

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

**DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF
MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR SUGARCANE
HARVESTERSFAILURE PREDICTION**

تطوير وتطبيق
نموذج رياضي للتنبؤ بأعطال حاصدات قصب السكر

BY

FATIMA OSMAN IBRAHIM ALFADIL

B. S.c inAgriculture Mechanization (2011) College of Agriculture Studies, Sudan
University of Science and Technology .

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SUDAN UNIVERSITY OF SCINCE
AND TECHNOLOGE IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF M.SC. IN(AGRIC.ENG.)

Supervisor:

Dr.Omran Musa Abbas Elemam

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURAL STUDIES
SUDAN UNIVERSITY OF SCINCE AND TECHNOLOGE
OCTOBAR 2015

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my father and mother , who taught me that the best kind of knowledge is that which is learnt for its own sake,

Thanks for everything.

Acknowledgements

First of all, praise is due to almighty ALLAH on whom ultimately we depend for sustenance and guidance. Second, my sincere appreciation goes to my supervisor Dr. Omranmuse for his invaluable advice and supervision at the initial stages of this study. He also encouraged me in my interest in this topic and provided me with many helpful and technical discussions during model development.

I also wish to thank the administration and staff of Newhalfaand Guneid Sugar Factories workshops, who made data record completion possible.

Finally I want to thank all the staff of Agricultural Engineering Department at College of Agricultural science, who were directly or indirectly involved in this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication.....	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of contents.....	iii
List of table's.....	v
List of figures.....	vi
Abbreviations.....	
....vi	

Abstract	ix
Abstract (Arabic).....	x

CHAPTER ONE
INDRODUCATION

1.1	General
.....	1
1.2	Background and Justification
.....	2
1.3	Objectives of the
study.....	3

CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Sudan Farming Systems:	5
2.2 Agricultural mechanization and machinery management.....	6
2.3 Production of Sugar Cane in Sudan.....	8
2.4 Important of using sugarcane harvester.....	10
2.5	Maintenance
Concepts.....	12
2.5.1 Type of maintenance	14
2.5.2 Maintenance management	15
2.6 Concepts of failure analysis	16

CHAPTER THREE
MATERIALS AND METHOD

3.1 Study area	23
3.2 Study site	24
3.3 Data collection	27
3.4 MODEL DEVELOPMENT.....	31
3.5 Mathematical Derivation of the Model:	35

3.6 Model output	38
3.7 Model Selection	41

CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Model validation	44
4.2 Model application	45
4.3 Impact of Dependability on maximum time before failure.....	46
4.4 Impact of Availability on maximum time before failure.....	47
4.5 Performance of existing maintenance system.....	47
4.5.1 Analysis according to maximum time before failure.....	48
4.5.2 Analysis according to failure rate.....	51

CHAPTER FIVE
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion	52
5.2 Recommendations	53
References	54
Appendixes	58

LIST OF TABLES

No	Name of tables	page

1	Number of Agricultural Machinery and Tractor and Used on Gunied Sugar Factory	26
2	Data collection from New Halfa factories of Season one and seson two	28
3	Data collection from Gunied factories of Season one and season two	29
4	Availability Transition Rate of Failure and Repair	38
5	Maintenance Data of sugarcane Harvester in Gunied factories of Season one	44
6	Model Results Compared to Actual Workshop Record Data	44
7	Statistical Analysis of Average Number of Failure	44

List of figures

<i>No of figures</i>	<i>Name of figures</i>	<i>page</i>
1	Atypical whole stalk harvester system	10
2	Atypical chopper harvester system	11
3	System transition diagram	30
4	Flow Chart of sugar cane harvester Failure Analysis Model	42
5	the menu of model program for database entering	45
6	model outputs screen	46
7	Impact of Dependability on maximum time before failure	46
8	Impact of Availability on maximum time before failure	47
9	Impact of Availability and maximum time before failure (Harvester 1).	49
10	Impact of Availability and maximum time before failure (Harvester 2).	50
11	of Failure Rate of Harvester for Guneid and New halfa r Factory	51

A **notations**

- AMS Agricultural Mechanization Strategy.
- BD Break Down Maintenance.
- CBM Condition-Based Maintenance.
- CCF Common Cause Failures.
- CM Corrective Maintenance for tractor.
- FF Failure Frequency.
- FIT Failures in Time.
- HSMM Hidden Semi-Markov Model.
- MT Maintenance Time.
- MTBF Maximum Time Before failure.
- MTTF Mean Time to Failure.
- MTTR Mean Time to Repair.
- NDT Nondestructive Testing.
- OTCM Operating Time Between Corrective Maintenance.
- OTPM Operating Time Between Preventive Maintenance.
- P^A Probability of failure when tractor on working State.
- $P_{a1, e1}$ Probability of failure when tractor on partial failure state.
- $P_{a1e2, a1e2, a2e1}$ Probability of failure when tractor on combined failure state.
- $P_{a2, e2, b2, c2, d2, f2}$ Probability of failure when tractor on complete failure state.
- PDF Probability Denasality Function.
- P_i^A Probability of transition before states.
- PM Preventive Maintenance for tractor.
- PSM Planned Scheduled MaintenanceMaintenance.
- RCM Reliability Centered Maintenance.
- RNG Random Number Generator.

