

DEDICATION

To my father, may Allah receive him by health and wellness.

To my mother soul.

To my uncles and aunts.

To my brothers and sisters.

To my children Rayan and Ibrahim.

To my husband.

To all my friends and colleagues.

To the family of Community Development Fund (Kassala).

And to the family of kingdom international centre for training and

leadership.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My thanks and gratification is to almighty Allah who had given me the ability to finish this research in this form.

I would also like to record my hearty thanks to Dr Mahir Salih Suleiman for his perfect logistical support, affectionate advice and guidance.

All in all, I would also like to express my aptitude thanks to Dr Elshifa Ali Mergani who had supported me with advice, admonition, aid and who had gradually escalated with me till we were able to produce the research in its final form.

I must also mention my deep sense of appreciation and to express my sincere thanks to the brothers and sisters in Sudan University of Science and Technology, college of Agricultural Studies- Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. I would like to express my special gratitude to Dr Suad, Dr Fatima, Dr Amani, Ust Shadia, Ust. Bakri, Ust Seif Eldeen, Ust. Abubaker, brother Aymen who participated with me in the field work, sister Badrya and brother Hashim.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to the family of Community Development Fund (Kassala) for helping me to overcome all the difficulties and problems by giving me a special solicitude. They are: Mr. Abderhman , Nagwa, Ahmed, Mutwakil , Omer, Nahid, Osman, Adil, Mohammed , Mohammed, Alawia and Shaza.

It also gives me a profound and a sincere gratification to thank my beloved husband who had encouraged me to achieve success and prospect and had also helped me to climb the ladder of knowledge and cognition. My thanks to my lovely children Rayan and Ibrahim.

God bless them all.

Abbreviations

ADS: Area Development Scheme.
AED: Arab Economic Development.
ASCE: American Society of Civil Engineers.
CB: Capacity Building.
CBIS: Community-Based Information System.
CBOs: Community – Based Organization.
CBPR: Community-based participatory research.
CDF: Community Development Fund.
CED: Community Economic Development.
COR: commission of Refugees.
DFID: Department for International Development
DWCRA: Development of Women and Children in Rural Areas.
FAO: Food and Agricultural Organization.
GKY: Ganga Kalyan Yojana.
IDA: International Development Administration.
IDPs: Internally Displaced Persons.
IFAD: International Fund for Agricultural Development.
IRD: Integrated Rural Development.
IRDIP: Integrated Rural Development Program
MBN: Minimum Basic Needs.
NGOs: non-governmental organizations.
ODA: Overseas Development Administration.
SHG: Self-Help Group.
SITRA: Supply of Improved Toolkits to Rural Artisans.
TQM: Total Quality Management.
TRYSEM: Training of Rural Youth for Self-Employment.
UK: United Kingdom
UN: United Nation
UNDP: United Nation Development Program
USA: United States of America
USAID: United States Agency for International Development.
WCO: World Customs Organization
WHO: World Health Organization.
WSDC: Western savannah Development Corporation.

Abstract

Many national and international organizations focused on boosting the capacity of individuals and their development through subjecting them to capacity building training courses. One of those organizations is the Community Development Fund (CDF). The CDF project started its work in Kassala state in 2008. The aim of this research was to investigate the impact of capacity building training carried out by the CDF project to the leaders to participate with their communities in the development of their societies.

The study was conducted in the two localities of Khashmelgirba and Wadelhileow. The study directly targeted local leaders subjected to the training provided by the CDF project. The total numbers of selected trainees were (80) in the two localities. However, to cater for the opinions of the other villagers and officials in the area, the study opted for group discussions and semi-structured interviews with the two latter categories. So, the total number of the sample was 164 informants.

