiration
ETc	=	Corp evapotranspiration
ETc adj	=	Actual evapotranspiration on day
ETci	=	Corp evapotranspiration on day i
ETi	=	Crop water use at time i
ETm	=	Maximum evapotranspiration
ETo	=	Reference evapotranspiration . mm/day
ea	=	Actual vapour pressure (kPa)
es	=	Saturation vapour pressure (kPa)
es-ea	=	Saturation vapour pressure deficit (kPa)
ET	=	Evapotranspiration.
ETa	=	Actual evapotranspiration.
ETc	=	Crop evapotranspiration. mm/day

FOP	=	Field out let pipes
F _c	=	Field capacity
FOP	=	Field outlet pipes
F _{sl}	=	Full supply level
F*N	=	The optimal policy decision
FAO	=	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
fed	=	Feddan; 1 feddan = 0.42 ha
G	=	Soil heat flux density (MJ m ⁻² day ⁻¹).
g	=	Sychoment constant
GC	=	Ground water contribution
GDDS	=	Growing Degree Days
Global AEZ	=	Global Agro ecological Zones.
GRS	=	Gunied Research Station.
HRS	=	Hydraulic Research Station
Hawasha	=	Individual Farm size in Gezira Scheme = 5 feddans
I	=	Irrigation
ISM	=	Irrigation Scheduling model
IWR	=	Irrigation requirements
K _c	=	Crop coefficient
K _F	=	Crop factor
K _s	=	Is the coefficient of soil water stress
K _s	=	Crop coefficient
K _y	=	Kield Resporiple Foators
K _y (A _n)	=	Weigh factor which reflect varying crop sensitivity to moisture stress at time period
K _y	=	Crop response factor.
I _n	=	Net irrigation depth in day i
I _i	=	Irrigation at time i
MB	=	Mega byte
MHz	=	Megahertz
MOI	=	Ministry of irrigation
N	=	Number of stage
Nimra	=	Area of 90 Feddans
NPV	=	Net Present Value
NSS	=	Night Storage System
P	=	Depletion factor
P	=	Precipitation
P	=	Cash value

PWP	=	Permanent wilting point
PDF	=	Probability Density Function
Q	=	Soil water flux
R	=	Runoff
RAW	=	Readily available Water
RD	=	Root depth
R _i	=	Rainfall at time
R _o	=	Runoff
RAM	=	Randomize Auxiliary Memory
R _n	=	Net radiation at the crop surface (MJ m ⁻² day ⁻¹).
SGB	=	Sudan Gezira Boarder
SMD	=	Soil moisture Deficit
S _n	=	Current state of stage n
S _w	=	Soil Water
SWD	=	Soil water deficit at t _i
SD	=	Sudanese Dinar (Currency)
SPSS	=	Statistical package for social science
T	=	Mean daily air temperature at 2 m height, (°C).
T	=	Air temperature
T A M	=	Total available moisture
T.D.R	=	Time Domain Reflectometry
TYESP	=	Three-Year Economic Salvation Program
U	=	Wind speed at 2 m height
U ₂	=	Wind speed at 2m height (ms ⁻¹)
WPET	=	Wilting point and evapotranspiration
WT-i	=	Initial and final soil water storage in the root zone in
		on the time step
WUE	=	Water use efficiency
Y _a	=	Actual yield
Y _m	=	Maximum yield
Y _n	=	Decision available for stage n
Y _n ^x	=	Optimum value of x n
Y _a	=	Actual yield
Z _r	=	Root zone depth

ABSTRACT

The northern parts of Sudan face high water scarcity due to aridity and desertification but excess water is often applied to the main irrigated crops. This over-irrigation contributes to aggravate water scarcity problems. Improved water saving irrigation is therefore required, mainly through appropriate irrigation scheduling. Consequently, a two-step (simulation and dynamic programming) approach has been introduced in this study to solve the complex problem of optimal water scheduling for single crop at a farm level operating under flexible water delivery system. The complexity of a real-world situation is represented by incorporating in the optimization model the nature of irrigation water supply and rain fall and the dynamic nature of crop growth. A linear, dated, and additive crop water production function of Doorenbos and Kassam (1979) was incorporated with financial benefits as a useful tool to evaluate alternative irrigation management scenarios. Knowledge of the crop behavior to varying levels of water use through crop growth stages is vital for making rational decisions based on the most economical use of limited water.

The developed irrigation scheduling model consists of a database management interface, a water balance simulation base and dynamic programming. It performs irrigation scheduling under various management options for single crop in a single field. This single crop model tries to find the best allocation of the available water in time in order to maximize the total expected yield of a given crop. It uses several sub-models that interface with each other and with the dynamic programming (DP) to generate the optimal tradeoff between irrigation levels.

The model is developed under Excel spreadsheet environment and employ mouse-driven approach. It is base on utilizes of a daily water balance approach and uses climatological, crop and soil data as input.

The dynamic programming model (DP) allocates a given amount of water optimally over the different growth stages to maximize the yield per hectare for a given crop, accounting for the sensitivity of the crop growth stages to water stress the model was applied to schedule cotton crop in tayba block of Gezira

The model was verified by testing it against field data and FAO CROPWAT model. The model-predict seasonal number of irrigation water use and total volume of applied water comparison the DP model and CROPWAT gave significant different. Due non consideration of variation of crop water need with various crop growth stage

The developed irrigation scheduling simulation model was explored to simulate improved irrigation scheduling alternatives. Several irrigation strategies were analyzed, including a variety of climatic demand conditions (irrigation at wet and dry periods, at high medium and low crop evapotranspiration rate and at early mid and late sowing dates).

