

الآية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قال تعالى: ﴿وَلَا تَمْشِ فِي الْأَرْضِ مَرَحًا إِنَّكَ لَنْ تَخْرِقَ الْأَرْضَ وَلَنْ تَبْلُغَ
الْجِبَالَ طُولًا﴾ (37) كُلُّ ذَلِكَ كَانَ سَيِّئُهُ عِنْدَ رَبِّكَ مَكْرُوهًا (38) ذَلِكَ مِمَّا
أَوْحَىٰ إِلَيْكَ رَبُّكَ مِنَ الْحِكْمَةِ وَلَا تَجْعَلْ مَعَ اللَّهِ إِلَهًا آخَرَ فَتُلْقَىٰ فِي جَهَنَّمَ
مَلُومًا مَّدْحُورًا ﴿39﴾

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الإسراء الآيات (37-38-39)

Dedication



This research thesis is dedicated to:

*My parents, who continuously encouraged and
supported me in countless ways,*

My beloved brothers and sisters;



Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I must acknowledge my limitless thanks to Allah, the Ever Magnificent; the Ever-Thankful, for His helps and bless. I am totally sure that this work would have never become truth, without His guidance.

I owe a deep debt of gratitude to my supervisor **Prof Dr. Galal A. Ali Mohamed** for his time, encouragement, and expertise during all phases of the research for his exquisite attention to detail and for his demand for excellence. Thank you, **Prof Dr. Galal A. Ali Mohamed** now and always.

I am most grateful to Dr. Rashid A. Saeed and Mr. Mubark M. A. Elmubark for their time and willing help, particularly providing their high-quality know-how in information technology and computer programming that contributed to the development of the software applied in this study.

Abstract

In this study the thickness of rigid pavement was determined using manual computations by applying two design approaches namely American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials Method (AASHTO) and Portland cement Association Method (PCA). There are four types of rigid pavements; but this study focuses on Jointed Plan Concrete Pavement (JPCP) and Jointed Reinforced Concrete Pavement (JRCP). A computer program with Visual Basic software was developed, entitled GalalM-RP program, to determine the rigid pavement design thickness in accordance with PCA method. Al-Ilaifoun urban-rural highway was selected as a case study, the thickness of the rigid pavement was computed using manual method to verify the results obtained from GalalM-RP program. Comparison of the results showed that the difference between manual design and GalalM-RP software did not exceed 5%. The computer program GalalM-RP can be used reliably as design thickness program for rigid pavements with doweled joints and without concrete shoulders.

تجريد

في هذه الدراسة تم حساب سمك الرصف الخرساني بإستخدام الحسابات اليدوية من خلال تطبيق أسلوبين من أساليب التصميم مثل طريقة الجمعية الأمريكية للطرق والنقل AASHTO وطريقة جمعية الأسمنت البورتلاندي PCA . هناك أربعة أنواع من الأرصفة الخرسانية لكن هذه الدراسة ركزت علي الرصف الخرساني المربوط والرصف الخرساني المربوط المسلح. تم تصميم برنامج حاسوب بإستخدام لغة الفيجول بيزيك (Visual Basic) وسمي GalalM-RP لحساب سمك الرصف الخرساني استنادا علي طريقة تصميم جمعية الأسمنت البورتلاندي PCA لتصميم الطرق الخرسانية . تم إختيار طريق العيلفون (Al-Ilaifoun highway) كدراسة حالة. حسب سمك الرصف الخرساني بإستخدام الطريقة اليدوية للتحقق من النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها من برنامج GalalM-RP . أظهرت المقارنة بين النتائج أن الفرق بين التصميم اليدوي و GalalM-RP لم يتعدى ال 5% وشملت الإستنتاجات أن البرنامج الحاسوبي GalalM-RP يمكن إستخدامة بشكل موثوق به كبرنامج للتصميم الإنشائي للطرق الخرسانية التي تحتوي علي الأوتاد (dowel) دون الكتوف الخرسانية (without Concrete Shoulders).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

