# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

قال تعالى: (وَوَصَّيْنَا الْإِنْسَانَ بِوَالِدَيْهِ إِحْسَانًا حَمَلَتْهُ أُمُّهُ كُرْهًا وَوَضَعَتْهُ كُرْهًا وَحَمْلُهُ وَلِمَانًا وَفِصَالُهُ ثَلَاثُونَ شَهْرًا حَتَّى إِذَا بَلَغَ أَشُدَهُ وَبَلَغَ أَرْبَعِينَ سَنَةً قَالَ رَبِّ أَوْزِعْنِي أَنْ أَشْكُرَ وَفِصَالُهُ ثَلَاثُونَ شَهْرًا حَتَّى إِذَا بَلَغَ أَشُدَهُ وَبَلَغَ أَرْبَعِينَ سَنَةً قَالَ رَبِّ أَوْزِعْنِي أَنْ أَشْكُرَ فِفِي ذُرِيَّتِي يَعْمَتَكَ الَّتِي أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيَّ وَعَلَى وَالِدَيَّ وَأَنْ أَعْمَلَ صَالِحًا تَرْضَاهُ وَأَصْلِحْ لِي فِي ذُرِيَّتِي إِنِّي فِي ذُرِيَّتِي إِنِّي مِنَ الْمُسْلِمِينَ (15) [الاحقاف:15]

صدق الله العظيم

# Dedication

To ...

My father and my mother . . .

To . . .

My brothers and my sister . . .

To . . .

All whom I love . . .

### Acknowledgements

First of all, Thanks be to Al-mighty Allah; the most beneficial, the most Merciful.

I would like to thank Sudan University for their co-operation and for providing the facilities during my study.

I am very grateful to my supervisor D.Abusamra Awad Attaelmanan and express my heart-felt appreciation for his unreserved support in guiding me through this research from its start to the end with limitless help in giving valuable advice, providing supportive materials and constructive comments.

My thank fullness goes to my university, individuals, My friend

E.Abdelhameed Hamed Mohammed and all friends who have helped me in providing required data on the subject matter of the research work and in encouraging my effort in many aspects.

Also, my heartfelt thanks to my Dad, and all my family who have contributed the lions Share in the success of my life yet.

#### Abstract

This research is aimed to compare between experimental and numerical values of axial deformation and compressive strength of 12 concrete cubes. The numerical concrete models were analyzed using computer software (UDEC) and (Phase2). The concrete mix was prepared according to the British standard specifications.

The 12 concrete cubes were classified in to four groups, each group consists of 3 models that were tested at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days respectively by compressive machine to obtain the values of axial deformation and compressive strength.

It was found that concrete cubes which crushed at 7 day gave high values of axial deformation and low values of compressive strength. After 28 days the axial deformation were reduced to 57.7% and compressive strength increased by 78.6% in comparison with concrete cubes at 7 days

It was found that, the difference between experimental and numerical values of axial deformation was about 4.6%. in comparison with concrete cubes at 7 days

It may be concluded that, the computer software UDEC and Phase2 can be used for simulation of concrete models to obtain the axial deformation and compressive strength.

#### المستخلص

يهدف هذا البحث للمقارنة بين قيم التجارب المعملية والعددية للتشوه المحوري ومقاومة الانضغاط لاثنى عشر مكعب من الخرسانة و تم تحليل نماذج الخرسانية باستخدام برنامج (UDEC) و (Phase2). كما تم تصميم الخلطات الخرسانية وفقاً للمواصفات البريطانية.

تم تصنيف الاثني عشر مكعب لاربعة مجموعات في كل مجموعة ثلاث مكعبات وتم اختبارها بماكينة الضغط لاعمار 7, 14, 21, 28 يوم علي التوالى لايجاد قيم التشوه المحوري ومقاومة الضغط.

وجد ان المكعبات بعمر 7 ايام قد اعطت قيم كبيرة للتشوه المحورى وقيم اقل لمقاومة الانضغاط. بينما المكعبات بعمر 28 يوم قد أعطت قيم اقل للتشوه المحوري بنسبة %57.7 من نسبة سبعة أيام. وزيادة في مقاومة الانضغاط بنسبة %6.6 من نسبة سبعة أيام. كما وجد ان الفرق بين قيم النتائج المعملية والعدية للتشوه حوالي %4.6.

كما تم التوصل الى ان نتائج نمذجة مكعبات الخرسانة باستخدام برنامجي (UDEC) و (Phase2) متقاربة جدا لايجاد قيم التشوه المحوري ومقاومة الضغط للخرسانة.

