Sudan University of Science and Technology College of Graduate Studies

MeasurementEffective Radiation Dose In of Patient Myocardial Infarct Imaging using Tc-99m – Pyrophosphate

قياس الجرعة المؤثرة للمريض في فحص احتشاء عضلة القلب باستخدام بايروفوسفات99m تكنيشيوم

A complementary research submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements of M.Sc. degree in Nuclear Medicine Technology

By:

NmariqAbdalqadirEltaib Mohamed

Supervisor:

Dr. Awad AbdallaAdlan

2017

DeDication:

To my parents ,who give me support always and who encourage me to do this work \dots

To my brothers

To my husband and sons...

Acknowl edgment

Firstly, I thank the Almighty God for unlimited help, health, strength and patience to complete this work.

Then, I'd like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor

Dr. Awad Abdalla Adlan, for his supervision and guidance that set me on the right track, and I am very thankful for his detailed and constructive comments and for his support and personal guidance for this work.

Special thanks to the staff of Alnilein Medical Diagnostic Center in Khartoum.

From the very beginning until the end of this work, I owe a tremendous debt of gratitude and respect to my dear father, and mother. None of this work could have been achieved without thier kind support and endless encouragement. Thanks to father and mother.

To all of those who helped me along the time of this work, I'd like to express my sincere gratitude and my deep appreciation.

abstract

This study was conducted at AlnilainDiagnostic Medical Center in Khartoum, 50 patients was investigated for diagnosis of myocardial infractionusing Tc-99m pyrophosphate agent. The administered activity was calculated based on patients weight which ranged between (70-140) Kg for the study sample. The effective dose for each patient was calculated using the equation:

Effective dose = sum of [organ doses x tissue weighting factor].

The effective dose (E) to an individual was found by calculating a weighted average of the equivalent dose (H) to different body tissues, with the weighting factors (W) designed to reflect the different radio sensitivities of the tissues:

$$E = \sum_{i} Hi Wi$$

The data wasanalyzed using statistical program of social studies (SPSS) and Microsoft excel program .

Patients weights varied between (70-140Kg) and administered activities werefound to be between (3.75-5.56mCi) depending on the weights of patients and the average effective radiation doses in the case of the two cardiac imaging studies(stress and rest)ware (0.98-4.47mSv)and the average tissue weighting factors ware (14.67-95.44). It was found that the effective radiation dose was directlyproportional to the patient weight.

المستخلص

في هذه الدراسه تم حساب الجرعه الاشعاعيه المؤثرة في حالة تصوير امراض القلب عن طريق استخدام جهاز القاما كاميرا وتتم عملية التصوير علي مرحلتين تصوير تحت تاثير الجهد وتصوير عند الراحه واجري المسح لعدد50 مريضا بواسطة حقن المريض بعنصر التكنيشيوم 99م ويجري ذلك عن طريق تخطيط القلب المستمر اثناء القيام بفحص الجهد اوعند اعطاء بعض الادويه القلبيه البديله للتمرين التي تزيد من سرعه ضربات القلب ويتم خلالها حقن المريض باحد العناصر المشعه ثم تؤخذ صورة لعضلة القلب بتوجيه القاما كاميرا لالتقاط المواد المشعة التي سكنت بخلايا عضلة القلب (صورة جهد) بينما تؤخذ الصورة الثانيه بنفس الطريقه المتبعه لاخذ الصورة الاولي بعد ساعتين (صورة عند الراحه) وتم حساب الجرعه المؤثرة المؤثرة

$$E = \sum_{i} Hi Wi$$

ووجد ان اوزان المرضي تتفاوت بين (70-140كج)وكانت الجرعه في اختبار الراحه (3.75) اما في حاله الجهد (3.33)وذلكاعتمادا علي اوزان المرضي وكانمتوسط الجرعات في حاله الاختبارين معا (4.47-0.98) ومتوسط الوزن يساوي (4.67-95.44).

Table of Contents

Dedication:	
Acknowledgments	II
Abstract	
المستخلص	IV
list of figures	VII
list of tables	VIII
Chapter one: Introduction	
Introduction	1
1.1 Historical review of nuclear medicine:	1
1.2 Nuclear Heart Scan:	2
1.3 Radiation dose and risks in nuclear medicine:	3
1.4 Problem of study:	5
1.5 Objectives:	5
1.6 Importance of study:	5
1.7Study outlines:	5
Chapter two	
Theoretical background	7
2.1.1The Gamma Camera:	7
2.1.2Signal processing:	10
2.1.3Heart scans procedures:	11
2.1.4Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography:	11
2.1.5Positron Emission Tomography:	12
2.1.6 Expectation before a Nuclear Heart Scan.:	12
2.1.7.Expectation during a Nuclear Heart Scan:	13
2.1.8.Expectation during the Stress Test:	14
2.1.9.Expectation during a Nuclear Heart Scan:	14
2.1.10.Expectation after a Nuclear Heart Scan:	15
2.3Radio nuclides in heart scan:	15
2.3.2 Purpose:	15
2.3.3 Precautions:	16
2.3.4 Description:	16

2.3.5 Preparation:	18
2.4 Risks of a Nuclear Heart Scan:	18
2.8 Literature review:	19
Chapter three: Materials and Methods	
3.1Materials	21
3.1 Introduction:	21
3.2 Gamma camera Machine:	22
3.3 Patient data:	23
3.4 Data collection:	23
3.5 Patient preparation:	23
3.6 Procedure of examination:	23
3.7 Method of dose calculation:	24
3.8Analysis of data:	26
Chapter four: Results	
Results	27
Chapter five:DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION and Rec	ommendation
5.1 DISCUSSION:	33
5.2 CONCLUSION:	35
5.3Recommendations:	36
5 6 Pafarancas:	40

List of figures

ILEIVI Page No.	
Figure 2.1 : gamma camera 7	
Figure 2.2 : diagrammatic cross section of a gamma camera8	
detector	
Figure 2.3 : details of the cross section of gamma camera	8
Figure 2.4 : animated schematic of gamma camera physics and	9
Main constituents	
Figure 4.1 : direct linear association between the patient weight	28
and effective dose with a coefficient of 0.04 msv\kg	
Figure 4.2 : direct linear association between BMI and effective dose	29
with coefficient of 4.05msv	
Figure 4.3 : direct linear association between patient height and	30
effective dose with coefficient of 0.03 msv\cm	
Figure 4.4 : direct linear association between patient age and	31
effective dose with coefficient of 0.02 msv\cm	
Figure 4.5 : direct linear association between patient activity	32
and effective dose with coefficient of 0.034 msv\cm	

list of tables

No.	Item	Page No.
Table 2.1	Recommended radiation weight factor(ICRP 60 :1990 recommendations) .	37
Table 2.2	Tissue weighting factors for individual tissue and	39
organ (ICRI	P60 :1990 recommendations)	

Table 4.1 Shows (mean+=SD range) for patient effective dose and 27

Body characteristics; (weight, age, height, and effective dose)

In heart examination