# **DEDICATION**

To the soul of my Mother With deep love

And for

**My Family** 

Father, Brothers, Husband, Teachers and Friends

With love

**Ashwag** 

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First Sincere thanks to Allah who helped me and gave me health to finish this study.

I would like to express my gratitude, deep thanks and sincere appreciation to my Supervisior, Prof. *Asim Ali Abdelrahman* for his keen guidance, full co-operation, care and support to make this study possible. My indebtedness is also due to my Co-Supervisor Dr. *Abdelgader Osman*.

My sincere indebtedness is to the soul of my Mother Fatima for her encouragement and patience and to my Father, my family and my husband for their encouragement and support.

I'm very much appreciated the efforts of the Sudan University of Science and Technology which have offered the chance for my studies, and sincere thanks to all the staff of the Department of Plant Protection - Faculty of Agri. Studies-Sudan University of Science and Technology.

I also thank my friends Dr. Tag Alser Ibrahim, Austaz Omer Hassen, Fatima Deyab and others who have encouraged me during this work.

Lastly but not least, thanks are due to the Arab Authority for Agricultural Investment and Development for their support and to Prof. Murgani Kogali Ahmed from Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Date Palm- Shambat who supplied me with valuable information .

Finally, my thanks are also to the Ministry of Agriculture -Department of Agricultural Extension for providing me with a field at Karari area. Thanks are also extended to all those who helped me to produce this thesis and to all farmers who helped me especially Hassan at Karari area and Siddig at

#### Shambat area.

### **Contents**

i

#### Page No.

Dedication

Acknowledgement		ii	
Contents		iii	
List of T	ables	viii	
List of F	igures	X	
Abstract		xiii	
Arabic A	Abstract	xvi	
CHAPT	ER ONE: Introduction		1
СНАРТ	ΓER TWO:Review of Literature		
2.1	Botanical insecticides		4
2.2	Intercropping		
2.2.1	Types of intercropping practices	5	
2.2.2	Advantages	5	
2.3	Tomato	6	
2.3.1	Scientific Classification	7	
2.3.2	Common name in different languages	7	
2.3.3	Description	7	
2.3.4	Distribution	8	
2.3.5	Importance of tomato	10	
2.3.6	Nutrient value of tomato	10	
2.3.7	Medical uses of tomato	11	
2.3.8	Toxicity of tomato	11	
2.3.9	Classification of tomato cultivars	11	
2.3.10	Type of tomato in the Sudan	13	
2.3.11	Climatic requirements and Agriculture practices	13	
2.3.11.1	Temperature	13	
2.3.11.2	Soil	14	
2.3.11.3	Irrigation	16	
2.3.11.4	Fertilizer	17	
2.2.12	Culture and care	19	
2.3.12.1	<u> </u>	19	
2.3.12.2	Stacking	19	
2.3.12.3	Caging	19	
2.3.12.4	Plastic mulches	19	
2.3.12.5	Organic mulches	20	
2.3.13	Harvest, Storage, market and market:	20	
2.3.14	Greenhouse tomato production	22	

2.3.15	Common growing problems and solution	23
2.3.15.1	Physiological disorders	23
2.3.15.2	Blossom-end rot	23
2.3.15.3	Blossom drop	24
2.3.15.4	Fruit cracking	24
2.3.15.5	Catface and Blossom scar	24
2.3.15.6	Puffiness	24
2.3.15.7	Blotchy ripening	25
Page No	V 1 3	
2.3.15.8	Yellow top	25
2.3.15.9	Large Core, Green Gel	25
2.3.15.10	Sun burn or sunscald	25
2.3.16	Tomato Diseases	25
2.3.16.1	Fusarium wilt	26
2.3.16.2	Verticillum wilt	26
2.3.16.3	Bacterial wilt	26
2.3.16.4	Bacterial canker	26
2.3.16.5	Bacterial speck	27
2.3.16.6	Bacterial spots	27
2.3.16.7	Early blights	27
2.3.16.8	Anthracnose	27
2.3.16.9	Powdery mildew	28
2.3.17	Viruses diseases	28
2.3.17	Nematodes	28
2.3.19	Tomato Insect pests	29
2.3.13	Tolliato Hisect pests	23
2.3.20	Weeds	29
2.4	Actara®25wG (Neonicotinoid)	30
2.4.1	Active ingredient	30
2.4.2	Insecticidal activity	30
2.4.3	Mode of action	31
2.5	Argel Solenostemma argel (Del)	32
2.5.1	Scientific Classification	32
2.5.2	Description	32
2.5.3	Distribution	33
2.5.5	Medicinal value	33
2.5.5	Insecticides activity of argel	35
2.5.6	, <u> </u>	37
2.5.0	Chemical constituents of argel Garad or sunt <i>Acacia nilotica (</i> L)	37
2.6.1	Scientific classification	
		37
2.6.2 2.6.3	Description Distribution	38 39
2.6.4	Habitation	39
2.6	Economic Important	40
2.6.6	Medical uses	42
2.6.7	Chemical consistent	42
2.6.8	Insecticides activity	44
2.7	Cafur Eucalyptus <i>camaldulensis</i>	45
2.7.1	Scientific classification	45
2.7.2	Description	45
2.7.3	Distribution	46
2.7.4	Habitation	48
2.7.5	Economic important	48

