Sudan University of Science and Technology

**College of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research** 



# Occurrence and Identitication of Seed Borne Fungi Associated with Groundnuts in Kordofan States

وجود وتعريف الفطريات المحمولة على بذور الفول السوداني في ولايات كردفان

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Sc. Degree in plant protection.

#### BY

### Osman RezigMaragan Argo

University of Zalingei

Department of Plant Protection

B.Sc. Agric. (Honors), 2004.

#### Supervisor: Dr. Ibrahim Saeed Mohammed

Department of Plant Protection College of Agricultural. Studies .Shambat Sudan University of Science and Technology

#### June 2016

الآية

قال تعالى : -

اقْ رَأَ بِاسدُم رَبِكَ اللَّذِي خَلَكَلَقُ كَلَا لا نِسَانَ مِنْ عَلَق اقْرْر) أَ وَرَبَّكَ الأَ كُرَمُ اللَّلَا في عَلَمَ بِالْقَلْعَلَا خَلَلا نِسَانَ مَا لَم يَعَلَم (هَلا آنَ الإ نسبان لَيَطُعَى (أَنَ أَمُ اللَّذَعِي مَا لَمُ يَعْدَم (هَلا آلَا أَرَ أَيَتَ الآذِي يَنْهَى (مَجَدَدَا إِذَا صَلَتَى أَوَ أَكُم مَا لَم يَعْدَى (٨) أَوَلا أَمُ مَرَ بِالتَقَوْقِ عَلَي الْأَكُن رَالَهُ مَعْدَى الله يَعْدَم بانَ الله يَركَكلاً عَلَي أَن اللَّهُ يَعْدَم بانَ خاطِئة (1 في ذاكر ما يَعَدَم بانَ وَاللَّهُ يَركَكلاً عَلَي لا يَعْدَى الله يَعْدَم بانَ وَاللَّهُ مَا مَا مَا يَعْدَى إِن كَذَبَ وَاللَّهُ مَا لا يُعْدَى وَاللَّهُ يَعْدَم بانَ مَا يَعْدَى مَا يَعْدَى اللَّهُ يَعْذَم بانَ

سورة العلق - سورة 96 - عدد آياتها 19

### **Dedication**

To my mother

To my father

to my wife

to my sons

To my brothers and sisters

To my family

To my teachers

To my colleagues and friends

With love and respect

Osman

# ACKNOLEDGEMENTS

First of all thanks to Allah who gave me strength to complete this work.

I'm greatly indebted and I wish to express my deep sense of gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Ibrahim Saeed Mohammed for his help, guidance, patience, encouragement, and interest which made this work possible.

I am also grateful to my fellow students for their cooperation and encouragements in accomplishing the work. Lastly but not least, the members of my family and my friends, I appreciate the advice, encouragements and moral support they rendered to me.

I would like to appreciate the helpful cooperation I found from the staff in the laboratory of plant pathology, Department of Plant Protection, College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to all those who contributed in one way or another to the accomplishment of this study.

# **Table of Contents**

| TitlePage No.   |
|---|
| الآية I   |
| DedicationI   |
| ACKNOLEDGEMENTS II  |
| Table of Contents IV  |
| List of Tables  |
| List of FiguresVII  |
| List of Plates VIII   |
| ABSTRACTIX  |
| ملخص البحث X  |
| CHAPTER ONE   |
| INTRODUCTION  |
| CHAPTER TWO   |
| LITERATURE REVIEW   |
| 2.Groundnut   |
| 2.1.1. Origin and producing countries                               |
| 2.1.2 Scientific classification of groundnut plant                  |
| 2.1.3.Groundnut plant   |
| 2.1.4. Importance of Groundnut in Sudan                             |
| 2.1.5. Production constraints                                       |
| 2.2. Seeds borne fungi  |
| 2.2.1. Aspergillus spp :7   |
| 2.2.2. <i>Penicillium</i> spp:7                                     |
| 2.2.3. <i>Fusarium</i> spp:   |
| 2.2.4. <i>Alternaria</i> spp:                                       |
| 2.2.5. <i>Mucor</i> and <i>Rhizopus</i> :                           |
| 2.3. Seed Health Testing:   |
| 2.4 . Management of fungal contaminants associated withcrops seeds9 |

| CHAPTER THREE   |
|---|
| MATERIALS AND METHODS 12  |
| 3.1. Study location   |
| 3.2. Materials, tools and equipments used in the study                    |
| 3.2.1. Collection of samples  |
| 3.3. Detection and isolation of seed borne fungi :                        |
| 3.3.1. Dry Seed Inspection:   |
| 3.3.2. Methods for the detection of seed borne fungal pathogens: 14       |
| 3.3.3 .Filter Paper Method  |
| 3.3.4. Agar Method:   |
| 3.4. Slide preparation and identification                                 |
| CHAPTER FOUR  |
| RESULTS   |
| 4.1. Seed Borne mycoflora of groundnut seeds using Filter Paper Method 16 |
| 4.2. Seed borne mycofloraof groundnut Seeds using Agar Method 19          |
| CHAPTER FIVE  |
| DISCUSSION  |
| Conclusion  |
| Recommendations   |
| Reference   |
| Appendices  |

# **List of Tables**

| Title  | Page No.   |
|--|------------|
| Table 1: Mean frequency percentage of seed borne fungi on var      | rious seed |
| samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each | from one   |
| location using Filter paper method                                 |            |
| Table 2: Mean frequency percentages of seed borne fungi on var     | rious seed |
| samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each | from one   |
| location using Agar method   |            |

# **List of Figures**

| Title  | Page No.    |
|--|-------------|
| Fig 1: Mean frequency percentage of seed borne fungi on va         | arious seed |
| samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each | h from one  |
| location using Filter paper method                                 |             |
| Fig 2. Mean frequency percentages of seed borne fungi on va        | arious seed |
| samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each | h from one  |
| location using Agar method   |             |

# **List of Plates**

| Title   | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| Plate 1: Detection of major seed borne fungi by Filter paper method | l 41     |
| Plate 2: Detection of major seed borne fungi by Agar method         |          |
| Plate 3. Penicilliumspp   | 51       |
| Plate 4. Fusarium spp   |          |
| Plate 5. Alternariaspp  |          |
| Plate 6. Aspergillus spp.   |          |

### ABSTRACT

Groundnut (Arachishypogaea L.) is considered the 4th most important oilseed crop worldwide due to its multiple uses. The production of the crop is constrained by several factors, among which are the seed borne fungi. In Sudan, the impact of these fungi and their secondary metabolites as food contaminants is under continuous investigation. This study was conducted at laboratory of Plant Pathology, Department of Plant Protection, College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology during February -April, 2016.(Stored since2015 season). The objectives to determine the seed occurrence and identify the borne fungi associated with four groundnuts in samples collected from locations in the Agricultural Bank of Sudan stores, namely, Alfulah, and Almoglad in Western Kordofan whereas Alobied and Alnehud in Northern Kordofan State, filter paper method and agar plate method were used recommended by ISTA (1966). The laboratory examination as revealed that five seed borne fungi were identified namely. Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, Rhizopus nigricans, Penicillium digitatum and Alternaria solani. Among these fungi the most predominantly occurred and consistently recorded across locations and*A.niger*. Their percentages were Α. flavus incidences were significantly higher (42.5% and 30%) respectively followed by Alternaria solani (6.5%), R. nigricans (5.0%) and P. digitatum (1.25%). The results obtained by the two methods used are very close. The results obtained in this study highlighted the major human and risk encountered due animal health to high contamination of groundnut with mycotoxins producing fungi.