# **List of Figures**

Subject	page
1.1 Research Methodology Flow Chart	3
2.1 Time of set test for paste using the Vicat needle	10
2.2 Time of set as determined by the Gillmore needle.	11
2.3 Normal consistency test for paste using the Vicat plunger.	12
2.4 Consistency test for mortar using the flow table	12
2.5 Close-up of fine aggregate (sand).	13
2.6 Course aggregate. Rounded gravel (left) and crushed stone	13
2.7 Range of particle sizes found in aggregate for use in concrete.	15
2.8 Illustration of the dispersion of aggregates in cohesive	16
2.9 Water that is safe to drink is safe to use in concrete.	17
2.10 slump test	21
2.11 Compacting Factor apparatus	22
2.12 Compressive Strength test devices.	23
2.13 Conceptual representation of support reaction and ground reaction curves.	25
2.14 the overall view of the Model	28
2.15 General solution procedure for static analysis	30
2.16 Linear displacement variation	31
2.17Determination of element types (mesh) to be used	34
2.17The calculation process	34
2.18 Distribution of which can be seen from the menu interpret	35

3.1 result of Setting time test	38
3.2 result of slump test	39
3.3 axial deformation test	39
3.4 The concrete cubes typical	40
3.5 The Overall View of the Model.	45
3.6 Numerical Axial Deformations for bending test for beam	46
4.1 Comparison between experimental and numerical axial deformations for 12 concrete cubes.	53
4.2 Comparison between experimental and numerical axial compressive strength for 12 concrete cubes.	54
4.3 Comparison between experimental and numerical axial compressive	55

#### **List of Tables**

3.1 Setting time results	38
3.2 Result of Compressive strength and axial deformation for	41
12 concrete cubes	
3.3 The program code.	42
3.4 Result of axial deformations using numerical models	43
by software (UDEC)	
3.5Result of compressive strength using numerical models by software	44
(UDEC)	
4.1 Comparison of axial deformations between experimental and	47
computer program (UDEC) at age (7days)	
4.2 Comparison of axial deformations between experimental and	48
computer program (UDEC) at age (14days)	
4.3 Comparison of axial deformations between experimental and	48
computer program (UDEC) at age (21days)	
4.4 Comparison of axial deformations between experimental and	49
computer program (UDEC) at age (28days)	
4.5 Comparison of compressive strength between experimental and	49
computer program (UDEC) at age (7days)	
4.6 Comparative between the maximum Stress in the Experimental	50
program (UDEC) (28days)	

4.7 Comparison of compressive strength between experimental and	50
computer program (UDEC) at age (21days)	
4.8 Comparison of compressive strength between experimental and	51
computer program (UDEC) at age (28days)	
4.9 Summary of axial deformations between Experimental and	52
Numerical concrete models	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	NO
الأيــة	I
Dedication	II
Acknowledgements	III
المستخلص	IV
Abstract	V
List of Figures	VI
List of Tables	VIII
Contents	X
CHAPTER ONE	
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
1.1General Introduction	1
1.2 Objective of research	2
1.3 Research Methodology	2
1.4Flowchart Description	2
1.5 Thesis layout	5
CHAPTER TWO	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction:	6
2.2 previous studies	6
2.3 Cement:	7
2.3.1 Portland cement:	8

2.3.2 Tests on properties of cement	8
2.3.2.1 Fineness	9
2.3.2.2 Soundness	9
2.3.2.3 Setting time	10
2.3.2.4 Consistency of stander past	11
2.4 Aggregates for Concrete:-	12
2.4.1 Grading	14
2.5 Mixing Water for concrete:	16
2.6 Mix design procedure	17
2.6.1 Selection of target water/cement ratio (w/c) (stage1)	17
2.6.2 Selection of free- water content (stage2)	18
2.6.3 Determination of cement content (stage3)	18
2.6.4 Determination of cement content (stage4)	18
2.6.5 Selection of fine and coarse aggregate content (stage 5)	19
2.7 Concrete Tests	20
2.7.1 Fresh concrete	20
2.7.1.1 Slump test	20
2.7.1.2 Compacting Factor test	21
2.7.1.3 Compressive Strength test	22

2.8 Universal Distinct Element Code program	24
2. 8.1 History of Universal Distinct Element Code program	24
2.8.2 Analytical Solution for the displacement of the concrete model	25
2.8.2.1 Concrete Properties	26
2.8.2.2 Poisson's Ratio, v	26
2.8.2.3 Mechanics of Using UDEC	27
2.9 Numerical Modeling	28
2.9.1 Block Cutting	28
2.9.2 Round (d)	29
2.9.3 Crack:	29
2.9.5 Applying Boundary and Initial Conditions	29
2.10.2 Mechanics of Using Phase2 program	30
2.10.1 Introduction:	30
CHAPTER THREE	
EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL OF CONCRETE	
CUBES	
3.1 Introduction:	36
3.2 Material used in Experimental model	36
3.3 Equipments used in tests	36
3.4 Experimental work	37
3.4.1 Fineness test	37
3.4.2 Setting time test:	37

3.4.3 Fresh and hardened test:	38
3.5 Mechanical properties of Concrete cubes	40
3.5.1 The models Geometry	40
3.5.2.1 Compressive Strength of the models	40
3.6 Simulation of Concrete cube by software (UDEC)	41
3.6.1 The Problem description	41
3.7 Application Model	45
CHAPTER FOUR	
ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS	
4.1 Comparison results	47
4.2 Summary of Results	51
4.3 Discussion of Results	56
CHAPTER FIVE	
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Conclusions	57
5.2 Recommendations	57
References	58
Appendices	59