

**Sudan Journal of Science and Technology** Journal homepage: http://jst.sustech.edu/



# Bacterial contamination of beef carcasses in slaughter houses Khartoum State, Sudan

## Awatif A. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Yahia A. Sabiel<sup>1</sup> and Huyam K. Fadolelgaleel<sup>1</sup>

1 Veterinary Research Institute, Animal Resources Research Corporation. P. O. Box 8067 (Al Amarat), Khartoum, Sudan.

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article history

Received:29.03.2015 Accepted: 6.04.2015 Available online:01.10.2015

**Keywords:** Beef carcasses, bacterial contamination, Khartoum state

## ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to isolate bacteria contaminating beef carcasses and to determine the antimicrobial sensitivity test of the most frequent isolated Gram negative bacteria against selected antibiotics. Sixty swab samples were collected randomly from posterior and anterior surfaces of beef carcasses from slaughterhouses at Khartoum State. The collected Samples were transported to the Department of Bacteriology, Veterinary Research Institute for microbiological examinations. The most frequent isolated Gram positive bacteria were Bacillus lechiniformis 15.4. %, Streptococcus spp. 8.7%, Micrococcus kristinae 5.8%, Staphylococcus aureus 2.9%, while the most frequent isolated Gram negative bacteria were Proteus mirabilis 13.5%, Klebsiella pneumonia 6.7%, Citrobacter spp. 1.9%, and Vibrio spp. 1.9%. Antibiotics sensitivity testing was carried out for 7 Gram negative isolates. The isolates showed variable reaction to the tested antibiotics. From the result it could be concluded that these Gram negative organisms reduce quality of meat and could play public health hazard.

© 2015 Sudan University of Science and Technology. All rights reserved

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Food security is a complex issue, where animal proteins such as meats, meat products, fish and fishery products are generally regarded as high risk commodity with pathogenic microganisms (Yousuf *et al.*, 2008), food-borne diseases are diseases resulting from ingestion of bacteria, toxins and cells produced by microganisms present in food (Clarence *et al.*, 2009). In the developing countries many children suffer from cases of food-borne infection lead to the death where as in the industrialized world, the interaction causes considerable illness and heavily affecting healthcare system (Adak *et al.*, 2005). Meat is considered as the most nutritive source of protein consumed by humans, and characterized by a high water content which is suitable for microbial growth (Rao *et al.*, 2009). Hide and skin have been described as the main sources of contamination of the slaughtered animals (Wagner, 2000). The slaughter of cattle with excessively dirty hides resulted in increasing bacterial contamination on the carcass (McEvoy *et al.*, 2000).

Hands and clothes of the workers, water used for washing carcasses, equipments, even air in the processing and storage area may contaminate the meat (Wagner, 2000). Certain Streptococcus spp. including those of lancefield group A and D and the Viridan types are usually isolated from suspected samples (Dolman, food 1997). Staphylococcus strains particularly those of S. aureus may produce a number of extracellular protein leading food poisoning (Jawetiz et al., 2001 and Cheesbrough, 2000).

An early report of associating with *Bacillus* spp. food poisoning was recorded for Sanatorium outbreak (Adam and Moss, 2000). Klebsiella pneumoniae was the most predominant bacterial isolates found in beef carcasses, followed by Enterobacter spp. Citrobacter frendii. Escherichia coli. Serratia Salmonella spp. marcescens. Pseudomonas spp. and Proteus vulgaris are the less predominant (Okonko et al., 2010). From raw foods, Klebsiella pneumoniae was prevalence among Klebsiella strains (Cheesbrough, 2000).