2.7.6	Medicine use		49
2.7.7	Insecticides activity		49
2.7.8	Chemical consistent		51
2.8	Cotton Gossypium barbadense (L)		51
2.8.1	scientific classifications		51
2.8.2	Description		51
2.8.3	Distribution		52
2.8.4	Habitation		52
2.8.5	Used and Economic important of cotton		52
Page No	<del>-</del>		
2.8.6	Medicine uses		53
2.8.7	Chemical content		53
2.8.8	Insecticidal activity		54
2.9	insecticidal activity		J <del>-1</del>
	gonella foenum-graecum (L )	54	
2.9.1	Scientific classification	J <del>4</del>	54
			54 54
2.9.2	Description Distribution		
2.9.3			55
2.9.4	Economic important		55 56
2.9.5	Medicine uses		56
2.9.6			
	constituets	57	2.9.7
	es activity	58	
2.10	Garlic (Allium sativum (L.)		60
2.10.1	Scientific classification		60
2.10.2	Description		60
2.10.3	Distribution		61
2.10.4	Importance of garlic		62
2.10.5	Medicinal uses		62
2.10.6	Active ingredient		64
2.10.7	Insecticides activity of garlic		64
2.10.7 1	Pesticide activity		64
2.10.7.2	Herbicides activity		67
2.11	Hot pepper <i>Capsicum annuum</i> (L).		67
2.11.1	Scientific classification		67
2.11.2	Description		68
2.11.3	Distribution		68
2.11.4	Economic important		69
2.11.5	Insecticides activity		69
2.11.6	Medical uses		70
2.11.7	Chemical content		71
2.12	Neem tree <i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss.		71
2.12.1	Scientific classification		71
2.12.2	Description		72
2.12.3	Distribution		72
2.12.4	Importance		73
2.12.5	Insecticidal activity		73
2.12.6	Chemical consistent		76
2.12.0			7 <b>0</b> 77
2.13.1	Soap		77 77
	Insecticidal activity Mode of action		77 78
2.13.2			
2.14.1	Tomato insect pests		78 70
2.14.1.1	White fly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Genn)		78

2.14.1.1.1	Scientific classification	78
2.14.1.1.2	Distribution	79
2.14.1.1.3	Host plants	80
2.14.1.1.4	Host Plant Resisitance	81
2.14.1.1.5	Damage and economic importance	81
2.14.1.1.6	Behavior	84
2.14.1.1.7	Life cycle	85
2.14.1.1.8	Control measures	87
2.14.1.1.8.1	Chemical control	88
2.14.1.1.8.2	Botanical control	90
2.14.1.2	Leaf miner <i>Argomyza trifolii</i> (Burgess	91
Page N <u>o.</u>		
2.14.1.2.1Sci	entificclassification	91
2.14.1.2.2Dis	cription	92
2.14.1.2.3 Dia	stribution	93
2.14.1.2.4 Ho	st plants	93
2.14.1.2.5 Da	mage and economic importance	94
2.14.1.2.6Bel	navior	94
2.14.1.2.7 Lif	e cycle	95
2.14.1.2.8 Co	ntrol measures	96
2.14.1.2.8.1	Chemical control	96
2.14.1.2.8.2 H	Biological control	97
2.14.1.2.8.3	Cultural practices	98
2.14.3 Cot	ton aphids	99
2.14.3.1Sc	ientificclassification	99
2.14.3.2 D	istribution	99
2.14.3.3 D	istribution	100
2.14.3.4 H	ost plants	100
2.14.3.5 D	amage and economic importance	101
2.14.3.6 Li		103
2.14.3.7 Cd	ontrol measures	104
2.14.3.7.1	Chemical Control	104
	Cultural practices	104
	Physical control	105
	Biological control	105
	can bollworm Helicoverpa <i>armigera</i>	
	ientificclassification	105
2.14.4.2 D		106
2.14.4.3 D		106
2.14.4.4 Li		107
2.14.4.5 H	•	107
	amage and Economic Importance	107
	ontrol measures	108
	Cultural practices	108
2.14.4.7.2		108
	Mechanical control	108
2.14.4.7.4		109
	Crop rotation	109
	Biological control	110
2.14.4.7.7		110
	Bt (Bacillus thuringensis)	110
	Physical methods	111
2.14.4.7.9 2.15. Predato	<u>-</u>	111
L.IJ. FICUALO	13	111