IX

#### ملخص البحث

يعتبر الفول السوداني الرابع كاحد اهم المحاصيل الزيتية عالمياً لاستعمالاته المتعددة. الفطريات هي من بين العوامل العديدة التي تعيق انتاجية هذا المحصول. في السودان تاثير هذه الفطريات وإيضها الثانوي كملوثات للاطعمة تحت الدراسة المستمرة. أجريت هذه التجربة بمعمل أمراض النبات ـ كلية الدراسات الزراعية ـ جامعة السودان للعلوم والتكنولوجيا خلال فبراير والى ابريل عام 2016 بهدف تحديد تواجد وتعريف الفطريات المحمولة على البذور المرتبطة بعينات من الفول السوداني جمعت من اربعة مناطق من مخازن البنك الزراعي السوداني بالتحديد, النهود المجلد من غرب كردفان, الابيض من ولاية شمال كردفان بالسودان باستعمال طريقتي ورق الترشيح والاقار كما هو موصبي به من قبل المظمة العالمية لفحص البذور. اوضحت النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها انه تم الكشف عن خمسة فطريات تسمى, اسبرجلس فلافس, اسبرجلس نيغر, رايزوبس نيقريكانز, الترناريا سولاني و بنسليم ديجيتاتم. من بين هذه الفطريات سبرجلس فلافس, اسبرجلس نيغر سجلت انها الاكثر تواجدا وبالدرجة الاولى وبصورة ثابته بجميع المناطق. نسبة الإصابة بها كانت عالية معنوياً (42.5 و 30%) على التوالي يليهن الإلترناريا سولاني (6.5%) و بنسليم ديجيتاتم (1.25). النتائج التي تم الحصول عليهما بواسطة الطريقتين اللتين استخدمتا لكشف تلوث العينات كانتا متقاربتين جداً كما ان طريقة ورق الترشيح وضح انها عملية اكثر من طريقة الأقار. النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها في هذه الدارسة سلطة الضوء على الخطر الماثل نتيجة تلوث الفول السوداني بالفطريات المنتجة للافلاتوكسن

### **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

Groundnut (*Arachishypogae*a L.) which believed to be originated from South America (Wiess, 2000) is an important food and cash crop in many countries worldwide and it contribute significantly to food security and alleviate poverty (Smart et al., 1990) in some developing countries. Approximately 25.7 million tons of groundnuts are produced annually from about 21 million hectares of cropped land. Asia alone produces 17.9 million tons, 70% of global production. Africa produces another 20%. About 60% of Africa's production comes from Western Africa (FAO, 2006). In Sudan, the total area under groundnut production is approximately one million ha with an average yield of 855 kg/ha (Mahmoud *et al.*, 1995; ARC, 2003-2010 and FAOSTAT, 2010).

Moreover, the crop which belongs to the family Fabaceae is the 13th most important food crop of the world and the world's 4th most important source of edible oil and 3 rd most important source of vegetable protein (Taru, *et al.*, 2010). Sudan considered among the main producing countries beside China, India, Nigeria, USA, Indonesia (Mondal; *et al.*, 2006). The crop is also widely grown in countries like Myanmar, Vietnam, Senegal, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Chad, Burkina Faso, Zimbabwe, Mali, Mozambique, Uganda, and Tanzania (Shiferaw *et al.*, 2004).

Among groundnuts uses, the nut is consumed directly in processed food and snacks as valuable source of protein, energy, minerals, oil, meal and confectionery products (Abu Assar *et al.*, 2008). In Sudan Groundnut plays an important role in the diets of rural populations, particularly children, because of its high contents of protein (21-30%), fat (41-52%), and carbohydrate (11-27%). It is also rich in calcium, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium and vitamin E and the by-product of oil extraction is an important ingredient in

livestock feed. Groundnut haulms are nutritious and widely used for feeding livestock (Mahmoud *et al.*, 1995). These multiple uses of groundnut plant make it an excellent cash crop for domestic markets as well as for foreign trade in several developing and developed countries.

One of the major constraints facing the productivity and availability of healthy groundnut produce worldwide are the losses and spoilage caused by Fungi, bacteria, viruses, insects, nematodes and parasitic weeds. In fact, the threat to this crop from fungi species which produce secondary metabolites has now reached a level that outstrips that posed by other biotic and a biotic factor (Berger, 1977). These fungi continue to represent a major human health risk throughout the world and particularly in the humid tropics being major spoilage agents of food crops (Olusegun, *et al.*, 2013).

The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates that 25 % of the world's food crops are affected by food contaminants, of which the most notorious are those resulted from *Aspergillusspp*. (Anon, 1989).

In Sudan, the impact of these fungi and their secondary metabolites as food contaminants is well-established (Ali, 1989; HaqElamin*et al.*, 1988; Reddy KRN. 2010 and Yousif*et al.*, 2010). Shami and Ahmed(2010) indicated that *Aspergillusflavus* was isolated from twenty six samples (43.33%) out of the total number of samples investigated. Younis and Malik (2003) who studied contamination in Sudanese groundnut and groundnut products found that the percentage of contamination was 2%, 64%, 14% and 11% for kernels, butter, cake and roasted groundnuts, respectively.

Obviously, the infection of groundnuts by various fungi spp. not only results in reduction in crop yield and quality but also contamination of produce with poisonous fungal secondary metabolites called mycotoxins. These substances arise from the secondary metabolism of fungi in response to a wide range of genetic and environmental factors and are capable of causing diseases in man and animals (Ali, 1989 and Bhat and Vasanth, 2003).Based on the foregoing, this study was undertaken with the following objectives:-

- To determine the occurrence of mycoflora associated with groundnut in East and North Kordofan State in Sudan.
- To identify mycoflora species associated with groundnut in this States.
- To determine the frequency of seeds contamination in each location.

## **CHAPTER TWO**

## LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.Groundnut

#### 2.1.1. Origin and producing countries

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) which believed to be originated from South America (Wiess, 2000) is an important food and cash crop in many countries worldwide and it contribute significantly to food security and alleviate poverty (Smart et al., 1990) in some developing countries. According to FAO (2006), around 25.7 million tons of groundnuts are produced annually from about 21 million hectares of cropped land. Asia alone produces 17.9 million tons, 70% of global production. Africa produces another 20%. About 60% of Africa's production comes from Western Africa (FAO, 2006). Ground cultivation is primarily cultivated in areas of the world bounded by latitudes 40° N to 40° S. and warm temperate zones countries. The major growing countries are; India (26%), China (19%) and Nigeria (11%),U.S.A (5.9%), Indonesia (4.1) and Sudan (3.6%) (Nwokoto, 1996).

#### 2.1.2 Scientific classification of groundnut plant

| Domain        | : | Eukaryota       |
|---------------|---|-----------------|
| Kingdom       | : | Plantae         |
| Subkindom     | : | Viridiplantae   |
| Infrakindom   | : | Streptophyta    |
| Superdivision | : | Embryophyta     |
| Division      | : | Tracheophyta    |
| Subdivision   | : | Spermatophytina |
|               |   |                 |

| Class      | : | Magnoliopsida      |  |
|------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Superorder | : | Rosanae            |  |
| Order      | : | Fabales            |  |
| Family     | : | Fabaceae           |  |
| Genus      | : | Arachis            |  |
| Species    | : | Arachishypogaea L. |  |

#### 2.1.3.Groundnut plant

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) is a self-pollinated, tropical annual legume which is fairly drought resistant and mainly cultivated in dry tropical areas. It has the advantage of generating residual nitrogen in the soil which benefits subsequent crops, especially when groundnut residues are incorporated into the soil during ploughing. Despite the high local demands for groundnuts, farmers' yields in South Nyanza (Province in Kenya) continue to be low, averaging 250kg/acre of dry shelled seeds.

#### 2.1.4. Importance of Groundnut in Sudan

In Sudan Groundnut plays an important role in the diets of rural populations, particularly children, because of its high contents of protein (21-30%), fat (41-52%), and carbohydrate (11-27%). It is also rich in calcium, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium and vitamin E and the by-product of oil extraction is an important ingredient in livestock feed. Groundnut haulms are nutritious and widely used for feeding livestock.

#### **2.1.5. Production constraints**

In Sudan, this crop attacked by several constraints mainly fungal diseases and contaminants, among these is*Aspergillus spp*. which produces secondary metabolites called Aflatoxin. The health impacts of ingestion in humans include stunted growth and development as well as an increased risk in liver cancer(HCC) (IARC and ICRISA, 2002).

