## **Chapter Three**

## **Results and Discussion**

#### **3.1 Introduction:**

The application of UV light induced photocatalytic process was studied in this work to deduce the chloroform degradation in water.

The results obtained in this study are presented here in two categories:

- 1) The dependence of the chloroform degradation on the irradiation time.
- 2) The dependence of the chloroform degradation on the amount of the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O3$ .

The data concerning the behaviour of chloroform degradationwere obtained using different amounts of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  semiconductor (300, 400 and 700mg), and three different exposure times (15, 30 and 45minute). Furthermore, data are presented as absorption spectra where the intensity of the absorption band of chloroform (281.7049 nm) is compared for both categories, before and after irradiation.

### **3.2 Spectrum of the pure distilled water:**

The absorption spectrum of the pure distilled water was recorded as shown in the figure (3.1).



**Figure (3.1) The absorption spectrum of the pure distilled water**

The spectrum shows that the distilled water has a strong absorption band cantered at 973.3387nm.

# **3.3 The absorption spectrum of chloroform in distilled water:**

One ml of chloroform was added to 100ml distilled water, and the absorption spectrum of the mixture was recorded as shown in figure (3.2).



**Figure (3.2)The absorption spectrum of 1ml from chloroform in 100ml distilled water.**

Figure (3.2) shows that when the mixture of 1ml chloroform and 100ml of distilled water, has anabsorption peak at 281.7049nm.So when compared with figure (3.1) one can conclude that the new peak is an absorption band of chloroform.

### **3.4Degradation of chloroform:**

The absorption spectra of chloroform after irradiation by the UV source (365nm) with output power 1200mWfor different times and different amountsof  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalyst were recorded and compared.

# **3.4.1 The spectra after adding 300mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> and irradiation with different times:**

After adding 300mg from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  to 1ml chloroform dissolved in 100ml of distilled water, the mixture was irradiated by the UV source for different times (15min, 30min and 45min). After that, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  was filtered out and the absorption spectrawere recorded as shown in figure (3.3). The spectra were compared with that obtained before irradiation as shown in thefigure.



**Figure(3.3) The spectra of a mixture composed of 1ml chloroform and 300mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiatedfor different times.** 

 Table (3.1) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at 281.704 in each case.

**Table (3.1): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm using 300mg from Fe2O<sup>3</sup> for different exposure times.** 

Weight of	<b>Exposure time</b>	Wavelength	Absorption	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	(min)	(nm)	band intensity	percentage
			(a.u)	$(\%)$
Without $Fe2O3$	No exposure time	281.7049	0.051	0.00
300	15	281.7049	0.045	11.77
300	30	281.7049	0.035	31.38
300	45	281.7049	0.029	43.14

Figure (3.4) shows the relation between the exposure time and the intensity of the absorption bandof the chloroform and 300 mg  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ irradiated for different times.



**Figure (3.4) The relation between the exposure time and absorption intensity of a mixture composed of 1 ml chloroform and 300 mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for different times.**

# **3.4.2The spectra after adding 400mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> and irradiation with different times:**

After adding 400mg from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  to 1ml chloroform dissolved in 100ml of distilled water, the mixture was irradiated by the UV source for different times (15min, 30min and 45min). After that, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  was filtered out and the absorption spectra were recorded as shown in figure (3.5). The spectra were compared with that obtained before irradiation as shown in the figure.



**Figure (3.5) The spectra of a mixture composed 1ml chloroform and 400mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for different times.** 

Table (3.2) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at 281.704 in each case.

**Table (3.2): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm** 

Weight of	<b>Exposure time</b>	Wavelength	Absorption	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	(min)	(nm)	band	percentage
			intensity	(%)
			(a.u)	
	Without $Fe2O3$ No exposure time	281.7049	0.051	0.00
400	15	281.7049	0.042	17.77
400	30	281.7049	0.029	43.14
400	45	281.7049	0.022	56.87

**using400mg from Fe2O<sup>3</sup> for different exposure times.**

Figure (3.6) shows the relation between the exposure time and the intensity of the absorption bandof the chloroform and 400 mg  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  irradiated for different times.