2.15. 1 L	ady Beetles (Coccinellidae)	111
2.15.1.1S	cientific classification	111
2.15.1. 2	Description	112
2.15.1.3 1	Distribution	113
2.15.1.4	Lifecycle	113
2.15.1.5	Use in biological control	115
2.15.2. C	hrysopidae	116
2.15.2.1	Scientificclassification	117
2.15.2.2 ]	Description	117
2.15.2.3 ]	Distribution	118
2.15.2.4	· life cycle	118
Page No		
_	Use in biological control	119
	Defense	120
	Food	120
	Habitat	121
		spp 121
2.15.3.1	, , , ,	121
2.15.3.2	Description	122
2.15.3.3		122
2.15.4	Spiders	122
2.15.4 .1	Description	123
	Value in the garden	124
2.15.4.5	True Bugs (Order Hemiptera) 124	
_,_,,,,,	1140 24 <b>8</b> 0 (01401 1101111pteru)	125
CHAPTI	ER THREE: Materials and Methods	125
3.1	Experimental Site	125
3.1.1	Shambat Experimental Site	125
3.1.2	Karari Experimental Site	126
3.2	Pest Control Treatments	126
3.2.1	Shambat area	126
3.2.2	Karari area	127
3.3	Laboratory preparation of crude materials	128
3.3.1	Preparation of the seed kernel powder	128
3.3.1.1	Neem seed kernel powder (NSKP)	128
3.3.1.2	Cotton seed kernel powder (CSKP)	128
3.3.2	Preparation of the Seed Hexane Extract	128
3.3.2.1	Neem Seed Hexane Extract (NSK-Hex Extr.)	128
3.3.2.2	Cotton Seed Hexane Extract (CSK-Hex-Extr.)	129
3.3.3	Preparation of the Spray Solution	129
3.3.3.1	Neem and cotton seed kernel- hexane extract	129
3.3.3.2	Preparation of argel-aqueous extracts	129
3.3.3-3	Preparation of Actara	129
3.3.3.4	Preparation of soap	129
3.4	Cultural practices in the Nursery	130
3.5	Land preparation and layout	130
3.6	Field practice	131
3.7	Method of Application	133
3.7 .1	Spraying application	133
3.7 .2	intercropping application	133
3.8	Determination of pest population density	133
3.8 .1	Insect count	134
3.8 .1.1	Homoptera Insect pests	134

3.8 .1.2 3.8 .1.3 3.8.1.4 3.9 3.10	Leaf Miner African bollworm Natural enemies and beneficial insects Harvest Statistical Analysis	134 134 134 135 135
СНАРТІ	ER FOUR: Results	
		204
	List of Tables	
` ′	<b>D.</b> :- shows the area and production of tomato in the Sudan outhern region for years 1978, 1981, and 2003	9
	:- Nutrient value of some vegetable and quantity elements from a part of plant which use	11
, ,	: Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental ambat, June 2007).	126
, ,	:-Field lay-out of experiment in season(2006-2007), and 08) at Shambatarea.	132
` '	Pre and post spray counts and percent drop in population of (Bemisia tabaci) adult (per 100 leaves) at Shambat area (006/2007).	137
` ,	Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato at area (winter, 2006/2007).	139
leaves) o	Assessment of pre, post spray counts (per 100 f different predators(Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, a nymph and spider) on tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007)	142
` '	Assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant in the different s at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	144
(Helicove	Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm <i>rpa armigera</i> ) (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at area (winter, 2006/2007).	147
Table (6):	Assessment of sunscald fruits of tomato in the different	