The study utilized both primary and secondary data. The primary data was collected through structured interview, focus group interviews, key informant interviews, and observations. Whereas, secondary data was collected from CDF reports, government reports, other relevant documents and the internet. The collected quantitative data was analyzed using SPSS. While, qualitative data was analyzed through transcription grouping, pattern finding and summarizing. The study concluded the following:

- Rural leaders have benefited from the training programs prepared by the Community Development Fund in building their capacity.
- The impact of the project on both leaders and communities was very good. Hence, villagers confirmed that they benefited from the CDF and local leaders in identifying their needs, choosing their projects, participating in projects' planning, implementing, monitoring and evaluating those projects.

Based on the finding, the following recommendations were suggested:

- Local leaders should prepare training in the form of mini courses targeting specific community segments based on their capabilities and available resources.
- Developmental organizations must deal realistically with the targeted communities, and be aware of the needs of communities to meet their priorities and to involve them in it.
- Commitment of local governments' institutions to duties with credibility and transparency with benefit communities.

مستخلص الدراسة

هنالك العديد من المنظمات الوطنية والعالمية التي تبذل جهوداً مقدرة في سبيل رفع وتطوير قدرات منتسبيها وذلك من خلال إشراكهم في دورات تدريبية معدة خصيصاً لهذا الغرض. وصندوق تنمية المجتمع هي واحدة من تلك المنظمات، وقد بدأت أنشطتها بولاية كسلا في العام 2008م. يهدف هذا البحث الي معرفة أثر التدريب الذي قامت به المنظمة علي بناء قدرات القيادات للمشاركه في تنمية مجتمعاتهم. وقد أجريت الدراسة بمحليتي خشم القرية وود الحليو بولاية كسلا. وبما أن الدراسة كانت موجه مباشرة لشريحة القادة المحليين ممن نالوا تدريباً بواسطة المنظمة، قد تم إختيار كل من المشاركين في الدورات من القادة في المحليتين وعددهم 80. هذا بالإضافة إلى مقابلة مجموعات مختارة من مواطني المحليتين (من غير القادة) والموظفين، وبالتالي فقد بلغ عدد المشاركين في الدراسة 164 مواطناً.

تم جمع البيانات من المصادر الأولية والثانوية. حيث تم جمع البيانات من المصادر الأولية من خلال المقابلات المنظمة والتي تمت مع كل القيادات المحليه التي تلقت تدريباً في المحليتين وذلك من خلال استخدام الاستبيان. هذا بالإضافة إلى مقابلات مع مجموعات النقاش ومفاتيح المعرفة. في حين تم جمع البيانات من المصادر الثانوية من خلال الرجوع لتقارير المنظمة والدوائر الحكومية بالمنطقة والانترنت. ثم تم تحليل البيانات باستخدام الحزمة الإحصائية للعلوم الإجتماعية (SPSS). وقد توصلت الدراسة الي العديد من النتائج كان أهمها:-

ان القيادات قد إستفادت من برامج التدريب التي نظمتها المنظمة في تنمية قدراتهم. أثر التدريب علي القادة والأهالي كان جيداً. حيث أكد الأهالي بأنهم تعلموا من خلال قادتهم كيفية تحديد إحتياجاتهم، تحديد المشروعات؛ المشاركة في إعداد المشروعات وتنفيذها وتقويمها. وبناء علي هذه النتائج خرجت الدراسة بعدد من التوصيات منها:-

- علي القيادات المحليه إعداد تدريب في شكل كورسات صغيره تستهدف شريحة مجتمعيه محدد، علي اساس مقدراتهم والموارد المتاحة لديهم.
- يجب على المنظمات التنموية التعامل بواقعية مع المجتمعات المستهدفة، وتكون على علم باحتياجات المجتمعات المحلية لتلبية أولوياتها وإشراكهم في ذلك.
- علي الدوائر الحكومية العاملة بالمنطقة التعامل بمصداقيه وشفافية مع المجتمعات المستفيدة.

