

Chapter 4

Spectral Applications of Jacobic Matrices

We prove that a trace class of operators is continuous in the unit disc and give a prove of a result concerned to the commutator of two operators, with a prove of a result of a gap and a trace of a gab [71,72,81,113,117].

Section (4-1): Determinates and Jost Function Perturbation

We shall look at the spectral theory of Jacobi matrices that is infinite tridiagonal matrices,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_2 & b_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

With $a_j > 0$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we suppose that the entries of J are bounded that is $\sup_n |a_n| + \sup_n |b_n| < \infty$ so that J defines a bounded self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) = L^2(\{1, 2, \dots\})$. Let δ_j be the obvious vector in $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, that is with components δ_j which are 1 if $n = j$ and 0 if $n \neq j$.

The spectral measure was associated to δ_1 is one given by the spectral theorem for the vector δ_1 . That is the measure μ defined by

$$m_\mu(E) = \langle \delta_1, (J - E)^{-1} \delta_1 \rangle = \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{x - E} \quad (2)$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between bounded Jacobi matrices and unit measures. Applying the Gram-Schmidt process to $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ one gets orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x) = k_n x^n + \dots$ with $k_n > 0$ and

$$\int p_n(x) p_m d\mu(x) = \delta_{nm} \quad (3)$$

These polynomials obey three-terms recurrence

$$xP_n(x) = a_{n-1}P_{n-1}(x) + b_nP_n(x) + a_nP_{n+1}(x)$$

(4)

Where a_n, b_n are the Jacobi matrix coefficients of the Jacobi matrix with spectral measure μ (and $P_{-1} \equiv 0$) [23,43,85].

We made our choice to start numbering of J at $n=1$ so that we could have μ for the free Jost function , (well known with $z=e^{ik}$) and arrange for the Jost function to be regular at $z=0$.

An alternate way of recovering μ from J is the continued fraction expansion for the function $m_\mu(z)$ near infinity,

$$m_\mu(E) = \frac{1}{-E + b_1 - (a_1^2 / (-E + b_2 + \dots))} \quad (5)$$

One is especially interested in J 's "close" to the free matrix J_0 with $a_n=1$ and $b_n=0$ that is

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

(6)

Lemma (4.1.1) [128]:

Let J be a Jacobic matrix and μ the corresponding spectral measure. The operator $J - J_0$ is Hilbert-Schmidt that is

$$\sum (g_n - 1)^2 + \sum b_n^2 < \infty \quad (7)$$

If and only if μ has the following four properties

(i) (Blumenthal-Weyl Criterion) the support of μ is

$$[-2, 2] \cup \{E_j^+\}_{j=1}^N \cup \{E_j^-\}_{j=1}^N \quad \text{where } N \text{ are each zero finite or infinite and}$$

$$E_1^+ > E_{12}^+ > \dots > 2 \quad \text{and} \quad E_1^- < E_{12}^- < \dots < -2 \quad \text{and if } N \text{ is infinite, then}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} E_j^\pm = \pm 2.$$

(ii) (Quasi-Szego Condition) ,Let $\mu_{ac}(E) = f(E)dE$ where μ_{ac} is the Lebesgue absolutely continuous component of μ . Then

$$\int_{-2}^2 \text{Log}[f(E)] \sqrt{4-E^2} dE > -\infty$$

(8)

(iii) (Lieb-Thirring Bound) .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_+} |E_j^+ - 2|^{\frac{3}{2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_-} |E_j^- + 2|^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty \quad (9)$$

(iv) (Normalization) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(E) dE = 1$

It is natural to approximate the true perturbation by one of finite rank. We define J_n as the semi-infinite matrix

$$J_n = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & & \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & & \\ & \dots & \dots & & \\ & \dots & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & \\ & & a_{n-1} & b_n & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

(10)

That is J_n has $b_m = 0$ for $m > n$ and $a_m = 1$ for $m \geq n+1$.

Notice that $J_n - J_0$ has rank at most n . We write the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by taking the first n rows and columns of J_n (or J_1) as $J_{n,F}$. The $n \times n$ matrix formed from J_1 will be called $J_{0,F}$. The semi-infinite matrices $J^{(k)}$ obtained from J_1 by dropping the first k rows and columns of J_1 , that is

$$J^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n+1} & a_{n+1} & 0 & \dots \\ a_{n+1} & b_{n+2} & a_{n+2} & \dots \\ 0 & a_{n+2} & b_{n+3} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

(11)

We need some facts about J_1 the free Jacobi matrix. Fix z with $|z| < 1$. Look for solutions of

$$u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} = (z + z^{-1}) u_n, n \geq 2 \quad (12)$$

As sequences with out any conditions at infinite or $n \rightarrow \infty$. The solutions of (12) a linear combination of the two “obvious” solutions u_{\pm} given by

$$u_{\pm}(z) = z^{\pm n}$$

u_{\pm} is $\mathcal{O}(z^{\pm n})$ at infinity since $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. The linear combination that obeys $u_{\pm} = (z - z^{-1})u_{\pm}$ as required by matrix ending at zero is

$$u_n^{(0)}(z) = z^{-n} - z^n \quad (13)$$

The Wronskion of u_{\pm} and u_{\mp} is $z^{-1} - z$, we see that $(J_0 E(z))^{-1}$ has the matrix elements.

$-(z^{-1} - z)^{-1} u_{\min(n,m)}^{(0)}(z) u_{\max(n,m)}^{+}(z)$ either by a direct calculation or standard Green’s function formula. We have thus proven that

$$(J_0 - E(z))_{nm}^{-1} = -(z^{-1} - z)^{-1} [z^{|m-n|} - z^{m+n}]$$

$$= - \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m,n)-1} z^{1+|m-n|+2j} \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

Where the second comes from

$$(z^{-1} - z)(z^{1-n} - z^{3-n} - \dots - z^{n-1}) = z^{-n} - z^n \text{ by}$$

$$|z| \leq 1 \implies |(J_0 - E(z))_{nm}^{-1}| \leq \min(n, m) |z|^{1+|m-n|} \quad (16)$$

And that while the operator $(J_0 - E(z))^{-1}$ becomes singular as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, the matrix elements do not; indeed they are polynomials in z [80,25]. We need an additional fact about J_0 .

Proposition (4.1.2) [128]:

The characteristic polynomial of $J_{0;n;F}$ is

$$\det(E(z) - J_{0;n;F}) = (z^{-n-1} - z^{n+1}) / (z^{-1} - z) = U_n \left(\frac{1}{2} E(z) \right) \quad (17)$$

Where $U_n(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin[(n+1)\theta]}{\sin \theta}$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. In particular

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det[E(z) - J_{0;n;F}]}{\det[E(z) - J_{0;n;F}]} = z^{-j}$$

(18)

Proposition (4-1-3) [128]:

Let T_m be Chebushev polynomial (of the first kind):

$$T_m(\cos \theta) = \cos(m \theta) \quad (19)$$

Then
$$T_r \left[T_m \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{0;n;F} \right) \right] = \begin{cases} n & m = 2L, n = 1; L \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1^m & \text{other wise} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In particular for r fixed, once $n > \frac{1}{2}m - 1$ the trace is independent of r .

Proof:

As noted above the characteristic polynomial of $J_{0;n;F}$ is $\det[2 \cos(\theta) - J_{0;n;F}] = \frac{\sin[(n+1)\theta]}{\sin \theta}$. This implies that the eigenvalues of $J_{0;n;F}$ are given by

$$E_n^{(k)} = 2 \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{n+1}\right), k = 1, \dots, n \quad (21)$$

So by (19), $T_m\left(\frac{1}{2} E_n^{(k)}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{km\pi}{n+1}\right)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_r \left[T_m \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{0;n;F} \right) \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{km\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(-1)^m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-m}^{n+1} \exp\left(\frac{ikm\pi}{n+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The final sum is $2n+2$ if r a multiple of is $2(n+1)$ and 0 if it is not. Let \mathcal{A}

denote the Schatten classes of operator with norm $\|\mathcal{A}\|_p = \mathcal{F}_r(|\mathcal{A}|^p)$. In particular

\mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 are the trace class and Hilbert-Schmidt operators respectively. For each $A \in \mathcal{I}_1$ one can define a complex-valued function $\det(1+A)$, so that

$$|\det(1+A)| \leq \exp(\|A\|_1) \quad (22)$$

And $A \mapsto \det(1+A)$ is continuous.

$$|\det(1+A) - \det(1+B)| \leq \|A - B\|_1 \exp(\|A\|_1 + \|B\|_1 + 1) \quad (23)$$

We will also use the following properties:

$$A, B \in \mathcal{I}_1 \implies \det(1+A)\det(1+B) = \det(1+A+AB) \quad (24)$$

$$AB, BA \in \mathcal{I}_1 \implies \det(1+AB) = \det(1+BA) \quad (25)$$

$$(1+A) \text{ is invertible if and only if } \det(1+A) \neq 0 \quad (26)$$

$$z \mapsto \det(1+A(z)) \text{ analytic} \iff \det(1+A(z)) \neq 0 \implies \det(1+A(z))^{-1} \text{ analytic} \quad (27)$$

If \mathcal{H} is finite rank and P is a finite-dimensional self-adjoint projection

$$PAP = A \implies \det(1+A) = \det_{PH}(1_{PH} + PAP) \quad (28)$$

Where \det_{PH} is the standard finite-dimensional determinate.