treatments at Shambat area(winter, 2006/2007).	149
Table (7): Assessment of tomato plants damaged by leaf curl disease in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	152
Table (8): Assessment of percentage (%) damage by leaf miner in tomato intercropping with fenugreek (Fe), garlic(Ga), and Hot pepper(Ho) at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	154
Table (9): Pre and post spray counts and percent drop in population of whitefly ( <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> ) adult (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Shambat area 157 (winter, 2007/2008).	
Table (10): Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato leaves at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	159
Table (11): Pre and post spray counts of Aphids ( <i>Aphis gossypii</i> ) adult population (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008). <b>Page No.</b>	162
Table (12): Assessment of pre,post spray counts (per 100 leaves) of different predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and spider) on tomato during winter (2007/2008) season at Shambatarea.	164
Table (13): Assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (5pick) in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	167
Table (14): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm	
168 ( <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> ) (Hub) larvae in the different in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	169
Table (15): Assessment of sunscald fruits of tomato in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008). 172	
Table (16): Assessment of tomato plants damaged by leaf curl disease in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008)	174
Table (17): Assessment of percentage (%) damage by leaf miner in tomato intercropping with fenugreek (Fe), garlic (Ga), and hot pepper (Ho) in at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	177
4.18 Table (18): Pre and post spray counts, and percent drop in population dynamic of whitefly ( <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> ) adult on tomato (per 100 leaves) at Karari area(winter, 2007/2008).	179
Table (19): Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato leaves at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	182

Table (20): Pre and post spray counts of Aphids ( <i>Aphis gossypii</i> ) adult population (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	184
Table (21): Assessment of pre, post spray counts (per 100 leaves) of different Predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and spider) on tomato at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	187
Table (22): Assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (4 picks) in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	189
Table (23): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by Africa bollworm ( <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> ) (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	192
Table (24): Assessment of sunscald fruits of tomato in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	194
Table (25): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by Blossom End Rot in experimental site Karari (winter, 2007/2008).  Table (26): Comparative between sun and shade tomato seedling 20	197
days from growing in nursery (winter, 2006/2007).  Table (27): Production of tomato fruits ton/feddan in the different seasons.	199 202
List of Figures	
Page No.	
Fig 1 (a): Pre and post spray counts of whitefly ( <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> ) adult population (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Shambat are (winter, 2006/2007) .	138
Fig 1 (b): Percent drop in population of whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> adult (per 100 leaves)on tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	138
Fig 2: Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato leaves at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	140
Fig3: Pre and post spray counts (per 100 leaves) of different predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and spider) on tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	143
Fig 4(a): Number of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (9 picks) in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	145
Fig 4(b): Weight assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (9 picks) in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	145
Fig 5(a): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at Shambat	158

area (winter, 2006/2007).	
Fig 5(b): Weight assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hub)larvaein the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	158
Fig 6(a): Number of sunscald tomato fruits in the differenttreatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	150
Fig 6(b): Weight of tomato sunscald fruits in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007	150
Fig 7: Assessment of tomato plants damaged by leaf curl disease in the differe treatments Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	153
Fig 8: Percent damage by leaf miner in tomato intercropped with fenugreek (Fe), garlic (Ga), and Hotpepper (Ho) at Shambat area (winter, 2006/2007).	155
Fig 9 (a): Pre and post spray counts in population of whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> Adult (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	158
Page N <u>o.</u>	
Fig 9 (b): Percent drop in population of whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> Adult (per 100 leaves) on. tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008)	158
Fig 10: Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato leaves at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	160
Fig 11: Pre and post spray counts of Aphids adult ( <i>Aphis gossypii</i> ) population (per 100 leaves) o tomato at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	163
Fig 12: Pre and post spray counts (per 100 leaves) of different predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and spider) on tomato at Shambat area(winter, 2007/2008).	165
Fig 13(a): Number of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (5 picks) in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	168
Fig 13(b): Weight assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant(5 picks) in the different treatments at e Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	168

Fig 14(b): Weight assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African Bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at

170

Fig 14(a): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at Shambat

area (winter, 2007/2008).

Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008). 169	170	
Fig 15(a): Number of sunscald tomato fruits in the different treatments At Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	173	
Fig 15(b): Weight of tomato sunscald fruits in the different treatments at Shambat area.	173	
Fig 16: Assessment of tomato plants damaged by leaf curl disease in the different treatments at Shambat area (winter, 2007/2008).	175	
Fig 17: Percent damage by leaf miner in tomato intercropped with fenugreek (Fe), garlic (Ga), and hot pepper (Ho) at Shambat Area (winter, 2007/2008).	178	
Fig 18 (a): Pre and post spray counts in population of whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> adult (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	180	
Fig 18(b): Percent drop in population of whitefly <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (per 100 leaves) adult on tomato at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	180	
Page N <u>o.</u>		
Fig 19: Pre and post spray counts of damage by leaf miner in tomato leaves at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	183	
Fig 20: Pre and post spray counts of Aphids ( <i>Aphis gossypii</i> ) adult population (per 100 leaves) on tomato at Karari area (Winter, 2007/2008)	185	
Fig 21: Pre and post spray counts (per 100 leaves) of different predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and spider) on tomato at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	188	
Fig 22(a): Number of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (4 picks) in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	190	
Fig 22(b): Weight assessment of Sound fruits of tomato per plant (4 picks) in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	190	
Fig 23(a): Assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hub) larvae in the differen treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	193	196
Fig 23(b): Weight assessment of tomato fruits damaged by African bollworm <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hub) larvae in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	193	
Fig 24(a): Number of sunscald tomato fruits in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	195	
Fig 24(b): Weight of tomato sunscald fruits in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).	195	

Fig 25(a): Number of tomato fruits damaged by blossom end rot in the different treatments at Karari area (winter, 2007/2008).

198

Fig 26: Comparative between sun and shade tomato seedling after 20 days from growing in nursery.

200

Fig (27): Production of tomato fruits ton/feddan in the different seasons.

203

#### **ABSTRACT**

An integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach was adopted to minimize or nullify the use of insecticides in tomato fields. The study was carried out in 2006/2007-2007/2008 seasons at Shambat and Karari areas particularly to find out whether the yield of tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (variety Peto86) can be increased without the use of synthetic insecticides. The following treatments were compared:

- 1. Neem -seed-kernel hexane extract (2.5%) (Azadrachta indica) (No).
- 2. Cotton-seed-kernel hexane extract (2.5%) (Gossypium hirsutum)(Co).
- 3. Argel leaves aqueous extract at 37.3g/6L (Solenostemma argel) (S).
- 4. Soap solution at 25 ml/4L (So).
- 5. Actara®25wG at the rate of 0.75g/f (act) as (standard) (M).
- 6. Intercropping tomato with fenugreek (Trigonella foenum graecum) (F).
- 7. Intercropping tomato with hot pepper (Capsicum annuum) (H).

- 8. Intercropping tomato with garlic Allium sativum (*G*).
- 9. Intercropping tomato with garad (Acacia nilotica) (A)
- 10. Intercropping tomato with neem (Azadrachta indica) seedlings (N).
- 11. Intercropping tomato with cafour (Eucalyptus camaldulensis) seedlings (E).
- 12. Control (tomato only) (C).

Both treatments spraying with dissolved materials and intercropping were tested to observe the effect of these treatments on the population dynamics of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci, leaf miner Argomyza trifolii, African bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera) (Hub) larvae as well as fruit damage by both pests and by the sun (sunscald) and predators (Coccinellidae larvae, Chrysopidae larvae, Hemiptera nymph and Spider). Observations were also made on other pests (Aphids) and diseases such as Tomato Leaf Curl (TLCV) virus and comparison between damage by leaf miner in tomato and the plants intercropped with tomato such as fenugreek, garlic, and hot pepper were done. In addition to other observations on the damage caused by blossom end rot and the effect of sunlight on the growth of seedlings in the nursery also were made.

The results showed that Actara, neem oil, and cotton oil were the superior treatments in controlling whitefly, whereas cotton oil, neem oil, and garlic were found effective in suppression the population of the leaf miner followed by neem, garad, cafour and Actara. argel seem to be attractive to leaf miners. Actara was better in protecting the natural enemies, followed by garlic, soap, garad, neem oil, argel, and neem.