**Figure (3.6) The relation between the exposure time and absorption intensity of a mixture composed 1 ml chloroform and 400 mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for different times.**

# **3.4.3The spectra after adding 700mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> and irradiation with different times:**

After adding 700mg from  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  to 1ml chloroform dissolved in 100ml of distilled water, the mixture was irradiated by the UV source for different times (15min, 30min and 45min). After that, the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  was filtered out and the absorption spectra were recorded as shown in figure (3.7). The spectra were compared with that obtained before irradiation as shown in the figure.



**Figure (3.7)The spectra of a mixture composed of 1ml chloroform and 700mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiatedfor different times.** 

Table (3.3) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at 281.704 in each case.

**Table (3.3): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm** 

Weight of	<b>Exposure time</b>	Wavelength	Absorption	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	(min)	(nm)	band	percentage
			intensity	$(\%)$
			(a.u)	
Without $Fe2O3$	No exposure time	281.7049	0.051	0.00
700	15	281.7049	0.032	37.26
700	30	281.7049	0.019	62.75
700	45	281.7049	0.000	100

**using700mg from Fe2O<sup>3</sup> for different exposure times.**

Figure (3.8) showsthe relation between the exposure time and the absorption intensity the band at  $281.704$  nm of the chloroform and  $700$  mg  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  irradiated for different times.



**Figure (3.8) The relation between the exposure time and absorption intensity of a mixture composed of 1 ml chloroform and 700 mg Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for different times.**

Table (3.4) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at  $281.704$  nm for a mixture of chloroform and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (different weights)after irradiation with 15min.

**Table (3.4): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm after irradiation with15min and different weights of Fe2O3.**

Weight of	<b>Exposure</b>	Wavelength	Absorption	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	time (min)	(nm)	band	percentage
			intensity	(9/0)
			(a.u)	
Without $Fe2O3$ No exposure		281.7049	0.051	0.00
	time			
300	15	281.7049	0.045	11.77
400	15	281.7049	0.042	17.77
700	15	281.7049	0.032	37.26

Figure (3.9) shows the relation between the weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and the absorption intensity of the chloroform irradiated for 15 min.



**Figure (3.9) The relation between the weights of Fe2O<sup>3</sup> and the absorption intensity of a mixtures composed of 1 ml chloroform and different weights of Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for 15 min**

Table (3.5) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at 281.704 nm with 30min exposure time and different weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ .

**Table (3.5): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm after irradiation for 30min and different weights of Fe2O3.**

Weight of	<b>Exposure</b>	Wavelength	<b>Absorption</b>	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	time (min)	(nm)	band	percentage
			intensity	$(\%)$
			(a.u)	
Without $Fe2O3$	No exposure	281.7049	0.051	0.00
	time			
300	30	281.7049	0.035	31.38
400	30	281.7049	0.029	43.14
700	30	281.7049	0.019	62.75

Figure (3.10) show the relation between the weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and the absorption intensity of the chloroform irradiated for 30 min.



**Figure (3.10)** The relation between the weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and the **absorption intensity of a mixture composed of 1 ml chloroform and different weights of Fe2O<sup>3</sup> irradiated for 30 min**

Table (3.6) lists the results of the comparison between the intensities of the band at 281.704 nm with 45min exposure time and different weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ .

**Table (3.6): The absorption intensities of the band at 281.704nm after irradiation of45min and different weights of Fe2O3.**

Weight of	<b>Exposure</b>	Wavelength	<b>Absorption</b>	<b>Degradation</b>
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (mg)	time (min)	(nm)	band	percentage
			intensity	$(\%)$
			(a.u)	
Without $Fe2O3$	No exposure	281.7049	0.051	0.00
	time			
300	45	281.7049	0.029	43.14
400	45	281.7049	0.022	56.87
700	45	281.7049	0.00	100

Figure (3.11) shows the relation between the weights of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and absorption intensity of the chloroform irradiated for 45 min.