For $A \in \mathcal{I}_2$, $(1+A)e^{-A} - 1 \in \mathcal{I}_1$, so one define

$$\det_2(1+A) = \det((1+A)e^{-A}) \quad (29)$$

Then

$$|\det_2(1+A)| \leq \exp(\|A\|_2^2) \quad (30)$$

$$|\det_2(1+A) - \det_2(1+B)| \leq \|A - B\|_2 \exp((\|A\|_2 + \|B\|_2 + 1)^2) \quad (31)$$

and, if $A \in \mathcal{I}_1$

$$\det_2(1+A) = \det(1+A)e^{-\text{Tr}(A)}$$

(32)

or

$$\det(1+A) = \det_2(1+A)e^{\text{Tr}(A)}$$

(33)

To estimate the τ_r norms we use

Lemma (4-1-4) [128]:

If A is a matrix and $\|\cdot\|_p$ the Schatten τ_r norm, then

$$(i) \quad \|A\|_2^2 = \sum_{n,m} |a_{nm}|^2$$

(34)

$$(ii) \quad \|A\|_1 = \sum_{n,m} |a_{nm}|$$

(35)

$$(iii) \quad \text{For any } p \geq 1 \text{ and } q \geq 1 \text{ with } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad \sum_n |a_{n,n+j}|^p \leq \|A\|_p^p$$

(36)

Theorem (4-1-5) [128]:

Let $C_n = \max(|a_{n+1}|, |b_n|, |a_n|)$. For any $p \in \mathbb{R}, p \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_n |C_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|\mathcal{A}\|_p \leq 3 \left(\sum_n |C_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (37)$$

Proof:

The right side is immediate Holder's inequality, for trace ideals. The left most inequality follows from $\mathcal{A} = C^{\frac{1}{2}} \cup C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\left(\sum_n |C_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\sum_n |b_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2 \left(\sum_n |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

With these preliminaries out of the way we can begin discussing the perturbation determinate $\mathcal{D}(J)$. For any J with $\mathcal{A} \in T_1$ (By (37) this is equivalent to $\sum_n |a_n| < \infty$).

$$L(z; J) = \det[J - E(z)(J_0 - E(z))^{-1}] \quad (38)$$

For all $|z| \leq 1$. Since

$$(J - E)(J_0 - E)^{-1} = 1 + \mathcal{S}(J_0 - E(z))^{-1} \quad (39)$$

The determinant in (39) is of the form $1 + A$ with $A \in T_1$.

Theorem (4-1-6) [128]:

Suppose $\mathcal{S} \in T_1$.

- (i) $L(z; J)$ is analytic in $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.
- (ii) $L(z; J)$ has a zero in D only at points z_j where $E(z_j)$ is an eigenvalue of J , and it has zero at all such points. All zero are simple.
- (iii) If J is finite range then $L(z; J)$ is a polynomial and so has an analytic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} .

Proof:

- (i) follows from (28)
- (ii) If $E_0 = E(z_0)$ is not an eigenvalue of J , then $E_0 \notin \mathcal{S}(J)$ since

$$E: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{\text{ess}}(J) = [-2, 2]. \quad \text{Thus} \quad (J - E_0)/(J_0 - E_0) \quad \text{has an}$$

inverse (namely $(J_0 - E_0)/(J - E_0)$), and so by (27), $L(z; J) \neq 0$. Finally, if $E(z_0)$ is an eigenvalue of J are simple by a Wronskian a requirement, Simon. That L has a simple zero under these circumstances comes from the following [103.104]. If p is the project on to the eigenvector at $E_0 = E(z_0)$, then $(J - E)^{-1}(1 - p)$ has a removable singularity at z_0 . Define

$$C(E) = (J - E)^{-1}(1 - p) + p \quad (40)$$

So

$$(J - E)C(E) = 1 - p + (E_0 - E)p \quad (41)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
D(E) &\equiv (J_0 - E)C(E) \\
&\equiv -\delta JC(E) + (J - E)C(E) \\
&\equiv 1 - P + (E_0 - E)P - \delta JC(E) \\
&\equiv 1 + \text{trace class}
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
D(E)[(J - E)/(J_0 - E)] &= (J_0 - E)[1 - P + (E_0 - E)P](J_0 - E)^{-1} = \\
&1 + (J_0 - E)[-P + (E_0 - E)P] (J_0 - E)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (25) first and then (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(D(E(z))) &= \det(1 + (J_0 - E)[-P + (E_0 - E)P](J_0 - E)^{-1}) \\
&= \det(1 - p + (E_0 - E)P) \\
&= E_0 - E(z)
\end{aligned}$$

Where we used (29) in the last step. Since $L(z; J)$ has a zero at z_0 and

$$E_0 - E(z) = (z - z_0) \left[1 - \frac{1}{zz_0} \right] \quad \text{has a simple zero,} \quad L(z; J) \quad \text{has a simple zero.}$$

(iii) Suppose \mathcal{H} has range \mathcal{H} that is $N = \max\{n/|b_n| - |a_{n+1}| - 1\} \geq 0$ and let

$P^{(N)}$ be the projection on to the span of $\{\mathcal{E}_j\}_{j=1}^N$. As $P^{(N)}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(J_0 - E)^{-1} = P^{(N)} P^{(N)} \mathcal{E}(J_0 - E)^{-1}. \quad \text{By} \tag{26}$$

$$L(z; J) = \det(1 + P^{(N)} \mathcal{E}(J_0 - E)^{-1} P^{(N)})$$

Thus by (16), $L(z; J)$ is a polynomial if \mathcal{H} is finite range.

Lemma (4-1-7) [128]:

Let C be diagonal positive trace class matrix. For $|z| \neq 1$, define

$$A(z) = C^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_0 - E(z))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{43}$$

Then, as Hilbert-Schmidt operator-valued function $A(z)$ extends continuously

to $\overline{D} \setminus \{1\}$.

$$\text{If } \sum_n n C_n < \infty \tag{44}$$

It has a Hilbert-Schmidt continuation to \overline{D} .

Proof:

Let $A_{nm}(z)$ be the matrix elements of $A(z)$. It follows from (14), (15) that

$$|A_{nm}(z)| \leq 2C_n^{\frac{1}{2}} C_m^{\frac{1}{2}} |z-1|^{-1} |z+1|^{-1} \quad (45)$$

$$|A_{nm}(z)| \leq \min(m, n) C_n^{\frac{1}{2}} C_m^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (46)$$

and each $A_{n,m}(z)$ has a continuous extension to \bar{D} . It follows from (46) the dominated convergence theorem and

$$\sum_{n,m} (C_n^{1/2} C_m^{1/2}) = \left(\sum_n C_n \right)^2$$

That so long as γ stays a way from $\pm i$, $\{A_{n,m}(z)\}_{n,m}$, is continuous in the

space $L^2((1, \infty) \times (1, \infty))$ so $A(z)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and continuous on $\bar{D} \setminus \{1, -1\}$.

Moreover $\sum_n n^\alpha C_n < \infty$, and $\sum_{n,m} \left[\min(m, n) C_n^{\frac{1}{2}} C_m^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \leq \sum_{mn} mn C_n C_m = \left(\sum_n n C_n \right)^2$ imply

that $A(z)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt on \bar{D} if (45) holds.

Lemma (4-1-8) [5]:

Let γ be trace class. Then

$$t(z) = \text{Tr}((\mathcal{A})(J_0 - E(z))^{-1}) \quad (47)$$

Has a continuous in $\bar{D} \setminus \{1, -1\}$. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n [|a_n - 1| + |b_n|] < \infty$$

holds, $t(z)$ can be continued to \bar{D} .

Proof:

$$t(z) = t_1(z) + t_2(z) + t_3(z) \quad \text{where} \quad t_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (J_0 - E(z))_{nn}^{-1}, \quad t_2(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - 1)$$

$$(J_0 - E(z))_{n+1,n}^{-1}$$

$$t_3(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - 1)(J_0 - E(z))_{n,n+1}^{-1}$$

Since by (15), (17) $|(J_0 - E(z))_{nm}^{-1}| \leq |z - 1|^{-1} |z + 1|^{-1} \leq \min(n, m)$. The result is immediate.