Tomato fruits showed that, cotton oil and neem oil exhibited good results in controlling Helicoverpa armigera, but argel and garad were better in increasing the number of sound fruits. However, Actara, garad, neem and cafour treatments gave good results in the control of Helicoverpa armigera.

All above mentioned treatments (cotton oil, neem oil, argel and garad) resulted increased the size of tomato fruits. Soap gave the best result in increasing the number of small size of sound fruits.

Assessment of tomato plants damaged by leaf curl disease at Shambatindicated that neem and fenugreek treatments resulted in good protection of tomato plants from leaf curl disease, followed by Actara, cotton oil and soap, whereas argel, garlic, cafour and garad showed high level of damage by leaf curl disease. However there is no damage observed at Karari area in all the treatments.

The results in intercropping plots of fenugreek, garlic, and hot pepper with tomato plants at Shambat area indicated that hot pepper and garlic plants were not damaged by leaf miner while fenugreek plants were susceptible to damage by leaf miner. However, tomato intercropped with hot pepper gave good results; it gave low damage by leaf miner whereas tomato intercropped with fenugreek gave high damage by leaf miners.

neem oil, cotton oil and fenugreek were the superior treatments in the control of Aphids, Aphis gosspyii, followed by cafour and soap at both Shambat and Karari areas in 2007/2008 season.

Also assessment of tomato fruits damaged by blossom end rot at Karari area showed that cotton oil and neem oil gave the best results in the control of blossom end rot. There was no blossom end rot damage appeared in the experimental site at Shambat.

Comparative study was also done comparing tomato seedlings exposed to the sun and seedlings grown under the shade after 20 days from germination in the nursery. The results indicated that the seedlings which were exposed to the sun were better than the shaded seedlings.

Spraying treatments gave the best result in production of tomato fruits ranging between (160.00- 117.36) ton/feddan which was achieved by argel and soap respectively. Whereas intercropping treatments exhibited low

production of tomato fruits ranging between (113.52- 93.60) ton/ feddan, which was achieved by garad and cafour respectively.

Finally, result show that the best production was in (2006/2007) season. Argel gave high production in tomato fruits ranging between (160.00-42.24) ton/ feddan while cafour gave the last recorded in the production of tomato fruits ranging between (93.60-58.32) ton/ feddan.

#### الخلاصية

به دف تخفيض او الغاء إستخدام (IPM) تم إختيار طرد قة المكافحة المتكاملة للآفات (2007/2008)-(2006/2007) المبيدات في حقل الطماطم هذه الدراسة اجريت في موسمي (2006/2007)-(2006/2008) للكشاف عن إمكانية زدادة إنتاج الطماطم esculentum

بدون إستخدام المبيدات الكيميائية (Variety Peto86)

:-تمت م قارنة المعاملات الأتية

- 1. المستخلص الهكساني لذواة بذرة النيم (Azadrachta indica) (No) ) [] [] (2.5%).
- 2. (Cotton spp) (Co) 2.5) المستخلص الهكساني لنواة بذرة ال قطن).
- 3. لمرجل (Solenostemma argel)(S) . 37.3. تركيز (Solenostemma argel)
- 4. 25. محلول الصابون ml /4L (So)
- M).) كمبيد قياسي g/f بمعدل 0.75 wg ركيز Actara 25 أكتارا (M
- (F). (Trigonella foenum –graecum) الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع نبات الحلبه
- (H) (Capsicum annuum). الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع نبات الشطة

- 8. الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع نبات التوم (Allium sativum) ((G.
- 9. الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع شتول الا قرض (Acacia nilotica) (A).
- 10. الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع شتول النيم (Azadrachta indica) (N).
- 11. (Eucalyptus camaldulensis) (E) الزراعة البينيه للطماطم مع شتول الكافور
- 12. (الشاهد (طماطم ف قط )C) ).

أجريت تجربتي رش وزراعة بينية لملاحظة تأثير المعاملات علي الديناميكا السكانية والاعداء الحيوية Argomyza trifolii وحافرة الأنفاق Bemisia tabaci للنبابة البيضاء Helicoverpa armigera (Hub) وملاحظة الثمار الصحيحة والمصابه بدودة اللوزالأفريةية تمت ايضاً ملاحظات علي آفات اخري مثل .(sunscald) والعامل الفيزيائي ضربة الشمس كذك اجريت .(TLCV) الامراض كفيروس تجعد أوراق الطماطم معه مثل الحلبة والثوم مقارنه بين إصابة الآفات لنبات الطماطم والنباتات المزروعه معه مثل الحلبة والثوم والشطة. بالأاضافة لملاحظات اخري مثل اصابه ثمار الطماطم بالعفن القمي .

