

آية



الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ ﴿١﴾ الرَّحْمَنُ الرَّحِيمُ ﴿٢﴾ مَالِكِ يَوْمِ الدِّينِ ﴿٣﴾
إِيَّاكَ نَعْبُدُ وَإِيَّاكَ نَسْتَعِينُ ﴿٤﴾ اهْدِنَا الصِّرَاطَ الْمُسْتَقِيمَ ﴿٥﴾ صِرَاطَ الَّذِينَ
أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيْهِمْ غَيْرِ الْمَغْضُوبِ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا الضَّالِّينَ ﴿٦﴾

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ
الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿سورة الفاتحة﴾

ABSTRACT

The design of an aircraft autopilot controller that provides a fast and stable response to pilot commands, and which maintains this performance throughout the entire flight envelope, provides a challenging problem to flight control engineers.

The main objective of this thesis is to design a longitudinal autopilot system for a business jet aircraft. To be more specific, the autopilot system that is designed is a pitch-attitude controller.

It is assumed that the aircraft under investigation is subjected to some degree changes in elevator angle, which in turn, causes an oscillatory motion of the airplane. In order to minimize the effect of these oscillations, a proportional (P) controller, proportional-integral (PI) controller and a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller are designed for both, the short and long periods of motions.

For the short period of motion, it is found that the P controller is the optimal controller. On the other hand, the PID controller is found to be the optimal controller for long period of motion.

مستخلص

إن تصميم متحكم لنظام طيار آلي، بحيث توفر استجابة سريعة ومستقرة لأوامر الطيار، مع المحافظة على هذا الأداء طوال فترة الرحلة، يظل هو التحدى الذى يواجه مهندسى نظم التحكم فى الطيران.

ولذلك فإن الهدف الرئيسى لهذه الأطروحة هو تصميم نظام طيار آلى طولى لطائرة تجارية نفائثة، ولنكون أكثر دقة، تصميم نظام لمتحكم تستجيب بسرعة ودقة حسب الظروف.

تم افتراض أن الطائرة قيد الدراسة قد أخضعت لبعض التغيرات فى زاوية الصعود مما أدى الى تذبذب فى حركة الطائرة. ومن أجل تقليل هذه التذبذبات تم تصميم بعض المتحكمات وهى: المتحكم النسبية، المتحكم النسبية التكاملية، المتحكم النسبية التكاملية التفاضلية، لكل من فترتى الحركة القصيرة والطويلة.

لفترات الحركة القصيرة وجد أن النظام المثالى لها هو نظام المتحكم النسبية. كما أن نظام المتحكم النسبية التكاملية التفاضلية هو الأنسب لفترات الطويلة.

DEDICATION

To the soul of my great father who gave me the meaning
of patience and wisdom

To my unique mother who taught me the meaning of faith
and diligence

To my beloved husband who made my life bright and
meaningful

To my dear daughters who brought the essence of perfect
happiness to my life

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the support given to me by Sudan University of Science and Technology (SUST), in particular college of engineering. My deepest thanks go to the electrical engineering department for the academic and logistics support.

My sincere gratefulness goes to the members of the aeronautical department for their great assistance.

I would also wish to acknowledge with all gratitude all those who have helped in the preparation and production of this study. Especially, the greatest thanks and respectfulness goes to my supervisor **Dr. Elessaid Sulaiman Saad**.

CONTENTS

الآية

Abstract.....	I
مستخلص.....	II
Dedication.....	III
Acknowledgments.....	IV
Contents.....	V
List of Figures.....	VI
List of Tables.....	IX
Nomenclature.....	X
Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1 General Overview.....	1
1.2 Historical Perspective.....	1
1.3 Modern Autopilots.....	2
1.4 Statement of Problem.....	2
1.4.1 General problem statement.....	3
1.4.2 Approach in research.....	3
1.5 Similar Work.....	4
1.6 Outline of the Thesis.....	5
Chapter Two: Aircraft Equations of Motion	
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Derivations of Rigid Body Equations of Motion.....	6
2.2.1 Inertial acceleration components.....	7
2.2.2 Generalized force equations.....	9

2.2.3 Generalized moment equations.....	10
2.2.4 Disturbance forces and moments.....	10
2.3 Linearized Equations of Motion.....	11
2.3.1 Gravitational terms.....	13
2.3.2 Aerodynamic terms.....	14
2.3.3 Aerodynamic control terms.....	15
2.3.4 Power terms.....	15
2.3.5 Small perturbation equations of motion.....	16
2.4 Decoupled Longitudinal Equations of Motion.....	18
2.5 State-Space Longitudinal Equations of Motion.....	18
Chapter Three: The Solution of Equations of Motion	
3.1 Introduction.....	22
3.2 Aircraft Response Transfer Functions.....	22
3.3 Longitudinal Response Transfer Functions.....	23
3.4 State-Space Method.....	25
3.4.1 Transfer function matrix.....	26
3.4.2 Longitudinal transfer function matrix.....	27
3.5 Longitudinal Dynamic.....	27
3.5.1 Longitudinal response to controls.....	27
3.5.2 Longitudinal characteristics equation.....	29
3.5.3 The short-period pitching oscillation.....	30
3.5.4 The long-period oscillation.....	34
Chapter Four: Longitudinal Autopilot Designs and Results	
4.1 Introduction.....	39
4.2 Controller Design.....	40
4.2.1 Proportional control action.....	40
4.2.2 Integral control action.....	41
4.2.3 Proportional-integral control action.....	42