In addition, pathogens like *Staphylococcus* aureus, Bacillus cereus, Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis, Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp and Klebsiella pneumoniae were isolated from fresh beef meat before and after processing (Wafa, 2004). In

Pakistan, Nafissa et al., (2010) isolated E. coli 0 157:H7, Listeria spp, Salmonella enteritidis and Shigella spp. from meat samples. Moreover Proteus spp. isolated from spoiled meat, and fresh meat (Mohammed et al., 2011). Acinetobacter spp. may be found in many foods especially refrigerated fresh beef (Jawetiz et al., 2001). In the susceptibility test from raw meat were found, resistance to antimicrobial agents, the spp were resistance Enterococcus to vancomycin, a higher resistance to ampicillin was observed in Y. enterocolitica, and all Campylobacter jejuni were resistance to ampicillin, cephalothin and cefuroxime (Gousia et al., 2011).

The objectives of this study were to isolate and to identify bacteria contaminating beef carcasses in Khartoum State by conventional methods and to determine the antibiotics sensitivity reactions of the isolated Gram negative bacteria.

# **MATERIALS and METHODS**

A total of 60 swab samples, were collected randomly from posterior and interior surfaces of beef carcasses from the slaughterhouses at Khartoum State (Khartoum, Omdurman and Bahry) during the period from October 2011 to August 2012.

The swabs were transferred into test tubes containing 5 ml nutrient broth media (Oxoid, 2013) and placed into thermo flasks containing ice bags then transported to the Department of Bacteriology laboratory at Veterinary Research Institute for isolation identification of the and organisms contaminating beef carcasses. The impregnated swab samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and then cultured onto bood agar plates, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Representative colonies of the growth were selected and further sub culture onto blood agar and nutrient agar plates for purification. The purified isolates were identified by conventional methods as described by Barrow and Feltham (1993) and Quinn et al. (1994).Ciprofloxacin. Gentamycin. Amoxyclave, Norflxacin, Furazolidone, Tetracycline, Streptomycin, Cefalexin were used for antibiotics sensitivity testing against Gram negative isolates by using the method described by Benson (1980).

## **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**

One hundred and four bacterial strains were isolated and identified through this study (Table 1). Bacillus lechiniformis (16, 15.4%) were the most prevalent organisms isolated from the cultured samples and among the genus Bacillus, followed by Proteus mirabilis (14, 13.5%), Enterobacter spp (10, 9.6%), Streptococcus spp (9, 8.7%), Klehsiella pneumonia (7, 6.7%). (6, 5.8%) Micrococcus kristinae and Aerococcus spp. (5, 4.8%). Staph. aureus were (3, 2.9%) Staph. chromogenes were (3, 2.9%), whereas Staph. zylosis (2, 1.9%), finally each of Haemophillus spp and Moraxella lacunata were (1, 1%) (Table 1).

Most of the Gram negative isolates were sensitive to gentamycin and tetracycline except *Pseudomonas orizihibitans* and *Proteus mirabilis*.

Ciproflaxacin was found effective to the most of the tested organisms whereas cefalexin was less effective to the most of the tested organisms (Table 2). The results showed that, *Bacillus* spp., *Proteus* spp. and *Staph*. spp were isolated from pre and post washed carcasses. No differences was

observed between the pre and post washed isolates, this is in agreement with Gill (1991) who noted that the washing may only redistribute the contamination from the posterior to anterior region of the carcass.

Thirty two (32.8%) of *Bacillus* spp. were isolated from the pre and post washed carcasses. This could be due to the presence of large number of house flies (*Musca domestica*) in the three slaughterhouses and may have a role in the organisms from one carcass to another, or could be due to improper cutting off the hooves and lossening the skin of the legs.

This finding is in agreement with Stalle (1981). *Staph. aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterobacter* spp. and *Proteus* spp. were isolated in study, this is similar to the finding reported by Okonko *et al.* (2010). McEvoy *et al.* (2000) found that the slaughter of cattle with excessively dirty skin could increase bacterial contamination on the carcasses.

The presence of the *Staph*. spp, *Enterobacter* spp and *Vibrio* spp., could be due to poor environmental conditions during slaughtering, these findings are in an agreement with Wanger, (2000) who noted that hands and clothes of the worker, water used for washing carcass, equipments, even air in the processing and storage area may contaminate the meat.

