## Sudan Journal of Science and Technology (2017) 18(1): 56-61



#### Study on the Bacterial Contamination of Some Animal Products in Khartoum State

## Wesal Abbas Eltaib, Daoud Alzuber Ahmed, Hayder Elamin Ahmed, Huda Mohamed Zeinelabideen

College of Animal Production Science and Technology

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

# ARTICLE HISTORY Received: 18/07/2016

Accepted: 24/12/ 2016 Available online: August 2017

KEYWORDS: Salmonella, E. coli, Meat, Milk, Egg.

## ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to determine the level of contamination (Total bacterial count and the contaminants (Salmonella and E. coli) in some animal products (meat, milk and egg), that sold in four public markets in Khartoum state (Jackson, Mayo, Alftahab and Souk Seta). The assessment of total bacterial count and the contaminants (Salmonella and E. coli) of animal product samples was done in the microbiological laboratory (Kuku complex) Sudan University Science and Technology. The result of bacterial contamination revealed no significance difference between meat, milk and egg samples of different markets, hence Souk Seta meat samples reported highest bacterial count ( $(5.33\pm3.06 \text{ CFU/g})\times10^6$ ), whereas in milk samples Alftahab market recorded the highest bacterial count  $((6.00\pm2.00 \text{ CFU/g})\times10^6)$ , similarly, Mayo market reported highest total bacterial count in egg,  $((5.00\pm1.00$  $(CFU/g) \times 10^6$ ). The bacterial contaminants results showed the presence of Salmonella and /or E. coli in meat, milk and eggs samples in the four public markets in Khartoum state. © 2017 Sudan University of Science and Technology. All rights reserved

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Food borne diseases are increasingly recognized problem involving a wide spectrum of illnesses caused by bacterial, viral, parasitic or chemical contamination of food. Although viruses account for half of all the food borne illnesses, most hospitalizations and deaths related to food borne infections are due to bacterial agents. Diarrheal symptoms are the commonest manifestation of food poisoning and in some cases, can lead to death. The

diseases are caused by either toxin from the "disease-causing" microbes, or by the human body's reactions to the microbe itself (Tajkarimi, et al., 2009). Infectious diseases along with food can be a source of danger, involving multiple agents, mainly bacterial (Salmonella, Campylobacter, Verotoxin producing Escherichia coli, Listeria...), but also parasitic (Toxoplasma gondii, Cyclospora cayetanensis, Trichinella spp.), and viral (Norovirus, hepatitis A virus), as well as non conventional communicable agents and mycotoxins (Korkeala and Lindstrom. 2009: Shahram et al., 2012). An outbreak of listeriosis among hospital patients in 2004 in Wales, United Kingdom, was epidemicologically linked the to consumption of contaminated sandwiches (Meldrum and Smith, 2007; Shahram et al., 2012).

The safety of food of animal origin for human consumption has become an essential part of the public health debate. Several meat processing plants have begun to utilize a program called the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system to reduce pathogenic contamination. This program identifies the steps in the conversion of livestock to human food where the product is at risk of contamination by microorganisms (Anonymous, 2002). Ensuring the microbial safety and shelf life of food depends on minimizing the initial level of microbial contamination, preventing or limiting the rate of microbial growth, or destroying microbial populations. With many foods, these strategies have been practiced successfully for thousands of years. However, in the last decade, the incidence of food borne disease has increased in the industrialized world (Mcmeekin et al, 1997), despite the

introduction of the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) the promulgation concept and of regulations in food safety. The increased incidence of food borne disease is caused by changes in agricultural and food processing practices, increasing international trade in food, and social changes (which include changed eating habits and increased population mobility) (Mcmeekin, et al, 1997). The shop keepers sell most of the meat in the open market and even on road side. The contaminated food and water are sources of health hazard in human body(Syed et al., 2003).

Food Safety Inspection Service (FSIS) as one of the most common prevention of food-borne diseases associated with meat and poultry products. Yersinia enterocolitica is a salt-tolerant. pschrotrophic rod that is widely distributed in nature, in aquatic and animal reservoir as human pathogenic strains (Hillers *et al.*, 2003 and Oluwafemi and Simisaye, 2006).

Eggs can be contaminated by Salmonella enterititis on the outer shell or inside the egg. Passage of the egg through the contaminated cloaca or contamination with environmentally present Salmonella bacteria can cause outer shell contamination. Internal egg contamination can be caused by shell penetration through cracks (horizontal transmission) (Messens et al., 2005; De Reu et al., 2006 and Van, 2011). The term of food poisoning in its widest sense, includes bacterial food poisoning, chemical contamination of food, plant toxin contamination of food, food allergies and food-borne viruses. Almost any agent capable of causing infection be transmitted can by being а contaminant in food (Gracey and brownlie1986). Harakeh et al (2005) reported the isolation of Salmonella and Ecoli isolates from meat-based fast food in Lebanon.

*E. coli* is easily killed by heating so cooking food properly is a basic method of control. Water can also be a source of the *E. coli* (Hema, 2010).

