Dedication:

To my mother Hussynia and my family

To all Sudanese people with wishes of happy future

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Abd Elbagi Elnagi Mohammed - Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, Sudan University of Science and Technology, for his continuous encouragement, keen interest, support and valuable advice allthroughout this study. Words cannot express the special appreciation and deepest gratitude I feel to Dr. Mohammed Abed Elrahim, the coordinator of the postgraduate studies for his valuable advice.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the staff of Eltom Sirag Eldin- Medical Laboratory Center – Khartoum, Sudan, and the staff of laboratory of Institute of Nuclear Medicine – University of Gezira, Wad Medani, for their unlimited cooperation during the process of this research work. I would like to extend my thanks to professor Ahmed Hamed – Population Studies Center, University of Gezira, Wad Medani and his colleagues, for the data analysis and computer services. Special thanks to Mrs. Selwa Omar Salim, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Gezira for typing, printing and drafting this manuscript.

Thanks are due to my family for their moral and financial support.

Special appreciation and indeterminable gratitude to all the family of Nasr Eldin Hamad. I would like to extend my thanks to all authors listed in the reference list for the literature that I had reviewed.

Abstract

A prospective study conducted during the period, November 2003 to May 2004, to evaluate the performance of clinical laboratories in Sudan in measuring of some endocrine hormone levels using ELISA and RIA. A total of 42 serum samples, which were randomly taken from different individuals of both sexes, were analyzed for thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) (pituitary-derived hormone) and thyroxine (T₄) (thyroid-derived hormone). The results obtained for both hormones, using the two different *in vitro* techniques, were statistically analyzed using 't' test, correlation coefficient and linear regression.

The results obtained showed that there were no significant differences between RIA and ELISA in TSH measurement (mean. Diff. - 0.1002 mU/L, SD 1.417 and P > 0.05), while in T₄ measurement there were significant differences between RIA and ELISA (mean. Diff. - 41.742 nmol/L, SD 36.462 and P < 0.05).

Both TSH and T₄ measurements showed that there was a correlation between RIA and ELISA (62% and 83.2%, respectively). According to the analysis of the results obtained by the model of simple regression, it could be suggested that RIA was superior to ELISA in measurement of both hormones.

ملخص الدراسة

أجريت هذه الدراسة التوقعية خلال الفترة من نوفمبر 2003م وحتى مايو 2004م بغرض تقييم أداء المختبرات الطبية في قياس بعض هورمونات الغدد الصماء بإستخدام المقايسة المناعية الأنزيمية (RIA) والمقايسة المناعية الأنزيمية (ELISA)، تم أخذ (42) عينة دم تم اختيارها عشوائياً من أشخاص مختلفين من الجنسين، وقد تم تحليل المستوى المصلي لهورموني الغدة النخامية TSH و الغدة الدرقية T4 و قد تم تحليل جميع هذه النتائج إحصائياً باستعمال الطرق الإحصائية الآتية:

اختبار (ت)، معامل الإرتباط والانحدار الخطي البسيط.

أوضحت النتائج أنه لا يوجد اختلاف ذو معنى بين المقايسة المناعية الإشعاعية والأخرى الإنزيمية في تحليل مستوى هورمون TSH وكان الاختلاف الدلالي في المستوى الوسطي لهذا الهورمون (0.1002 0.1002 بانحراف معياري 0.1002 وباحتمال الحصائي أكثر من 0.05. بينما يوجد هنالك اختلافاً ذو معنى بين هذين القياسين في تحليل مستوى هورمون T_4 وقد كان الاختلاف في المستوى الوسطي لهذا الهورمون T_4 وقد كان الاختلاف بانحراف معياري T_4 وباحتمال إحصائي أقل من T_4 0.05.

كذلك أوضحت نتائج هذه الدراسة هنالك توافـق بيـن هـذين القياسـين فـي تحليـل مسـتوى هورمـونيُّ الغـدة النخاميـة TSH والغدة الدرقيـة 44 بنسـبة 62% و 83.2% علـى التـوالي. ومـن خلال الإنحـدار الخطـي البسـيط نجـد أن المقايسـة المناعيـة الإشعاعية تتفوق علـى المقايسـة المناعيـة الأنزيميـة فـي تحليـل مستوى هذين الهورمونين.

LIST OF TABLES

| 1.Levels of TSH in mlU/L of 42 patients by the two immunoassay | techniques. 42 | |
|--|----------------|--|
| 2.Levels of T ₄ in nmoL/L of 42 patients by the two immunoassay | techniques 43 | |
| 3.A. Comparison of means, standard deviations, minimum and | maximum levels | |
| of TSH by ELISA and RIA | 44 | |
| 3.B. The t and probability values on the paired observations. | 45 | |
| 4.A. Comparison of means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum levels | | |
| of T_4 by ELISA and RIA. | 46 | |
| 4.B. The t and probabililty values on the paried observations. | 47 | |
| 5. Correlation between RIA and EISA in TSH measurement. | 48 | |
| 6. Coefficient of determination. | 49 | |
| 7. Regression coefficients | 50 | |
| 8. Correlation between RIA and ELISA in T_4 measurement | 51 | |
| 9. Coefficient of determination | 52 | |
| 10. Regression coefficients. | 53 | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| 1. | Atypical radioimmunoassay calibration curve. | 5 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2. | Methods of labelling the tyrosine residues of protein antigens with $^{125}\mathrm{I}.$ | 8 |
| 3. | Diagram of the two site assay (sandwich assay) procedure an | |
| | immunoradiometric assay (IRMA). | 12 |
| 4. | Principles of the competitive method for enzyme immunoassay. | 16 |
| 5. | The double-antibody method for enzyme immunoassay | 17 |
| 6. | The indirect method of enzyme immunoassay. | 19 |
| 7. | Regression fitted line of TSH levels measured by ELISA. | 54 |
| 8. | Regression fitted line of TSH levels measured by RIA. | 55 |
| 9. | Regression fitted line of T_4 levels measured by ELISA. | 56 |
| 10. | Regression fitted line of T₄ levels measured by RIA. | 57 |