Theorem (4-1-9) [128]:

If \mathcal{J} is trace class. $L(z; J)$ can be extended to a continuous function on

$$\bar{D} \setminus \{1\} \quad \text{with}$$

$$|L(z; J)| \leq \exp \left\{ C \left(\|\mathcal{J}\|_1 + \|\mathcal{J}\|_1^2 \right) |z - 1|^{-2} |z + 1|^{-2} \right\}$$

(48)

For universal constant C . If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[|a_n - 1| + |b_n|] < \infty$ holds, and \mathcal{J} can be extended to all of \bar{D} with

$$|L(z; J)| \leq \exp \left\{ \tilde{C} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[|a_n - 1| + |b_n|] \right]^2 \right\}$$

(49)

For a universal constant \tilde{C} .

Lemma (4-1-10) [128]:

Let \mathcal{J} be a positive diagonal trace class operator.

Then

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1, x \text{ real}} (1 - |x|) \left\| C^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 = 0$$

(50)

Proof:

For $x \leq 0$, $E(x) \leq 0$ and $J_0 - E(x) \geq 0$, while for $x \geq 0$, $E(x) \geq 0$ so $J_0 - E(x) \leq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| C^{\frac{1}{2}}(J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 &= \text{Tr} \left(C^{\frac{1}{2}}(J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_n C_n |(J_0 - E(x))_{nn}^{-1}| \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

By (15) $(1 - |x|)(J_0 - E(x))_{nn}^{-1} = 0$ and by (16) for each fixed n ,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1, x \text{ real}} (1 - |x|)(J_0 - E(x))_{nn}^{-1} = 0$$

The dominated convergence theorem proves (51).

Theorem (4-1-11) [128]:

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1, x \text{ real}} \text{Sup} (1 - |x|) \log |L(x; J)| \leq 0$$

(52)

Proof:

Use $\delta J = C^{\frac{1}{2}} \cup C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and (25) to write

$$L(x; J) = \det \left(1 + U C^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \text{ and then (23) and (37) to obtain}$$

$$\log |L(x; J)| \leq \left\| U C^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 \leq 3 \left\| C^{\frac{1}{2}} (J_0 - E(x))^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1$$

The result now follows from the lemma (4-1-10). We find the Taylor coefficients for $L(z; J)$ at $z=0$.

Theorem (4-1-12) [128]:

If J is trace class, then for each n , $T_n(J/2) - T_n(J_0/2)$ is trace class. Moreover, near $z=0$

$$\text{Log}[L(z; J)] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(J) z^n$$

(53)

$$\text{Where } C_n(J) = -\frac{2}{n} \text{Tr} \left[T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} J \right) - T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} J_0 \right) \right] \quad (54)$$

$$\text{In particular } C_1(J) = -\text{Tr}(J - J_0) = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \quad (55)$$

$$C_2(J) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(J^2 - J_0^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [b_m^2 + 2(a_m^2 - 1)] \quad (56)$$

Proof:

To prove $T_n(J/2) - T_n(J_0/2)$ is trace class, we need only show that

$$J^m - J_0^m = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} J^j \mathcal{S}^{m-1-j} \quad \text{is trace class and that's obvious. Let } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n,F} \text{ be } \mathcal{S}_{n,F}$$

extended to $L^2(Z_+)$ by setting it equal to zero matrix on $L^2(J \geq n)$. Let \tilde{J}_n be

\tilde{J}_n with a_{n+1} set equal to zero. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{n,F} (\tilde{J}_{0;n} - E)^+ = \mathcal{S} (J_0 - E)^+$. In trace norm, this means that

$$\det \left(\frac{J_{n;F} - E(z)}{J_{0,n;F} - E(z)} \right) \rightarrow L(z; J) \quad (57)$$

The convergence is uniform on a small circle at $z=0$ so the Taylor series coefficient converges imply (54) and (55).

Section (4-2): Data and Spectral

Theorem (4-2-1) [128]:

Suppose $M(z; J)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of $z=0$ [25]. Then J and J^\sharp have finitely many eigenvalues out $[-2, 2]$ and if

$$C_0(J) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}; J)} \right) d\theta - \sum_{j=1}^N \log |\beta_j(J)| \quad (58)$$

With β_j , then

$$C_0(J) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n + C_0(J^{(1)}) \quad (59)$$

In particular, if J is finite rank, then the C_0 sum rule holds:

$$C_0(J) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(a_n) \quad (60)$$

Proof:

The eigenvalues, ξ_j of J outside $[-2, 2]$ are precisely the poles of $m(E; J)$ and so the poles of $M(z; J)$ under $E_j = z_j + z_j^{-1}$ By

$$-M(z, J)^{-1} = -(z + z^{-1}) + b_1 + a_1^2 M(z, J^{(1)})$$

the poles of $M(z; J^{(1)})$ are exactly the zeros of $M(z; J)$. Thus $\{\beta_j(J)^{-1}\}$ are the poles of $M(z; J)$ and $\{\beta_j(J^{(1)})^{-1}\}$ are its zeros. Since $g(0; J) = 1$ by equation (5),

$$\text{and } \log |f(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta + \sum_{j=1}^m \log |z_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \log |z_j| \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m \log |z_j| - \sum_{j=1}^m \log |P_j|$$

becomes

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \log (|g(e^{i\theta}; J)|) d\theta = -\sum_j \log (|\beta_j(J)|) + \sum_j \log (|\beta_j(J^{(1)})|)$$

this formula implies (59). By

$$-M(e^{i\theta}, J)^{-1} = -\cos \theta + b_1 + a_1^2 M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(1)})$$

if $M(z; J)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of $z=0$, so is $M(z; J^{(1)})$. So we can iterate (59). The free function is

$$M(z; J_0) = z$$

(61)

By equation (15) with $m \rightarrow \infty$, so $C_0(J_0) = 0$ and thus if u is finite rank the remainder is zero after finitely many steps.

To get the higher-order sum rules we need to compute the power series for $\log(g(z; J))$ about $z=0$. For low-order, we can do this by hand. Indeed by (1) and (5) for J^1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} g(z; J) &= \left(z \left[(z + z^{-1}) - b_1 - a_1^2 z + O(z^2) \right] \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(1 - b_1 z - (a_1^2 - 1) z^2 + O(z^3) \right)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + b_1 z + ((a_1^2 - 1) + b_1^2) z^2 + O(z^3) \end{aligned}$$

So since $\log(1+w) = w - \frac{1}{2} w^2 + O(w^3)$.

$$\log(g(z; J)) = b_1 z + \left(\frac{1}{2} b_1^2 + a_1^2 - 1 \right) z^2 + O(z^3) \quad (62)$$

Therefore by mimicking the proof of theorem (4-2-1) we have

Lemma (4-2-2)[128]:

Suppose $M(z; J)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of ∞ . Let

$$C_n(J) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta})} \right) \cos(n\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_j \beta_j(J)^n - \beta_j(J)^{-n} \right] \quad (63)$$

$$\text{Then } C_1(J) = b_1 + C_1(J^{(1)}) \quad (64)$$

$$C_2(J) = \left[\frac{1}{2} b_1^2 + (a_1^2 - 1) + C_2(J^{(1)}) \right] \quad (65)$$

If

$$P_2(J) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta})} \right) \sin^2 \theta d\theta + \sum_j F(e_j(J)) \quad (66)$$

With β given by

$$F(e) = \frac{1}{4} (\beta^2 - \beta^{-2}) - \log |\beta|^4$$

then writing $G(a) = a^2 - 1 - 2 \log(a)$,

$$P_2(J) = \frac{1}{4} b_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} G(a_1) + P_2(J^{(1)}) \quad (67)$$

In particular, if M is finite rank, we have the sum rules C_1 , C_2 , P_2 . To go to order large than two we expand $\log(g(z; J))$ systematically as follows:

We begin by noting that (Cramer's rule)

$$g(z; J) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(z; J) \quad (68)$$

Where

$$g_n(z; J) = \frac{z^{-1} \det(z + z^{-1} - J_{n-1;F}^{(1)})}{\det(z + z^{-1} - J_{n;F})} \quad (69)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+z^2} \frac{\det(1 - E(z)^{-1} J_{n-1;F})}{\det(1 - E(z)^{-1} J_{n;F})} \quad (70)$$

Where we used $\det(E(z)) = 1 + z^2$ and the fact that because the numerator is a matrix of order one less than the denominator we get an extra factor of $E(z)$.

