Dedication

.To my family, and my friends

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the Almighty God, I am greatly indebted to my supervisor prof.Dr. MOHAMED ELFADIL MOHAMED, to anyone who helped and encouraged me to learn, specifically at SUST, where I discovered my vision for

.the future

. A lot of thanksto Alnelain hospital staff

Abstract

This study was carried out in order to characterization of brain tumor in MRI by applying texture analysis to the brain tissues represented on MRI to recognizes the brain tumors from the other brain tissues which included: grey and white matter, CSF and brain tumor. This study was carried out in the period from January 2015to June 2015 in Khartoum state at Alnelain hospital. The images were obtained by Philips inters 1.5 Tesla MRI systems. The data of this study collected from 50 patients having axial, sagittal and coronal views that include brain tumor and they were selected randomly from a set of 200 patients. the data were extracted from the image using 3×3pixels window inside the window the first order statistics were calculated and used to classify the brain MRI into one of the four tissues mentioned earlier. The window scans the whole image by interlacing it one pixel horizontally, then start again from the send line when the above one was completed till the end of the image. The results of this study showed that the overall accuracy of classification process was 94.8% and for the tumor the sensitivity was 97.3% white

matter and grey matter showed a classification accuracy of 95.7% and 89.7% and for CSF was 94.3%. In conclusion these results showed that brain tumor can be classified successfully and delineated using texture .analysis with a minimum effort

ملخص البحث

اجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف توصيف الورم الدماغى بالتصوير بالرنين المفنطيسي من خلال تطبيق التحليل النسيجي لانسجة المخ، لتميز أورام الدماغ من انسجة المخ الاخرى التى شملت المادة الرمادية و البيضاء والسائل النخاعى الدماغى.

وقد اجريت هذه الدراسة في الفترة من يناير 2015م —حتى يونيو 2015م، في ولاية الخرطوم بمستشفى النيلين، تم اخذ الصور بواسطة نظام فيلب انترا 1.5 تسلا وجمعت بيانات الدراسة من حوالى 50 مريض من الاوضاع المختلفة، متضمنة اورام الدماغ وتم اختيارهم بطريقة عشوائية من مجموعة قوامها 200 حالة مرضية.

البيانات استخلصت من صور الرنين المغنطيسي 3×3 وحدة داخل نافذة حسابات الاحصاء من الدرجة الاولى ، الذي استخدم لتصنيف صور الرنين المغنطيسي الماخوذة للدماغ لتميز كل واحدة من الانسجة الاربعة المذكورة اعلاه.

اظهرت نتائج الدراسة ان الدقة الشاملة في عملية التصنيف كانت 94.8% و بالنسبة للاورام الحساسية 97.3% واظهرت المادة البيضاء والرمادية دقة التصنيف 5.7% و 89.7% و السائل النخاعى الدماغى كانت 94.3%، وفي الختام اظهرت هذه النتائج ان ورم المخ يمكن تصنيفه بنجاح وتخطيطه باستخدام التحليل النسيجي باقل جهد ممكن.

Abbreviation

Co efficient Of Variation COV

cerebrospinal fluid CSF

computed tomography CT

edge E

fuzzy cognitive map FCM

fast spin echo FSE

gray G

gray level co-occurrence matrix GLCM

gray matter GM

contrast H

Interactive Data Language IDL

linear Discriminant Analysis LDA

magnetic resonance imaging MRI

Proton density PD

single photon emission computer tomography SPECT

Support Vector Machine SVM

echo time TG

repetition time TR

World Health Organization WHO

white matter WM

positron emission tomography PET

Spin echo SE



List of table

Table		Page no
	Title	į
4-1		24
	classification score matrix generated by linear	
	discriminante analysis for the scatter plot shown in Figure	
	4-2 with classification accuracy of 94.8%	

Figure title

Figure	title	Page
		No
1-1	Overview Structure of Human Brain	2
1-2	The Major Subdivision of Human Brain	3
1-3	MRI Scanner Cutaway	5
1-4	Brain MR Images	6
1-5	Original Raw MRI Data from Pioneer Diagnostic Center	7
1-6	Tumor Region Intensity	8
2-1	Gray-level histogram	11
2-2	a sample image	13
2.2	b Gray-levels in the sample image	13
2.2	.c general form of aGLCMc general form of aGLCM	13
4-1	A)an original axial MRI image (B) classification map of) the original image using Euclidian distance, (C) an original image with tumour region delineated	23
4-2	Scatter plot generated using discriminante analysis function for four classes represents: white matter, grey .matter, CSF and tumour	24
4-3	error bar plot for the (A) signal and (B) energy, textural	25
	features that selected by the linear stepwise discriminante	
	function as a discriminante feature where it discriminate	
	.between all features	
4-4	error bar plot for the (A) entropy and (B) standard	26
	deviation, textural features that selected by the linear	
	stepwise discriminante function as a discriminante	
	feature where in (A) it discriminate between gray	

matter and CSF and in (B) between CSF and other .features

4-5 error bar plot for the (A) coefficient of variation and (B)

Variance, textural features that selected by the linear stepwise discriminante function as a discriminante feature where in (A) it discriminate between white matter and the rest of the classes (B) between CSF, white matter and the .rest of the classes

27

The Content

((i	
Acknowledgment	
((ii	
Abstract	
((iii	
Abstract (Arabic)	
((iv	
The Contents ((v	
·	
Chapter 1: Introduction Brain anatomy 1.:	1
1	
Brain Tumors 1.2	
3	
MRI Brain Imaging and Characteristics of Brain Tumors 1.	3
4	

MR imaging (MRI)	5	1.4
Problem of the research		1.5
8		
Objective of the study		1.6
8		
1-7Specific		
Objectives	9	
1-8Significance of the		
research 9		
1-9overview of the study		_
9		
Chapter 2: Lite		
Texture Analysis	 10 2.	1
First-Order Textures	10 2.1.	1
Second-Order Textures	2.1.2	
12		
Texture Analysis of Medical Images	2.1.3	
15		
2.2.1 Previous studies		
16		
Chapter 3: Materials	MRI system 3.	.1
Design	of the study 3.	.2

	Population of the study	
	Sample size and type	3.4
	Place and duration of the study	3.5
Methods of data collecti		20
	21	
Methods of analysis 3.7		
	21	
Ethical approval 3.8		
	22	
	Chapter 4: Resu	
	Discussion, conclusion and recommendation	23
Discussion		5.1
		28 5.2
Conclusion		30
Recommendations		5.3
		30
	Appendix	
Reference		-42