#### 2.2. Seeds borne fungi

The importance of seed borne pathogens to crop quality and quantity cannot be ignored. Results by Bipen *,et al.*, (1999) showed that there was a significant decrease in oil content of sunflower seeds infected with *Rhizopus oryzae*. Wanyera (1998) analyzed wheat seed and concluded that fungal infection led to abnormal seedlings and dead seeds. Aflatoxin contamination and its associated risks to humans, wild animals and livestock and reduced grain quality have been reported by several authors (Ali, 1989; El-Naghy, *et al.*, 1998; HaqElamin,*et al.*, 1988; Holbrook, *et al.*, 2000; 1998; Osman,*et al.*, 1999; Saber, *et al.*, Thompson and Henke, 2000; Yousif, *et al.*, 2010).

Fungi, or moulds in this context to differentiate them from single celled yeasts, are destructive agents causing losses of agricultural commodities in many zones of the world, ranking alongside insects and weeds for crop loss or yield reduction. They can occur on growing in-field crops as well as on harvested commodities, leading to damage ranging from rancidity, odor, flavor changes, loss of nutrients, and germ layer destruction. This can result in a reduction in the quality of grains, as well as gross spoilage and possible mycotoxin production (Oerke and Dehne, 2004).

Spoilage fungi may not be able to attack crops in the field, but cause problems once the crop is harvested, if conditions allow. Some spoilage fungi can also produce mycotoxins, for example *Penicilliumspp*, although many penicillia associated with grains are pathogenic. The seed borne fungi of most concern are produced by species within the genera of *Aspergillusspp*, *Fusariumspp*, and *Penicilliumspp* that frequently occur in major food crops in the field and continue to contaminate them during storage, including cereals, oil seeds, and various fruits (Azhar, *et al.*, 2009).

However, Aspergillusspp, Penicilliumspp, Alternariaspp and Fusariumspp are amongst the most common fungal species associated with growth in and damage to food crops in the field, and in store, if poor storage conditions prevail after harvest, especially in case of previously dried commodities.

#### 2.2.1. Aspergillus spp :

The impact of Aspergillusspp in as food contaminants is well-established (Ali, 1989; HaqElamin NH et al., 1988; KRN Reddy, 2010 and Yousif.M.A.et al., 2010). Many Aspergilli are xerophilic and present particular problems during commodity harvest, and during subsequent drying and storage. About 30 species of Aspergillus or their teleomorphs are associated with food spoilage, these include: A. flavus, A. parasiticus, A. nomius, A. ochraceus, A.candidus, A. restrictus, A.penicillioides, A. niger, A.carbonarius, A. fumigatus, A. clavatus, and A. carbonarius, and A. versicolor (Peter, 2010.) However, Ali, (1989); HaqElamin NH et al., (1988); Olusegun, (2013), and Yousif M. A.et al., (2010), and reported that Aspergillusspp tend to be associated more with tropical and warm temperate crops, for example oilseeds and nuts, since they prefer to grow at relatively high temperatures. They concluded that, A. flavus, A. parasiticus and aflatoxins typically affect oilseeds, including groundnuts, soya, tree nuts, maize and various oilseedbased animal feed stocks - cotton seed cake, copra, sunflower, but can also affect rice, wheat, sorghum, figs, coffee and sweet potatoes, for example Aflatoxins are also noted in milk, via contaminated animal feed.

#### 2.2.2. Penicillium spp:

*Penicillium spp* as well is a large genus containing 150 recognized species, of which 50 or more occur commonly. Many species of *Penicilliumspp are* isolated from foods causing spoilage; in addition, some may produce bioactive compounds.

Important mycotoxins produced by *Penicilliumspp* include ochratoxin A, patulin, citrinin and penitremA. Some of the most important toxigenic species in foods are *P. expansum*, *P. citrinum*, *P. crustosum and P. verrucosum*(Pitt J.I., 2006).

Amuch larger number of *Penicilliumspp*are mainly associated with food spoilage. Those include *P. aurantiogriseum*, *P.chrysogenum*, *P.digitatum*, *P. griseofulvum*, *P. italicum*, *P. oxalicum and P. viridicatum*; some of these produce mycotoxins. However, Penicillium*spp* are associated more with cool temperate and temperate crops, mainly cereals, since most species do not grow very well above 25-30°C (Pitit, 2006).

#### 2.2.3. Fusarium spp:

*Fusarium spp.* is major wilt pathogen of many economically important crop plants. It is a soil-borne pathogen, which can live in the soil for long periods of time. Jones *et al.*, (1982) reported that *Fusariumspp*are mainly plant pathogens and normally occur in association with plants and cultivated soils. Infection may occur in developing seeds, and in maturing fruits and vegetables. Damage is usually confined to pre-harvest, for cereals, or immediately post-harvest until drying is well under way. Vegetables can continue to be spoiled in store, due to their higher water activity.

Examples of species are Fusariumchlamydosporum, F.culmorum, F.solani, F. equiseti, F.graminearum, F. oxysporum, F. proliferatum, F. poae, F. semitectum, F.subglutinans, F. sporotrichioides and F.verticillioides (alternative name (synonym) F. moniliforme).

Obviously, *Fusariumspp* causes a range of diseases on an extraordinary range of host plants. As mentioned earlier the fungus can be soil borne, airborne or carried in plant residues and can be recovered from any part of the plant from the deepest root to the highest flower (Booth 1971; Summeral *et al.* 2003).

#### 2.2.4. Alternaria spp:

*Alternaria spp* are plant pathogens that can produce toxins in both pre- and post-harvest commodities. They are characterized by very large brown conidia with a characteristic "beak" at the tip. The most common species is *A. alternata; others include A. tenuissima, A. infectoria, A. citri A. brassicicola and A. brassicae*. The species *A.alternata and A. tenuissima* are pathogenic of wide range of crops; the other species have more limited host ranges.

#### 2.2.5. Mucor and Rhizopus:

These species typically affect fruits and vegetables, since they can only grow at relatively high water activities.

#### 2.3. Seed Health Testing:

Seed health testing for the presence of seed borne pathogens is an important step in the management of crop diseases. This is simply because, seed-borne diseases have been found to affect the quality and quantity of food crops. Accordingly, the importance of seed health testing cannot be under estimated (Mathur and Kongsdal, 2003). The pathogens arepresent externally or internally or associated with the seed as contaminants . A number of laboratory seed health testing methods for detecting fungi sampling were in use. This include, examination of dry seeds, washing test, blotter method and its modification, agar plate method, embryo and seedling symptom test). However, blotter test is the simplest and most widely used method especially in developing countries (Mathur and Kongsdal, 2003).

In respect of the blotter test, seeds are typically surface sterilized with dilute hypochlorite solution and planted in  $6 \times 9$  inches blotters. These are incubated and observed for 7 – 10 days. Fungal growth is recorded and confirmed with microscopic examination (www.worldseed.org). It is possible that two methods may be required to detect a pathogen (Mathur, 1975).

#### 2.4 . Management of fungal contaminants associated withcrops seeds

Several effective ways for prevention and control of fungal contaminants associated with seed crops and their dangerous mycotoxins have been discussed by many researchers (FAO, 1979; Sanders *et al.*, 1981 and WHO, 1988).

In their recommendations they concentrate on optimization of cultural practices, development of resistant varieties, biological control and physical treatments. Farmers should be aware of pre-harvesting preparation of the field and environments, drying of commodities after post harvest is the most economical and effective means for farmers. Chemical treatments such as

alkalinization and ammonization are well-recognized and industrially used. They call for International cooperation through authorized organizations to promoteand support efforts aiming the benefits for the economics and health of people.

Fungal pathogens associated with food grains are major problem of many economically important food crops. Some are soil-borne pathogen, which can live in the soil for long periods of time, so rotational cropping is not a useful control method. It can also spread through infected dead plant material, so cleaning up at the end of the season is important (Jones *et.al.*, 1982).

