List of content

| | Chapter 1: Introduction | |
|-------|--|----|
| | General introduction | 1 |
| | > Objectives | 2 |
| | | |
| | Chapter 2 :Literature review | |
| 2-1 | Nutritive value | 4 |
| 2-2 | Chemical composition | 5 |
| 2-2-1 | Lipid | 5 |
| 2-2-2 | Protein | 8 |
| 2-2-3 | N-contracting extractive | 11 |
| 2-2-4 | Vitamins and minerals | 11 |
| 2-3 | Sausage | 12 |
| 2-4 | Type of fish sausages | 13 |
| 2-5 | Organoleptic properties | 13 |
| 2-5-1 | Flavor | 13 |
| 2-5-2 | Color | 14 |
| 2-5-3 | Texture | 14 |
| 2-5-4 | Tenderness | 15 |
| 2-5-5 | Juiciness | 15 |
| | | |
| | Chapter 3 :Materials and methods | |
| 3-1 | Sausage formulation | 18 |
| 3-1-1 | Fish meat preparation | 18 |
| 3-1-2 | Sausages preparation | 18 |
| 3-2 | Approximate analysis | 19 |
| 3-2-1 | Crude protein content | 20 |
| 3-2-2 | Moisture determination | 20 |
| 3-2-3 | Fat determination | 21 |
| 3-2-4 | Ash determination | 21 |
| 3-3 | Physical analysis | 21 |
| 3-3-1 | PH measurement | 21 |
| 3-3-2 | Cooking loss measurement | 22 |
| 3-4 | Sensory evaluation of sausage | 22 |
| 3-5 | Statistical analysis | 22 |

| | Chapter 4 :Results | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4-1 | Chemical analysis | 23 |
| 4-1-1 | Protein | 23 |
| 4-1-2 | Moisture | 25 |
| 4-1-3 | Fat | 26 |
| 4-1-4 | Ash | 27 |
| 4-2 | Physical tests | 28 |
| 4-2-1 | PH measurement | 28 |
| 4-2-2 | Cooking loss measurement | 30 |
| 4-3 | Sensory evaluation | 31 |
| 4-3-1 | Color | 31 |
| 4-3-2 | Flavor | 31 |
| 4-3-3 | Juiciness | 31 |
| 4-3-4 | Texture | 31 |
| | Chapter 5 :Discussion | |
| | Discussion | 33 |
| | Chapter 6 : Conclusion and recommendations | |
| | Conclusion | 36 |
| | Recommendations | 36 |
| | References | |
| | Appendix | |

List of tables

| Table1 | Principal constituents (percentage) of fish and beef muscle | 18 |
|--------|---|----|
| Table2 | Essential amino-acids (percentage) in various proteins | 34 |
| Table3 | The chemical analysis of protein ,moisture, fat, ash for the (A) and (B) species | 39 |
| Table4 | The physical analysis of pH ,and cooking loss for the (A) and (B) species | 42 |
| Table5 | The sensory evaluation for the sample (A) and (B) | |