## **DEDICATION**

,To the spirit of my father ,to my mother my brothers

and sisters

.my friends

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

At the completion of this thesis, first of all I would like to give thanks to God who provided me this academic opportunity for higher .degree

I would like also to express my gratitude to Dr. Abbas Doka Mohammed Ali for supervision, guidance and many constructive ideas. His continuous support through my work is gratefully .appreciated . Words cannot pay what he has offered me

I would like to deeply thank my family, in particular sincere gratitude to my colleagues in the Khartoum State Ministry of Agriculture, the General Administration of Natural Resources and also my colleagues in the Department of Soil and Water Sciences, Sudan University of .Science and Technology

I would also like to acknowledge those who were involved in my .training and those who cooperated in this study

### **Abstract**

Study was carried out in farm located at north-western Omdurman within Khartoum state in the eastern side of the road of Omdurman - Dongola at kilometer 43. The total area of the farm about 100 feddans. The objectives of the study is to characterize the soil of the farm and to determine its suitability for irrigated farming for the production of field crops, fodder, vegetables, date palm, fruits and mangos by applying modern irrigation methods. The soils of the farm were described and sampled in the field at auger and profile site. Routine chemical and physical analyses were carried at the laboratory of the College of agricultural Studies (CAS), Sudan University of Science and Technology (SUST). The results indicated that most of the topsoil has sandy loam to sandy clay loam textures and the subsoil has clay loam textures and are slightly gravelly. The color of the soils are yellowish red to red and the depth is moderately deep and shallow. The soils are non-saline and non-sodic. The fertility status of the soils is poor due to their adverse chemical and physical characteristics. Soil texture, depth, low nutrients availability, wind blowing are the main constraints limiting the use of these soils. The study recommended that the soils of this farm require a good soil conservation practices such as adding organic matter and growing adequate plant cover to avoid the damaging effects of soil erosion.

A good farming systems such as bush fallow coupled with proper irrigation methods should be practiced to allow for .soil nutrients replenishment

# الخلاصة

أجريت هذه الدراسة في مزرعة تقع بولاية الخرطوم في الريف الشمالي الغربي لمدنية أم درمان على الجانب الشرقي من طريق شريان الشمال أم درمان- دن قلا عند الكيلو .43. تبلغ المساحة الكلية للمزرعة حوالي 100 فدان و ذلك لتقييم أراضي المزرعة وتحديد صلاحيتها للإستخدام الزراعى المروى لإنتاج محاصيل مختلفة مثل المحاصيل الد قلية ،الأعلاف،الخُضروات، نُخُيل البلح، الفواكُه، المانجو وغيرها و ذلك بتطبيق نظم الرى الحديث.تم وصف التربة محمّع عينات من الحفر والبريمة,تم التحليل الفيزيائي والكيميائي الروتيني لعينات التربة في معامل كلية الدراسات الزراعية - جامعة السودان. تم التعرف عَلَى ثلاثة انواع من الترب هي: التربة اللومية الناعمة و التربة اللُّومية الهيكلية (الحصوية)والتربة الصخرية . التربة اللومية الناعمة ناتجة من ترسبات مائية وهوائية وهي تربة عميةة، يغطى سطحها طبقة رقيقة من الرمال و تكثر بها التجمعات الرملية حول الشجيرات. أما التربة اللومية الهيكلية فهي ضحلة إلى متوسطة العمق. ، أما التربة اللومية الهيكلية فهي مغطّاه بنسبة قليلة من الحصي على السطح, التربة الحصُودة هي تربة ضحلة بها نسبة عالية من الحصي والحجارة على السطح وتمند الى داخل التربة. الترب التى تم التعرف عليها فى منط قة الدراسة توصف جميعها بِأنها غير ملحيه و عير صودية، و غير جيرية كما أنها فقيرة من ناحية خصوبة التربة الكيميائية. شملت توصيات الدراسة تخطيط استخدام الأراضي على مستوى المزرعة بناءا على اختلافات وحدات التربة داخل المزرعة واضافة كميات كافية (حسب حاجة النبات) من السماد العضوى و السماد الأخضر لضمان إنتاجية عالية، كما يمكن تحضير حفر بغرض تخمير السماد البلدي روث الأغنام و مخلفات المزرعة لإستخدامه كسماد عضوي والدَّى يعملُ كمحسن لخوَّاصُ التربة الفيزيائية وخاصة بناءُ التربةُ استخدام الدورة الزراعية للحد من اجهاد التربة.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page No	CONTENTS	
.i .ii .iii .iv .v .vi	Dedication Acknowledgment (Abstract(English (Abstract(Arabic List of Maps List of Figures List of Tables	
.viii	Appendices	
ix	CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION	
1	Background 1.1	
2	The Research Problem 1.2	
2	Hypotheses 1.3	
2 3	1.4The Research Objectives	
	CHAPTER TOW - ENVIRONMENTAL SETUP	
3 3	2.1Background Climate 2.2	
3		
3	Temperature Regime 2-2-1 : Rainfall Regime 2-2-2	
5	2-2-3 Evapotranspiration	
7	Geology and Hydrology 2.3	
8	Geomorphology 2.4	
10	Vegetation 2-5	
10	Land Use and Agricultural Resources 2-6	
11	CHAPTER THREE - LITERATURE REVIEW	
11	Land use planning 3-1	
11	Background 3.1.1	
12	Importance and Objectives .3.1.2	
13	Definition and Setting .3.1.3	

