

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

الاستهلال

قال تعالى:

﴿وَقُلْ رَبِّ زِدْنِي عِلْمًا﴾

صدق الله العظيم

(طه 114)

Dedication

This research is dedicated to our wonderful parents, for their encouragement and inspiration.

They help us in every stage in our study through good and bad moments.

For our teachers and colleagues we dedicate this research.

Thanks to all brothers, sisters and friends for their assistance.

Thank you for everything.

Acknowledgement

This is the end of our journey in obtaining B.sc. We have not+- traveled in a vacuum in this journey. At the end of our research we would like to thank all people those who made this research possible and unforgettable experience for us.

First of all, we would like to thank our supervisor Mr. Sami Mohamed Elamin . For sharing his great knowledge and experience with us without his supervision and constant help this dissertation would not have been possible.

We would also thank to Dr. Elham Mohammed Khir for her guidance and suggestions.

We will forever be thankful to Eng. Hamza Ahmed Mohammed, for his helping to complete the final goal of our research.

Least but not last, we would like to pay high regards to our father, mother, sister and who we love for their sincere encouragement and inspiration thorough our research work and lifting me uphill this phase of life. We owe everything to them.

Abstract

This research presents an analytical study of all variables that affect hydraulic fracture width calculation.

The equations that govern hydraulic fracturing width were formulated. Then a program using MatLab was developed, implemented and checked. The program was then applied to analyze specific case studies.

The results obtained using the programe were compared with the results from the reference previous studies. The comparison shows good agreement between the two sets of results.

المستخلص

هذا البحث يقدم دراسة تحليلية للمتغيرات التي تؤثر في حساب عرض الشق في

عمليات التشقيق الهيدروليكي.

النظريات العلمية و المعادلات النفاضلية التي تحكم التشقيق الهيدروليكي، صيغت في

شكل يتناسب للتحليل . ثم تم تطوير برنامج لتحليل عرض الشق باستخدام برنامج الماتلاب

وتنفيذه والتحقق منه. وقد تم تطبيق البرنامج لتحليل دراسة حالات بعينها . وقورنت النتائج

التي تم الحصول عليها باستخدام البرنامج مع نتائج مرجعيه لدراسات سابقة، وقد اكدت

المقارنة توافق جيد بين النتائج.

Table of Contents

Description	Page No.
الآية	I
Dedication	II
Acknowledgment	III
Abstract English	IV
Abstract Arabic	V
Table of contents	VI
List of figures	VIII
List of tables	X
Chapter One: Introduction	
1-1 Introductory Remarks	1
1-1-1 Production from petroleum reservoirs	1
1-1-2 Well stimulation	2
1-2 Problem Statement	3
1-3 Project Objectives	3
1-4 Methodology Adopted	3
1-5 Outlines of Project	3
Chapter Two: Literature Review	
2-1 Introduction	5
2-2 Fracturing	5
2-3 Reasons for Fracture	6
2-4 Hydraulic fracture process	6

2-5 Hydraulic fracture equipment and material	9
2-6 Fracture Shapes	9
2-6-1 Horizontal fracture ²	9
2-6-2 Vertical Fracture	10
2-7 Hydraulic Fracture Models	11
2-7-1 The Khirstianovic – Geertsma –Deklerk Model	12
2-7-2 The Perkins – Kernnordren Model	15
Chapter Three: Matlab Programme Development and Implementation	
3-1 Introduction	21
3-2 Brief Description of Programme	21
3-3 Implementation of Programme	24
3-4 General Description of Case Study	24
Chapter Four: The Results	
4-1 Results	26
Chapter Five: Comments, Conclusions, Recommendation	
5-1 Comments	34
5-2 Conclusions	34
5-3 Recommendation	35
References	36
Appendix	39

List of Figures

Description	Page No.
2-1 Idealized pressure behavior during fracturing	8
2-2 Horizontal Fracture	10
2-3 Vertical Fracture	11
2-4 The KGD model geometry	15
2-5 The PKN model geometry	19
3-1 The Programme Flow Chart	26
3-2 The Programme Main Screen	27
4-1 Fracture Width vs Time compared with the references (pressure 50 psi)	15
4-2 Time vs. Fracture Width with flow rate change.	30
4-3 Fracture shape and the model being used.	19
4- 4aTime vs. Fracture Width for case2 (Poisson's ratio change).	34
4-5 Fracture shape and the model being used.	24
4-4b Time vs. Fracture Width for case2 (Young's Models change).	38
4-6 Fracture shape and the model being used.	33

List of Tables

Description	Page No.
3-1 Input Data to the Programme.	39
4-1 the results of hydraulic fracture width with reference model.	40
4-2 the results of case1 for pump flow rate of 40, 30 and 20 bbl/min.	41
4-3a the results of hydraulic fracture width for case2 $v= 0.30, 0.25,$ and 0.20 .	42
4-3b the results of Fracturing Width for case2 $E=1*10^6, 1.5*10^6$ and $2*10^6$ psi.	43