

## **Acknowledgement**

Above all praise is to Allah who offered me with health, patience and ability to undertake this work. I wish to acknowledge with deepest sense of gratitude and profound respect the assistance provided by my supervisors Dr. Elsadig Elmahdi Ahmed , Prof . Yassin Mohamed Ibrahim Dagash and Prof. Mohamed Osman Gafar. Not only for their ceaseless efforts, direction, encouragement and valuable suggestions, but also for their meticulous care, keen guidance and constructive criticism throughout the preparation of this manuscript.

I would like to appreciate here all who helped me one way or another and not been mentioned.

The staff of Shambat and Kuku library deserve my highest regards for their excellent services .

Most especially, I am indebted to Ustaz Gafar M. Farah, Ustaz M. Idriss and University of Khartoum soil lab., for the assistance they provided for this study.

Finally, special thanks are extended to my wife, Sitabuha, and my Kids Hibba, Ahmed and Wadah for their love, and understanding.

## Table of contents

**pp. no.**

<b>Acknowledgement.</b>	i
<b>Table of contents.</b>	li
<b>List of Abbreviation</b>	Vi
<b>List of tables.</b>	X
<b>List of figures.</b>	Xiii
<b>List of plates</b>	Xiv
<b>List of Appendices.</b>	Xv
<b>English Abstract.</b>	Xvi
<b>Arabic Abstract.</b>	Xviii
<b>Chapter One: Introduction.</b>	1
<b>Chapter Two: Literature review.</b>	5
2.1. Land use.	5
2.2 .Soil particle size distribution.	6
2.3. The nature of salt affected soils.	7
2.4 . General Characterization of saline and sodic soils.	7
2.4.1. Saline soils.	8
2.4.2. Sodic soils.	8
2.4.3.Saline-sodic soils.	9
2.4.4 .Salinity and sod city in soils.	9
2.5. Classification of Salt-Affected Soils.	10
2.5.1 Saline non-sodic soils.	10
2.5.2 Saline-sodic soils.	10
2.5.3 Non saline-sodic soils.	10
2.6. Effects of salt concentration.	11
2.7.Reclamation of saline soils	11
2.8. Managing salt affected soils.	11
2.8.1. Water control.	12
2.8.2. Choice of crops.	12
2.9. Management of saline and sodic soils.	14
2.10. The effects of soluble salt on plant growth.	14
2.11.Irrigation definition.	17
2.12.Soil and irrigation.	17
2.13. Some irrigation methods	18

2.14. Soil type influencing irrigation strategy.	20
2.15. Required depth of irrigation application.	22
2.16. Level of technology.	22
2.17. Environmental Impact of Irrigation.	23
2.18. Soil moisture retention.	23
2.19. Soil water holding capacity.	24
2.20. Irrigation efficiency.	24
2.21. Field water use efficiency (FWUE).	24
2.22. Basic soil and water retention.	24
2.22.1. Soil moisture function and content.	24
2.22.2. Infiltration.	25
2.22.3. Permeability.	25
2.23. Sources of irrigation water.	25
2.24. Time of irrigation.	26
2.24.1. Crops need.	26
2.25. Reaction of soils of Arid Region.	27
2.26. Reaction of saline and sodic soils.	27
2.26.1. Saline soils.	27
2.26.2. Saline-sodic soils.	28
2.26.3. Sodic soils.	29
2.27. Management of saline and sodic soils.	29
2.27.1. Eradication of excess salts..	30
2.27.2. Conversion of the caustic alkali carbonate into sulfate..	31
2.27.3. Control of salty soils.	32
2.28. Crop and irrigation aspects crop salt tolerance.	33
2.29. Crop water requirements.	34
2.29.1. Surface irrigation.	36
2.29.2. Sprinkler or spray irrigation.	37
2.29.3. Localized irrigation.	37
2.29.4. Localized irrigation systems.	38
2.29.5. Micro jet irrigation.	38
2.30. Selection of irrigation methods.	38
2.31. Irrigation intervals.	39
2.32. Frequency of irrigation	40
2.33. Forage sorghum yield and water use efficiency under variable irrigation.	41
2.34. Monthly crop factor.	41
2.35. Growth of plants on A Halamorphic soils.	42