18	Agriculture Sustainability .3.2		
13	3.3. Land Evaluation		
17	Land and Land Resources .3.4		
17	Types of Land Use.3.5		
18 18	Land Utilization Types 3.5.1 Land Characteristics .3.6		
18	Land Quality 3.6.1		
18	Land Mapping Unit 3.6.2		
18	3.7. Land Capability and Land Suitability Classification		
19	Land Capability Classification 3.7.1		
19	3.7.1.1 Concepts and Assumptions		
20	Structure of Classification 3.7.1.2		
21	Land Suitability Classification 3.7.2		
22	Categories of the System 3.7.2.1		
22	Structure of 3.7.2.2 the Classification		
24	Crop Requirements .3.8		
25	Land Qualities 3.9		
27	Soil Qenesis 3.10		
27	General Concepts 3.10.1		
29	3.10.2 Soil Genesis of West Omdurman		
32	CHAPTER FOUR - MATERIALS AND METHODS		
32	MATERIALS 4.1		
32 32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1		
_	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1  Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2		
32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2 32		
32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3		
32 32 32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4		
32 32 32 32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2		
32 32 32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1		
32 32 32 32 32	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2		
32 32 32 32 32 33	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3		
32 32 32 32 32 33 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties		
32 32 32 32 32 33 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2  Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1)		
32 32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2  Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1) (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2)		
32 32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2  Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1)		
32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1 (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2 (Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR 4.2.3.3)		
32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32  Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2  Field Methods 4.2.1  Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3  A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1) (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2) (Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR 4.2.3.3) Calcium Carbonate 4.2.3.4 Organic Carbon 4.2.3.5		
32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1 (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2 (Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR 4.2.3.3 Calcium Carbonate 4.2.3.4 Organic Carbon 4.2.3.5 Total Nitrogen 4.2.3.6		
32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1 (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2 (Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR 4.2.3.3 Calcium Carbonate 4.2.3.4 Organic Carbon 4.2.3.5 Total Nitrogen 4.2.3.6 Available Phosphorus 4.2.3.7		
32 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 35 35	Location of the Study Area 4.1.1 Remote Sensing Data 4.1.2  32 Existing Information from Maps 4.1.3 Previous of Documents 4.1.4  METHODS 4.2 Field Methods 4.2.1 Analytical Determinations 4.2.2 Laboratory Methods Used 4.2.3 A. Chemical Properties (Soil Reaction (pH 4.2.3.1 (.Electrical Conductivity (E.C 4.2.3.2 (Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR 4.2.3.3 Calcium Carbonate 4.2.3.4 Organic Carbon 4.2.3.5 Total Nitrogen 4.2.3.6		

35	4.2.3. 9 Exchangeable Cations		
35	Exchangeable Sodium 4.2.3.10 :(Percentage (ESP		
35	B. Physical Properties		
35	4.2.3.11 Particle Size Analysis		
36	4.2.4 Soil Classification		
36	Land Suitability Classification for Crops 4.2.5		
37	CHAPTER FIVERESULTS AND DISCUSION		
37	Parent Material 5-1		
37	general Properties of the Soils 5-2		
37	Sandy Soils 5.2.1		
37	Loamy Soils 5.2.2		
37 37	Loamy- Skeletal Soils 5.2.3 Soils Mapping Legend 5-3		
37 37	Soil Correlation and Classification 5-4		
39	The Soil Mapping Units 5.5		
39	(Map Unit 10 ( Loamy Soils 5.5.1		
39	(Soil Map Unit 20 (Stony, Loamy Skeletal 5.5.2		
39	Soil Map Unit 30:( clay Skeletal texture with 5.5.3		
40	(common Surface Stones		
43 43	Land Evaluation 5.6  Potential Land Utilization 5.6.1		
43 43	The land Utilization Type 5.6.2 Description of Land Utilization Types (LUTs) in 5.6.3		
	the Study Area		
47 52	Land Suitability Units in the Study Area 5.7  CHAPTER SIX -CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
52 52	Chapter SIX -Conclusions And Recommendations  Conclusions 6.1		
52	Recommendations 6.2		
54 57	REFERENCES APPENDICES		
31	ALLENDICES		

	<u>List of Maps</u>		
Pag	Title		Мар
е			S
No			.No
85		Soil Map	1

Land Suitability Map

2

86