Writing $F_j(x)$ for $\frac{2}{j}[T_j(0) - T_j(x/2)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log g_n(z; J) &= -\log(1+z^2) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} [Tr(F_j(J_{n-1;F}^{(1)})) - Tr(F_j(J_{n;F}))] \\ &= -\log(1-z^2) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2j}}{j} (-1)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2z^j}{j} \left[Tr\left(T_j\left(\frac{1}{2} J_{n;F}\right)\right) - Tr\left(T_j\left(\frac{1}{2} J_{n-1;F}^{(1)}\right)\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Where we picked in the first sum because $J_{n-1;F}^{(1)}$ has dimension one greater than $J_{n;F}$ so the $T_j(0)$ terms in $F_j(J_{n;F})$ and $F_j(J_{n-1;F}^{(1)})$ contribute differently.

Notice

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2j}}{j} (-1)^j = -\log(1+z^2)$$

So the first two terms and $g_n(z; J)$ converges to $g(z; J)$ in a neighborhood of $z=0$ it's Taylor coefficients converge. Thus

Proposition (4-2-3) [128]:

$$\alpha_j(J, J^{(1)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\text{Tr} \left(Tj \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{n;F} \right) \right) - \text{Tr} \left(Tj \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{n-1;F}^{(1)} \right) \right) \right] \quad (73)$$

exists and for ϵ small

$$\log g(z; J) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2z^j}{j} \alpha_j(J, J^{(1)}) \quad (74)$$

Theorem (4-2-4) [128]:

Suppose $M(z; J)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of $z=0$. Let $C_n(J)$ be given

by $G(\alpha) = \alpha^2 - 4 - 2 \log(\alpha)$ and β by $F(\beta) = \frac{1}{4}(\beta^2 - \beta^{-2}) - \log|\beta|^4$. Then

$$C_n(J) = \frac{2}{n} \alpha_n(J, J^{(1)}) + C_n(J^{(1)}) \quad (75)$$

In particular, if J is finite rank, we have the sum rule ζ_J of (63)

Proof:

The only remaining point is why if J finite rank, we have recovered the same sum rule is in (63). Iterating (75) when J has rank m gives

$$C_n(J) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_n(J^{(j-1)}, J^{(j)}) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n} \left[\text{Tr} \left[Tn \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{L;F} \right) \right] - \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{0,L-m;F} \right) \right] \quad (76)$$

While (63) reads

$$C_n(J) = \frac{2}{n} \text{Tr} \left[T_u \left(\frac{1}{2} J \right) \right] - \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} J_0 \right) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n} \left[\text{Tr} \left[T_u \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{L;F} \right) \right] - \text{Tr} \left[T_u \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{0,L-m;F} \right) \right] \right] \quad (77)$$

That (76) and (77) are the same is a consequence of proposition (4-1-3).

In the sum rules ζ_J and $\zeta_{J^{(1)}}$ of most interest to us there appear two terms involving integrals of logarithms:

$$z(J) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\text{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J)} \right) d\theta \quad (78)$$

and

$$Q(J) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J)} \right) \sin \theta d\theta \quad (79)$$

One should think of μ as related to the original spectral measure on $\mathcal{H}(J) = \mathbb{C}[-2, 2]$ as

$$\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}) = \pi \frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dE}(2 \cos \theta) \quad (80)$$

In which case (78), (79) can be rewritten

$$z(J) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-E^2}}{2\pi d\mu_{ac}/dE} \right) \frac{dE}{\sqrt{4-E^2}} \quad (81)$$

and

$$Q(J) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-2}^2 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-E^2}}{2\pi d\mu_{ac}/dE} \right) \sqrt{4-E^2} dE \quad (82)$$

Our main equation is to view z and Q as function prove if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly, then $z(\mu_n)$ (resp. $Q(\mu_n)$) obeys

$$z(\mu) \leq \liminf z(\mu_n); Q(\mu) \leq \liminf Q(\mu_n) \quad (83)$$

That is, that z and Q are weakly lower semi continuous. This will let us prove sum rule-type inequalities in great generality.

Theorem (4-2-5) [128]:

If $J - J_0$ is compact and

$$(i) \quad \sum_j |E_j^+ - 2|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_j |E_j^- - 2|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \quad (84)$$

$$(ii) \quad \limsup_N a_1, \dots, a_N > 0, \quad$$

then Szego condition holds.

Is equivalent to the

Theorem (4-2-6) [128]:

Let J be a Jacobic matrix with $\mathcal{E}_{ess}(J) \subset [-2, 2]$ and

$$\sum_k e_k(J)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \quad (85)$$

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \log(a_j) > -\infty \quad (86)$$

Then

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\text{ess}}(J) = [-2, 2]$
- (ii) The Szego condition holds that is $Z(J) < \infty$ with μ_{ac} .
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{ac}(J) = [-2, 2]$; indeed, the essential support of μ_{ac} is $[-2, 2]$.

Proof:

Pick N_1, N_2, \dots (tending to ∞) so that

$$\inf \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_L} \log(a_j) \right) > -\infty \quad (87)$$

And let J_{N_L} be given by (11). By theorem (4-1-24)

$$Z(J_{N_L}) \leq -\sum_{j=1}^{N_L} \log(a_j) + \sum \log(|\beta_k(J_{N_L})|) \quad (88)$$

$$\leq -\inf_L \sum_{j=1}^{N_L} \log(a_j) + \sum \log(|\beta_k(J_{N_L})|) + 2 \log(|\beta_1(J)| + 2)$$

Where in $C_n(A, B) = -\frac{2}{n} \text{Tr} \left[T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} A \right) - T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} B \right) \right]$ and the fact at $n=1$ solving

$$e_1(J) + 1 = \beta_1 + \beta_1^{-1} \quad (i.e. 1 + \beta_1 + \beta_1^{-1} = \beta_1 + \beta_1^{-1}) \quad \text{has} \quad \beta_1 \leq \beta_1(J) + 2. \quad \text{For later purposes}$$

we note that if $|b_n(J)| + |a_n(J) - 1| \rightarrow 0$. Now use (88) to see that

$$Z(J) \leq \liminf Z(J_{N_L}) < \infty$$

This proves (ii). But (ii) implies $\frac{d\mu_{ac}}{dE} > 0$ a.e. On $E \in [-2, 2]$, that is $[-2, 2]$ is

the essential support of μ_{ac} . That proves (iii). (i) is then immediate.

Theorem (4-2-7) [128]:

If $J - J_0$ is in trace class, that is

$$\sum_n |a_n - 1| + \sum_n |b_n - 1| < \infty \quad (89)$$

Then the Szego condition holds.

Proof:

The prove of Szego condition holds under the slightly stronger hypothesis

$$\sum_n (\log n) |a_n - 1| + \sum_n (\log n) |b_n| < \infty .$$

We need only check that $J - J_0$ trace class implies (85) and (86). The finiteness of (85) follows from bound of Hundertmark–Simon,

$$\sum_k \left[|e_k(J)| |e_k(J) + 4| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_n |b_n| + 2 |a_n - 1|$$

Where $|e_k(J)| = |E^\pm| - 2$ so $|e_k(J) + 4| = (E^\pm)^2 - 4$.

Condition (86) is immediate for as is well-known $a_j > 0$ and $\sum (|a_j| - 1) < \infty$

implies $\sum |a_j|$ is absolutely convergent that is $\sum |\log(a_j)| < \infty$.

Theorem (4-2-8) [128]:

If J is a Jacobi matrix with $a_n \equiv 1$ and $\sum_n |e_n(J)|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ then $\sigma_{ac}(J) = [-2, 2]$

.

Is equivalent to the

Corollary (4-2-9) [128]:

A discrete half-line Schrodinger operator (i.e., $a_n \equiv 1$) with $\sigma_{ess}(J) \subset [-2, 2]$

and $\sum_n |e_n(J)|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ has $\sigma_{ac} = [-2, 2]$

Lemma (4-2-10) [128]:

If $\sigma(J) \subset [-2, 2]$ and

(i) $\limsup_N \sum_{n=1}^N \log(a_n) > -\infty$ then the Szego condition holds. If $\sigma(J) \subset [-2, 2]$

and either (i) or the Szego condition holds , then

(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - 1)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$,

(iii) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N b_n$ exists (and is finite).

In particular if $\Re(J) \in [-2, 2]$, then (i) implies (ii)-(iv). Next, we deduce some additional aspects of lemma.

Corollary (4-2-11) [128]:

If $\Re_{\text{ess}}(J) \in [-2, 2]$ and (85), (86) holds, then $J - J_0 \in \mathcal{E}_2$, that is

$$\sum b_n^2 + \sum (a_n - 1)^2 < \infty \quad (90)$$

Proof (4-2-12) [128]:

(90) holds if $\sum_k e_k(J)^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty$ and $Q(J)$ (given by (24)) is finite. By (85)

and $e_k(J)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq e_1(J) e_k(J)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have that $\sum e_k(J)^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty$.