2.36. Tolerance of higher plants to A Halamorphic soils.	42
2.37. Importance of pasture forage.	43
2.38. Crops description and climate.	43
2.38.1. Sorghum bicolor Abu 70.	43
2.38.2 Growth stages.	44
2.39. Climate.	45
2.40. Soil requirement.	46
2.41. Water efficiency and salt- tolerance.	47
2.42. Yield.	47
2.43. Sorghum Sudanese Sudan grass	47
2.43.1. Characteristics.	47
2.43.2. Environment.	48
<b>Chapter Three : Materials and Methods</b>	49
3.1. Site description and climate.	49
3.2. The experimental field layout.	50
3.3. Experimental farm soil analysis.	51
3.3.1 Soil sampling	52
3.4. Land preparation..	52
3.5. Source of irrigation water.	52
3.6. Field practices.	55
3.6.1. Fodder varieties used.	55
3.6.2. Seed rate.	55
3.6.3. Sowing methods.	56
3.6.4. Fertilizer application.	56
3.6.5. Determination of reference evapotranspiration in mm/day	56
3.7. Crop co efficiency (Kc).	57
3.8. Crop growth stages.	58
3.9. Irrigation intervals.	58
3.10. Yield parameters determination.	58
3.10.1 .Crop height determination in (cm).	58
3.10.2 .Stem thickness determination in (cm).	58
3.10.3 .Number of leaves.	58
3.10.4 .The leaves Area determination L.A. in (cm <sup>2</sup> ).	59
3.10.5. Root sampling and root depth in (cm).	59
3.11. Water use efficiency.	59
3.12. Field water use efficiency ( FWUE).	60

3.13. Fodder crop yields determination.	60
3.14. Statistical of Analysis.	61
3.15. Leaching requirement.	64
3.16. Irrigation efficiency.	67
<b>Chapter Four: Results and discussion.</b>	72
4.1. Cultivars dry yield (t/ha).	72
4.1.1. Abu 70 dry matter yield in the first season and second season.	72
4.1.2. Garawia dry matter yield in the first season and second season	73
4.2 The effects of different treatments on fresh and dry matter yields for the two cultivars.	74
4.3 Cultivars fresh yield (t/ha) in the two seasons.	79
4.4 The growth parameters for the fodder crops during the two seasons.	82
4.4.1. Plant height.	82
4.4.2. Stem thickness.	88
4.4.3. leaf number.	92
4.4.4. Leaf area L.A.	93
4.4.5. Root depth.	94
4.5. The interaction of cultivars, soil preparation methods and irrigation intervals, in two seasons.	96
4.6. Crop water use efficiency.	98
4.6.1. Water use efficiency for Abu 70 and Garawia on two soil preparation methods at four intervals in two seasons.	99
<b>Chapter Five :conclusions and recomendations.</b>	105
<b>References.</b>	107
<b>Appendices.</b>	120

## List of Abbreviations

- Abu 70-----Sorghum vulgar.
- C°-----Celsius, Degree centigrade.
- Ca++-----Calcium.
- cm-----Centimeter.
- CL----- Chlorine.
- C.V-----Coefficient of Variation.
- CWR-----Crop Water Requirement.
- DM ----- Dry Matter.
- ds/m<sup>-1</sup>-----Decisiemens per meter.
- ds / cm<sup>-1</sup>-----Decisiemens per centimeter.
- EC-----Electrical Conductivity
- EC<sub>e</sub>-----Electrical Conductivity of Saturated Soil past  
extract.
- EC<sub>o</sub>-----Reference crop evapotranspiration, mm/day.
- Et<sub>c</sub>-----Crop evapatranspiration, mm/day.
- Ec<sub>w</sub>-----Electrical conductivity of water.
- ESP -----Exchangeable Sodium Percentage.
- et al*-----And others.
- etc.-----etcetera , and so on.
- F.----- flat.

F.A.O----- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

F.C----- Field Capacity.

Fig----- Figure.

FWUE-----Field Water Use Efficiency.

G-----Garawia.

gm-----Gramm.

ha-----Hectare

hr-----Hour

http:-----Hyper Text Transfer Protocol.

Ib-----Pound

i.e.-----That is:.

ICRISAT-----International Crop Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Kc-----Crop Coefficient.

kg-----Kilo gram.

kg/ha-----Kilo gram per hectare.

Km-----Kilometer.

km<sup>2</sup>-----Square Kilometer.

U.K.-----University of Khartoum.

L.A.-----Leaf Area.

L.R-----Leaching Requirements.

L.S.D-----The Least Significant Difference.

m-----Meter.

m<sup>2</sup>-----Square Meter.

m<sup>3</sup>-----Cubic Meter.

Ma<sup>++</sup>-----Magnesium.

mm-----Millimeter.

M ha-----Million Hectare.

MJ/M/day-----Sun Shine Hours and Solar Radiation..

mm/h-----Millimeter per hour.

MSL-----Meter above Sea Level.

Na<sup>+</sup>-----Sodium anion.

NRWDC-----National Rural Water Development Corporation.

PE-----Polyethylene.

pp:-----Page

PSD-----Particle Size Distribution.

Ph-----Potential of hydrogen.

R-----Ridge

RCBD-----Randomize Complete Block Design.

RMA-----Risk Management Agency.



SAR-----Sodium adsorption ratio.

S.D-----Standard Deviation.

S.E.-----Standard Error.

S q km-----Square Kilometer.

