# **Sudan University of Science and Technology**

**College of Graduate Studies** 



# ACCURACY OF ULTRASOUND IN DETECTING PALPABLE SOLID BREAST MASSES

دقــة الموجات الفوق صوتية في الكشف عن الكتل الصلبة و الملموسة

A proposal submitted for partial fulfillment for the Requirements

Of Master degree in Medical Diagnostic Ultrasound

#### BY:

#### WijdanAttyaAllahJubara

#### **SUPERVOISOR:**

Dr Mohamed Mohammed OmerPHD
Associate Professor, Consultant of Diagnostic Radiology and
Nuclear Medicine
Dean of the College of Medical Radiologic Science
Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan
2015

# قالتعالى:

# وَلُوْ أَنَهُ مُرضُواْ مَا ءَاتَهُ مُ اللَّهُ وَرَسُولُهُ وَقَالُواْ حَسَبُنَا اللَّهُ سَيُوْتِينَا اللَّهُ مِن فَصْلِهِ، وَرَسُولُهُ وَإِنَّا إِلَى اللَّهِ رَغِبُونَ تَ

صدق الله العظ يم سورة التوبة الآية 59

#### **DEDICICATION**

# I DEDICATE THIS WORK TO MY PARENTS ATTYA AND MAKKA WHO SUPPORTED ME ALL THE WAY

# TO MY DEAR HUSBAND FOR BELIEVING IN ME AND NEVER ENDING SUPPORT

# TO MY CHILDREN, AL- RASHEED & AL-WALEED YOUR PATIENCE WAS INVALUABLE AND MOST APPRECIATED

"TO BELIVE is to know that every day is a new beginning, to trust that miracles can happen, and that dreams really do come true.

TO BELIVE is to find the strength and courage that lies within us, when it is time to pick up the pieces and begin again.

TO BELIEVE is to know that
wonderful surprises are waiting to
happen and all our hopes and
dreams are within or reach"

Unknown author

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

- My sincere appreciation to Dr. Mohammed Mohammed Omar Mohammed Yousef, my supervisor, for your valuable assistance, guidance and encouragement.
- ❖ Dr. Hessa Al ghazal the head of Mother & Child Health
  Care Centre and MsAmal Al Mulla, Chief of well Women
  Clinic for her valuable assistance and easing me the way to
  conduct this research and allowing me to access patients
  file, equipment and other resources.
- Dr. NihadKazem, the starting point in this journey, your belief in me was a great motivation.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study aimed to determine the validity of ultrasound in the assessment of the palpable breast mass by determining the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of ultrasound in distinguishing a malignant mass. To determine the most discriminating ultrasound characteristics for differentiating benign and malignant nodules in an attempt to avoid unnecessary biopsies. Quantitative cross section retrospective design was employed in a study population which consisted of all women over the age of 35 who came to well women clinic in Mother and Child health Care Centre in Sharjah, complaining of palpable mass and took part in mammographic , ultrasound screening & had a proved solid palpable breast mass histologically. Sixty five patients who had biopsy of a palpable breast mass were subjected to an ultrasound assessment of the mass. The ultrasound findings were classified as probably benign, indeterminate or malignant. These findings were then compared with histology results. The age of the patients ranged between 35 and 63 years the majority of patients (68.6%) were in the third and fourth decades. The ultrasound findings had detected 27 (41.53%) out of 30 malignant lesions. All of these were confirmed malignant on histopathology and none of these cases were benign. Ultrasound had a sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 46.66%, positive predictive value of 69%, negative predictive value of 100% and accuracy of 75% for distinguishing a palpable solid malignant mass from benign masses.

Main benignancy criteria were: well defined shape, regular contour, homogeneous echo texture, and horizontal orientation. While Irregular shape, indistinct margins, vertical orientation and tissue distortion were powerful indicators for malignancy.

#### مستخلص البحيث

هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد مدى صلاحية الموجات فوق الصوتية في تقييم كتلالثدي الملموسة والصلبةوذلك من خلال تحديد الحساسية والنوعية والقيمة التنبئية الإيجابية والقيمة التنبئية السلبية و دقة الموجات فوق الصوتية ومدى حساسيتها في تمييز الكتلة الخبيثة من الكتلة الحميدة.