The Objectives of the study to determine bacterial contamination of beef meat, cow milk and poultry egg using total bacterial count and isolation , identification of their contaminants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Samples: The samples of this study were collected from four open market at public transport stations in Khartoum state (Jackson. Mavo. Alftahab and Souk Seta). twelve samples of beef meat, milk and chicken eggs were taken and labeled for further determination of bacterial assessment, the assessment of total bacterial count and the contaminants of animal product samples was done in the microbiological laboratory(Kuku complex) Sudan University of Science and Technology.

## **Total Bacterial Count:-**

One gram of fresh beef meat, one ml of cow milk and one ml of fresh chicken eggs samples, were homogenized in nine ml of sterile distilled water for 1.5 minute. Ten fold dilutions of homogenate were prepared in 0.5 % peptone water. One ml of suitable dilutions was mixed with 10 ml sterile plate count agar and the plates were incubated at 37°c for 48 hours . After incubation, plates containing colonies were counted from which the number of viable cells per one (gram or ml) of samples were calculated (CFU/g colony forming unit /g for beef meat and unit/ml for milk and egg), Baker and Breach (1980).

SalmonellaandEscherichiacoliIdentification:Forselective

enumeration of bacteria. selective plating media was used .MacoConkey agar media was used for salmonella and Escherichia coli. After 48 hours incubation at 37°c, typical colonies of Escherichia coli appeared pink color. Were isolated sub-cultured on MacConkey, DCA and blood agar, the salmonella colonies appeared black color in DCA(Deoxycholate citrate agar). Typical colonies were selected for further identification according to Cowan and Steel (1993).

Statistical Analysis: Data collected were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and were analyzed using SPSS (Version 17.0) (2008) computer software program as one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (p<0.05).

# RESULTS

Table (1) showed the mean values of total bacterial count of beef meat. There were no significant differences between the different markets values. However Souk Seta market recorded the highest bacterial count ( $(5.33\pm1.15)\times10^6$ ).

Whereas the values of bacterial count in fresh cow milk samples was hight. in Alftahab market had a highest bacterial count per ml of milk  $((6.00\pm2.00)\times10^{6})$ than other markets samples, hence the statistical analysis showed no significance difference between the markets( table 1).

Table (1) showed the mean values of total bacterial count of chicken egg samples. There was no significant difference between the different open markets. However the total bacterial count per ml of chicken eggs in Mayo open market had the highest total bacterial count  $((5.00\pm1.00)\times10^6)$ .

**Bacterial contaminants of meat, milk and egg samples:** Table (2) Showed that, all samples of beef meat from different open markets had positive *E. coli*. Whereas salmonella was found only in Mayo market samples. Also Samples of cow milk from different open markets had positive *E. coli*, whereas salmonella was found in Mayo and Alftahab markets. As shown in table (2). Samples of chicken eggs from different open markets were contaminated with *E. coli*, except Jackson market. Salmonella was found in Jackson, Alftahab and Souk Seta market but absent in Mayo market.

**Table 1:** Total bacterial count of fresh beef meat, cow milk and chicken egg samples (Bacterial count $\times 10^6$ ):

Collection sites	Meat(TBC/g)	milk(TBC/ml)	Egg(TBC/ml)	
Jackson Open	$2.07 \pm 1.72$	$4.80 \pm 1.06$	$2.53 \pm 1.29$	
Market				
Mayo Open Market	$3.33~\pm~1.15$	$5.33 \pm 3.06$	$5.00 \pm 1.00$	
Alftahab Open	$4.20 \pm 3.70$	$6.00 \pm 2.00$	$3.83~\pm~2.88$	
Market				
Souk Seta Open	$5.33 \pm 3.06$	$3.66 \pm 2.52$	$4.00 \pm 3.46$	
Market				
Significant	NS	NS	NS	
TBC: Total Bacterial Count.	NS: No si	prificant difference		

	· · ·	• 1 0		• • • • •	1 • 1	1
able 2: Bacterial	contaminants	in beef	meat, cow	milk and	chicken e	egg samples

Collection Sites	Meat Samples		Milk Samples		Egg Samples	
	E. coli	Salmonella	E. coli	Salmonella	E. coli	Salmonella
Jackson Open Market	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	+ve
Mayo Open Market	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	-ve
Alftahab Open Market	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve	+ve	+ve
Souk Seta Open	+ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve	+ve
Market						
Significant	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS: No significant difference