4.2.4 Proportional-derivative control action.....	42
4.2.5 Proportional-integral-derivative control action.....	43
4.2.6 PID controllers tuning rules.....	43
4.3 Longitudinal (Pitch –Attitude) Autopilot.....	47
4.3.1 Calculations of transfer functions.....	48
4.3.2 System design.....	48
4.3.2.1 Design for short-period.....	50
4.3.2.2 Design for long-period.....	55
4.4 Results.....	60
Chapter Five: Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations	
5.1 Discussion.....	62
5.2 Conclusions.....	62
5.3 Recommendations.....	63
References	
Appendices	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (2.1): Motion referred to generalized body axes.....	8
Figure (2.2):Steady state weight components in the plane of symmetry....	12
Figure (3.1): Aircraft axes.....	30
Figure (3.2): The short- period oscillations.....	31
Figure (3.3): The long- period oscillations.....	35
Figure (4.1): Block diagram of industrial control system.....	40
Figure (4.2): S-shape response curve.....	46
Figure (4.3): Block diagram of pitch attitude autopilot.....	48
Figure (4.4): Longitudinal response to 5° elevator step in.....	50
Figure (4.5): Open-loop response for short-period motion.....	51
Figure (4.6): Root locus for short period.....	51
Figure (4.7): P-control response for short period.....	53
Figure (4.8): PI-control response for short period.....	53
Figure (4.9): PID-control response for short period.....	54
Figure (4.10): Short-period aircraft dynamics.....	54
Figure (4.11): Open loop response for long period.....	56
Figure (4.12): Root locus for long period.....	56
Figure (4.13): P-control response for long-period.....	58
Figure (4.14): PI-control response for long-period.....	58
Figure (4.15): PID-control response for long-period.....	59

Figure (4.16): long-period aircraft dynamics.....	59
---	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Zeigler-Nichols tuning rules based on step response.....	46
Table 4.2: Gains for P, PI, and PID controllers using IAE.....	47
Table 4.3: k_p , T_i and T_d initial values for short period.....	52
Table 4.4: Close-loop T.Fs of the system for short-period.....	52
Table 4.5: k_p , T_i and T_d initial values for long period.....	57
Table 4.6: Close-loop T.Fs of the system for long-period.....	57
Table 4.7: System characteristics for short-period.....	60
Table 4.8: System characteristics for long-period.....	60
Table 4.9: Calculated constant value.....	61
Table 5.1: New values of system's characteristics for short-period.....	62

NOMENCLATURE

A	State matrix
B	Input matrix
C	Output matrix
cg	Center of gravity
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_L	Lift coefficient
D	Direct matrix
g	Gravity
I	Identity matrix
I_x	Moment of inertia in roll
I_y	Moment of inertia in pitch
I_z	Moment of inertia in yaw
I_{xy}	Product of inertia about ox and oy axes
I_{xz}	Product of inertia about ox and oz axes
I_{yz}	Product of inertia about oy and oz axes
k	General constant
k_q	Pitch rate transfer function gain constant
k_u	Axial velocity transfer function gain constant
k_w	Normal velocity transfer function gain constant
k_θ	Pitch attitude transfer function gain constant
K_{cr}	Critical gain

K_d	Derivative gain
K_i	Integral gain
K_p	Proportional gain
K_{pu}	Ultimate proportional gain
L	Rolling moment
m	Mass
M	Pitching moment
N	Yawing moment
$N_{\delta_e}^\theta$	A shorthand notation to denote a transfer function numerator polynomial relating the pitch attitude response θ (output) to elevator δ_e (input).
p	Roll rate
P	Proportional controller
PI	Proportional-Integral controller
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative controller
P_{cr}	Critical period
q	Pitch rate
r	Yaw rate
S	Wing area
T	Time constant
T_d	Derivative time constant
T_i	Integral time constant
T_s	Spiral mode time constant
T_u	Numerator zero in axial velocity transfer function
T_w	Numerator zero in normal velocity transfer function
T_θ	Numerator zero in pitch rate and attitude transfer function
T.F	Transfer Function
u	Axial velocity perturbation

U	Total axial velocity
U_e	Axial component of steady equilibrium velocity
v	Lateral velocity perturbation
V	Total lateral velocity
V_e	Lateral component of steady equilibrium velocity
V_0	Steady equilibrium velocity
w	Normal velocity perturbation
W	Total normal velocity
W_e	Normal component of steady equilibrium velocity
x	ox axis (longitudinal axis)
X	Axial force component
$\overset{o}{X}_u$	A shorthand notation to denote the dimensional derivative $\frac{\partial X}{\partial u}$.
X_u	A shorthand notation to denote the dimensionless derivative $\frac{\partial \hat{X}}{\partial \hat{u}}$.
y	oy axis (lateral axis)
Y	Lateral force component
z	oz axis (vertical axis)
Z	Normal force component
ρ	Air density
ζ	Rudder angle perturbation, Damping ratio
ζ_p	Long-period (Phugoid) damping ratio
ζ_s	Short-period pitching oscillation damping ratio
ω	Undamped natural frequency
ω_p	Long-period natural frequency
ω_s	Short-period pitching oscillation natural frequency

α	Angle of attack or incidence
α_e	Equilibrium incidence
Δ	Characteristic polynomial
δ_e	Elevator angle perturbation
δ_m	Mass increment
θ	Pitch angle perturbation
θ_e	Equilibrium pitch angle
ϕ	Roll angle perturbation
ψ	Yaw angle perturbation
ε	Throttle lever angle
ξ	Ailerons angle
τ	Engine thrust perturbation