All tested Gram negative bacteria were observed higher resistance to ciprofloxacin. *Pseudomonas orizihibitans* and *Proteus mirabilis* were resistance to tetracycline and gentamycin. Gousia *et al.* (2011) found that the *Enterococcus* spp were resistant to vancomycin, higher resistance to ampicillin was observed with *Y. enterocolitica*.

No	Isolates	В			0		K		Percentage
		Pre	After	Pre	After	Pre	After		(%)
1	Bacillus lechiniformis	2	-	2	-	7	5	16	15.4%
2	Proteus mirabili	-	-	4	4	3	3	14	13.5%
3	Enterobacter spp.	3	3	-	-	3	1	10	9.6%
4	Streptococcus spp.	3	1	2	1	1	1	9	8.7%
5	Klebsiella pneumonia	2	2	1	-	1	1	7	6.7%
6	Micrococcus kristinae	-	-	3	1	1	1	6	5.8%
7	Bacillus pantothenticus	2	1	1	-	1	1	6	5.8%
8	Legionella spp.	1	-	1	-	2	1	5	4.8%
9	Aerococcus spp.	1	1	2	1	-	-	5	4.8%
10	Bacillus sterothermophilus	2	1	-	-	1	1	5	4.8%
11	Bacillus furmus	2	1	-	-	-	-	3	2.9%
12	Staph. aureus	-	-	1	1	1	-	3	2.9%
13	Staph. Chromogenes	1	1	1	-	-	-	3	2.9%
14	Staph. zylosis	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	1.9%
15	Bacillus megatarium	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	1.9%
16	Citrobacter spp.	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	1.9%
17	Stomatococcus spp.	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	1.9%
18	Vibrio spp.	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	1.9%
19	Haemophillus spp.	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1%
20	Moraxella lacunata	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1%
	Total	23	11	21	8	25	16	104	100%

Table 1: Bacteria isolated from 60 swabs samples of pre and post washed carcasses in Khartoum State.

Key: **B**: Bahri **O**: Omdurman **K**: Khartoum

Isolate noCIPGENAMCNORFRTESCN1SSRSSSIR2SSRSSSRSSR				•		0			
1 S S R S S I R   2 S S R S R S R S R	Isolate no	CIP	GEN	AMC	NOR	FR	TE	S	CN
2 S S R S R S S R	1	S	S	R	S	S	S	Ι	R
	2	S	S	R	S	R	S	S	R
3 S S I S R R S R	3	S	S	Ι	S	R	R	S	R
4 S S R S S S R	4	S	S	R	S	S	S	S	R
5 S S R S I S S R	5	S	S	R	S	Ι	S	S	R
6 I R R I R S I R	6	Ι	R	R	Ι	R	S	Ι	R
7 I S S I S S R	7	Ι	S	S	Ι	S	S	S	R

Key:

S: Sensitive. R: Resistant. I: Intermediate.

1: Citrobacter spp 2: Acinobacter spp 3: Proteus mirabilis, 4: Klebsiella pneumoniae 5: Enterobacter cloacae 6: Pseudomonas orizihibitans, 7: Vibrio

CIP: CiprofloxacinGEN: GentamycinAMC: AmoxyclauNOR: Norfloxacin FR: FurazolidoneTE:TetracyclineS: StreptomycinCN: Cefalexin.

#### CONCLUSION

The presence of micorganisms like *Staph. aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and

*Proteus mirabilis* in meat foods raised a public health hazards. So practicing good hygienic measure during handling of meat

and meat product may reduce the contamination of carcasses. Moreover control of house fly (Musca domestica) in the slaughterhouses may minimize the distribution of the contaminants between the carcasses.