One of the control methods is to improve soil conditions because soil borne pathogens spreads faster through soils that have high moisture and bad drainage. Other control methods include removing infected plant tissue to prevent over winter (Smith, *et. al.*, 1988). Control of the disease using soil and systemic fungicides to eradicate the pathogen from the soil, flood, fallowing, and using clean seeds each year are very common methods (Booth, 1971).

Thomas (1998) reported that it is difficult to find a biological control method because research in a green house can have different effects than testing in the field. However, the best control method found for soil borne fungi. is planting resistant varieties, although not all have been bred for every forma specialist.

A group of studies were carried out to investigate the antifungal activity of plant extract. In fact the antifungal activities of some plants extracts in controlling different pathogens have been reported by several workers who pointed out that the active compounds present in plants were influenced by many factors which include the age of plant, extracting solvent, method of extraction and time of harvesting plant materials (Tewarri and Nayak, 1991; Amadioha, 2000; Okigbo, 2005)

Babu Joseph (2008) reported that Antifungalactivity of the invitro efficacy of different plant extracts viz., *Azadirachtaindica*, *Artemisia annua*, *Eucalyptus* 

globulus; Ocimum sanctum and Rheumemodi were found to control wilt pathogens.

Varma, *et al.*, (2002) also reported that extracts of tulsi (20%) was found to be least effective in inhibition of growth of *Fusarium*. The crude extracts of six plants viz, *Allium sativum*, *Capsicum annuum*, *Artimesia vulgaris*, *Eupatorium adenophorum*, *Gaultheria fragrantissima* and *Phyllanthusemblica* were found to have activity against the fungus *F. solani* (Asha, *et. al.*, 2009).

Igbinosa (2009) investigated the ability of the crude stem extracts of *Jatrophacurcas* to inhibit the growth of fungi and bacteria is an indication of its broad spectrum antimicrobial potential which may be employed in the management of microbial infections.

Aiyelaagbe and Ekunday (2000) investigated in-vitro and in-vivo the antifungal properties of *Jatrophacurcas* and *Ricinuscumunis*seed extracts in the control of mycelia growth and rot development of yam caused by *F*. *verticilliodes*and*A*. *flavus*reported that these plants possess antimicrobial activity.

In Sudan, ten Sudanese plants were screened for their antibacterial activity, seven of them showed promising results (Mustafa,*et al.*, 1982). Crude extracts solution obtained from the plant *Gordenialutea*, showed antibacterial activity against *Bacillus subtilis, Staphylocousaureus, Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginoza* (Ahmed,*et al.*, 1984). Badreledin (2006) reported that ginger oil showed antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus*, while, ELboshra (2005) reported that *Staphylococcusaureus* was sensitive to clove oil. The fenugreek oilwas also found to inhibit *Salmonella typhimurium* (Sulieman, 2009). Despite of the growth of global market for herbal products, following complementary and/or alternative medicines, homeopathy, health foods and natural-pharmaceuticals therapy, yet the majority of these herbs were not assessed for quality, safety and efficacy.

# **CHAPTER THREE**

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### 3.1. Study location

This study was conducted in the laboratory of plant pathology, Department of Plant Protection, College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology during February -April, 2016. The aim of this study was to detect and identify seed borne fungi associated with seeds samples of groundnuts collected from four different locations the stores of Agricultural Bank of Sudan,(stored since 2015 season) namely, Alfulah andAlmoglad in Western Kordofan whereas Alobied and Alnehudin Northern KordofanState of Sudan using filter paper method and agar plate method as recommended by ISTA(1996).

#### 3.2. Materials, tools and equipments used in the study

- Gloves
- camera
- marker pen
- electric blender
- Petri-dishes
- sensitive balance
- incubator
- needle
- flame
- laminar flow cabinet
- microscope
- autoclave
- slide
- aluminum foul

- water path
- potato dextrose agar (PDA)
- filter papers
- medical cotton

All materials except seeds, which used in the experiments, were sterilized using 70% ethyl alcohol. Clorox (10%) was used for Petri plate sterilization. Cotton blue and lacto phenol were used for staining of the fungal cytoplasm and for providing a light blue background, against which the walls of hyphae can readily be seen (Aneja, 2004).

#### **3.2.1.** Collection of samples

One random and homogeneous sample of five kilo grams was secured from each of the four locations in the stores of Agricultural Bank of Sudan. Thepeanut sample was obtained fromOne random and homogeneous sample of five kilo grams was secured from each of the four locations in the storesof Agricultural Bank of Sudan. The peanut samples seeds on shells were obtained from grains market seed stocks in each location. The seed samples were drawn according to international standards for seed testing association (ISTA, 1966). Collected samples were labeled and kept separately in sealed paper bags and transformed to the laboratory where they were stored at 5<sup>o</sup>C refrigerator for further investigations.

#### 3.3. Detection and isolation of seed borne fungi :

#### **3.3.1. Dry Seed Inspection:**

A sample of 400seeds of each seed sample were randomly selected and examined under stereoscopic binocular microscope (25-4x) and by magnified lens and naked eye according to the international seed testing association (ISTA Rules, 1966). The samples were examined for impurities, plant debris, weed seeds, discoloration and malformation.

#### **3.3.2.** Methods for the detection of seed borne fungal pathogens:

The seed samples were tested by the standard Filter paper and Agar methods for detection of seed borne fungi as described by ISTA. Normal and discolored seeds were tested separately for seed borne fungi.

#### **3.3.3** .Filter Paper Method

For the detection of seed borne fungi, standard Filter paper method as described by the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA 1996), was used for the detection of the seed-borne fungi associated with each seed sample. The seed samples were then platted on moistened filter papers (dia. 9.0 cm) in 9.0 cm sterilized plastic Petri-dishes. Five seeds were plated from each sample, 3 arranged at the periphery of the plate and 2 at the centre. Each sample was replicated four times and then kept in dark place for seed germination.

After seven days of incubation, seeds were then examined for fungal growth under stereo microscope. Fungi identification by habit character was supplemented by microscopic examination of spores and fruiting bodies using a compound microscope. Other identification aids wereAgarwal*et al.*,(1989); Burgess *et al.*, (1994); Mathur SK, SB Mathur, P Neergaard (1975);and Mathur and Kongsdal (2003). Incidence levels were recorded as the percentage of infected seeds in a sample

#### 3.3.4. Agar Method:

All seed samples was pre-treated with sodium hypochlorite 1% solution for 5 minutes then washed three times with sterilized distilled water (SDW) and dried between tow filter papers. The seed samples, five each, were then plated in sterilized glass Petri-dishes on Agar medium.

The plates were incubated for seven days at  $25^{\circ}$ C. On the 8<sup>th</sup> days the seeds were examined under light microscopes using slides preparation.

#### 3.4. Slide preparation and identification

The samples of fungus were taken randomly from each crop samples. These samples were identified on the basis of colony characteristics and microscopic examinations. Standard books and research papers were consulted during the examination of these fungi (Aneja, 2004; Barnet and Hunter, 1999; and Rifai, 1969). The binocular compound microscope was also used to determine the type of fungus in each plate. Fungi identified and their percentage frequency of occurrence was calculated by applying the following formula:

PF = (No. of seeds on which fungus appear / Total number of seeds) X 100

## **CHAPTER FOUR**

## RESULTS

This study which was conducted under laboratory conditions of Plant Protection Department, College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology during the period, February to April 2016aimed to investigate the occurrence of seed borne mycoflora associated with groundnuts samples collected from four locations in the Stores of Agricultural Bank of Sudan inNorth and West Kordofan Estates using Filter Paper and Agar Methods as recommended by ISAT (1966).

**4.1. Seed Borne mycoflora of groundnut seeds using Filter Paper Method** All the seed samples were found significantly infected by *Aspergillusflavus* and *Aspergillusniger* whereas the occurrence of *Rhizopus. nigricans and Alternariasolani* is sporadically.In fact, *A. flavus* and *A. niger*were isolated at higher frequencies from samples collected from all locations while *Rhizopusnigricans and Alternariasolani*was not consistently isolated and their occurrence is low.

