DEDICATION

To my:

 $\label{lem:conditional} \textbf{Family......} \textbf{friends}$

With lots of love

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise is due to Allah, Lord of the worlds. Blessing and peace of Allah be upon prophet Mohammed, his family and his companions. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Naglla Taha Bashrie for her invaluable guidance and cooperation during the process of writing this research. Last, but far from least, my very sincere to my parents.

ABSTRACT

This study aims at investigating the difficulties facing EFL learners in using cohesive devices in writing essay. To achieve this purpose, the researcher adopted descriptive analytical method by using a written essay as a test. The sample of the study consisted of 60 students drawn from College of Languages, department of English Language second year students at SUST. The collected data were analyzed by using the statistical program (SPSS). The analysis of the data showed that there was weakness in using some cohesive devices in written essays, the students were unfamiliar with the types of cohesive devices, for instance ellipsis and substitution, and also misuse of cohesive devices affect the coherence of written text. The researcher recommended that teachers should help the students in using cohesive devices in writing.

مستخلص

هدفت الدراسة لتقصى الصعوبات التي تواجه طلاب اللغة الانجليزية باعتبارها للغه اجنبيه في إستخدام ادوات الترابط اللغوي في كتابة المقال. لتحقيق هدا الهدف قد تبنى الباحث المنهج الوصفي التحليلي لتحليل البيانات واستخدم الباحث النصوص المكتوبة. تتكون عينة الدراسة من ستين طالبا من كلية اللغات قسم اللغة الانجليزية بجامعة السودان للعلوم والتكنولوجيا لتحليل البيانات استخدم الباحث برنامج التحليل الاحصائ (SPSS) اظهر تحليل البيانات ان هناك ضعف في إستخدام ادوات الترابط اللغوي في كتابة المقال كما ان الطلاب غير ملمين بانواع ادوات الترابط مثل الحزف والابدال وبعض الطلاب لا يستخدمون ادوات الربط مما يؤثر على رصانة وتماسك النص. اوصى الباحث ان المعلين يساعدون الطلاب في كيفيت إستخدام ادوات الترابط اللغوي في الكتابة.

Table of contents

N0	SUBJUCT	Page No.
	DEDICATION	i
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
	Abstract : English version	iii
	: Arabic version	iv
	Table of contents	v
	List of Tables and Figures	ix
	CHAPTER ONE	
	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Statement of the Study	3
1.3	Significance of the Study	3
1.4	Research Questions	3
1.5	Hypotheses of the Study	3
1.6	Objectives of the Study	4
1.7	Methodology of the Study	4
1.8	Limitation of the Study	4
	CHAPTER TWO	
	LITERATURE REVIEW AND PREVIOUS STUDES	
2.0	Introduction	5

2.1.1	Discourse Analysis	5
2.1.1	Definition of Discourse	6
2.1.2	Features of Discourse	7
2.1.3	The Development of Discourse Analysis	7
2.1.4	Text and Discourse	8
2.1.5	The Concept of Texture and Textuality	9
2.2	Concept of Cohesion	11
2.2.1	Difference between Cohesion and Coherence	12
2.2.2	The Concept of Cohesive Devices	13
2.2.3	There is two Types of Cohesion	15
	A. Grammatical Cohesion	15
	1.Reference	15
	2.Ellipsis	17
	3.Substitution	18
	4.Conjunction	20
	B. Lexical cohesion	22
	1- Repetition / reiteration	23
	2- Synonymy	23

	3-Antonym	23
	4-Hyponymy	26
	5-Meronymy	24
	6-Collocation	24
2.3	Previous Studies	25
	CHAPTER THREE	
	METHODOLOGY	
3.0	Introduction	28
3.1	Population of the Study	28
3.2	Sample of the Study	28
3.3	Instrument of the Study	28
3.4	Validity and Reliability of the Study	29
3.5	Procedures	30
3.6	Data Analysis	30
3.7	Summary of the Chapter	31
	CHAPTER FOUR	
	DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCOSSION	
4.0	Introduction	32
4.1	Students' test results	32

	CHAPTER FIVE:	
	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND	
	SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER STUDIES	
5.0	Introduction	40
5.1	The main Findings of this Study	40
5.2	Conclusion	40
5.3	Recommendations	41
5.4	Suggestion for Further Studies	41
	Bibliography	43
	Appendices	45

List of Tables and Figures

NO	TOPIC	Page NO
1	Table (4-1): References	36
2	Table (4-2): Ellipsis	37
3	Table (4-3): Substitution	38
4	Table (4-4): Conjunction	39
5	Table (4-5): Repetition	40
6	Table (4-6): Synonymy	41
7	Table (4-7): Antonym	42
8	Table (4-8) verification of Hypotheses	43