#### REFERENCES

- Adak, G.K., Meakkins, S. M., Yip, H., Lopman, B.A., and Obrien, S. (2005). Disease risk from foods, England and Wales, 1996-2000. Emerging Infectious Diseases.
- Adam, M.R. and Moss, M. O. (2000). *Food Microbiology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. University of Surrey. Guildford. UK.
- Barrow, G. I. and Feltham, R. K. A. (1993). Cowan and Steel's. Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Cambridge. University Press, UK.
- Benson, H.J. (1980). Microbiological application in Laboratory Manual in General Microbiology. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, WMC. Braum Companey Publisher, Lowa, USA.
- Clarence, S.Y; Obinna, C. N; Shalom, N. C. (2009). Assessment of bacteriological quality of ready to eat food (meat pie) in Benin City metropolis, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Microb. Res*; 3(6): 390-395.
- Cheesbrough, M. (2000). District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries. part 2. Cambridge University Press.UK.
- Dolman, C.E. (1997). Epidemiology of meat borne disease in meat hygiene. Cited byElhussein (2003). Aerobic Bacterial Load of Fresh Beef at Slaughtering, MSc. Thesis, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Gousia, P.; Economou, V.; Sakkas, H.; Leveidiotou, S. and Papado P. C.

(2011). Antimicrobial resistance of major food borne pathogens from major rmeat products, *J. Food Borne Pathog. Dis.*, 8 (1): 27-38.

- Gill, C.O. (1991). Microbial principles in meat processing. Cited by Bell, R. G. (1997). Distribution and sources of microbial contamination beef carcasses. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 82: 292-300.
- Jawwetiz, E., Melnick, J. L., Berg's, A., Geo, F., Butel, J. S. and Stephen, A. (2001). *Medical Microbiology*. 20<sup>th</sup>ed. Lange Medical Books/Mc Graw-Hill. Beirut. Lebanon.
- McEvoy, J. M., Doherty, A. M., Finnerty, M., Sheridan, J.J., McGuire, L, Blair, I. S., Mc Dowell, D. A. and Harrington, D. (2000). The relationship between hide clean lines and bacteria number on beef carcasses at a commercial abattoir. J. Let. Appl. Microbiol., 30: 390-395.
- Mohammed, M. S.; Fazlin, F.; Jasper, A.; Mohd AzamKhan, G. K. and Al. sultan, I. I. (2011). Isolation of bacteria from bovine meat obtained from back yard slaughter in Kelantan. *Journal of Advanced Medical research.*, 1: 61-64.
- Nafissa, H.A.; Amber, F. Adan, Kh.; Ameera, Y. Kh.; and Shahana, U. K. (2010). Microbial contamination of raw meat and it is environment in retail shops in Karachi, Pakistan. J. infect Dev Ctries; 4(6): 382-388.
- Okonko, I. O.; Ogun, A, A.; Adejoye, O. D.; Ogunjobi, A. A.; Nkang, A. O. and Adebayo-Tayo, B. C. (2010). Assessment of bacteriological quality of fresh meats sold in Calabar Metropolis in Nigeria, J. EJEAF., 9 (1): 89-100.

- Qunin, P. J.; Carter, M. E.; Markey, B. and Carter, G. R. (1994). *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*, WOLFE publishing. NewYork, USA.
- Rao, V.A.; Thulasi, G.; Ruban, S. W. (2009). Meat quality characteristics of non descript buffalos as affected by age and sex. *Journal World Applied Science*, 1058-1065.
- Stalle, A. (1981). Spreading of *salmonella* spp during cattle slaughtering. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, **50**: 239-245.
- Van, T. T.; Moutafis, G.; Istivan, T.; Tran, L. T. and Coloe, P. J. (2007). Detection of *Salmonella* spp in retail raw food samples from Vietnam and characterization of their antibiotic resistance. *Journal of Applied and*

*Environmental Microbiology*, **73** (21): 6885-6890.

- Wafa, H. M. (2004). Load and Types of Aerobic Bacteria in Fresh and Processed Beef Meat, MSc. Thesis, University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Wanger, J. R. (2000). Bacteria food poisoning, Texas Agricultural Extension Service.
- Yousuf, A. H. M; Ahmed, M. K; Yeasmin, S; Ahsan, N; Rahman, M. M. and Islam, M. M. (2008). Prevalence of Microbial Load in Shrimp; *Penaeus* monodon and Prwan, Macrobacterium rosenbergii from Bangladesh. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences; 4 (S): 852-855.