Table of contents

No	Subject	Page
A	Dedication	I
B	Acknowledgement	II
C	Abbreviations	III
D	English Abstract	IV
E	Arabic Abstract	V
F	Table of Contents	VI
G	List of Table	X

Chapter One Introduction

1-1	Introduction	1
1-2	Problem context	2
1-3	Research problem and questions	3
1-4	Objectives	4
1-5	Importance of research	4
1-6	Organization of the study	5

Chapter Two Literature Review

2-1	Training	6
2-1-1	Definitions	6
2-1-2	The importance of training	7
2-1-3	Motives of Training	8
2-1-4	Training Objectives	9
2-1-5	Types of training	10
2-1-6	Training Methods	11
2-1-7	Aids used in training	17
2-1-8	Areas of Training	18
2-1-9	Training process	19
2-1-10	Training needs assessment	19
2-1-10-1	Identification of training needs	19
2-1-10-2	Types of training needs	20
2-1-10-3	Importance of identifying training requirements	20
2-1-10-4	Sources of training needs	20
2-1-10-5	Methods of information collection for identification of training needs	21
2-1-10-6	Analysis of information	22
2-1-10-7	Results display. (Final report to identify training needs)	22
2-1-11	Leadership	22
2-1-11-1	Introduction	22

2-1-11-2	Importance of leadership	23
2-1-11-3	Elements of Leadership	23
2-1-11-4	Theories of leadership	24
2-1-11-5	Personal Characteristics of Leader	25
2-1.11-6	Steps to identify the leaders	27
2-2	Rural development	30
2-2-1	The concept	30
2-2-2	Types of development	31
2-2-3	Characteristics of Rural Development	33
2-2-4	The scope and objectives of rural development	34
2-2-4-1	The scope of rural development	34
2-2-4-2	The objectives of rural development	35
2-2-5	Rural Development Approaches	36
2-2-5-1	Capacity Building (CB)	36
2-2-5-2	Community Based Rural Development	38
2-2-5-3	Community-based participatory research (CBPR)	41
2-2-5-4	Integrated rural development	41
2-2-5-5	Community Economic Development Approach	43
2-2-5-6	The Intermediate Technology approach	44
2-2-5-7	Rural Animation for Participation	45
2-3	Community development Fund Project	46
2-3-1	Project location	46
2-3-2	Project objectives	49
2-3-3	Project components	50

Chapter Three Research Methodology

3-1	Study area	52
3-2	Research population	52
3-3	Sample Selection	53
3-4	Data collection	53
3-5	Data collection tools	53
3-6	Data Analysis	55
3-7	Problems encountered	55

Chapter Four Results and Discussion

4-1	Personal characteristic of Respondents	56
4-1-1	Gender	56
4-1-2	Age	56
4-1-3	Education level	57
4-1-4	Marital state	58
4-1-5	Average income	59

4-1-6	Family size	59
4-2	Training Process	60
4-2-1	local leaders' selection methods	60
4-2-2	methods of determining leaders' skills	60
4-2-3	Skills possessed pre-training	61
4-2-4	Number of training session leaders joined	62
4-2-5	The duration of the training sessions	62
4-2-6	Training place	63
4-2-7	Assessment of training needs	63
4-2-8	Participation in setting up the training content	64
4-2-9	Training Fields	64
4-2-10	Methods of training	65
4-2-11	The training aids used	66
4-3	Leaders' opinions about training process	66
4-3-1	Number of leaders in each training session	66
4-3-2	The trainer's delivery and impart of subject	67
4-3-3	Understanding of the training materials	67
4-3-4	Training content suitability	68
4-4	Training Impact	68
4-4-1	Skills acquired as a result of training	68
4-4-2	Knowledge gained via training	69
4-4-3	Communication with villagers after training	70
4-4-4	Training delivered by leaders after training	70
4-4-5	Materials used by leaders in their communities training	71
4-4-6	Projects trainees participated in after training	71
4-4-7	Type of projects trainees implemented	72
4-4-8	Communities' input to projects implementation	72
4-4-9	Who decide on development projects at villages	73
4-4-10	Villagers' capability to identify their problems	73
4-4-11	Who helps villagers to identify their problems	74
4-4-12	Villagers participation in leaders meetings	74
4-4-13	Villagers' role in projects objectives setting	75
4-4-14	Villagers capacity to prioritize problems	76
4-4-15	Villagers capacity of implementing project	76
4-4-16	Communities ability to monitor and evaluate their projects	77
4-5	Problems encountered	78
4-5-1	Constraints faced the trainees during training	78
4-5-2	Constraints faced the trainees during their work	78

