

Dedication

*To my father
To my mother
To my husband
To my daughter
To my Brothers and Sisters*

Acknowledgment

I wish to present my special thank to everybody helped to make this thesis possible, by supporting and providing me the valuable information which is required for this research, so please allow me to dedicate my acknowledgment of gratitude towards the followings:

First, I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Kamal Mohamed saeed for his most support and encouragement.

Second, I would like to thank Dr. EL-Fateh Ahmed Hassan for providing the gum sample used in this search.

Finally, I wish to thank all the faculty, staff members and lab technicians of Chemistry Department, whose services turned my research a success.

Abstract

Physiochemical and thermodynamic properties of a composite sample of *Anogeissus Leiocarpus* gum were studied using standard methods. The average values of a different Characterization methods were determined to obtain the mean values of: moisture, ash, nitrogen and protein, specific rotation, intrinsic viscosity, refractive index, pH of The gum. The results of this characterization as the mean values of all proprieties as follows : 10.7 %, 2.6 %, 0.7, 4.5, - 43.8, 0.43, 1.333, 5.00 respectively. cationic composition of gum sample was also determined for eight elements: potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (mg), Copper (Cu), Sodium (Na), Zinc (Zn), Iron (Fe) and Lead (pb). The results is: 494.4, 356.7, 285.7, 95.5, 50.5, 8.5, 4.9, 0.3 respectively. The solubility of *Anogeissus leiocarpus* gum in water was determined and found to be 65.5 % . molecular weight of polymer by osmotic pressure was found to be 6.82746×10^5 Da.

The thermodynamic properties of the gum solution (partial specific volume of gum sample and the solvent (water), volume fraction of both, and density of solid) was studied and the values was found to be: 0.7, 1.001, 0.41, 0.59, 0.881 respectively. The changes in Chemical potential of gum sample in a different concentration of gum solution was calculated and were

found to be in the range (-0.84 to $-7.47 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Jg}^{-1}$). The changes in Gibbs free energy of mixing were found to be in the range (-0.74 to $-4.33 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Jg}^{-1}$).

الملخص

تمت دراسة الخصائص الفيزيوكيميائية و الثيرموديناميكية لعينة صمغ الصهب باستخدام الطرق القياسية. حيث قدر متوسط قيم بعض الخصائص مثل: (محتوى الرطوبة, محتوى الرماد, نسبة النيتروجين والبروتين, الدوران الضوئي النوعي, اللزوجة, معامل الانكسار, الرقم الهيدروجيني) وسجلت النتائج على التوالي كما يلي: 10.7%, 2.6%, 4.5, 0.7, 43.8, 0.43, 1.333, 5.00. تم حساب المحتوى الكاتيوني للعناصر الآتية : بوتاسيوم, كالسيوم, ماغنسيوم, نحاس, صوديوم, زنك, حديد, رصاص وكانت النتائج كآآتي: 356.7, 494.4, 285.7, 95.5, 50.5, 8.5, 4.9 على التوالي. الذوبانية لصمغ الصهب 65.5%. قدر الوزن الجزيئي للبولىمر بواسطة خاصية الضغط الإزموزي 6.82746×10^5 دالتون.

العوامل الثيرموديناميكية للصمغ وهي: (الحجم الجزيئي النوعي لعينة الصمغ و الماء, الكسر الحجمي لكليهما, كثافة الصلب) وجدت على التوالي : 0.7, 1.001, 0.41, 0.59, 0.881. التغير في الجهد الكيميائي للعينة و الماء في تراكيز مختلفة محلول الصمغ وجد في المدى (-0.84 إلى -7.47×10^{-3} جول/جرام) و التغير في طاقة جيس الحرة وجدت في المدى (0.74 إلى -4.33×10^{-2} جول/جرام).

List of figure

Figure	Page
Figure (1.1): Carbohydrates unites in gum molecule	6
Figure (1.2): Structural model for <i>Acacia senegal</i> gum as proposed by Anderson <i>et. al.</i> , (1966b)	7
Figure (1.3): The structure of <i>Acacia senegal</i> gum as proposed by Street <i>et. al.</i> , (1983)	7
Figure (1.4): Molecular structure of <i>Acacia senegal</i> gum as proposed by Defay and Wang, (1986)	9
Figure (1.5): Wattle blossom type structure of <i>Acacia senegal</i> gum as proposed by Fincher <i>et. al.</i> , (1983)	12
Figure (1.6): The twisted hairy rope structure of <i>Acacia senegal</i> as proposed by Qi <i>et. al.</i> , (1990)	12
Figure (1.7): Model of (GAGP) as suggested by Goodrum <i>et. al.</i> , (2000)	14
Figure (1.8): refraction of light ray	20
Figure (1.9): variation of $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$ vs. $\Delta\mu_1$	29
Figure (1.10): Correction of $\Delta\mu_2$	30