S q ml-----Square mile.

SUST-----Sudan University of Science and  
Technology.

Ton/ha-----Tons per hectare

USDA-----United States Department of Agriculture.

v/v-----Volume over volume.

W.U.E-----water use efficiency

www:-----World wide web.

## List of Tables

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.3.1	Physical and chemical analysis of the soil.	51
3.6.5.1	The Evaporation (ET <sub>o</sub> )mm /day throughout the year.	57
3.7.1	Crop coefficient.	57
3.7.2	Kc used for water requirement for different intervals during sorghum crop cycle.	62
3.7.3	Crop water requirement in mm depth for sorghum.	63
3.7.4	Leaching requirement in mm depth for sorghum.	66
3.7.5	Gross irrigation requirement in mm depth/day at 70%, overall irrigation efficiency.	68
3.7.6	Gross irrigation requirements for all irrigation intervals in mm depth per watering. Net*Interval	69
3.7.7	Watering in m <sup>3</sup> / plot for all irrigation intervals.	70
3.7.8	Total water used In all crop growth stages/ plot in m <sup>3</sup> for sorghum.	71
4.1	Yield of Abu 70 (k/ ha) on ridge and flat.	73
4.2	Yield of Garawia (k/ ha) on ridge and flat.	74
4.3	The effects of irrigation intervals on fresh and dry matter yield in two seasons.	75
4.4	The effects of crop varieties * irrigation intervals for fresh and dry matter yield for two seasons.	75
4.5	The interaction of crop varieties * soil preparation methods for fresh and dry matter yields in two seasons.	76
4.6	The interaction of soil preparation methods * irrigation intervals for fresh and dry yields in two seasons.	77

4.7	The effects of crop varieties * soil preparation methods * irrigation intervals for fresh and dry matter yields in two seasons.	78
4.8	The effects of crop varieties on fresh and dry matter yields in two seasons.	79
4.9	The effects of soil preparation methods on fresh and dry matter yields in two seasons.	79
4.10	The effects of two crops varieties on the growth parameters for two seasons.	82
4.11	The effects of soil preparation methods on the growth parameters for two seasons.	83
4.12	The interaction of two soil preparation methods and two fodder crops on the growth parameters for two seasons.	84
4.13	The effects of different irrigation intervals, on the growth parameters for two seasons.	85
4.14	The interaction of soil preparation methods and irrigation intervals on the growth parameters for two seasons.	86
4.15	The interaction of two crops varieties and irrigation intervals on the growth parameters for two seasons.	90
4.16	The interactions of crop varieties, soil preparation methods and irrigation intervals on the growth parameters for two seasons.	91
4.17 <sub>a</sub>	Water use efficiency for Abu 70 on ridge plots (dry matter yields): at the first season.	99
4.17 <sub>b</sub>	Water use efficiency for Abu 70 on flat plots (dry matter yields): at the first season.	99

4.18 <sub>a</sub>	Water use efficiency for Garawia on ridge plots (dry matter yields): at the first season.	100
4.18 <sub>b</sub>	Water use efficiency for Garawia on flat plots (dry matter yields): at the first season.	100
4.19 <sub>a</sub>	Water use efficiency for Abu70 on ridge plots (dry matter yields): at the second season.	101
4.19 <sub>b</sub>	Water use efficiency for Abu 70 on flat plots (dry matter yields): at the second season.	101
4.20 <sub>a</sub>	Water use efficiency for Garawia on ridge plots (dry matter yields): at the second season.	102
4.20 <sub>b</sub>	Water use efficiency for Garawia on flat plots (dry matter yields): at the second season.	102

## List of Figures

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1	Experimental field layout.	50
4.1	The water use efficiency for Abu 70 in Kg/ha on ridge and flat in the first season.	100
4.2	The water use efficiency for Garawia in Kg/ha on ridge and flat in the first season.	101
4.3	The water use efficiency for Abu 70 in Kg/ha on ridge and flat in the second season.	102
4.4	The water use efficiency for Garawia in Kg/ha on ridge and flat in the second season.	103

## Plates

<b>Plates</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
3. 1	The water tank.	53
3. 2	The flow meter.	54
3. 3	The ball valve.	55

## Appendices

<b>Appendices</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
App. 1	Soil particle classification.	120
App. 2	Soil salinity classes and crop growth.	120
App. 3	Selection of an irrigation method based on the depth of the net irrigation application.	121
App. 4	Values of average field application efficiency.	122

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the period from November (2010) to early January, (2012), in two consecutive seasons, in the demonstration Farm of the College of Animal Production, Sudan University of Science and Technology, at Kuku, to study the performance of two Sorghum Cultivars (*Sorghum bicolor*) "Abu 70" and (*Sorghum Sudanese*) "Garawia" on a saline soil under four irrigation intervals 7-10-14-21 days, on two different soil preparation methods (ridged and flat). Irrigation water supply was from domestic water supply net work. Soil samples and irrigation water samples were analyzed chemically to determine the actual soil and irrigation water salinity degree, the average  $E_{c_e}$  and  $E_{c_w}$ , were found to be 4.4 / ds/m, 0.285/ds/m for soil and irrigation water respectively. The treatments were arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD), with four replications, with an area of  $4 \times 5 = 20 \text{ m}^2$ , the data were subjected to statistical analysis using, MSTAT, Computer program. Calculation was done to determine the amount of crop water requirements in ( $\text{m}^3$ ) per plot, the application of irrigation water was measured by a 2 inch flow meter.