أظهرت النتائج علي اوراق الطماطم أن مبيد اكتارا وزيت النيم و زيت القطن هي افضل المعاملات في مكافحة الذبابة البيضاء بينما زيت النيم والقطن والثوم لها تأثير في خفض كثافة حافرة الانفاق يتبعها النيم والقرض والكافور ومبيد اكتارا. الحرجل يبدو أنه جاذب لحشرة حافرة الانفاق. كان مبيد اكتارا الافضل من حيث عدم تأثيرة علي الأعداء الحيوية لعشرة حافرة الانفاق. يتبعة الثوم والصابون والقرض وزيت النيم والحرجل ونبات النيم

أظهرت النتائج علي ثمار الطماطم أن زيت النيم وزيت القطن اعطت نتائج جيده في مكافحة دودة اللوز الأفريد قية و الحماية من ضربه الشمس . بينما معاملات مبيد اكتارا والقرض . والنيم والكافور اعطت نتائج جيدة في مكافحة الدودة اللوز الأفريد قية فقط

الحرجل والقرض أعطيا افضل النتائج في زيادة الثمار السليمة بينما معاملات زيت الدحم. الصابون اعطي نتيجه جيدة في القطن والحرجل والقرض اعطت ثمار كبيرة في الحجم. الصابون اعطي نتيجه جيدة في .زياده عدد الثمار السليمة لكنها صغيرة الحجم

إختبار اصابة نباتات الطماطم بمرض تجعد ألاوراق في شمبات دلت علي أن مع املتي النيم والحلبة اعطت حماية جيدة لنباتات الطماطم من الاصابة بالمرض بينما لم تلاحظ اي اصابه بالمرض في كرري . الزراعة البينية للطماطم مع نباتات الحلبة والثوم والفلفليه في

منط قة شمبات دلت علي ان نباتات الشطة والثوم غير قابلة للاصابة بحافرة الانفاق بينما نبات الحلبه حساس للاصابة بحافرة الانفاق. الطماطم المزوعة مع الشطة اعطت نتائج جيدة اذ انها اعطت اصابه منخفضة بحافره الانفاق بينما الطماطم المزروعة مع الحلبة اعطت اصابه منخفضة بحافرة الانفاق.

زيت النيم وزيت ال قطن والحلبة افضل المعاملات لمكافحة حشرة المن يتبعها الكافور ). (والصابون في منط قتي شمبات وكرري في موسم 2007/2008

إختبار اصابة ثمار الطماطم بالعفن القمي في منطقة كرري دلت التجارب علي أن معاملتي زيت النيم وزيت القطن اعطت نتائج جيدة في مكافحة المرض . بينما لم تلاحظ المعاملتي زيت النيم وزيت القطن اعطت نتائج بيدة في منطقة شمبات الاصابة في منطقة شمبات

تمت مقارنة بين الشتول التي تنمو في ضؤ الشمس والشتول التي تنمو في الظل بعد 20 يوم من نمؤها في المشتل حيث دلت النتائج علي أن الشتول التي تنمو في الظل . الشمس افضل من التي تنمو في الظل

معاملات الرش اعطت انتاجية جيدة تتراوح ما بين (160.00-117.36) طن / للفدان اعطيت بواسطة الحرجل والصابون بالترتيب، م قارن بمعاملات الزراعة البينية حيث اظهرت انتاجية ا قل تتراوح ما بين (93.60-113.52) طن / للفدان والتي اعطيت بواسطة الاقرض والتاجية ا قل تتراوح ما بيان (93.60-113.52).

اخيراً تشيرالنتائج الي أنَ افضل إنتاجية طماطم كانت في موسم (2006/2007) في منطقة شمبات. ومعاملة الحرجل قد أعطت افضل انتاجية للطماطم والتي تتراوح ما بين (معاملة الحرجل) عن اللفدان بينما الكافور اعطي اقل انتاجية (93.60- 40.56) عن اللفدان