**Figure (3.11) The relation between the weights of**  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  **and the absorption intensity of the chloroform irradiated for 45 min**

#### **3.5 Discussion:**

As shown in figures (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), the chloroform degradation is observable and that is due to many reasons. For example in figure (3.3), when the amount of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was 300mg$  and the exposure time was increased from 15min, 30min to 45min, the intensity of the chloroform absorption peak (at 281.7049 nm) was decreased significantly with increasing the exposure time, which increase the amount of produced charge carriers. That is also noticed in figures (3.4) and (3.5), where the amount of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>was 400mg$  and 700mg respectively, andthe exposure time increased from 15min to 30min and 45min, the intensity of the chloroform absorption peaks decreased significantly with increasing the exposure time.Thedegradation percentage of the chloroformwas increased by increasing the exposure time and the weight of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

 As one can see in figures (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), and tables (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), the intensity of thechloroform absorption peak (at 281.7049 nm)was decreased significantly with increasing the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  weight and this peak was completely disappeared when the  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  weight reach 700mg and the exposure time was 45min by 100% degradation percentage as shown in figure (3.5) and table (3.3). Increasing the semiconductor weight lead to more production of electron-hole pairs and that means increasing the carrier charges. When the semiconductor particles exposed to the UV source, where its energy is higher than the band gap of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ , the production of electronhole pairs is increased and these species are capable to reduce.

A possible reaction pathway for the photocatalyticdegradation of chloroform is as follows (W.Abu Bakar, R.Ali and M. Othman, 2010):

$$
CHCl3 \xrightarrow{hv + Fe2O3} Cl^* + CHCl2+ \rightarrow CCl2+ + HCl
$$

$$
H_2O \xrightarrow{hv + Fe_2O_3} OH^* + H^*
$$

$$
CHCl_2^+ + OH^* \rightarrow OCl^+ + CH_2Cl^+
$$

$$
CH_2Cl^+ + H^* \rightarrow CH_3Cl^+
$$

$$
Cl^* + CHCl_3^+ \rightarrow CCl_3^+ + HCl
$$

$$
\mathcal{CHCl}_{3} \;+\; \mathcal{O}H^* \;\rightarrow\; H_2O \;+\; \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}l_3^+
$$

 $CCl_3^+ + O_2 \leftrightarrow CCl_3O_2^+$ 

$$
2CCl_3O_2^+ \rightarrow 2COCl_2 + O_2 + 2Cl^*
$$

$$
CHCl_2^+ + O_2 \rightarrow CHCl_2OO^+
$$

$$
2CHCl2OO+ \rightarrow 2CHCl2O+ + O2
$$

$$
CHCl_2O^+ \rightarrow HCOCl + Cl^*
$$

$$
\text{COCl}_2^+ \xrightarrow{hv + Fe_2O_3} CO + 2Cl^*
$$

$$
COCl_2^+ + H_2O \rightarrow 2HCl + CO_2
$$

 $2Cl^* \rightarrow Cl_2$ 

$$
OH^* + H^* \rightarrow H_2O
$$

### **3.6 Conclusions:**

From the results obtained in this work the follows can be concluded:

- 1. The objectives of this study were achieved successfully.
- 2. Degradation of chloroform is increased with increasing the exposure time.
- 3. The degradation of chloroform is increased with increasing the semiconductor catalyst amount.
- 4. The degradation percentage of chloroform is 100%, in case of 700mg  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  irradiated with UV source for 45minute.

### **4.7 Recommendations:**

The following ideas can be recommended:

- 1. Study the photodegradation process for other organic compounds which can be considered as water pollutants such as aston and cresol.
- 2. Using other semiconductors such as  $WO_3$ ,  $TiO_2$  and NiO.
- 3. Study the photodegradation process under solar irradiation.

#### **References:**

A. Dwivedi, U. Pande (2011), *Photochemical Degradation of Halogenated Compounds: A Review;* Institute of Technology, Nirma University, S. G. Highway, India.

A. Helena, Y. Valentin (2015), *Iron-Oxides and Iron-Citrate as new Photocatalysts in Solar Inactivation of Escherichia Coli in Water: Mechanistic aspects;* a I'EPFL, Laboratory Central Environmental, Valle, Colombie.

A.Rajat, V. Jitendra, et al, (2006), Use of semiconducting Iron (III) Oxide in Photocatalytic Bleaching of Some Dyes: *India Journal of Chemical Technology*, Vol 13, pp. 114-118.

B.Senjana, S. Vaclav, et al, (2007), Photocatalytic Efficiency of Iron Oxides: Degradation of 4-chlorophenol: *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, Vol 68, pp. 721-724.

C.Philippoulos, M. Nikolaki, (2010), *Photocatalytic Process on the Oxidation of Organic Compounds in Water;* University of Athens and laboratory Greece.