الآية	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Abstract in Arabic	iv
Abstract in English	v
Table of contents	vi
Abbreviations and Definition of Terms	x
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
CHAPTER1	INTRODUCTORY BACKGROUND
1.1	Introduction 1
1.2	Problem Statement and significance 3
1.3	The Design Methods and Procedures 4
1.4	Objectives and Scope of Research 5
1.5	Out Line of Thesis 5
CHAPTER2	RIGID PAVEMENT TYPES AND DESIGN METHODOLOGIES FOR JOINTED PLAIN AND JOINTED REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENTS
2.1	Introduction 6
2.2	Types of Concrete Pavements 8

2.3	Joints and Dowel Bars	11
2.4	Design Parameters and Methodologies for JPCP and JRCP	12
2.4.1	Portland Cement Association (PCA) Method	12
2.4.1.1	Design factors	13
2.4.1.2	Load Safety Factors	14
2.4.1.3	Design Methodology	15
2.4.2	AASHTO Method	16
2.4.2.1	Design Variables	16
2.4.2.2	Design Equations	17
2.4.2.3	Design Chart	17
2.5	Other Design Features	19
2.5.1	Joint spacing	19
2.5.1.1	Jointed Plain Concrete Pavement (JPCP)	19
2.5.1.2	Jointed Reinforced Concrete Pavement (JRCP)	20
2.5.2	JRCP Reinforcement	21
 CHAPTER 3 CASE STUDY PROJECT		
3.1	Location and Characteristics of the Case-Study Road Project	22
3.2	ESAL for the Case Study	24
3.3	Upgrading of Al-Ilaifoun Road to Dual Highway	25
3.4	Pavement Structural Design Methodology	25

CHAPTER 4 DESIGN OF JOINTED AND JOINTED REINFORCED CONCRETE PAVEMENT

4.1	Introduction	27
4.2	Load Stresses	27
4.3	Subgrade Resilient Modulus M_R and Reaction Modulus k	28
4.4	Design Slab thickness for JPCP and JRCP	29
4.4.1	Portland Cement Association Design Method	29
4.4.2	AASHTO (1993) design method	35
4.4.3	Other design features	38

CHAPTER 5 APPLICATION OF SOFTWARE PROGRAM

5.1	Introduction	44
5.2	Main Screen	45
5.3	Traffic Analysis Screen	46
5.4	Calculations of Pavement Thickness Screen	47

CHAPTER6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1	Results	51
6.2	Discussions	61

CHAPTER7 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AN RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1	Summary	62
7.2	Conclusions	62
7.3	Recommendations	64

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A PCA DESIGN METHOD: TABLES AND CHARTS

A.1	PCA Design Method: Tables	67
A.2	PCA Design Method: Figures	68

APPENDIX B AASHTO DESIGN METHOD: TABLES AND CHARTS

B.1	AASHTO Design Method: Tables	71
B.2	AASHTO Design Method: Figures	75

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

RPs : Rigid (or Concrete) Pavements

PCC : Portland Cement Concrete

JPCP : Jointed Plain Concrete Pavement

JRCP : Jointed Reinforced Concrete Pavement

CRCP: Continuous Reinforced Concrete Pavement

PCP : Prestressed Concrete Pavement

AASHTO: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

PCA: Portland Cement Association

AI: Asphalt Institute

CBR: California Bearing Ratio

N_A: Number of Axles per Trucks Surveyed, say 100

ADT: Daily Traffic, veh. /day in both directions

D: Direction Split (the larger value is used in the design)

P_T: % Trucks

r: Annual Traffic Growth Factor for Design Period n

L: The Lane Distribution Factor which varies with the volume of traffic and the number of lanes.

w₁₈ : The Number of 18-kip (80-kN) Single-axle load applications

Z_R : Normal deviate for a given Reliability R

S₀: Overall Standard Deviation

D: Slab Thickness in Inches

ΔPSI : Present serviceability index

P_t : The Serviceability at time t

S_c : Modulus of Rupture of Concrete

C_d : Drainage Coefficient

J: Load Transfer Coefficient

E_c : Elastic Modulus

K : Modulus of Subgrade Reaction

ΔL: the Joint Opening caused by Temperature Change and Drying Shrinkage of concrete

α_t: The Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of Concrete, generally 5 to

$6 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{F}$ (9 to $10.8 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{C}$)

ε: The Drying Shrinkage Coefficient of Concrete, approximately

0.5 to 2.5×10^{-4}

L: is the Joint Spacing or Slab Length

ΔT: is the Temperature Range, which is the Temperature at placement minus the lowest mean monthly temperature

C: is the Adjustment Factor due to slab-subbase Friction, 0.65 for stabilized base and 0.8 for granular subbase.

