بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In The Name Of Allah, The Beneficent The Merciful

## Dedication

To my mother

Father

Sisters

Brothers

Colleagues

To all those whom I love

# Acknowledgment

All praises are for ALMIGHTY ALLAH, the most Benevolent, the most Compassionate, whose blessings and graciousness flourished my ideas and endowed me with the strength required to complete this work. My humble respects are for the Holy Prophet (Peace Be Upon Him) who enlightened our scruples with the essence of faith in ALMIGHTY ALLAH and emphasized to seek knowledge, from cradle to the grave, for betterment of oneself and the humanity at large.

The work reported in this thesis has been carried out in Nuclear Instrumentation at the Seiberdorf, Vienna under the external supervision Mr.Ian Darby through the financial support of International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). I am extremely grateful to Mr.Ian Darby accepting to act as my external supervisor, encouragement, fruitful suggestion generous support throughout the course of this work.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my home supervisors Prof. Isam Salih Mohamed Musa and Dr.Ahamed Alhassen Elfaki for his useful guidance, encouragement and advice throughout the course of this thesis, without which this work would be extremely difficult.

I also place on record, my sense of gratitude to one and all, who directly or indirectly, have l met their hand in this venture.

## Contents

Contents	IV
Dedication	II
Acknowledgment	III
List of Tables	VI
List of Figures	XIII
Abstract	XV
Arbic Abstract	XVI
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTERDUCTION	
1.1 General statement of the problem	2
1.2 General objective of Study	
1.3 Specific Objectives	
1.4 Thesis layout	4
CHAPTER TWO	б
LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Interduction	6
2.2 Envronmental radioactivty	7
2.2.1 Radioavtivty level in Rocks	Error! Bookmark not defined.0
2.2.2 Radioactivity level in Soil	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.3 Radioactivity levels in staple food and vegetation	Error! Bookmark not defined.3
2.3: Previous Studies:	Error! Bookmark not defined.4
CAPTER THREE	Error! Bookmark not defined.5
Mertrial and Motheds	Error! Bookmark not defined.5
1.3 Gamma-spectrometric analysis	
3.2 The study area	
3.3 Sample Collection and Preparation	
3.3.1 Soil samples	
3.3.2 Rocks samples	

3.3.3 Staple food and vegetation Samples	
3.4 Derivation of the Gamma Dose Rates in Air Outdoors	Error! Bookmark not defined.1
3 .4.1 Dose rate conversion factors (DRCFs)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.5 Calculation of Absorbed Dose Rate in Air	Error! Bookmark not defined.2
CAHPTER FOURE	
Result and Discassution	
Cocnclusion	
Appendix	
Reference	Error! Bookmark not defined.

### LIST OF TABLES

Table (1.2): Typical activity concentration of <sup>40</sup> K, <sup>238</sup> U and <sup>232</sup> Th in common rocks and estimate	ited
absorbed dose in air 1 m above the surface (UNSCEAR, 1977	.11
Table (2.2): Average activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup> K, <sup>238</sup> U and <sup>232</sup> Th in various types of soil a	and
estimated absorbed dose in air 1 m above the surface	12
Table (3.1): Table Location names with their coordinates in soil samples -North Kordofan	26
Table (3.2): Location names with their coordinates in soil samples -South Kordofan	28
Table (3.3): Location names with their coordinates in rock samples	
Jable Kordfan and Jable Dalanj	30
Table (3.4): Conversion factors for different Radionuclide's as deduced by MC, Beck et al.,	
Saito and Jacob, recent results from various Monte Carlo technique obtained by	
Clouvas et al, and UNSCEAR values in units of nGyh <sup>-1</sup> Bq <sup>-1</sup> kg	. 32
Table (4.1): Activity concentration (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th, <sup>40</sup> K and <sup>137</sup> Cs in soil samples	
North Kordofan State	. 37
Table (4.2): Activity concentration ((Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th, and <sup>40</sup> K in soil samples	
South kordofan	. 37
Table (4.3): Activity concentration (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K in rock samples	
North kordofan and South Kordofan	.38
Table (4.4): Activity concentration (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K in foodstuff	
samples south Kordofan	38
Table (4.5): Activity concentrations (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of gamma emitters from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series, <sup>40</sup> K	
and <sup>137</sup> Cs in soil samples North Kordofan	38
Table (4.6): Activity concentrations (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of gamma emitters from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th set	ries
and <sup>40</sup> K in soil samples South Kordofan	39
Table (4.7): Activity concentrations (Bqkg <sup>-1</sup> ) of gamma emitters from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series	