كما هدفت الى تحديد خصائص الموجات فوق الصوتية الأكثر تمييزا للتفرقةبين العقيدات الحميدة والخبيثة في محاولة لتجنب الخزعات غير الضرورية.

وقد اختير اطار محدد لإقامة وتطبيق هذه الدراسة التي تغطي شريحة معينة من الفئات اذ تألفت من جميع النساء فوق سن ال 35 قمن بمراجعة مركز رعاية الأمومة والطفولة بالشارقة وذلك لشكواهم من وجود كتلة ملموسة تم فحصها من خلال أشعة الثدى و الموجات فوق الصوتية وأكدت تشريحيا بأنها كتلة صلبة.

كان عدد السيدات اللاتي خضعن لهذه الدراسة خمسة وستين عددا ، تراوحت أعمارهن بين 35 و 63 سنة . صنفت نتائج فحص تقييم الموجات الفوق صوتية للكتل كالتالي : احتمالية انها كتلة حميدة او كتلة مشكوك فيها أو كتلة خبيثة .

تمت مقارنة هذه النتائج مع نتيجة فحص الأنسجة التأكيدي . كانت غالبية المرضى ( 68.6 % ) في العقدين الثالث والرابع . وكشفت نتائج الموجات فوق الصوتية عن 27 كتلة خبيثة ( 41.53 % ) مناصل 30 من الآفات أو الكتل الخبيثة . وقد تم التأكد تشريحيا من خباثة جميع الكتل المكتشفة ولم يتم اكتشاف أي كتلة خبيثة تشريحيا كانت نتيجة تقييم الموجات الفو ق صوتية بأنها حميدة . وبذلك كانت مدى حساسية فحص الموجات الفوق صوتية عالية 100 % ، وخصائصيه الفحص 100 % ، والقيمة التنبئية الإيجابية 100 % ، والقيمة التنبئية السلبية 100 % ودقة الموجات لتمييز الكتلة الخبيثة الصلبة وتمييزها من الحميدة 100 % .

كانتالمعايير الرئيسية للكتل الحميدة: شكل واضح المعالم، منتظم الكثافة، متجانسة الملمس، و ذات اتجاه أفقي في النمو. في حين أن الشكل الغير منتظم للكتلة ذات الهوامش غير واضحة، والتوجه الرأسي في النمو و تشويه الأنسجة القريبة من المؤشرات القوية لتمييز الكتلالخبيثة.

## TABLES OF CONTENTS

| DEDICICATIONii           |
|--------------------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiii      |
| ABSTRACTiv               |
| ARABIC ABSTRACTv         |
| TABLE OF CONTENTSvi      |
| LIST OF FIGURESxi        |
| LIST OF TABLESxii        |
| LIST OF APPREVIATIONxiii |

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## INTRODUCTION

| 1.1   | INTRODUCTION       | 1 |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 1.2   | PROBLEM STATEMENT  | 2 |
| 1.3   | RESEARCH OBJECTIVE | 2 |
| 1.3.1 | General Objective  | 2 |
| 1.3.2 | Specific           | 3 |