A_s: is the Area of Steel required per unit width

f_s : is the Allowable Stress in Steel.

f_a : Average Friction Coefficient between Slab and Foundation usually taken as 1.5

γ_c : is the Unit Weight of the Concrete

h : is the Thickness of the Slab

m : Number of Axle Load Groups

μ : The Allowable Bond Stress

F_i : Equivalent Axle Load Factor (EALF) for each axle load group.

n_i : Number of passes of the i th-axle load group during the design period

List of Tables

Table 3- 1: Traffic Analyses for Pavement Design.....	24
Table 4 -1: Average Daily Traffic Volumes (Current ADT _{current}).....	30
Table 4 -2: Axle Load Distributions for Al-Ilaifoun Road	31
Table 4 -3: Equivalent Stresses for Slabs without Concrete Shoulders	33
Table 4 -4: Erosion Factors for Slabs with Doweled Joints and no Concrete Shoulders	34
Table 6 -1: Manual Solution Against GalalM-RP program.....	58
Table 6 -2: Comparison thickness design between AASHTO and PCA design method.....	61
Table A -1: Effect of Untreated Subbase on k Values	67
Table A- 2: Recommended Dowel Size and Length.....	67
Table B -1: Recommended Load Transfer Coefficient for Various Pavement Types and Design Conditions	71
Table B -2: Recommended Value of Drainage Coefficient, C_d , for Rigid Pavement	71
Table B- 3: Suggested Levels of Reliability for Various Functional Classifications.....	72
Table B- 4: Yield Strength and Allowable Stress for Steel.....	72
Table B -5: Weights and Dimensions of Welded Wire Fabric	73
Table B -6: Weights and Dimensions of Welded Wire Fabric	74

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Rigid Pavement Rigidity and Typical Thickness.....	7
Figure 2-2: Four types of concrete pavements (1 ft = 0.305 m).....	9
Figure 2-3: Dowel Bars.....	12
Figure 2-4: Joint Opening	20
Figure 3-1: Al-Ilaifoun highway segment showing the survey Stations 1, 2 and 3.....	22
Figure 3-2: Al-Ilaifoun Highway Upgrading Under Construction.....	25
Figure 4- 1: Design chart for rigid pavements based on mean values	36
Figure 4- 2: Schematic illustration of wire reinforcement	39
Figure 4- 3: Diameter, spacing, and length of the tie bars for JPCP	41
Figure 5- 1: Main Screen	45
Figure 5- 2: Traffic Analysis Screen	46
Figure 5- 3: Calculations of Pavement Thickness Screen.....	48
Figure 5-4: Display Result Screen.....	49
Figure 6- 1: GalalM-RP Results of PCA Traffic Analysis.....	51
Figure 6- 2: GalalM-RP calculation of pavement thickness 9in.....	52
Figure 6- 3: GalalM-RP calculation of pavement thickness 9.5in.....	53
Figure 6-4: GalalM-RP calculation of pavement thickness 10in.....	54
Figure 6-5: Manual Calculation by Design Worksheet PCA: 9in.	55
Figure 6- 6: Manual Calculation by Design Worksheet PCA: 9.5in.....	56
Figure 6- 7: Manual Calculation by Design Worksheet PCA: 10in.	57

Figure 6- 8: Fatigue Percent Manual Solutions against Fatigue Percent GalalM-RP	58
Figure 6-9: Damage percent manual solution against damage percent GalalM-RP	59
Figure 6- 10: Graphical presentation for thickness pavement design using manual solution	59
Figure 6- 11: Graphical presentation for thickness pavement design using GalalM-RP	60
Figure 6- 12: Manual solution VS GalalM-RP Software Program.....	60
Figure 6- 13: Typical Thickness Design.....	62
Figure A- 1: Effect of various thicknesses of granular subbase on k values...	67
Figure A-2: Stress ratio factors versus allowable load repetitions both with and without concrete shoulders.	68
Figure A-3: Erosion factors versus allowable load repetitions without concrete shoulders.....	70
Figure B- 1: Chart for k as a function of bedrock depth.....	75
Figure B- 2: Chart for estimating composite k_{∞}	76