The model was used to study the optimal irrigation scheduling of a cala cotton (Sunni Minor) is located in Tyba block Area in Gezira Scheme.

Data collected included metrological data soil data, crop and agricultural Data and economic data.

The results obtained by the developed model is all mostly typical to the value used in design and for standard practices of Gezira scheme.

The developed model is employed as experimental tool to quantify the impacts of changing the most important model input parameters (crop

evapotranspiration, sowing date, and rainfall amounts) affecting irrigation scheduling. This is viewed by many investigators as a test of model sensitivity to variations of its inputs on resulting outputs.

The model was managed to determine amount and timing of irrigation of cotton crop through the growing season and compared with CROPWAT models.

The developed model was found to schedule on farm irrigation and predict cotton yield at different scenarios and deficit irrigation water supply satisfactory.

Conclusion and recommendation plans were stated for policy making. Model results concerning the timing of deficit irrigation and determination of watering calendar may be integrated in extension program to build capacity of users and for the future research the developed model can be adapted in the future for prediction of the yield of other crops especially sorghum in rain fed areas .

ملخص الأطروحة

تواجه الأجزاء الشمالية من السودان ندرة شديدة في المياه نسبة للجفاف والتصحر ولكن كميات هائلة من الماء عادة تطبق على المحاصيل المروية

هذا الري المفرط يساهم في زيادة مشكلة عدم توفر المياه لذا وجب القيام بتحسين سبل حفظ مياه الري خاصة من خلال جدولة الري المثلي . تبعاً لذلك هنالك خطوتان (المحاكاة والبرمجة الديناميكية) تم إدخالها في هذه الدراسة لحل هذه المشكلة المعقدة لجدولة المياه المثلي، لمحصول واحد في مستوى الحقل، تمت العملية تحت نظام تحرير الماء المرن .

التعقيدات الحقيقية في وضع العالم تتمثل في عدم الارتباط بين النموذج الأمثل في مصادر مياه الري ومياه الأمطار وطبيعة الديناميكية للمحصول المزروع.

البيانات الخطية بالإضافة إلى دالة إنتاجية ماء المحصول قد تم دمج المنفعة المالية كأداء لتقييم سيناريوهات الري البديل .

معرفة سلوك المحصول للتغيرات في مستوى الماء المستخدم خلال المراحل المختلفة لنمو المحصول حيوي لعمل قرار منطقي بنى على أغلب الاستخدام الاقتصادي للمياه المحدودة.

نموذج جدولة الري المطور بنى على فترات إدارية ، موازنة المياه قواعد المحاكاة

البرمجة الديناميكية تعمل على جدولة الري تحت رؤية إدارية مختلفة ، لمحصول واحد في حواشه واحده . نموذج المحصول الفردي محاولة لإيجاد التوزيع الأفضل للماء المتاح في الزمن ولزيادة الإنتاجية المتوقعة للمحصول المعطى . تم إستخدام عدة نماذج تحتية تتداخل مع بعضها البعض ومع البرمجة الديناميكية من أجل خلق تسجيل أمثل بين مستويات الري.

تم إنشاء النموذج باستخدام (بيئة إكسل – مستخدمين الفأرة) وقد استخدمت بيانات المناخ والمحصول والتربة كمدخلات وأساس لتعظيم الموازنة المائية .

نموذج البرمجة الديناميكية أعطى كمية الماء المثلى لكافة مراحل النمو وأدى إلى زيادة الإنتاجية بالهكتار للمحصول المعطى مع وضع حساب لحساسية مراحل النمو للعجز المائي وتم تطبيق النموذج لجدولة محصول القطن (من منطقة طيبة بالجزيرة) .

تم اختبار صحة النموذج بواسطة بيانات حقلية وبرنامج منظمة الغذاء والزراعة العالمي وقد تنبأ النموذج بعد الريات وحجم الماء المستخدم وتم مقارنته بنموذج البرمجة الديناميكية وبرنامج منظمة الغذاء والزراعة العالمية وأعطت النتائج اختلاف نتيجة لعدم أخذ احتياج النبات للماء عند كل مرحلة من مراحل النمو.

نموذج المحاكاة المستحدث لجدولة الري حُدث لمشابهة بدائل جدولة الري المحسنة ثم تحليل عدد من استراتيجيات الري حوَّت المطالب المناخية المختلفة (الري في فترة الجفاف والرطوبة تحت استهلاك مائي عالي وأوسط وأدنى للمحصول وتاريخ زراعة متقدم وأوسط ومتأخر) .

استخدم النموذج لدراسة جدولة الري لمحصول القطن بمنطقة طيبة بالجزيرة. وقد تم تجميع البيانات المناخية وبيانات التربة وبيانات المحصول وبيانات اقتصادية . النتائج المعطاة بالنموذج مطابقة لنتائج النموذج القياسي المتبع بالجزيرة.

استخدم النموذج أدوات حقيقة لحساب الأثر الفعلي لتغير معظم المقاييس المدخلة (الاستهلاك المائي للمحصول - تاريخ الزراعة وكمية الأمطار) وهذه موجهات لعدد من المحققين الذين اختبروا حساسية النموذج وتأثير تغيير مدخلات البرامج علي المخرجات

النموذج موجه لتحديد كمية المياه وزمن الري لمحصول القطن خلال فترات النمو السنوي مقارنة مع برنامج (CROPWAT) .

وقد وجد البرنامج الجدولة الحقيقية للري وتنبأ بالإنتاجية لمحصول القطن لسيناريوهات مختلفة وعجز الماء وإمداد الماء الكافي .
في الخلاصة والتوصيات ذكرت الخطط المصنوعة لإنتاجية المحاصيل من خلال تحسين إدارة الموارد القومية المتاحة .