Chapter five
Summary, conclusions and recommendations

5-1	Summary of the results	80
5-2	Conclusions	81
5-3	Recommendations	81
5-3-1	To the Local Leaders	81
5-3-2	To the Communities	82
5-3-3	To the Organizations	82
5-3-4	To Local Governments	82
	References	
	Appendices	

LIST OF TABLES

No	Subject	Page
Table (1)	Mode of Living	46
Table (3-1)	Villages and leaders selected from two localities	53
Table (4-1-1)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents according to gender	56
Table (4-1-2)	Distribution of respondents by age group	56
Table(4-1-3a)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by education level	57
Table (4-1-3b)	Types of projects selected by villages and implemented by the communities	58
Table (4-1-4)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by marital state	58
Table (4-1-5)	Respondents' distribution by average monthly income	59
Table (4-1-6)	Distribution of respondents by family size	59
Table (4-2-1)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents according to the way of their selection	60
Table (4-2-2)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by the method of determining their skills	60
Table (4-2-3)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by their pre-training skills	61
Table (4-2-4)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by the number of training sessions	62
Table (4-2-5)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by the duration of sessions	62
Table (4-2-6)	Distribution of respondents by training place	63
Table (4-2-7)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents based on who helped them in identifying training needs	63
Table (4-2-8)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by participation in setting training contents	64
Table (4-2-9)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by training fields	64
Table (4-2-10)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by the training methods used	65
Table (4-2-11)	Frequency distribution and percentage of respondents by the training aids used	66
Table (4-3-1)	Respondents' opinions concerning number of participants per session	66

Table (4-3-2)	Respondents' opinions as to the trainers' way of delivering subjects	67
Table (4-3-3)	Respondents' viewpoints as to level of understanding the training material	67
Table (4-3-4)	Respondents' opinion about suitability of the content of the training	68
Table (4-4-1)	respondents' Skills acquired as a result of training	68
Table (4-4-2)	Knowledge gained by respondents as a result of the training	69
Table (4-4-3)	methods used by respondents to communicate with their communities after training	70
Table (4-4-4)	Training delivered by respondents for their communities after training	70
Table (4-4-5)	Training materials utilized by respondents in their communities training	71
Table (4-4-6)	Number of project respondents participated in with their communities	71
Table (4-4-7)	Type of projects respondents implement with their communities	72
Table (4-4-8)	Communities' input in development projects	72
Table (4-4-9)	Respondents' opinion as concerns who identifies projects at the villages	73
Table (4-4-10)	Respondents' viewpoints as to their communities' ability to spot their problems	73
Table (4-4-11)	Respondents' opinions towards who helped communities in identifying their problems	74
Table (4-4-12)	Respondents' opinion about the degree of communities' participation in leaders' meetings	74
Table (4-4-13)	respondents' opinion as regards communities' participation in identifying projects' objectives	75
Table (4-4-14)	Respondents' opinion as to extent of communities' ability of prioritizing village problems	76
Table (4-4-15)	Respondents' opinion as to extent of communities' ability of implementing village projects	76
Table (4-4-16)	Respondents' opinion concerning communities' ability to monitor and evaluate their projects	77
Table (4-5-1)	Respondents' distribution according to constraints faced during training	78
Table (4-5-2)	Respondents' distribution according to constraints faced during their work	78