#### **CHAPTER TWO**

#### LITRETURE REVIEW

| 2.1   | Anatomy of The Breast                                 | 4  |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.1.1 | Structure&Breastparenchyma                            | 4  |
| 2.1.2 | Breast blood supply                                   | 5  |
| 2.1.3 | Lymphatic drainage of the breast                      | 5  |
| 2.1.4 | Innervation of the breast                             | 6  |
| 2.1.5 | Musculature related to the Breast                     | 7  |
| 2.1.6 | Physiology of the breast                              | 7  |
| 2.1.7 | Breast changes with age                               | 8  |
| 2.1.8 | Normal sonographic anatomy of the breast              | 9  |
| 2.2   | Mass Characteristics                                  | 10 |
| 2.2.1 | Characteristic of solid nodule                        | 10 |
| 2.2.2 | Characteristic of benign solid noduleversus malignant | 14 |
| 2.3   | Benign Breast Masses                                  | 14 |
| 2.3.1 | Simple cyst   | 14 |
| 2.3.2 | complex cyst&Complicated cyst                         | 15 |
| 2.3.3 | Galactocele   | 15 |
| 2.3.4 | Mammary duct ectasia                                  | 16 |
| 2.3.5 | Fibrocystic disease                                   | 16 |
| 2.3.6 | Fibro adenoma   | 17 |
| 2.3.7 | Lipoma  | 18 |
| 2.4   | Benign Solid Mass with Risk to be<br>Malignant        | 19 |
| 2.4.1 | Phyllodes tumor                                       | 19 |
| 2.4.2 | Intraductal papilloma                                 | 19 |
| 2.4.3 | Diabetic mastopathy                                   | 20 |
| 2.5   | Different Types OF The Breast Cancer                  | 21 |
| 2.5.1 | Infiltrative ductal carcinoma                         | 22 |
| 2.5.2 | Infiltrative lobular Carcinoma                        | 22 |
| 2.5.3 | Medullary carcinoma                                   | 23 |
| 2.5.4 | Tubular carcinoma                                     | 23 |
| 2.5.5 | Mucinous carcinoma                                    | 24 |
| 2.5.6 | Papillary carcinoma                                   | 24 |

| 2.6 | The Bi-RADs Assessment Categories | 25 |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----|
| 2.7 | Literature Review                 | 26 |

#### **CHAPTER THREE**

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

| 3.1     | Research Setting                                  | 30 |
|---------|---|----|
| 3.2     | Participant Recruitment                           | 30 |
| 3.2.1   | Study population                                  | 30 |
| 3.2.2   | Sample size                                       | 30 |
| 3.2.3   | Inclusion criteria                                | 31 |
| 3.2.4   | Exclusions criteria                               | 31 |
| 3.3     | Research Design                                   | 31 |
| 3.3.1   | Cross-sectional design                            | 31 |
| 3.3.2   | Quantitative & prospective research               | 31 |
| 3.4     | Instrumentation                                   | 32 |
| 3.5     | Ultrasound Evaluationand Protocol                 | 32 |
| 3.5.1   | Introduction                                      | 32 |
| 3.5.2   | Patient position                                  | 32 |
| 3.5.3   | Scanning technique                                | 33 |
| 3.5.3.1 | Grid scanning pattern                             | 34 |
| 3.5.3.2 | Radial scanning pattern                           | 35 |
| 3.5.4   | Ultrasound characteristic of solid breast nodules | 36 |
| 3.6     | Research Data Collection                          | 37 |
| 3.6.1   | Data collection technique                         | 37 |
| 3.6.2   | Reliability & validity                            | 37 |
| 3.7     | Data Analysis                                     | 37 |
| 3.8     | Ethical Consideration                             | 38 |
|         |   | •  |

# CHAPTER FOUR

# **RESULTS**

| 4.1   | Profile of patients with a palpable breast mass                                     | 39 |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.2   | Ultrasound findings of the palpable breast mass                                     | 40 |
| 4.3   | Histopathological Results   | 40 |
| 4.4   | Validity of the ultrasound in the assessment of palpable solid masses               | 41 |
| 4.5   | Comparison of ultrasound technique in differentiation benign from malignant nodules | 42 |
| 4.5.1 | Size of the nodule  | 43 |
| 4.5.2 | Shape of nodule   | 44 |
| 4.5.3 | Margin of the nodule  | 45 |
| 4.5.4 | Echo density of the nodule  | 46 |
| 4.5.5 | Echo pattern of nodule  | 46 |
| 4.5.6 | Posterior acoustic properties of nodule   | 46 |
| 4.5.7 | Orientation of the solid nodule   | 49 |
| 4.5.8 | Surrounding tissue distortion by nodule   | 51 |
| 4.5.9 | Doppler assessment for vascularity  | 53 |