Out of the four groundnuts samples collected from the four locations tested for incidence of seed borne fungi, a total of three genera of four species of fungi were recorded (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The mean percentage incidences of seed borne fungi of groundnut revealed by the filter papermethod are given in (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

The seed borne fungi identified were, *Aspergillusflavus, Aspergillusniger, Rhizopusnigricans andAlternariasolani.* Among these fungi the most predominantlyoccurred and recorded across locations were the storage fungi (saprophytes), *Aspergillusflavus*and*Aspergillusniger*. Their percentages incidences were significantly higher (42.5% and 30% respectively followed by *Alternariasolani* (6.5%) and *Rhizopusnigricans* (5.0%).

Among all locations, the mean percentage incidence of fungi detected on groundnut were occurred with varying level of incidences; *Aspergillusflavus* was significantly high at Alnehud (65%) compared to other locations. However, there are no significant differences in the incidences of *A. niger* across all locations, it was 25%, 30%, 35% and 30% in Alobied, Alfulah, Almuglad and Alnehud, respectively. The percentage incidences of *R. nigricans* and *A. solani* were either 0.0% as in Almuglad and Alnehud or the highest was *A. solani* (15%) in Alobied

Table 1: Mean frequency percentage of seed borne fungi on various seed samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each from one location using Filter paper method

| Fungi                 |                      | Overall             |                    |                     |                    |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
|                       | Alobied              | Alfulah             | Almuglad           | Alnehud             | mean               |  |
| Aspergillus<br>flavus | 30.00 <sup>bc</sup>  | 35.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 40.00 <sup>b</sup> | 65.00ª              | 42.50 <sup>A</sup> |  |
| Aspergillus<br>niger  | 25.00 <sup>bcd</sup> | 30.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 35.00 <sup>b</sup> | 30.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 30.00 <sup>B</sup> |  |
| Alternaria<br>solani  | 15.00 <sup>cde</sup> | 05.00°              | 05.00 <sup>e</sup> | 00.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 06.25 <sup>C</sup> |  |
| Rhizopus<br>nigricans | 05.00 <sup>e</sup>   | 10.00 <sup>de</sup> | 00.00 <sup>e</sup> | 05.00°              | 05.00 <sup>C</sup> |  |
| Overall<br>mean       | 15.00 <sup>A</sup>   | 16.00 <sup>A</sup>  | 16.00 <sup>A</sup> | 20.00 <sup>A</sup>  |                    |  |
| C.V%                  | 13.52%               |                     |                    |                     |                    |  |
| P-value               | 0.0507*              |                     |                    |                     |                    |  |
| Lsd <sub>0.05</sub>   | 17.42                |                     |                    |                     |                    |  |

Mean(s) sharing same superscript(s) are not significantly different (P>0.05) according to DMRT.



Fig 1: Mean frequency percentage of seed borne fungi on various seed samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each from one location using Filter paper method

#### 4.2. Seed borne mycofloraof groundnut Seeds using Agar Method

Table, 2 and Figure, 2 show the results of detection of mycoflora associated with groundnut seed samples from the four locations. The species *A. flavus was* found significantly occurredall across locations followed by *A. niger*, R. *nigricans*, *A. solani* and *Penicilliumspp*. In fact, A. *flavus*was the most prevalentmycotoxigenic fungi across the locations in Northern and WesternKordofanStates. The species of fungi isolated were(*A. flavus*)at the rate of 52.5%, (*A. niger*)16.25%, (R. *nigricans*)11.25%, (A. *solani*) 6.25% and (*Penicilliumspp.*) 1.25%.

Among the locations, the proportion of nuts contamination by *Aspergillus spp.* varied from 65% at Muglad to 55% at Alfulla. The seeds contamination by other contaminants was found to be far less behind. It varies between 0.0% and 25%.

| Table 2: Mean frequency percentages of seed borne fungi on various seed |
|---|
| samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each from |
| one location using Agar method  |

| Fungi                |                     | Overall             |                     |                     |                     |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| i uligi              | Alobeid             | Alfulah             | Almuglad            | Elnehud             | mean                |
| Aspergillusflavus    | 45.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 55.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 65.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 45.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 52.50 <sup>A</sup>  |
| Aspergillusniger     | 15.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 15.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 10.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 25.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 16.25 <sup>B</sup>  |
| Rhizopusnigricans    | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 10.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 20.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 15.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 11.25 <sup>BC</sup> |
| Alternariasolani     | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 20.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 5.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 06.25 <sup>BC</sup> |
| Penicilliumdigitatum | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>ac</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 5.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 01.25 <sup>C</sup>  |
| Overall mean         | 12.00 <sup>A</sup>  | 20.00 <sup>A</sup>  | 20.00 <sup>A</sup>  | 18.00 <sup>A</sup>  |                     |
| C.V%                 | 15.05%              |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| P-value              | 0.041*              |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Lsd <sub>0.05</sub>  | 23.53               |                     |                     |                     |                     |

Mean(s) sharing same superscript(s) are not significantly different (P>0.05) according to

DMRT.



Fig 2. Mean frequency percentages of seed borne fungi on various seed samples of groundnut collected from four different locations, each from one location using Agar method

### **CHAPTER FIVE**

### DISCUSSION

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important food and feed crop, which also serve as significant source of cash in developing countries that contribute significantly to food security and alleviate poverty (Pande et al., 2003; Upadhyaya al., 2006). However, groundnut production et and commercialization in developing countries and particularly in Africa is constrained by several factors, among which is mycoflora associated with seeds especially Aspergillus spp., (Caliskan et al., 2008; Pande et al., 2003; Upadhyaya et al., 2006). These facultative fungi, in addition to causing quantitative losses, produce highly toxic and carcinogenic chemical substances known as Aflatoxin (Yousif M.A.et al., 2010).

The importance of seed borne fungi to food and oil crops quality and quantity cannot be ignored. The risk encountered have been reported by several authors (Ali, 1989; El-Naghy *et al.*, 1998; Haq Elamin NH *et al.*, 1988; Holbrook *et al.*, 2000; Osman *et al.*, 1999; Saber *et al.*, 1998; Thompson and Henke 2000 and Younis and Malik (2003).Azhar *et al.*, (2011)reported that the seed mycoflora of most concern are produced by species within the genera of *Aspergillusspp*, *Fusarium spp*, and *Penicillium spp*that frequently occur in major food crops in the field and continue to contaminate them during storage, including cereals, oil seeds, and various fruits.

The study was carried out to investigate the occurrence of seed borne fungi and to identify them on four groundnut samples collected from different locations in North and west Kordofan State. The results revealed that irrespective of load of mycoflora, their association with groundnut crop seeds in different locations of North and west Kordofan appears to be a prevalent situation. Apart from *R.nigricans, A. solani* and*P. Digitatum* where their occurrence is low and inconsistent on seeds samples tested with standard filter paper method as described by the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA 1976), *A. flavus, and A.niger, were consistently and significantly isolated from all location.* 

These results are in line with Younis and Malik (2003) in Sudan and Eshetu (2010) in Ethiopia who reported in their study the most frequent occurrence of *Aspergillusspp*. (*A. flavus*, *A. niger* and other fungi) in wet shelled one year stored peanut sample. The results is also in agreement with those of Kamal and Mughal (1968) and Khan *et al.*, (1974) ; Syed Danis, *et al.*, (2013) who reported the presence of *Aspergillusspp*, *Penecilliumspp*, *Alternariaspp*, *Fusariumspp*, and *Rhizopusspp*in seeds of food crops. This common occurrence of seed borne fungi like *Aspergillus.spp* had been widely reported by HaqElamin NH *et al.*, 1988 and Martin *et al.*, (1984). The results also corroborate those of Bhutta and Hussain (1999; Khan and Bhutta (1994; and Singh (1983) who reported the occurrence of seeds crops.

