o I I

ä

الآية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

قال تعالى:

} أَوَلَمْ يَرَوْا أَنَّا خَلَقْنا لَهُمْ مِمَّا عَمِلَتْ أَيْدِينا أَنْعامًا فَهُمْ لَهَا مالِكُونَ (71) وَذَلَّلْناهَا لَهُمْ فَمِنْها رَكُوبُهُمْ وَمِنْها يَأْكُلُونَ (72) وَلَهُمْ فِيها مَنافِعُ وَمَشارِبُ أَفَلا يَشْكُرُونَ (73) {.

صدق الله العظيم

سورة يس الآية (71- 73)

Dedication

То

Acknowledgment

First of all ... thanks to ALMIGHTY ALLAH the all majesty for the completion of this work easily .

My heartfelt thanks and respect to **Prof. Yuosif Fadlalla Hamed Elnil** for his kindness and support.

Also I would like to express my thanks to Alkamleen Center staff and Microbiology Laboratory staff for their efforts.

Thanks a lot ...

ABSTRACT

This was descriptive cross-sectional study conducted to determine prevalence of human acute brucellosis among females (15-55 years) in Alkamleen area, in Gazeria state, Sudan. In the period from March to July 2015.

A total of one hundred and fifty females (n=150) were included in the study. The diagnosis of acute brucellosis was based on slide agglutination test as screening test and confirmed all positive samples by Indirect Enzyme Linked Immunoassorbent Assay for IgM.

Out of the blood samples, students were 55 (36.6%), Veterinarians 8 (5.3%), housewives 70 (46.6%), livestock breeders 17 (11.3%) Hundred out of 150 (66.6%) showed positive agglutination in screening test, *B.melitensis* was found to be major cause of brucellosis

All the veterinarians were free from anti-Brucella antibodies in screening test while the live stock breeders showed 7

(41.18%) positive in screening. The students showed 34 (61.8%) positive and the housewives showed 59 (84.3%) positive

Out of 150 cases, 77(51.3%) was asymptomatic, where 73(48.6%) showed symptomatic cases. From all symptomatic cases 52 (71.2%) showed positive agglutination and from asymptomatic cases 48 (62.3%) showed positive agglutination. In all symptomatic and asymptomatic cases two samples one for each showed positive reaction when ELISA was used.

By indirect ELISA specific for IgM showed two positives, one of them was from housewives category at middle age group (29-41 year) and the other was from livestock breeders at young age group (15-28 year).housewife was symptomatic, where livestock breeder was asymptomatic.

مستخلص الأطروحة

هذه دراسة وصفية مقطعية أجريت لتحديد مدي انتشار عدوى البروسيلا الحادة بين الاناث ما بين عمر (15-55 سنة) في منطقة الكاملين ولاية الجزيرة بالسودان. في الفترة من مارس وحتى يوليو 2015.

شملت هذه الدراسة (150) من النساء تم تشخيص عدوى البروسيلا الحادة اعتمادا على اختبار التجلط بالشريحة كاختبار مسحي و أكدت كل العينات الموجبة بواسطة اختبار الانزيم المناعي المرتبط المخصص للجسم المضاد صنف M .

أخذت عينات الدم من 55 طالبة (%(36.6، 8 بيطريات (5.3%)، 70 ربات منازل (أخذت عينات الدم من 55 طالبة (%66.6) . 100 عينة من 150 شيات مواشي 150 مربيات مواشي 150 شيار الله 150 عينة من 150 شيات التجلط الإيجابي في اختبار المسح .

كل البيطريات خاليات تماما من المرض و الأجسام المضادة له في اختبار المسح بينما مربيات المواشي اظهرن 7 عينات إيجابية في المسح . الطالبات اظهرن 34 عينة إيجابية و ربات المنازل أظهرن 59 عينة إيجابية.