Figure (3.1): variation of reduced viscosity with concentration	40
Figure (3.2): variation of Osmotic pressure with concentration of gum solution	42
Figure (3.3): partial specific volume of water as a solvent in gum solution	44
Figure (3.4): partial specific volume of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i> in gum solution	45
Figure (3.5): chemical potential of gum sample	48
Figure (3.6): correct of chemical potential $\Delta\mu_2$ of gum sample using A segment value	49

List of table

Table	Page
Table(3.1): physiochemical properties of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i> gum sample	39
Table(3.2): the reduced viscosity value of composite sample	40
Table(3.3): the evarge values of cationic composition of the gum sample	41
Table(3.4): Osmotic pressure of different concentration of gum solution	42
Table(3.5): partial specific volume of water as solvent in gum solution	44
Table(3.6): partial specific volume of sample in gum solution	45
Table(3.7): the values of volume fraction of gum and water in gum solution	46
Table(3.8): chemical potential values of	46

water in different concentration of gum solution	
Table(3.9): the osmotic pressure and chemical potential of water in different concentration of gum solution	47
Table(3.10): data of plotting $\Delta\mu_1$ versus $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$ of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i> gum sample	47
Table(3.11): data of plotting $\Delta\mu_2$ versus ω_1	48
Table(3.12): chemical potential of gum sample after correction $\Delta\mu_2$	49
Table(3.13): calculating the free energy of gum solution	50
Table(3.14): free energy of mixing in different units	50

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

Content	Page
1- Introduction	1
1.1- Distribution of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	1
1.2- Discription of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	2
1.3- Botanical classification	2
1.4- Definition of family	3
1.5- Uses of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	3

1.6- Chemical structure of gum arabic	4
1.7- Physiochemical properties of gum arabic	15
1.7.1- Solubility	15
1.7.2- Moisture content	15
1.7.3- Ash content	15
1.7.4- Nitrogen and protein content	15
1.7.5- Optical rotation	16
1.7.6- Intrinsic viscosity	16
1.7.6.1- Relative viscosity (η_r)	18
1.7.6.2- Specific viscosity (η_{sp})	18
1.7.6.3- Reduced viscosity (η_{red})	19
1.7.7- Refractive index	20
1.8- Thermodynamic Parameters	22
1.8.1- Weight fraction(ω)	22
1.8.2- mole fraction(X)	22
1.8.3- volume fraction(Φ)	23
1.8.4- Partial molar specific volume (V)	23
1.8.4.2- Tangent method	23
1.8.4.3- Intercept method	23
1.8.5- Chemical potential	24
1.8.6- Osmotic pressure	25
1.8.6.1- Osmometry	25
1.8.6.2- Number average molecular weight	27
1.8.6.3- Colligative properties	27
1.8.6.4- Osmotic pressure	27
1.8.6.5- Membrane Osmometry	28
1.8.7- Density of solid	28
1.8.8- Gibbs free energy of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	29
1.10- Application of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	31

1.11- The aim of the work	31
---------------------------	----

CHAPTER TWO

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Content	Page
2- Materials and Methods	32
2.2- purification of gum sample	32
2.3- Chemicals	32
2.4- Physiochemical methods of gum	32
2.4.1- Method of determination of moisture content	33
2.4.2- Method of determination of Ash content	33
2.4.3- Method of determination of Specific optical rotation	34
2.4.4- Determination of total nitrogen and protein content	34
2.4.5- Method of determination of intrinsic viscosity	35
2.4.6- Method of determination of refractive index	35
2.4.7- Determination of cationic composition	36
2.5- Thermodynamic methods	37
2.5.1- Method of determination of molecular weight of the gum using Osmotic pressure	37

2.5.2- Determination of Partial specific volume of water	37
2.5.3- Determination of Partial specific volume of sample	37
2.5.4- Determination of Density of solid	37

CHAPTER TREE

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Content	Page
3- Results and Discussions	38
3.1- Physiochemical properties of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	38
3.2- Thermodynamic properties of <i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	42
Conclusion	51