The high load of seed borne fungi in seed samples from some locations compared to others demonstrated by this study could be attributed to favorable weather or storage conditions for the different fungi in different environments. The implications of this variation was highlighted in the report of Bandyopadhyay (1986) who determined that prevailing conditions at harvest and storage were responsible for incidence of spoilage fungi. Moreover, the present result showed that all the samples tested were associated with *Aspergillus*which werepredominant fungi of groundnut. In Sudan Abdela (2009) also reported contamination of groundnut samples by *A. niger*and *A. flavus*, which were isolated at frequencies of 29-60% for *A. niger*and 4-52% for *A. flavus*. Furthermore, Tefera and Tana, (2002) attributed the predominant species of fungi associated with diseased plants to the involvement of these fungi in pre- and post- emergence death of groundnut plants.

The data also demonstrated that the results obtained by the two methods were found to be very close and the filter paper method was found to be more practical than Agar method. These findings confirm that of Jovicevic (1980) who reported that the filter paper method was most practical method for routine analysis of seed health. Such similar results were also observed by Khan *et al.*, (1988) on rice seed and Dawar & Ghaffar (1991) on sunflower seed.

#### Conclusion

- Groundnut seeds besides being of high quality and purity should also be free from mycotoxigenic fungi. In this study four genera were encountered in wide range of incidence percentage in all samples collected from four locations, each in one location in North Kordofan of Sudan.
- Of the fungi occurred in seed samples, the two most consistently prevailing seed borne fungi across locations were the storage ones; *Aspergillusflavus* and *Aspergillusniger* with varying level of incidences.
- The other fungi identified from samples and which were not consistent in their incidence are *R.nigricans*, *A. solani* and *P. digitatum*.
- The results obtained by the two methods used to detect contamination of samples are very close whereasthe filter paper method was found to be more practical than agar method

#### Recommendations

Based on the foregoing results the following studies were recommended:-

- Establishment of field research to demonstrate to farmers the ideal management practices that minimize the level of seed borne fungi in groundnut.
- The importance of conduction of reconnaissance survey to determine the consistency of the seed borne fungi isolated across the country to determine percentage incidences and severity and favorable conditions for contamination.
- Use the clean healthy seeds and selective seed for producing food.

### Reference

- Abdel Moneim E. Sulieman, Wigdan M. Elzobair and Awad M. Abdelrahim (2009).ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF THE EXTRACT OF SolenostemmaArgel (Harjal) PLANT.J. Sc. Tech Vol. 10(3) 2009.
- Abu Assar, A.H., El Ahmadi, A.B., Abdalla, E.G.H., El Mustafa, E.S.A., Eleasir, M.B.A and Hussein, A.A. (2008).Bunting a new large-seeded Virginia groundnut variety for the irrigated clays in Sudan.Journal of SAT Agricuitural research, 6.
- Agarwal, P.C., Carmen, N. and Mathur, S.B. (1989).Seedborne diseases and seed health testing of rice.Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing Countries, Copenhagen, Denmark.pp 106.
- Ahmed, E.M.; Basheir, A.K. and El-Kheir, Y.M. (1984).Investigation of molluscicidal activity of certain Sudanese medical plants used in folkmedicine part IV.Planta medical. 1: 74-77.
- Aiyelaagbe, O, O. Adesogan ,E. K. andEkunday,O, O. (2000). The antimicrobial activity of roots of Jatrophapodagrica (Hook). Journal
  - Alabouvette C (1999) Fusarium wilts suppressive soils: an example of disease suppressive soils. Australas Plant Pathol 28:47–64.
  - Ali , M.E.K. (1989) .A review of wilt and root –rot diseases of food legumes. In production and important of cool- season food legumes.In the Sudan proceedings of the National Research Review workshop, (S.H Salih, O.A.A.Ageeb.
  - Amadioha A.C. 2000. Fungitoxic effects of some leaf extracts against Rhizopusoryzae causing tuber rot of potato. Arch phytopathology Pflan. 4: 1-9.

- Aneja KR (2004). Experiments in Microbiology, Plant Pathology and Biotechnology. Fourth edition, New International (P) limited publishers, India.121-128.
- Anon A. 1989.Mycotoxins, Economic and Health Risks. Council for Agricultural Science and Technology; Report No. 116. Pp. 91.
- ARC (Agricultural Research Corporation) of Sudan (2003 2010). Annual report (2003-2010) Wad -Madani, Sudan.

Article, Research Support .14(1):60-2.

- Asha, KajiShrestha and R.D. Tiwari .(2009).Central Department of Botany Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu.
- Azhar S. (2011). Building information modeling (bim): Trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the aec industry. Leadership and Management in Engineering, 11(3), 241-252.
- AzharHussain, Safdar A. Anwar1, G. M. Sahi1, Q. Abbas and Imran (2009).Seed Borne Fungal Pathogens Associated with Pearl Millet (Pennisetumtyphoides) and their impact on seed germination. Pak. J.Phytopathol., Vol. 21(1): 55-60, 2009
- BabuJoseph ,Muzafar Ahmad ; Dar and Vinod Kumar. (2008).
  Department of Microbiology and Microbial Technology, College of Biotechnology and Allied Sciences, Allahabad Agricultural Institute, Deemed University, Allahabad 211 007, Uttar Pradesh, India.
- Badreldin, L.E. (2006). Chemical composition of ginger (Zingiberofficinale Rose) and detection of antimicrobial activity of its oil.M. Sc. Thesis, University of Gezira.
- Bandyopadhyay R (1986). Grain mold. In: Fredariksen, RA (ed). Compendium of sorghum diseases, Annual phytopathol. Soc., St. Paul Minnesota, USA, pp. 36-38.
- Barnett, H.L. and Hunter, B.B. (1999) Illustrated genraofn imperfect fungi.Fourth edition.Prentice Hall Inc.

- Berger, R. D. (1977). Application of epidemiological principles to achieve plant disease control.Annual reviewof phytopathology 15, 165-183.
- Bhat RV, Vasanthi S (2003). Food Safety in Food Security and FoodTrade: Mycotoxin Food Safety Risk in Developing Countries.Washington D.C. International Food Policy Research Institute, 2003 ,)Brief .3.
- Bhutta, A.R. and S.A. Hussain. 1999. Seed –borne pathogens of wheat in Pakistan. Rachis, 18(2): 66-68.
- Bipen, K., Chahal, S.S. and Ahuja, K.L. (1999).Effect of head rot caused by Rhizopusoryzae, on some bioconstituents of sunflower seed.Plant Disease Research. 14, 99-101.
- Booth C (1971) The genus Fusarium. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.
- Bowers JH, Locke JC (2000) Effect of botanical extracts on the population density of Fusariumoxysporum in soil and control of Fusarium wilt in the greenhouse. Plant Dis 84:300–305.
- Burgess, L.W., Summerell B.A., Bullock S., Gott K.P. and Backhouse D. (1994).Laboratory Manual for Fusarium Research.University of Sydney, 3rdEd. 133pp Boiron P., 2009, Champignons toxinogènesetmycotoxicoses. http:// www.ispb.univ-lyon1.fr. Consulté le 5 janvier 2009.Punithalingam E., 1985, Description of pathogenic fungi and bacteria. Plant Pathology, 55, 1234.
- Caliskan S, Caliskan ME, Arslan M, Arioglu H (2008). Effects of sowing date and growth duration on growth and yield of groundnut in a Mediterranean-type environment in Turkey. Field Crops Res. 105(1-2): 131-140.
- Contamination of pea (PisumsativumL.) seeds by fungi and mycotoxins. African Journal of mycology and Biotechnology.6, 53-64.
- Dawar, S. and A. Ghaffar. 1991. Detection of the seed borne mycoflora of sunflower. Pak. J. Bot., 23(2): 173-178

- DeVay JE, Garber RH, Wakeman RJ (1988) Field management of cotton seedling diseases in California using chemical and biological seed treatments. In: Proceedings of Beltwiae cotton conference, National Cotton Council of Americana, Memphis, TN, USA, pp 29–3
- Diehl T, Fehrmann H (1999) Wheat fusarioses: Influence of infection date, tissue injury and aphids on leaf and ear attack. J Plant Dis Prot 96:393–407 World J MicrobiolBiotechnol 12
- Elboshra, I.M. (2005). Chemical composition of clove (Syzygriumaromaticum) and detection of antimicrobial activity of its oil.M. Sc. Thesis, University of Gezira, Wad Medani, Sudan.
- El-Naghy, M.A., et al,(1998). "Degradation of chicken feathers by Chrysosporiumgeorgiae." Mycopathologia, 143: 77-84.
- FAO.2006.Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005-progress towards sustainable forest management. Forestry Paper no.147 Rome ,Italy.