Moreover, $Z(J) < \infty$ (i.e., theorem (4-2-6) implies $Q(J) < \infty$).

For in any event $\int \text{Im } M.d\theta < \infty$ implies

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \log_- \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\text{Im } M} \right) \sin^2(\theta) d\theta &< \infty \\ \Rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} \log_+ \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\text{Im } M} \right) \sin^2 \theta d\theta &< \infty \quad . \\ \Rightarrow Q(J) &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

We will start with $\sum (a_n - 1)$. Because $\sum (a_n - 1)^2 < \infty$, it is easy to see that

$\sum (a_n - 1)$ is conditionally convergent it and only if $\sum \log(a_n)$ is conditionally convergent. By (88) and the fact that $J - J_0$ is compact, we have:

Proposition (4-2-13) [128]:

If (86) holds and $\Re(J) \in [-2, 2]$, that is no eigenvalues out side $[-2, 2]$, then

$$Z(J) \leq -\limsup \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \log(a_j) \right] \quad (91)$$

We are heading towards a proof that

$$Z(J) \geq -\limsup \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \log(a_j) \right] \quad (92)$$

From which it follows that the limit exists and equals $-Z(J)$.

Lemma (4-2-14) [128]:

If $\delta(J) \in [-2, 2]$, then $\log[z^{-1}M(z; J)]$ lie in every $H^p(D)$ space $p < \infty$. In particular $Z^{-1}M(z; J)$ is a Nevanlinna function with no singular inner part

Proposition (4-2-15) [128]:

Let $\delta(J) \in [-2, 2]$. Suppose $Z(J) < \infty$. Let β_j by given by (61) and (66) (where the β_j terms are absent). Sum rules in particular.

$$Z(J) = -\log(a_1) + Z(J)^{(1)} \quad (93)$$

$$C_1(J) = b_1 + C_1(J)^{(1)} \quad (94)$$

Theorem (4-2-16) [128]:

If β_j is such that $Z(J) < \infty$ and $\delta(J) \in [-2, 2]$, then

$$(i) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \log(a_j) \text{ exists.}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{The limit in (i) is } -Z(J).$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z(J)^{(1)} = 0 \quad (-Z(J)) \quad (95)$$

Proof:

$$\text{By (93)} \quad Z(J) + \sum_{j=1}^n \log(a_j) = Z(J^{(n)}) \quad (96)$$

Since $J - J_0 \in L_2, \mu_{J^{(n)}} \rightarrow \mu_{J_0}$ weakly

$$\liminf Z(J^{(n)}) \geq 0, \text{ or by (96)}$$

$$\liminf \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \log(a_j) \right] \geq -Z(J) \quad (97)$$

But (87) says

$$\limsup \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \log(a_j) \right] \leq -Z(J)$$

Thus the limit exists and equal $-Z(J)$, proving (i) and (ii).

Moreover, by (96) (i) and (ii) imply (iii).

Lemma (4-2-17) [128]:

Let μ be a probability measure and suppose $f_n \geq 0$, $\int f_n d\mu \leq 1$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \log(f_n) d\mu = 0 \quad (98)$$

Then

$$\int |\log(f_n)| d\mu + \int |f_n - 1| d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (99)$$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } H(y) = -\log(y) - 1 + y \quad (100)$$

Then

$$(i) \quad H(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y.$$

$$(ii) \quad \inf_{|y-1| \geq \varepsilon} H(y) > 0$$

$$(iii) \quad H(y) \geq \frac{1}{2}y \quad \text{if } y \leq 1.$$

$$(i) \quad \text{is concavity of } \log(y), (ii) \text{ is strict concavity, and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{holds because } -\log y - 1 + \frac{1}{2}y \text{ is monotone on } (2, \infty) \text{ and } \geq 0 \text{ at}$$

$$y=8 \quad \text{since } \log(8) \text{ is slightly more than } 1.$$

Since $\int (f_n - 1) d\mu \leq 0$, (i) implies that

$$\int (f_n - 1) d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (101)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int H(f_n(x)) d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (102)$$

Since $H \geq 0$ and the above imply $f_n \rightarrow 1$ in measure.

$$\mu(\{x/|f_n(x) - 1| > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (103)$$

By (i), (iii), and (102)

$$\int_{f_n(x) > 8} |f_n(x)| d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (104)$$

Now (103), (104) imply that

$$\int |f_n(x) - 1| d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

And this together with (102) implies $\int |\log(f_n)| d\mu = 0$.

Proposition (4-2-18) [128]:

Suppose $Z(J) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}(J) \subset [-2, 2]$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})} \right) d\theta = 0 \quad (105)$$

Proof:

By (95) the result is true if $\theta = 0$ is dropped. Thus it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})} \right) d\theta = 0$$

Or equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})}{\sin \theta} \right) d\theta = 0 \quad (106)$$

Now, let $d\mu_0(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta d\theta$ and $f_n(\theta) = (\sin \theta)^{-1} \operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})$.

By (102)

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_n(\theta) d\mu_0 \leq 1 \quad (107)$$

corollary (4-2-11), which implies $\|J^{(n)} - J_0\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$, $\int \log(f_n(\theta)) d\mu_0(\theta) \rightarrow 0$, so by

lemma (4-2-16), we control $\|\log\|$ and so \log ;

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \log_+ \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) &\leq \log_+ (a) + \log_- (b) = 2 \log_+ \left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \log_- (b) \\ &\leq 2a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \log_- (b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log_+ \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})}{\sin \theta} \right) d\theta = 0 \quad (108)$$

Thus, to prove (106) we need only prove

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\substack{|\theta| < \varepsilon \\ \text{or } |\pi - \theta| < \varepsilon}} \log_+ \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})}{\sin \theta} \right) d\theta = 0 \quad (109)$$

To do this use

With $a = \sin \theta \operatorname{Im} M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(n)})$ and $b = \sin^2 \theta$. The contribution of $\log_- (b)$ in (108) is integrable and n -independent, and so goes to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The contribution of the $\frac{1}{2a}$ term is, by the Schwartz inequality bounded by

$$(4\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(4 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_n(\theta) d\mu_0(\theta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Also goes to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus (108) is proven

Corollary (4-2-19) [128]:

If ∂W is finite rank, then $(1 - z^2)L(z; W)$ is a polynomial and in particular, $L(z; W)$ is a rational function.

Theorem (4-2-20) [128]:

If ∂W is finite rank, then

$$C_0 : \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |L(e^{i\theta}; W)| d\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{N(W)} \log |\beta_j(W)| \quad (110)$$

$$C_1 : \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |L(e^{i\theta}; W)| \cos(n\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N(W)} [\beta_j^n - \beta_j^{-n}] - \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Tr} \left(T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} W \right) - T_n \left(\frac{1}{2} W_0 \right) \right)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

The final element of our proof is an inequality for $L(e^{i\theta}; W)$ that depends on what a physicist would call conservation of probability.

Proposition (4-2-21) [128]:

Let ρ_W be trace class. Then for all $\theta=0$,

$$|L(e^{i\theta}; W)| \geq \prod_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j$$

(111)

Proof:

As above we can suppose that ∂V is finite range. Choose ϵ so that all non zero matrix elements of ∂V have indices lying within $(-R, R)$. By (111), is equivalent to

$$|\alpha| \geq 1$$

Where α is given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z^{-n} u_n^+(z; w) = 1 \quad (112)$$

Since $u_n^+(z; w)$ is real for z real we have

$$u_n^+(z; w) = \overline{u_n^+(z; w)}$$

Thus for $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $n \ll -R$,

$$u_n^+(e^{i\theta}; w) = \alpha_L(e^{i\theta}) e^{in\theta} + \beta_L(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta}$$

$$u_n^+(e^{-i\theta}; w) = \overline{\alpha_L(e^{i\theta})} e^{-in\theta} + \overline{\beta_L(e^{i\theta})} e^{in\theta}$$

Computing the Wronskian of the left-hand sides for $n \gg R$, where $u_n^+ = z^n$ and then the Wronskian of the right-hand sides for $n \ll -R$, we find

$$i(\sin \theta) = i(\sin \theta) [\alpha_L^2 + \beta_L^2]$$

$$\text{Or} \quad \alpha_L^2 + \beta_L^2 = 1 \quad \text{since} \quad \alpha_L \beta_L = 0, \quad |\alpha_L|^2 + |\beta_L|^2 = 1 \quad (113)$$

From which $-M(e^{i\theta}, J)^{-1} = -2 \cos \theta + b_1 + a_1^2 M(e^{i\theta}, J^{(1)})$ is obvious.