من 150 حالة 77 (51.3%) كانت دون أعراض بينما 73 (48.6%) أظهرت أعراض. من كل الحالات ذات الأعراض 52 (71.2%) أظهرت تجلط ايجابي ومن الحالات دون العرضية 48 (62.3%) أظهرت تجلط ايجابي.

من كل الحالات العرضية والغير عرضية أظهرت نتيجة ايجابية باستخدام الإنزيم المناعي المرتبط.

في الإختبار التأكيدي الإنزيم المناعي المرتبط الغير مباشر الخاص بالجسم المضاد صنف M اظهرت عينتان ايجابيتان إحداهما من ربات المنازل و الأخرى من مربيات المواشي. أحداهما كانت من ربات المنازل ذوات الأعراض متوسطات الأعمار 29-41 سنة والأخرى من مربيات المواشى دون اعراض ذوات الأعمار الصغيرة 15- 28 سنة.

Table of contents

| litie | Page no. |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| الآية | 1 |
| Dedication | П |
| Acknowledgment | 111 |
| Abstract | IV |
| Arabic abstract | V |
| Table of contents | VI |
| List of tables | VIII |
| List of figures | IX |
| CHAPTER ONE | |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Overview | 2 |
| 1.2 Rationale | 4 |
| 1.3 Objectives | 5 |
| 1.3.1 Main objectives | 5 |
| 1.3.2 Specific objectives | 5 |
| CHAPTER TWO | |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 History | 7 |
| 2.2 Distribution of disease in Sudan | 9 |

| 2.3 Rote of Transsimationt | 9 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| 2.4 Immunity to brucellosis | 10 |
| 2.5 Antigenic type | 11 |
| 2.6. Clinical spectrum of brucellosis | 11 |
| 2.7 Diagnosis | 14 |
| 2.8 <i>Brucella</i> Typing Procedure | 21 |
| 2.11 Treatment | 24 |
| 2.12 Animal vaccine | 25 |

CHAPTER THREE

MATERIALS AND METHODS

| 3.1 Study Design | 27 | | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 3.2 Study area and duration | 27 | | | | |
| 3.3 Study population and sample size | 27 | | | | |
| 3.4 Ethical consideration | 27 | | | | |
| 3.5 Specimens collection | 27 | | | | |
| 3.6 Laboratory methods | 27 | | | | |
| 3.5.1 Slide agglutination test | 28 | | | | |
| 3.6.1.1principle | 28 | | | | |
| 3.6.1.2 Procedure | 28 | | | | |
| 3.5.2 Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay | 28 | | | | |
| 3.5.2.1. The principle | 28 | | | | |
| 3.5.2.2. Procedure | 29 | | | | |
| 3.6 Data analysis | 30 | | | | |
| CHAPTER FOUR | | | | | |
| RESULTS | | | | | |
| Results | 32 | | | | |
| CHAPTER FIVE | | | | | |
| DISCUSSION References Appe | endices | | | | |
| 5.1 Discussion | 40 | | | | |
| 5.2 Conclusion | 41 | | | | |

| 5.3 | Recommendations | 41 |
|-----|-----------------|----|
| | References | 42 |
| | Appendices | 46 |

List of tables

| Table no. | Page no. |
|--|----------|
| Table (1) The occupation of screened | 32 |
| sample | |
| Table 2 frequency of patients negative and | 33 |
| positive sample tested by Slide | |
| agglutination test | |
| Table 3 frequency of patients negative and | 33 |
| positive sample tested by ELISA test | |
| Table4. Distribution of brucellosis among | 34 |
| occupations | |

| Table 4 | Distribution of brucellosis using | 35 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| ELISA acc | cording to the occupations | |
| Table 5 | Distribution of brucellosis among | 36 |
| age grou | ps using ELISA | |
| Table 6. T | he distribution of brucellosis | 36 |
| among s | ymptomatic andasymptomatic | |
| Table 7: f | requency of <i>B.abortus</i> | 37 |
| Table 8: f | requency of <i>B.melitensis</i> | 37 |

List of figures