FAOSTAT (2010). Groundnut world production.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (1979). Recommended practice for prevention of mycotoxins in food, feed and their products, Rome, 1979, 4-36.
- Garibaldi A, Guglielmone L, Gullino ML (1990) Rhizosphere competence of antagonistic Fusaria isolated from suppressive soils. Symbiosis 9:401–404.
- HaqElamin NH1, Abdel-Rahim AM, Khalid AE.(1988). Aflatoxin contamination of groundnuts in Sudan.Mycopathologia. 1988
  Oct;104(1):25-31.detection and enumeration of molds in cereal products. Food Quality & Safety magazine, February/March 2011
- Holbrook, C.C., Wilson, D.M., Matheron, M.E., Hunter, J.E., Knauft, D.A. and Gorbet, D.W. (2000). Aspergillus colonization and aflatoxin contamination in peanut genotypes with reduced linoleic acid composition. Plant Disease. 84(2), 148-150.

http://www.faostat.fao.org.

- IARC, 2002.Some traditional herbal medicines some mycotoxins, naphthalene and styrene.monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Volume 82. Lyon: IARC Press. pp. 171-274
- Igbinosa.1, E. O. Igbinosa , and Aiyegoro.(2009). University of Sint Eustatius School of Medicine Goldenrock, Sint Eustatius, Netherland-Antilies. Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Fort Hare, Private Bag X1314, Alice 5700, South Africa.
- International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) (1976). Seed Science and Technology 4:3-48
- ISTA (1966).International Rules of Seed Testing; Pros. Int. Seed. Test. Assoc. 32:565-589.
- Jones, J.P. Jones.; J.B. and Miller, W. (1982). Fusarium wilts on tomato. Fla, Dept. Agric. & Consumer Serv., Div. of Plant Industry Plant Pathology Circular No. 237
- Jovicevic, B. 1980.Contribution to the knowledge of harmful mycoflora on seed and seedling of wheat, maize and sunflower.ZastitaBilja, 31: 101-119.
- Kamal, M. and S.M. Mughal. 1968. Studies on plant diseases of South West Pakistan. Agric. Res. Inst. Tandojam, 207 pp
- Khan, M.Q. and A.R. Bhutta. 1994. Seed-borne fungi of wheat cultivars in Pakistan. Pak J. Ind. Res., 9: 397-398.
- Khan, S.A.J., A.K. Khanzada, N. Sultana and M. Aslam. 1988. Evaluation of seed health testing techniques for the assessment of seed borne mycoflora of rice. Pakistan J. Agric. Res., 9: 502-505.
- KRN Reddy, B Salleh, B Saad, HK Abbas, CA Abel, and WT Shier (2010). An overview of mycotoxin contamination in foods and its implications for human health. Inform, Toxin Reviews, 2010; 29(1): 3–26.

- Liggit J, Jenkinson P, Parry DW (1997) The role of saprophytic microflora in the development of Fusarium ear blight of winter wheat caused by Fusariumculmorum. Crop Prot 16:679–685.
- Lumsden RD, Locke JC (1989) Biological control of damping-off caused by Pythiumultimum and Rhizoctoniasolani with Gliocladiumvirens in soilless mix. Phytopathology 79:361–366
- Mahmoud HH, Ridge SA, Behm FG, Pui C H, Ford AM, Raimondi SC and Greaves MF (1995) Intrauterine monoclonal origin of neonatal concordant acute lymphoblastic leukemia in monozygotic twins. Med PedOnc 24: 77– 81.
- Mahmoud, M.A., Khidir, M.O., Khlifa, M.A., Musnad, H.A., Mohamed, El.I. (1995). Country report to the FAO international technical conference on plant genetic resources (Leipzig).
- Martin JW, Seaman WL, Atkinson TG (1984). Diseases of field crops in Canada.p. 160.
- Mathur SB, O Kongsdal (2003). Common laboratory seed health testing method for detecting fungi.Ist Edition International Seed Testing Association, P.O. Box 308, 8303 Bassersdorf, CH- Switzerland, pp: 425.
- Mathur SK, SB Mathur, P Neergaard (1975).Detection of seed borne fungi in sorghum.Pear millet and groundnut.Seed Science Technology, 3: 683-690.
- Minton EB (1986). Half a century dynamics and control of cotton disease.In: Proceedings of Beltwiae cotton conference, National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN, USA, pp 33–35.
- Mohamed (2010) Determination of aflatoxin levels in Sudanese edible oils. Food and Chemical Toxicology 48 (2010) 2539–2541
- Mondal, S.; Badigannavar, A.M.; Kale, D.M. and Murty, G.S.S. (2006).Induction of genetic variability in a diseases-resistant groundnut breeding line.Tamil Nadu Agriculture Unversity

Cambridge. (Brac Newsletter, founders day special issue No. 285, October, 2007).

- Mustafa, A.F.; Basheir, A.K. and Salih, A.M. (1982). Antimicrobial activity of higher Argentin plants. Fitoterapia 2: 81-85.
- Nwokoto, E. (1996). Peanut (ArachishypogaeaL.). In: Food and Fee from Legumes and Oilseeds. E. Nwokoto and J. Smart, Eds. Pp. 49-63.New York: Chapman and Hall. ODA (Overseas Development Administration).
- Oerke, E.C. and Dehne, H.W. 2004.Safeguarding production-losses in major crops and the role of crop protection. Crop Protection 23, 275-285
- Okigbo, R. N. and Nmeka, I. A. (2005). Control of yam tuber rot with leaf extracts of Xylopiaaethiopica and Zingiberofficinale. African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 4(8), pp.804 807.
- OlusegunAtanda; Hussaini Anthony Makun, Isaac M. Ogara, Mojisola Edema, Kingsley O. Idahor, Margaret E. Eshiett and Bosede F. Oluwabamiwo (2013). Fungal and Mycotoxin Contamination of Nigerian Foods and Feeds: in <u>Mycotoxin and Food Safety in</u> <u>Developing Countries</u>, edited by Hussaini Anthony Makun, ISBN 978-953-51-1096-5, Published: April 10, 2013 under <u>CC BY 3.0</u> <u>license</u>.
- Osman, N.A., Abdelgadir, A.M., Moss, M.O. and Bener, A. (1999). Aflatoxin contamination of rice in the United Arab Emirates.Mycotoxin Research.15 (1), 39-44
- Pande S, Bandyopadhyay R, Blümmel M, NarayanaRao J, Thomas D, Navi SS (2003). Diseasemanagement factors influencing yield and quality of sorghum and groundnut cropresidues. Field Crops Res.84(1-2): pp.89-103.

- Peter Wareing (2010). The Fungal Infection of Agricultural Produce and the Production of Mycotoxins.European Mycotoxins Awareness Network.
- Pitt J.I. (2006). Penicillium and related genera: In Food Spoilage Microorganisms, Blackburn C. de W. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, 2006, 437-50.
- Reddy, KRN; Salleh, B; Saad, B.; Abbas.HK.Abel, CA. and Shier WT. (2010).An overview of mycotoxin contamination in foods and its implications for human health. Inform, Toxin Reviews, 2010; 29(1): 3–26.
- Rifai M.A (1969) Revision of the genus Fusariumand Alternaria. Mycological papers 116:40-95.