# CHAPTER FIVE

# DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION ANDRECOMMENDATION

| 5.1  | Discussion     | 54 |
|------|----------------|----|
|      |                |    |
| 5.2. | Conclusion     | 61 |
| 5.3  | Recommendation | 62 |
|      |                |    |

#### LIST OF FIGURE

| Figure no   | Representation                    | Page |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Tigute no   | Representation                    | no   |
| Figure 2.1. | Anatomy of the breast             | 4    |
| Figure 2.2. | Innervation of the breast         | 6    |
| Figure 2.3. | Sonographic anatomy of the breast | 9    |
| Figure 2.4. | Shape of the lesion               | 10   |
| Figure 2.5  | Margin of the lesion              | 11   |
| Figure 2.6  | Echogenicity                      | 11   |
| Figure 2.7. | Echotexture                       | 12   |
| Figure 2.8  | Echo transmission                 | 12   |
| Figure 2.9  | Orientation                       | 13   |
| Figure 2.10 | Simple cyst                       | 14   |
| Figure 2.11 | Complex cyst                      | 15   |
| Figure 2.12 | Galactocele                       | 15   |
| Figure 2.13 | duct ectasia                      | 16   |
| Figure 2.14 | Fibroadenoma                      | 17   |
| Figure 2.15 | Lipoma                            | 18   |
| Figure 2.16 | Phyllodes tumor                   | 19   |
| Figure 2.17 | Intraductal papilloma             | 20   |
| Figure 2.18 | Diabetic mastopathy               | 20   |
| Figure 2.19 | Stages of Cancer                  | 21   |
| Figure 2.20 | Infiltrative ductal carcinoma     | 22   |
| Figure 2.21 | Infiltrative lobular carcinoma    | 23   |
| Figure 2.22 | Mucinous carcinoma                | 24   |

| Figure 3.1 | Transverse scanning plane of breast                               | 33 |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.2 | Sagittal scanning of breast                                       | 34 |
| Figure 3.3 | Anti-radial scanning plane of breast                              | 35 |
| Figure 3.4 | Radial scanning plane of breast                                   | 36 |
| Figure 4.1 | Number of benign and malignant solid nodules based on age         | 39 |
| Figure 4.2 | Bar chart for shape of the nodule on ultrasound                   | 45 |
| Figure 4.3 | Bar chart for margin of the nodule on ultrasound                  | 46 |
| Figure 4.4 | Bar chart for echodensity of the nodule on ultrasound             | 47 |
| Figure 4.5 | Bar chart for orientation of the nodule on ultrasound             | 51 |
| Figure 4.6 | Bar chart for architecture distortion of the nodule on ultrasound | 52 |
| Figure 5.1 | Sonogram of fibroadenoma  | 58 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table No   | Representation  | Page no |
|------------|---|---------|
|            |   |         |
|            |   |         |
| Table 2.1  | Lesion characteristics: benign versus malignant         | 14      |
| Table 2.2  | The BI-RADS Assessment Categories                       | 25      |
| Table 4.1  | Ultrasound finding of the palpable breast mass          | 40      |
| Table 4.2  | A comparison of the US findings with the histopathology | 40      |
|            | results   |         |
| Table 4.3  | Validity of ultrasound in assessment of palpable breast | 41      |
| Table 4.4  | Size of solid nodule                                    | 43      |
| Table 4.5  | Shape of solid nodule                                   | 44      |
| Table 4.6  | Margin of solid nodule                                  | 45      |
| Table 4.7  | Echo density of the solid nodule                        | 46      |
| Table 4.8  | Echo pattern of the solid nodule                        | 48      |
| Table 4.9  | Posterior acoustic Proper ties of nodule                | 49      |
| Table 4.10 | Orientation of the solid nodule                         | 50      |
| Table 4.11 | Surrounding tissue distortion by the nodule             | 51      |
| Table 4.12 | Doppler assessment for the nodule                       | 53      |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

**US** Ultrasound

**CA** Cancer

PB Probably Benign

TN True Negative

**TP** True Positive

**FN** False Negative

**FP** False Positive

Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data

BIRADS System