RUL Remaining Useful Life.

$S_{a2}S_{e2}$ Combined Failure States.

$S_{a2}S_{e2}$ Partial Failure States.

$S_{b2}, S_{c2}, S_{d2}, S_{f2}$ Complete Failure States.

SSC Sudanese Sugar Company.

SWOT Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats Analysis.

t Operating time.

TBF Time Between Failures.

TBI Time Between Interruptions.

TD Tractor Dependability.

TPM Transition Probability Matrix.

TV Tractor Availability.

δ Common Failure Rate (Combined Failure State).

ε Common Failure Rate (Combined Failure State).

λ Failure transition rate before partial and complete States.

ρ Repair Rate (Combined Failure State).

ψ Repair Rate (Combined Failure State).

μ Repair transition Rate before partial and complete States.

ABSTRACT

The general objective of this study is developing and improving the performance of sugarcane harvester by management of maintenance, for the purpose of increasing availability and reliability of harvester and decreasing cost of maintenance and repair, by developing mathematical and an analytical, user-friendly model for sugarcane harvester failures analysis and management, using Gamma distribution for failures.

The developed algorithm of Gamma distributions for failures analysis looks on the sequence of events (failures), defined as transition between states, and calculates the relative probability of encountering these events in short-run (partial), medium (combined) and long-run (complete). Hence, the algorithm is used to evaluate reliability and availability of sugarcane harvester with time-dependent transition rates using analytical distributions matrix –based methods.

The developed procedure is written in Microsoft Excel (Spread sheet) operating environment. With the software the user will have the ability to manipulate and analyze the data directly using customized menus and point and click mouse operation.

To verify model accuracy, its basic functional relations of model (Gamma distributions matrix) are compared with Amri and McLanghim model (2004), and results showed no mathematical difference between two models.

The failures analysis model is run using failure data of two sugarcane Harvesters collected from two study sites (Guneid factory and New Halfa factory workshops), the purpose is to investigate and evaluate performance of the studied sugarcane harvester, with respect to the stander of maintenance in these two workshops using three proxy indicators (maximum time before failure, dependability and availability).

The result showed that, the dependability as function of the sugarcane Harvester, makes is of significant impact on maximum time before failure for all Harvester while the sugarcane harvester of Guneid is the most reliable with a 50 % Availability of 19 hours of time before failures and sugarcane Harvester of Sinnar showed at 50 % Availability a value of 15 hours of time before failures.

ملخص الدراسة

الهدف العام لهذه الدراسة هو تطوير وتحسين اداء حاصدة قصب السكر من خلال ادارة الصيانة بغرض زيادة الاناحية والموثقية للحاصدة وخفض تكاليف الصيانة والاصلاح وذلك ببناء نموذج رياضي تفصيلي وسهل التعامل لادارة وتحليل اعطال حاصدات قصب السكر بأستخدام خورازمية توزيع قاما للاعطال.

تتظر تطوير خورازمية توزيعات قاما لتحليل الاعطال، الى تتابع الاحداث (الاعطال) التي تعرف كحالة انتقالية بين المراحل والى حساب الاحتمالية النسبية التي تتضمنها هذه الاحداث في المدى القريب (عطل جزئ) والمتوسط (عطل مركب) والبعيد (عطل كامل). و عليه تم استخدام الخورازمية لتقييم اعتمادية واتاحة حاصدة قصب السكر حسب معدل الانتقال الزمني باستخدام طريقة توزيع المصفوفة التحليلية.

تم بناء الخورازمية باستخدام لغة وبيئة تشغيل النظام الحاسوبي (Excel) الذي يتيح لمستخدم البرمجية القدرة على ترتيب وتحليل البيانات مباشرة باستخدام القوائم المنسدلة والقيام بعمليات مختلفة بالنقر على الفارة.

لاجل تحقيق صحة النموذج تم مقارنة علاقات البنية والصيغ الرياضية الاساسية (الخاصة بمصفوفة توزيع قاما) في النموذج مع تلك المستخدمة في نموذج امري وماك لانفهم (2004) وقد اوضحت نتائج المقارنة عدم وجود فرق رياضي بين النموذجين.

تم تشغيل نموذج تحليل الاعطال باستخدام بيانات اعطال لحاصدتين قصب سكر تم تجميعها من موقعي الدراسة (ورشة مصنعي سكر الجنيد وحلفا الجديدة) كوسيلة لاجراء تجارب استكشافية وتقييم اداء الحاصدات تحت الدراسة بالاعتماد على معايير الصيانة في الورشتين وذلك بالتقدير الكمي لثلاث مؤشرات استكشاف (اقصى زمن تشغيل قبل حدوث العطل، الاعتمادية والاتاحية).

اوضحت النتائج ان مؤشر الاعتمادية يحدث اثر معنوي اذا تم استخدامه كدالة لاقصى زمن تشغيل قبل حدوث العطل لحاصدات قصب السكر حيث كانت الحاصدات المستخدمة في مصنع سكر الجنيد اكثر اعتمادية بنسبة اتاحة %50 لتسعة عشر ساعة قبل

حدوت العطل واظهرت حاصدات قصب السكر المستخدمة في مصع سكر سنار اعتمادية بنسبة اتاحة 50% لخمسة عشر ساعة
قبل حدوث العطل.

viii