Saber, S. M., Aboul-Nasr, M.B. and El-Maghraby, O.M.O. (1998)

- Sanders, T.H., Hill, R.A, Cole, R.J. & Blankenship, P.D., Effect of drought on occurrence to Aspergillusflavus in maturing peanuts, J. Am. Oil.Chem. Soc., 58, 1981.
- Shiferaw, B., Pantilan, M.C., Gupta, S.C. and Shetly, S.VR.(2004). Research Spillover Benefits and experiences in Inter-Regional Technology Transfer.An Assessment and Synthesis ICRISAT.
- Singh, D.V. 1983. Fungi associated with wheat seeds and their significance. *Seed Research*, 11:103-105.
- Singha IM, Unni BG, Kakoty Y, Das J, Wann SB, Singh L, Kalita MC (2010) Evaluation of in vitro antifungal activity of medicinal plants against phytopathogenic fungi. Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot. doi:10.1080/03235401003672913.
- Smart, M. G; Shotwell, O. L. and Caldwell, R. W. (1990). Pathogenesis in Aspergillus ear rot of maize: aflatoxin B1 levels in grains around wound inoculation sites. Phytopathology, 80: 1283-1286.

- Smith, I.M. Dunez, J. Phillips, D.H. Lelliott, R.A., and Archer, S.A. eds. (1988).European handbook of plant diseases. Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford 583pp
- Sulieman, A.E., Elzobair, W.M. and Abdelrahim, A.M. (2009).Antimicrobial activity of the extract of Solenostemmaargelplant.J. Sci. & Technol., 10(3):104-115.
- Summeral BA, Salleh B, Leslie JF (2003) A utilitarian approach to Fusarium identification. Plant Dis 87:117–128.
- Syed Danish, Y. N.; Shiden T. M.andMehret, S. (2013). Identification of seed borne fungi on farmer saved sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.), pearl millet (*Pennisetumglaucum* L.) and groundnut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) seeds. Agricultural Science Research Journals Vol. 3(4), pp. 107-114, April 2013
- Taru VB, Kyagya IZ, Mshelia SI, 2010. Profitability of Groundnut Production in Michika Local Government Area of Adamawa Local Government Area, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science 1, 26-29.
- Tefera T, Tana T (2002). Agronomic performance of sorghum and groundnut cultivars in sole and intercrop cultivation under semiarid conditions.J Agron.and Crop Sci. 188: 212-218.
- Tewarri S.N., Nayak N. 1991. Activity of four plants leaf extracts against three fungal pathogens of rice. Tropical Agriculture.(Trinidad).
  68: 373-375.
- Thomas, A. Zitter, Fusarium Diseases of Cucurbits. Fact Sheet Page: 733.00 Date: 1.(1998).Department of Plant Pathology, Cornell University.
- Thompson, C. and Henke, S.E (2000).Effect of climate and type of storage container on aflatoxin production in corn and its associated risks to wildlife species.Journal of Wildlife Diseases. 36, 172-179.

- Upadhyaya, H. D; Reddy L. J.; Gowda C. L. and Singh, S. (2006). Identification of diverse groundnut germplasm: Sources of early maturity in a core collection. Field Cro. Res. 97: 261-271.
- Varma, K.P. Yashoda, R. Hegde and S. Kulkarni. (2002). In vitro evaluation of phytoextracts and biocontrol agents against Drechslerasorokiniana In: Asian Cong. Mycol. Pl. Pathol., Indian Soc. Mycol. [Pl Pathol. University of Mysore (Abst) Oct.1-4, pp: 241.
- Wanyera, R. (1998). Seed-borne fungal pathogens of wheat in Kenya.In proceedings of the Tenth Regional.
- Weiss, E.A. 2000 Oilseed Crops. London: Blackwell Science.
- World Health Organization, Food irradiation (1988). A technique for preserving and improving the safety of food, Geneva, 1988.
- Younis, Y.M.H., Malik, M.K., 2003. TLC and HPLC assay of aflatoxin contamination in Sudanese peanuts and peanut products. Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. 30 (1), 79–93.
- Yousif M.A. Idris, Abdalbasit A. Mariod, Ibrahim AlfaigElnour, Adam Ali Mohamed (2010) Determination of aflatoxin levels in Sudanese edible oils. Food and Chemical Toxicology 48 (2010) 2539–2541.

### Appendices

#### **APPENDIX** (1)

**Experiment Model: Two Factor Completely Randomized Design; where** Factor A = Location and Factor B = Fungi **Filter paper** ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE S. of Var. df SS MS F-cal P-value ------Factor A 3 295.000 98.333 0.6484 >0.05 Factor B 4 21880.000 5470.000 36.0659 0.0000 AB 12 3480.000 290.000 1.9121 0.057 9100.000 151.667 Error 60 \_\_\_\_\_ Total 79 34755.000 \_\_\_\_\_

Coefficient of Variation: 13.52%

#### **1-** Locations

Duncan's Multiple Range Test LSD value = 7.790 SE = 2.754 at alpha = 0.050Mean 1 = 15.00 A Mean 2 = 16.00 A Mean 3 = 16.00 A Mean 4 = 20.00 A **2- Fungi** Duncan's Multiple Range Test LSD value = 8.710SE = 3.079 at alpha = 0.050Mean 1 = 42.50 A

Mean 2 = 30.00 B

Mean 3 = 5.000 C Mean 4 = 0.0000 C Mean 5 = 6.250 C

#### Interaction

Duncan's Multiple Range Test

LSD value = 17.42at alpha = 0.050SE = 6.1581 = 30.00 BCMean Mean 2 = 25.00 BCD Mean 3 = 5.000 E 4 = 0.0000Е Mean 15.00 CDE 5 = Mean 35.00 B Mean 6 = 30.00 BC Mean 7 = 10.00 Mean 8 =DE Mean 9 = 0.0000Ε Mean 10 = 5.000E Mean 11 = 40.00 B Mean 12 = 35.00 B Mean 13 = 0.0000Ε Mean 14 = 0.0000Ε Mean 15 = 5.000E Mean 16 = 65.00 A Mean 17 = 30.00 BC Mean 18 = 5.000E Mean 19 = 0.0000E Mean 20 = 0.0000Е

#### **APPENDIX(2)**

#### POTATO DIXTROSE AGAR

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE S. of Var. SS MS df F-cal P-value \_\_\_\_\_ Factor A 3 860.000 286.667 1.0361 0.3831 Factor B 4 26500.000 6625.000 23.9458 0.0000 AB 12 2740.000 228.333 0.8253 0.0411 Error 60 16600.000 276.667 ------Total 79 46700.000 \_\_\_\_\_ Coefficient of Variation: 15.05% Duncan's Multiple Range Test LSD value = 10.52 SE = 3.719 at alpha = 0.050Mean 1 = 12.00 A Mean 2 = 20.00 A Mean 3 = 20.00 A Mean 4 = 18.00 A Duncan's Multiple Range Test LSD value = 11.76 SE = 4.158 at alpha = 0.050Mean 1 = 52.50 A Mean 2 = 16.25 B Mean 3 = 11.25 BC Mean 4 = 1.250 C Mean 5 = 6.250 BC Duncan's Multiple Range Test LSD value = 23.53SE = 8.317 at alpha = 0.050 Mean 1 = 45.00 AB

Mean 2 = 15.00 C 3 = 0.0000 C Mean Mean 4 = 0.0000 C 5 = 0.0000 C Mean 6 = 55.00 A Mean 7 = 15.00 C Mean 10.00 C 8 = Mean Mean 9 = 0.0000 C Mean 10 = 20.00 BC Mean 11 = 65.00 A Mean 12 = 10.00 C Mean 13 = 20.00 BC Mean 14 = 0.0000 C Mean 15 = 5.000 C Mean 16 = 45.00 AB Mean 17 = 25.00 BC Mean 18 =15.00 С Mean 19 = 5.000С Mean 20 = 0.0000 C



Plate 1: Detection of major seed borne fungi by Filter paper method



(A)





(C)





Plate 2: Detection of major seed borne fungi by Agar method











Plate 3. Penicilliumspp



Plate 4. Fusarium spp.



Plate 5. *Alternariaspp* 



Plate 6. Aspergillus spp.