Theorem (4-2-22) [128]

Let \mathcal{W} be a whole-line operator with $a_n = 1$ and $\partial V \in [-2, 2]$. Then

$$W = W_0, \text{ that is, } b_n = 0. \text{ The proof works if } \limsup_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} \left[\sum_{j=-n}^m \log(a_j) \right] \geq 0$$

Proof:

Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |(e^{i\theta}; W^{(n)})| d\theta = 0$$

$$(114)$$

Since $a_n = 1$, (110) implies $\log |L(e^{i\theta}, W^{(n)})| \rightarrow 0$, and so (113) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(2\theta) \log |L(e^{i\theta}, W^{(n)})| d\theta = 0 \quad (115)$$

By $\log |L(z; w)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(w) Z^n$, $|z| < 1$, $a_n = 1$, we see

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j| \leq n} b_j^2 = 0$$

Which implies $b = 0$.

Commutation of Certain Operators and Pertaining Estimates

Section (4-3):

Let $a = \{a_k\}$, $a_k > 0$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$J = J(1,0) = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & . \\ 0 & . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad (116)$$

Be a Jacobi matrix. The free (or chebyshev) Jacobi matrix is given by

$$J(1,0) = J_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & . \\ 0 & . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

The scalar spectral measure $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(J)$ of J is defined by the relation

$$((J - Z)^{-1} e_0, e_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mathcal{S}(x)}{x - Z} \quad (117)$$

Where $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i$. The density of the absolutely continuous component of \mathcal{S} is denoted by \mathcal{S}_a [3,6,99]

we consider J which are compact perturbations of J_0 . In this case the absolutely continuous spectrum $\mathcal{S}_a(J)$ coincides with $[-2, 2]$, and the discrete spectrum lies on two sequences $\{x_j^\pm\}$ with properties $[-2, 2]$ or

$$x_j^- \rightarrow 2, x_j^- \leftarrow -2, x_j^+ \rightarrow 2, x_j^+ \rightarrow 2.$$

The results we obtain so called sum rules [127].

Theorem (4-3-1) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$ be a Jacobi matrix. Then $J - J_0$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if

$$(i) \int_{-2}^2 \log \mathcal{S}(x) (4 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx > -\infty \quad (ii) \sum_j (a_j - 1)^2 + \sum_j b_j^2 < \infty.$$

Theorem (4-3-2) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$ is a Jacobi matrix and $J - J_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_j (a_j + \dots + a_{j+m-1})^2 + \sum_j (b_j + \dots + b_{j+m-1})^2 < \infty.$$

The space $L^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, $p \geq 1$ are denoted by ℓ^p . We also set ℓ^2 and

$\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to be the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ and the unit

circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ correspondingly.

Some fact on one-sided Jacobi matrices, let $J = J(a, b)$ be a Jacobi matrix defined in (116) and acting on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Let $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be the standard basis in the space. It is easy to see the so-called Weyl function.

$$M(z) = ((J - z)^{-1} e_0, e_0)$$

associated to J and admit representation (117) with a measure $\delta = \delta(J)$. The measure is called a spectral measure of J and is unique up to normalization. We have

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im}(\cdot + iy)$$

and moreover $\mathcal{S}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im} M(x + iy)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that $\text{rank}(J - J_0) < \infty$.

The function ζ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2]$. It is often convenient to

uniformize the domain with the help of maps $\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4})$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2]$

and $z(\zeta) = \zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. It is clear that

$\zeta: \mathbb{E}^- \setminus [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $z: D \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^- \setminus [-2, 2]$ and the maps are mutually inverse if and only if

$$(i) \quad \int_{-2}^2 \log \mathcal{S}(x) w_m(x) dx > -\infty \quad (ii) \quad \sum_j (x_j^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty$$

Where $w_m(x) = (4-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - T_m^2(x/2))$ and T_m is the m-th chebyshev polynomial.

First it turns out that computations pertaining to sum rules are much simpler on the domain $\mathbb{E}^- \setminus [-2, 2]$ than on the unit disk $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{1, -1\}$.

The second commutations of operators and bounds coming from relations between classes of compact operators [136]. We set $\mathcal{A} = \{a_{k+1} - a_k\}$ and

$$\mathcal{K}(a) = \{\mathcal{K}(a)_j\}$$

Where

$$(\mathcal{K}(a))_j = \mathcal{K}_j(a) = \mathcal{K}_j(a_1, \dots, a_{k(j)})$$

(118)

Corollary (4-3-3) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$. Then if $b \in L^{m, n}$ and $a \in L^2$ the relation (i) hold true [132]. And let us consider a generalized eigenvector $u(\zeta) = \{u_j(\zeta)\}$ of J (that

is, $Ju(\zeta) = \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}\right)u(\zeta)$) with the property

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{-j} u_j(\zeta) = 1$$

The vector u and the function ζ are called the Jost solution and Jost function respectively, we have the following lemma.

Lemma (4-3-4) [134]:

Let $\text{rank}(J - J_0) < \infty$. Then $u_0(z) = u_0(\zeta(z)) = \frac{1}{A_0'} \det(J_0 - z)^{-1}$, where

$$A_0' = \prod_j a_j, \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{E}^- \setminus [-2, 2]. \text{ Furthermore } |u_0(x)|^2 = \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{\mathcal{S}(x)}.$$

Almost every where on $[-2, 2]$.

Lemma (4-3-5) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$ and $a, b \in \ell^{m+1}$. Then

$$\sum_j (x_j^{+2} - 4)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_0 \left(\sum_j |a - 1|^{m+1} + \sum_j |b|^{m+1} \right)$$

With a constant C_0 depending on γ .

Let $a = \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $b = \{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $J = J(a, b)$ be a Jacobi matrix, acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. We define a 2×2 -matrix-valued function M with the help of the formula $M(z) = \zeta(J - z)^{-1} \zeta^*$, and consequently, it can be represented as

$$M(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d \sum(x)}{x - z}, \text{ where } \sum \text{ is a } 2 \times 2 \text{-matrix-valued measure } \sum.$$

The density of its absolutely continuous component is denoted by σ' , [100,150].

Let $J_0 = J(1, 0)$ where 1 and 0 are two-sided sequences of 1's and 0's

Assume that $\text{rank}(J - J_0) < \infty$. In this case absolutely continuous spectrum

$\sigma_{ac}(J)$ of J coincides with $[-2, 2]$. The discrete spectrum of J lies on sequences $\{x_j^\pm\}$ with properties $x_j^+ \rightarrow 2, x_j^+ > 2$, and $x_j^- \rightarrow -2, x_j^- < -2$.

Consider the Jost solution u_\pm satisfying the relations

$$Ju_\pm(\zeta) = \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta}\right)u_\pm(\zeta), \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \zeta^{\pm j} u_\pm(\zeta) = 1$$

Where $\zeta \in D \setminus [-1, 1]$. It is not difficult to see that vectors $u_\pm(1/\zeta)$, $\zeta \in \Gamma$, are

linearly independent and we have for some functions s_\pm, s_\pm that

$$u_\pm(\zeta) = s_\pm(\zeta)u_\pm(1/\zeta) + s_\pm(\zeta)u_\pm(\bar{\zeta}). \text{ Where } \zeta \in \Gamma \setminus [-1, 1].$$

Lemma (4-3-6) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$, $a_0 = 1$, and s be the transmission coefficient of J . Then

$$\det \left(2\pi \sum' (x) \right) = |s(\zeta(x))|^2$$

For almost all $x \in [-2, 2]$. The theorem suggests that the Jost function u_\pm for one-side Jacobi matrices is a right counterpart of transmission coefficient for two-sided Jacobi matrices. Let A be a compact operator on a separable

Hilbert space H . The singular values $\{s_k(A)\}, s_k(A) \rightarrow 0$, are defined as

$s_k(A) = \lambda_k(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\lambda_k(A^*A)$ is the k -th eigen value of operator A^*A . The

Schatten-von Neumann classes are given by the relation

$$S_p = \left\{ A \text{ compact} : \|A\|_{sp}^p = \sum_k s_k(A)^p < \infty \right\}$$

Where $p \geq 1$. In particular S_1 and S_2 describe classes of nuclear and Hilbert-Schmidt operator, respectively. The sets S_p are ideals, that is

$$\|ABC\|_{sp} = \|B\| \|A\|_{sp} \|C\|$$

For any bounded operators A, B, C , on H and $A \in S_p$. We also have the Holder inequality for S_p, S_q , i.e.,

$$\|A_1 \dots A_n\|_{S_1} \leq \|A_1\|_{S_{p_1}} \dots \|A_n\|_{S_{p_n}}$$

Where $A_j \in S_{p_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} = 1$. Suppose now that A, B are some operators on H . We suppose A to be of finite rank. Let, $\{e_j\}$ be a fixed in the space. By trA we mean $trA = \sum_j (Ae_j, e_j)$, and clearly $|trA| \leq \|A\|_{S_1}$. We define the commutator $[A, B]$ of A and B by

$$[A, B] = AB - BA$$

Lemma (4-3-7) [5]:

Let A, B be some operators. Then

$$[A^k, b] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j$$

(119)

Proof:

The proof of the lemma immediately follow by induction from the equality

$$[AB, C] = A[B, C] + [A, C]B$$

Or by the induction of the equation (119), we assume the equation (119) true for $j = k, k-1$ we get

Since $j=1$ we have in right-hand side

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j = A^{k-2} [A, B] A = A^{k-1} [A; B]$$

(1)´

And $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j = A^{k-1-k} [A, B] A^k = A^{k-1} [A, B]$$

(2)´

also $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j$ we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j = A^{-2} [A, B] A^{k+1} = A^{k-1} [A, B]$$

(3)´

From (1)´, (2)´, and (3)´ the induction is true so the proof is complete.

