

## **DEDICATIONS**

This Thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is the one which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Thanks God first and foremost to complete this research . Then I would like to express my gratitude to my Supervisor Dr. **Amir Mohmead Talib** has been the ideal thesis supervisor. Her sage advice, insightful criticisms, and patient encouragement aided the writing of this thesis in innumerable ways. I am also grateful to my family, and the Researcher **Eiman Alsiddig Altayeb** for help me and they always ask Almighty Allah to guide me.

## **ABSTRACT**

Ontologies have become core components of many large applications. One of the more common goals in developing the ontologies is to Share common understanding of the structure of information among people or software agents. This research addresses the issues of why one would build an ontology and presents a methodology for creating ontologies. The idea is to design an ontology for Diabetes Domain and use it to add information that it becomes possible for computer systems to process information in meaningful and useful way.

This research listed the steps of ontology development process, and addressed the complex issues of defining class hierarchies, enumerated the terms that can possibly found in the domain, and then organized them in a hierarchy depending on which class subsumes another. And then defined properties for each class and the relationships linked these classes. Final step in designing the ontology was defining some instances to be able to make queries.

After The Ontology has been designed, it sent to the Reasoner to check classes consistency and to compute subsumption relationships.

An important result of this research is designing an ontology for Diabetes Domain, explicit representations and full definitions for Diabetes type, properties and their relationships. Also can make any query to retrieve information about Diabetes. Furthermore, the designed ontology can be shared and reused in applications related to diabetes.

## **المستخلص**

أصبحت الانطولوجيا مكوناً أساسياً ومهماً في العديد من المجالات المختلفة والتطبيقات الكبيرة. حيث نجد أن أحد أهم أهداف الانطولوجيا هو تبادل الفهم المشترك لبنية المعلومات سواء بين الناس أو وكلاء البرمجيات. الهدف الرئيس من هذا البحث هو بناء انطولوجيا لتصنيف مرض السكري بحيث تكون متاحة لكافة أنظمة المعلومات.

ذكرت في هذا البحث الخطوات المتتبعة في بناء الانطولوجيا كما تم توضيح التصنيف الهرمي لفئات النظام موضوع الدراسة إبتداءً من تحديد المجال ورصد كافة المفاهيم والخصائص والعلاقات، ثم بعد ذلك ترتيب المفاهيم (الفضائل) في شكل هرمي يوضح الفئات والفئات الفرعية بناءً على علاقة تضمين هذه الفئات. ومن ثم تم تعريف خصائص الفئات والعلاقات التي تربط بينها وأخيراً تم ذكر أمثلة لمرضى السكري ببياناتهم حتى يتسعى إجراء الاستعلامات المرغوب فيها. وبعد الانتهاء من تصميم الانطولوجيا، استخدم المفكر (Reasoner) المضمن في أداة الدراسة لاختبار مدى انسجام فئات السكري، ولحساب علاقة تضمين هذه الفئات.

وتمثل أهم نتائج هذا البحث في تصميم انطولوجيا لمرض السكري والحصول على تمثيل واضح لأنواع المرض وخصائصه والعلاقات بينها؛ كما يمكن أيضاً من خلالها إجراء الاستعلامات لاسترجاع أية معلومات عن مرض السكري . بالإضافة إلى أنه يمكن مشاركة هذه الانطولوجيا وإعادة استخدامها في التطبيقات ذات العلاقة بمرض السكري.

## **Table of Contents**

<b>DEDICATIONS .....</b>	I
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....</b>	II
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	III
<b>المستخلص .....</b>	IV
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	V
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	VII
<b>Chapter One : Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Statement .....	3
1.3 Research Objectives.....	4
1.4 Significance of the Study.....	4
1.5 Research Methodology.....	4
1.6 Research Tools.....	6
1.7 Research Organization .....	7
<b>Chapter Two: Literature Review</b>	8
2.1 Ontology's .....	8
2.1.1 Definitions of ontology.....	8
2.1.2 An ontology of Ontologies .....	9
2.2 Ontology languages....	12
2.2.1 XML – ad hoc ontologies .....	12
2.2.2 Ontolingua .....	13
2.2.3 Resource Description Framework schema (RDFS).....	14
2.2.4 Ontology Web Language .....	14
2.3 Ontology components .....	16
2.4 Uses of Ontologies .....	17

2.4.1 Communication .....	18
2.4.2 Inter Operability.....	20
2.4.3 Ontologies as Inter-Lingua.....	20
2.4.4 Dimensions of Inter-Operability.....	21
2.4.5 Systems Engineering.....	22
2.5 Ontology Engineering.....	25
2.6 Previous Studies.....	28
 <b>Chapter Three: Ontology Tools</b>	 35
3.1 Protégé .....	35
3.2 OWL Ontologies .....	36
3.3 Components of OWL Ontologies.....	37
 <b>Chapter Four: Ontology Building</b>	 40
4.1 Proposed Ontology	40
4.2 Developing Diabetes Ontology .....	42
4.2.1 Determine the domain and scope of the ontology .....	42
4.2.2 Consider reusing existing ontologies .....	42
4.2.3 Enumerate important terms in the ontology .....	43
4.2.4 Define the Classes and the Class Hierarchy .....	43
4.2.5 Define the properties of classes .....	46
4.2.6 Define properties of properties .....	46
4.2.7 create instances.....	47
4.3 Invoke the Reasoner.....	48
 <b>Chapter Five: Results</b>	 50
5.1 Results .....	50
5.2 Conclusion .....	50
5.3 Future Work .....	51

# Appendices

I

Appendix ..... I

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Research Methodology Framework .....	4
Figure 2.1 The Ontology spectrum from informal up to formal.....	10
Figure2.2 the ontology hierarchy: from (reusable) at the bottom, (not very reusable) at the top .....	11
Figure 2.3 xml code example .....	13
Figure 2.4 Ontology Development .....	27
Figure2.5 Correspondence of blood pressure concepts in the ontologies of SNOMED CT (above dashed line) and Portavita (below dashed line).....	29
Figure 2.6 Ontology of Glucose Metabolism Disorder (OGMD)....	30
Figure 2.7: Related Work Summary.....	34
Figure 3.1 Representation Of Individuals .....	37
Figure 3.2 Representation Of Properties.....	38
Figure 3.3 Representation Of Classes (Containing Individuals) ....	39
Figure 4.1 Class Thing .....	43
Figure 4.2 Type1 Classes.....	44
Figure 4.3 Diabetes Classes Hierarchy.....	45
Figure 4.4: Inconsistent Classes and Ontology Explanation.....	48
Figure 4.5 Inferred Class Hierarchy before Invoking the Reasoner..	49
Figure 4.6 Inferred Class Hierarchy After Invoking the Reasoner...	49
Figure 4.7 a query using SPARQL .....	49