#### DISCUSSION

The high total viable counts recorded in this study showed the microbial diversity in these Markets, condition of the market and the hygienic practice employed by animal product sellers. This determined the variation of bacterial contamination; the shop keepers sold most of the meat in the open market and even on road side. The contaminated food and water are sources of health hazard in human body as reported by Syed *et al*, (2003). Regarding all samples of (meat, milk and egg) in different open markets showed positive *E. coli*, expect of Jackson market. In spite the presence of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* isolates in meatbased fast food in Lebanon as reported by Harakeh *et al* (2005), many strains of E. coli are harmless and are found naturally in the gut of human and animals can contaminate meat and milk Hema, (2010). The egg samples of Jackson, showed positive salmonella, with absence in meat and milk samples. This findings matches with the report of Van, *et al.*, (2011), who stated that

many Salmonella are found in laying hen eggs . In contrast with Jackson samples, this study showed positive Salmonella in meat and milk samples in mayo market with absence in egg samples. However, many other foods have been implicated in outbreaks caused by Salmonella (mayonnaise, salads, milk, orange juice, sprouted seeds and dairy products), Hema, (2010). Salmonella is widely dispersed with human and animals, as the primary hosts Graber, (1991). In Alftahab Open Market positive Salmonella in milk and egg samples with absence of Salmonella in meat samples. Hence in Souk seta positive Salmonella in egg samples were reported, which is similar to the finding of Van et al., (2011).

# CONCLUSION

The results of this study revealed that the animal products were contaminated with *Salmonella* and /or *E. coli* in meat, milk and egg samples in the four public markets in Khartoum state.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2002). *Neb Guide*, published by cooperative extension institute of Agriculture and natural resources, University of Nebraska
- Lincolon Benneth, B.G., (1981). Exposure commitment assessment of environmental pollution. *Monitoring and assessment Research Center*, London, Vol.1.
- Baker, F.J. and Breach ,M.R. (1980). Medical microbiological Techniques. 1st ed. Butterworth & co. 1td, London.547p.
- Cowan and Steel (1993). Manual for the identification of Medical bacteria, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Cambridge University press, 1993.

- DeReu, K.; Grijspeerdt, K.; Messens, W.: Heyndrickx, M.: Uyttendaele, M.; Debevere, J. and Herman, L. (2006). Eggshell factors influencing eggshell and penetration whole egg by different contamination bacteria, including Salmonella Enteritidis. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 112: 253-260.
- (1991). Graber, G. Control of Salmonella in animal feeds. Division of Animal Feeds. Center for Veterinary Medicine, Food & Drug Administration. Report to the National Advisory Commission on Microbiological Criteria for Foods.
- Gracey, F.H.; Brownlie, L. E. (1986). Effect of some pre-slaughter treatments on the Salmonella population in the bovine rumen and feces. *Journal of Appllied Bacteriology*, **31**:157 163.
- Harakeh, S., Yassine, H., Gharios, M., Barbour, E., Hajjar, S., El-Fadel, M., Toufeili, I. and Tannous, R. (2005). Isolation, Molecular Characterization and Antibiotic Resistance patterns of Salmonella and Escherichia coli Isolates from Meat-Based Fast Food in Lebanon. Science of the Total Environment, 341, 33-44.
- Hema Ramanathan (2010). Food Poisoning A Threat to Humans, Marsland Press .PO Box 180432, Richmond Hill, New York 11418, USA.
- Hillers, V.N., Medeiros, L. K., Chen, G. P. and Mascola, S. (2003). Consumer food-handling behaviors associated with prevention of foodborne

illnesses. *Journal Food Protection*, **66**(10):1893-9

- Korkeala, H. and Lindstrom, M. (2009). *Duodecim*, **125**, 674-683.
- Mcmeekin, T.A., Brown, J., Krist, K., Miles, D., Neumeyer, K., Nichols, S.D., Olley, J., Presser, K., Ratkowsky, A. D.; Ross, T.; Salter, M. and Soontranon S, (1997). Quantitative Microbiology: A Basis for Food Safety. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, **3**(4): 543.
- Meldrum, J. R. and Smith, M. R. (2007). Food Prot, **70**, 1958-1960.
- Messens, W., Grijspeerdt, K. and Herman, L. (2005). Eggs hell penetration by *Salmonella*: a review. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **61**:71–85.
- Oluwafemi, F. A. and Simisaye, M. T. (2006). Extent of Microbial Contamination of Sausages Sold in Two Nigerian Cities. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, **9:** 133 – 136.
- Shahram, K., Gholamreza, S., Abbas, B. and Ehsan, S. (2012). Determination of bacterial contamination isolated from

Sandwiches in Kerman City and their resistance to commonly used antimicrobials. *Archives of Applied Science Research*, 2012, **4** (2):1100-1105.

- SPSS (2008). Statistical package for the social science. Version 17.0 SPSS Inc Chicago.
- Syed, M. S.; Sardar, W. K. and Imran, H. (2003). Effect of Environmental Pollution on Quality of Meat in District Bagh, Azad Kashmir *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 2 (2): 98-101.
- Tajkarimi, M., Faghih, M.A., Poursoltani, Nejad, A.S., Motallebi, A.A., Teplitski, M., Wrigh, A. C. and Lorca, G. (2009). Biological approaches for controlling shellfish-associated pathogens. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 20: 185–190.
- Van Hoorebeke, S., Van Immerseel, F., Haesebrouck, F., Ducatelle, R., Dewulf, J. (2011). The influence of the housing system on Salmonella infections in laying hens: a review. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, **58**(5): 304-11.