Of course, the lemma also implies that

$$[A, B^k] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B^{k-1-j} [A, B] B^j$$

(120)

We suppose first that $\text{rank } (J - J_0) < \infty$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition (4-3-8) [134]:

Let λ be the Jost function of δ and u_0 be a real entire function. Then

$$\int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} \lambda(x) dx = \text{Res}_{\infty} \left\{ \frac{p(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 - 4}} \log u_0(z) \right\} \quad (121)$$

Where λ is a function defined by relations

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{x^2 - 4}} \lambda_0(x), & x \notin [-2, 2] \\ -\frac{p(x)}{2\pi \sqrt{4 - x^2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2}}{\delta'(x)}, & x \in [-2, 2] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } h(x) = \begin{cases} \# \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \right\} : \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \in x, & x > 2 \\ \# \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \right\} : \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \in x, & x < -2 \\ 0, & x \in [-2, 2] \end{cases}$$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } F(z) = \frac{p(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 - 4}} \log u_0(z) \quad .$$

We choose the branch of $\sqrt{z^2 - 4}$ with the properties $\sqrt{z^2 - 4} > 0$, when $z \ll -2$, $\sqrt{z^2 - 4} \in i \mathbb{R}_+$ when $z \in [-2, 2]$, and $\sqrt{z^2 - 4} < 0$, when $z > 2$ we readily see that the function also has well defined boundary values on the upper and lower edges of $[x_1^-, x_1^+]$. We denote them by F_{\pm} , respectively.

For a sufficiently big $r > 0$, we have by definition of the residue at $z = \infty$ that

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} F(z) dz = \text{Res}_{\infty} F(z)$$

We have at the left-hand side of the equality

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} F(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} F(x)_+ dx + \int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} F(x)_- dx \right)$$

Since $F(x)_- = \overline{F(x)_+}$, $x \in [x_1^-, x_1^+]$ we continue as

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} (F(x)_+ - F(x)_-) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} \text{Im} F(x)_+ dx$$

(122)

We note that $(\sqrt{z^2 - 4})_+ = i\sqrt{4 - x^2}$ for $x \in [-2, 2]$, and by lemma (4-3-4).

$$\text{Re} \log u_0(x)_+ = \log |u_0(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2}}{\mathcal{G}(x)}$$

$$\text{Furthermore } \left| \text{Im} \log u_0(x)_+ \right| = \begin{cases} \# \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \right\} : \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \in x, & x > 2 \\ \# \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \right\} : \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \in x, & x < -2 \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{p(z)}{\sqrt{z^2-4}}\log u_0(z)\right)_+ = \begin{cases} -\frac{p(x)}{2\sqrt{4-x^2}}\log\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{\delta'(x)}, & x \in [-2, 2] \\ \frac{\pi p(x)}{\sqrt{x^2-4}}\lambda_0(x) & x \notin [-2, 2] \end{cases}$$

Plugging this expression in (7), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} s_\infty F(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{\delta'(x)} dx + \int_{x_1^-}^{x_1^+} \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{x^2-4}} \lambda_0(x) dx$$

The proposition is proved.

We are particular concerned with the case (A special sum rule),

$P(z) = P_m(z) = (-1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(z^2-4)^m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\lambda_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} (4-x^2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{\delta'(x)}, & x \in [-2, 2] \\ (-1)^{m+1} (x^2-4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_0(x), & x \notin [-2, 2] \end{cases}$$

We put $\mu_\pm = \sum_j \delta_{x_j^\pm}$ being Dirac's delta centered at x_j^\pm . We notice that

$\lambda_0(x) = \int_2^x d\mu_{0^+}(s)$ for $x > 2$, and we get integrating by parts

$$\int_2^{x_1^+} (x^2-4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_0(x) dx = \int_2^{x_1^+} G_m(x) d\mu_0 = \sum_j G_m(x_j^+)$$

Where

$$G_m(x) = \int_2^x (s^2-4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

(123)

We extend G_m to $x < -2$ in even way and carrying out similar computation

for $x < -2$. We see that $\int_{x_1^-}^{-2} \lambda_m(x) dx + \int_2^{x_1^+} \lambda_m(x) dx = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_j G_m(x_j^\pm)$.

Furthermore the inequality $C_1(x \pm 2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \leq (x^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_2(x \pm 2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in I$ in

$[x_1^-, -2]$ or $(2, x_1^+]$ respectively and some constants C_1, C_2 implies that

$$G_m(x) = C_3(x^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} + O\left((x^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \quad (124)$$

Summing up we obtain that the left-hand side of (6) is given by the formula

$$\Phi_m(\mathcal{S}) = \Phi_{m,1}(\mathcal{S}) + \Phi_{m,2}(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 (4 - x^2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{4 - x^2}}{\mathcal{S}(x)} dx + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_j G_m(x_j^\pm) \quad (125)$$

Observe that $\Phi_{m,2}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ when m is odd and $\Phi_{m,2}(\mathcal{S}) \neq 0$ when m is even.

Let us compute the right-hand side of equality (6) now. In a neighborhood of $z = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log u_0(z) &= \text{tr}(\log(z - J) - \log(z - J_0)) - \log A_0' \\ &= \text{tr}(\log(I - J/z) - \log(I - J_0/z)) - \log A_0' \\ &= -\left\{ \log A_0' + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \text{tr} \left(J^k - J_0^k \right) \frac{1}{z^k} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to set $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k!}$, and so $\log A_0' = \text{tr} \log A_0$. Furthermore

$$P_m(z) / \sqrt{z^2 - 4} = (-1)^{m+1} (z^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{in the Laurant series centered at } z = \infty.$$

That is, we have

$$(1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \tilde{C}_{2m-k}^{\alpha} x^k + (-1)^m \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2m)!!} x^m + O(x^{m+1}) \quad (126)$$

For small $|x|$, $\tilde{C}_{2m-k}^{\alpha} = \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2m-1-2k)!!(2k)!!}$, and $k!!$ refers to “even” or “odd” factorials.

Consequently $(z^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} = z^{2m-1} (1 - (4/z^2))^{m-\frac{1}{2}}$ and making use of (126) together with $\tilde{C}_{2m-2k-2}^{\alpha} = \tilde{C}_{2m-k}^{\alpha}$ we see that

$$(-1)^{m+1} (z^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} = 2^{2m} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{2(k-1)} \tilde{C}_{2m-1}^{2k+1} z^{2k+1} - \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2m)!!} \frac{1}{z} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right)$$

For the sake of brevity we put

$$\log u_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \frac{1}{z^k}, (z^2 - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k=-1}^{m-1} d_{2k+1} z^{2k+1} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right)$$

$$\text{Then } \operatorname{Res}_{\infty} \left(\frac{P_m(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 - 4}} \log u_0(z) \right) = - \sum_{k=0}^m d_{2k-1} C_{2k}$$

An elementary computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(J) &= \operatorname{Res}_{\infty} \left(\frac{P_m(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 - 4}} \log u_0(z) \right) \\ &= - \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{z^{2k+1} k} \tilde{C}_{2m-1}^{2k-1} \operatorname{tr}(J^{2k} - J_0^{2k}) + \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2m)!!} \operatorname{tr} \log A_0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (102), (125) and the latter relation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 (4-x^2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \log \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{\mathcal{F}(x)} dx + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_j G_m(x_j^{\pm}) = \psi_m(J)$$

This is precisely the sum rule we are interested in.