The fresh and dry matter yields were taken 70 days after sowing.

The main parameters were dry and fresh matter yields. The crop factor (kc) was taken using CROP WAT Program .based on Penman Monteith equation for Khartoum area. The Studied growth parameters were: crop height, stem thickness, leaves number, Leaf Area (L.A.), and the root depth.

The highest value for calculated  $E_{to}$  (Penman Monteith) was during the developing and maturity stage. The values ranged between: (6.99, 6.08, 5.29)



mm/ day. This trend was similar for the values of crop factors (kc), which ranged between (0.3 to 1.02).

The results showed higher dry matter yields for shorter intervals 7, 10 days, with significant differences. The shorter irrigation intervals showed higher yields for the different soil preparation methods, with significant differences. The interaction between the two crops, the interaction between intervals and soil preparation methods. The results of the fresh matter yields followed the same pattern. All growth parameters for the two crops and under the two soil preparation methods gave significant differences in favor of the shorter irrigation intervals.

:

## ملخص الاطروحة

اجريت التجربة الحقلية خلال الفترة من نوفمبر 2010 الي اوائل يناير-2012 ، خلال موسمين متتابعين ، في المزرعة التجريبية لكلية علوم وتكنولوجيا الانتاج الحيواني جامعة السودان للعلوم والتكنولوجيا ،SUST- بكوكو لدراسة اداء محصولي علف ابوسبعين والجرأوية في ارض ملحية تحت ظروف ري علي فترات مختلفة في مهد مستوي و مهد مخطط (سرابات) ، مصدر مياه الري من شبكة مياه المدن .

تم تحليل عينات التربة ومياه الري كيميائيا لمعرفة درجة الملوحة في مستخلص التربة و ماء الري (مياه المدن) . بمتوسط 4.4 و 0.285 ds/m للتربة و ماء الري على التوالي .

وزعت معاملات التجربه باستخدام تصميم القطاعات العشوائيه الكامله (R C B D) بأربعة مكررات تم تحليل البيانات احصائيا بواسطة برنامج MSTAT .

اجريت العمليات الحسابيه لتقدير الاحتياجات المائية اللازم اضافتها بالمتر المكعب للحوض الواحد .تم قياس مياه الري المطلوبه والتحكم فيها باستخدام عداد متري 2 بوصه لكل فترات الري 7- 10- 14- 21 يوما.

للحصول على الانتاجية الطازجة والجافة تم الحصاد بعد 70 يوما من الزراعة . الانتاجية الخضراء والجافة تمثل اهم قياسات التجربة. القراءات التي تمت دراستها في التجربة شملت طول النبات سمك الساق وعدد الاوراق ومساحة الورقة وطول الجذر تم استخدام معامل المحصول بالاستعانة ببرنامج ال CROP WAT لمنطقة الخرطوم باستخدام معادلة بنمان- مونتيث .

كانت اعلي قيمة للبخر نتح المرجعي بطريقة بنمان -مونتيث خلال فترتي الاستطالة و النضج حيث ترواحت هذه القيم ( 5.29-6.08-6.99 ) ملم/ اليوم. وهذه النتيجة تنطبق ايضا علي معامل المحصول حيث ترواح بين ( 0.3-الي 1.02 ) .

اظهرت نتائج الانتاجية تفوق الفترات القصيرة بين الريات 7- 10 يوما بفروقات معنوية. كما اظهرت الفترات القصيرة فروقات معنوية خلال معاملات طرق تحضير التربة وايضا المقارنة بين المحصولين . والتفاعل البيئي بين فترات الري وطرق تحضير التربة.

اظهرت نتائج انتاجية الوزن الطازج نفس النمط الوارد في الانتاجية بالوزن الجاف .حيث تفوقت فترات الري القصيره 7 - 10 يوم علي الفترات من 14 - 21 يوم من خلال معاملات: فترات الري ، طرق تحضير التربة ،المقارنة بين المحصولين والربط بين فترات الري وطرق تحضير التربة .

.كما اوضحت نتائج التحليل للمحصولين خلال عمليتي تحضير التربة فروقات معنوية لصالح وتفوق الفترات القصيرة بين الريات .