D. Bahnemann, P. Robertson, et al, (2005), photocatalytic detoxification of water and air. Editor: O.Hutzinger, (2005), *Handbook of Environmental Chemistry,*Vol 2, part M, pp. 367-423.

D. Beydoun, R. Amal, et al, (1999), Role of nanoparticales in photocatalysis, *Journal of nanoparticle Research,* pp. 439-458.

E.Ohania, H. Galal-Gorchev, et al, (2004**),** *Trihalomethanes in Drinking Water;* Environmental World Health Orgnization, Washington, Dc, USA.

F.Owa, (2014), *Water Pollution: Source, Effects, Control and Management;* Federal College of Education, Okene, Kogi State, Nigeria.

G.Mighui, S. Vasile, et al, (2012), Iron Oxide Nanoparticle Synthesis in Aqueous and Membrance Systems for Oxidative Degradation of Thrichloroethylene from Water: *Journal Nanopart Res,* pp. 14-861.

J. Gupta, (2007), *Semiconductors and Devices;* S.K.KATARIA and SONS.

K. Demeestere, J. Dewolf, et al, (2007), Heterogeneousephotocatalysis as an advance oxidation process for the abatement of chlorinated, monocyclic aromatic and sulphurous volatile organic compounds in air: state of the art. *Critical Reviews in Environmental science and technology,* pp. 489-538.

K.Dimitries, (2006), *Photocatalysis;* University of Patras, Greec.

L. Simin, (2010), *Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production with Iron Oxide Under Solar Irradiation;* Faculty of Science and Technology, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane.

M.Castellote, N. Bengtsson,  $(2011)$ , Principles of TiO<sub>2</sub>Photocatalysis in:

Y.Ohama and D. Van Germet (Eds), *Application of Titanium Dioxide Photocatalysis to Construction Materials;* London, Hall House, pp 5-10.

M. Gratzel, (1983), (Editor), *Energy resources through photochemistry and catalysis,*Academic press Inc, new York.

M. Herrman, (2005), Heterogeneousephotocatalys: state of the art and present applications. *Topics in catalysis,* pp. 49-65.

M. Schiavello, (1997), (Editor), *Heterogeneous photocatalysis*. Wiley series in photoscience and photoengineering Vol. 3.

M. Serpone, E. Plizzetti, (1989), *Photocatalysis: Fundamentals and applications.*

M. Mohamed, (2014), *photodegradationof Rhodamine 6G molecules using TiO2photocatalyst*, sudanuniversiry of science and technology, khartum,sudan.

O. Crap, L. Huisman, et al, (2004), *photo induced reactivity of titanium dioxide*, progress in solid state chemistry, pp. 32-33.

R. Malato, G. Blanco, et al, (2004), *Engineering of solar photocatalytic collectors*. Solar Energy, pp. 513-524.

R. Hung, W. Ting, et al, (2001), *Influence of PH on the dewatering of activated sludge by Fenton's reagent*, water science and Technology, pp. 327-332.

W. Abu Bakar, R. Ali and M. Othman, (2010), Photocatalytic Degradation and Reaction Pathway Studies of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons in Gaseous Phase: *Transaction C: Chemistry and chemical Engineering*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 1-14.

www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available fromwww.ox.ac.uk/airtoxics/hlthet/chlorofo.html, visited at: 31/10/2015 in 2:32:17 pm.

www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available from https://www.ox.ac.uk/chemi /Iron(III)\_Oxide, visited at: 31/10/2015 in 2:57:6 pm.

www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available from www.ox.ac.uk/chemi/chloroform#section=Top, visited at: 31/10/2015 in 3:29:03 pm.

www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available from www.ox.ac.uk/toxprofiles/tp6\_c5, visited at: 31/10/2015 in 3:59:07 pm.

https://www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available from https://www.ox.ac.uk/phys/light\_emitting\_diod,visited at 31/10/2015 in 4:46:50 pm.

https://www.ox.ac.uk, (2015), [Online] Available from https://www.ox.ac.uk/devic/magnetic\_stirrer, visited at 31/10/2015 in 5:15:07 pm.

https://www.ox.ac.uk, (2015),[Online] Available from https://www.ox.ac.uk/phys/ultraviolt-visible\_spectroscopy, visited at: 31/10/2015 in 5:45:03 pm.

44