Theorem (4-3-9) [134]:

Let $J = J(a, b)$ be a Jacobi matrix if

$$(i) \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, \begin{pmatrix} a & \vec{b} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(127)$$

$$(ii) \quad \gamma_k(a) \in L^{\infty}, k \in \mathbb{N}, [3/2, +\infty]$$

$$(128)$$

then

$$(i) \quad \int_{-2}^2 \log \mathcal{F}(x) \cdot (4-x^2)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx > -\infty.$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_j (x_j^{\pm} - 4)^{m+\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Corollary (4-3-10)[5]:

Let $J = J(\alpha, b)$ satisfy assumptions of theorem (4-1-9) and ℓ is odd then

$$\sum (x_j^{\pm 2} - 4)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Let $V = J - J_0$ or $V = J(\infty)$, where $\infty \rightarrow 1$ and 1 is a sequence consisting of units. Obviously

$$J^{2k} = (J_0 + V)^{2k} = \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{i_0+\dots+i_p=2k-p} J_0^{i_0} V J_0^{i_1} \dots V J_0^{i_p}$$

and consequently

$$tr(J^{2k} - J_0^{2k}) = tr \sum_{p=1}^{2k} \sum_{i_0+\dots+i_p=2k-p} V J_0^{i_1} \dots V J_0^{i_p}$$

We agree to write $\tilde{O}(A^2)$ instead of $\sum_k B_k A C_k A D_k$ with some bounded operators B_k, C_k, D_k . Set $|k| = \sum k_i$. The following lemmas hold.

Lemma (4-3-11) [134]:

$$V J_0^{k_1} \dots V J_0^{k_p} = V^p J_0^N + \sum_{|l|=p, |p|=N} C_{1,p} J_0^{p_1} V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} + \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2)$$

(129)

Proof:

We prove this lemma by induction on p . The claim of the lemma is trivial when $p=1$. We suppose that the lemma is valid for $p-1$ and we prove it for p . We have $k=(k_1, \dots, k_{p-1})$ and $v_0^{k_1} v_0^{k_2} \dots v_0^{k_{p-1}}$

$$\sum_{|1|=p, |p|=N'} C_{1,p} J_0^{p_1} V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} + \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2) \quad , \text{ where } N'=k_2 + \dots + k_{p-1}.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} V J_0^{k_1} V^p J_0^{N'} &= V (V^p J_0^{k_1} + [J_0^{k_1}, V^p]) J_0^{N'} = (V V^p J_0^{k_1} + V [J_0^{k_1}, V^p]) J_0^{N_1} \\ &= V^{p+1} J_0^{k_1} J_0^{N_1} + V [J_0^{k_1}, V^p] J_0^{N_1} = V^{p+1} J_0^{k_1+N'} + V [J_0^{k_1}, V^p] J_0^{N_1} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking $p'_1=k_1+p_1$ we get

$$V J_0^{k_1} V^{p_1} V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} = V J_0^{k_1+p_1} V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3}$$

Put $p'_1=k_1+p_1$ in the expression

$$\begin{aligned} V J_0^{p'_1} V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} &= V (V^{L_1} J_0^{p'_1} + [J_0^{p'_1}, V^{L_1}]) [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} \\ &= V^{L_1+1} J_0^{p'_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} + \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2), \\ &= (J_0^{p'_1} V^{L_1+1} + [V^{L_1+1}, J_0^{p_1}]) [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} + \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2) \\ &= J_0^{p'_1} V^{L_1+1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} + \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2) \end{aligned}$$

Above we repeated used formulas to show [5]:

$$[A^k, B] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^{k-1-j} [A, B] A^j \quad \text{and} \quad [A, B^k] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B^{k-1-j} [A, B] B^j \quad \text{where } A, B \text{ are}$$

operators with $A=V^k$, $B=J_0$ and $A=V, B=J_0^k$ respectively. Finally it is plain that

$$v J_0^{k_1} \tilde{O}([V, J_0]) = \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2) \quad , \text{ the proof is complete.}$$

Lemma (4-1-12) [134]:

Let $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$tr V J_0^{k_1} \dots V J_0^{k_p} = tr V^p J_0^N + C_5 tr V^{p-1} [V, J_0] J_0^{N-1} + tr \tilde{O}([V, J_0]^2)$$

(130)

Where C_5 is a constant depending on p and N .

Proof:

Employing lemma (4-1-11) we immediately get the first and the last terms on the right-hand side of the latter inequality. As for the second term we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} tr J_0^{p_1} \dots V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_3} &= tr V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_3}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p'} \\ &= tr V^{L_1} [V_1^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_1+p_3} \end{aligned}$$

Put $p_1 + p_3 = p'$ we get

$$tr V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p_1+p_3} = tr V^{L_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p'} = tr V^{L_1} [V_1^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_3} J_0^{p'} + tr \mathcal{O}([V, J_0]^2) , \text{ and}$$

put $L'_1 = L_1 + L_3$, we get $tr V^{L'_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] J_0^{p'} + tr \tilde{\mathcal{O}}([V, J_0]^2)$.

Recalling (119) and (120) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} tr V^{L'_1} [V^{L_2}, J_0^{p_2}] J_0^{p'} &= tr V^{L'_1} \left(\sum_j V^j [V, J_0^{p_2}] V^{L_2-j-1} \right) J_0^{p'} \\ &= \sum_j tr V^{L'_1} [V, J_0^{p_2}] (J_0^{p'} V^{L_2-j-1} + [V^{L_2-j-1}, J_0^{p'}]) \end{aligned}$$

Where $L'_1 + L_2 - 1 = L_1 + L_2 + L_0 - 1 = p - 1$. We obtain that

$$\sum_j V^{L'_1+L_2-1} [V, J_0^{p_1}] J_0^{p'} + tr \tilde{\mathcal{O}}([V, J_0]^2)$$

Transforming expression $[V, J_0^{p_2}]$ in the same way we finish the proof of the lemma. We identify a sequence $a = \{a_k\}$ with diagonal operator $\text{diag } [a_k]$.

Lemma (4-3-13) [134]:

If $p_1 + 2j - 1 \leq m - p_1$ and $p_1 > 0$, then $\sum_{k=2j}^{m-p_1} (-1)^k C_{m-p_1}^k \frac{(k-p_1-j)!}{(k-2j)!} = 0$ if

$p=0$, the above expression equals $(2p-1)!$

Proof:

Obviously $x^{p_1-1} (1-x)^{mp_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-p_1} (-1)^k C_{m-p_1}^k x^{k+p_1-1}$, and consequently

$$\frac{d^{p_1-2j-1}}{dx^{p_1+2j-1}} (x^{p_1-1} (1-x)^{mp_1}) = \sum_{k=2j}^{m-p_1} (-1)^k C_{m-p_1}^k \frac{(k+p_1-1)!}{(k-2j)!} x^{k+2j}$$

We set $s=1$ and notice that, since $p_1+2j-1 \leq m-p_1$ the left-hand side of the equality equals zero. This proves the first claim of the lemma.

Lemma (4-3-14) [134]:

If $a \in L^{m-k} \subset L^2$, and $A_k(a) \in L^{2(m,k)}$, where $q(m,k) = (m-1)/(m-2-k)$, than $\mathcal{K}_k(a) \in L'$ for $k \geq 3$, $[m/2-1]$.

Proof:

Let $\delta^i = \{\delta_j^i\}$ where $\delta_j^i = \alpha_{j+i} - \alpha_j$ or $\alpha_{j+i} = \alpha_j + \delta_j^i, i=1, k-1$ obviously $a \in L^2$ yields $\delta \in L^2$ we also have $(\delta_k(a))_j = \alpha_j - \alpha_j \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{j+(k-1)}$ since

$$\alpha_{j+i} = \alpha_j + \delta_j^i \text{ and } \alpha_{j+(k-1)} = \alpha_j + \delta_j^{k-1} = -\delta_j^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_j^i \right) + \text{additional terms. Furthermore}$$

we have $(A_k(a))_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_j^i$ and $\|\mathcal{K}_k(a)\|_1 \leq \sum_j |\alpha_j|^{k-1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_j^i \right| + \sum_j O((a)_j^2)$ using

inequality $ab \leq (1/p)a^p + (1/p)b^q$ a with $p = p(m,k) = (m-1)/(k-1)$ and $q = q(m,k) =$

$$(m+1)/(m+2-k)$$

We obtain

$$\|\mathcal{K}_k(a)\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{p(m,k)} \|a\|_{m+1}^{m+1} + \frac{1}{q(m,k)} \|A_k(a)\|_{q(m,k)}^{p(m,k)} + G_5 \|a\|_2^2.$$

The quantity on the right hand side of the inequality is finite by the assumptions of the lemma, it is easy to obtain other sufficient conditions providing $\mathcal{K}(a) \in L_1$.