and <sup>40</sup> K in Rocks samples -North and South Kordofar	
--	--

Table (4.11): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height(nGyh<sup>-1</sup>)

(mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K with their

relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual effective

dose (µSvy<sup>-1</sup>) in North kordofan using different DRCFs in Soil sample......49

- Table (4.14): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height(nGyh<sup>-1</sup>) (mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U,<sup>232</sup>Th series and <sup>40</sup>K with their relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual

effective dose (µSvy<sup>-1</sup>) in south kordofan using different DRCFs in Soil samples .......52 Table (4.15): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height  $(nGyh^{-1})$ (mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K with their relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Svy<sup>-1</sup>) Table (4.16): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height(nGyh<sup>-1</sup>) (mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th series and <sup>40</sup>K with their relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Svy<sup>-1</sup>) Table (4.17): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) (mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K with their relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) Table (4.18): Statistical summary of absorbed dose rate in air at 1 m height( $nGyh^{-1}$ ) (mean and range) due to  $\gamma$ -emitters from <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th series and <sup>40</sup>K with their relative contribution to the total absorbed dose rate and the annual Appendix

Table (2): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y)
in soil samples (North Kordofan)62
Table (3): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using GEANT DRCFs and Annual effective dose (µSv/y)
in soil samples (North kordofan)62
Table (4): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y)
in soil samples (North kordofan)63
Table (5): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using MC DRCFs and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y)
in soil samples (South Kordofan)64
Table (6): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y)
in soil samples (South kordofan)65
Table (7): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using GANT DRCFs and Annual effective dose (µSv/y)
in soil samples (South kordofan)65
Table (8): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs and Annual effective dose (µSv/y)
in soil samples (South kordofan)
Table (9): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MC DRCFs and Annual effective dose (µSv/y)
in Rocks samples

Table (10): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and
Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (11): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides
from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using GANT DRCFs and
Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (12): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides
from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs
and Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (13): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using MC DRCFs and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y)
in food stuff samples
Table (14): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides
from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and Annual
effective dose (µSv/y) in food stuff samples69
Table (15): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclidesfrom <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using GANT DRCFs and
Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in food stuff samples70
Table (16): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs and
Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in foodstuffs samples70
Table (17): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclide from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MC DRCFs and

Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in soil samples (North Kordofan)71
Table (18): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and
Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in soil samples (North kordofan)72
Table (19): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using GANT DRCFs and
Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in soil samples (North Kordofan)73
Table (20): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs and
Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in soil samples (North kordofan)73
Table (21): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclide from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MC DRCFs and
Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in soil samples (South kordofan)74
Table (22): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MCNP DRCFs and
Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in soil samples (South kordofan)75
Table (23): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th series and $^{40}$ K as derived using GANT DRCFs and
Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in soil samples (South Kordofan)76
Table (24): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs
and Annual effective dose $(\mu Sv/y)$ in soil samples (South Kordfan)
Table (25): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MC DRCFs

and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (26): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height $(nGy/h)$ due to gamma emitting nuclides
from <sup>23°</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MCNP DRCFs
and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (27): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclide from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using GANT DRCFs
and Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (28): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclide from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs
and Annual effective dose (µSv/y) in Rocks samples
Table (29): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MC DRCFs
and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in foodstuff samples
Table (30): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclide from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using MCNP DRCFs
and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in food stuff samples80
Table (31): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclidefrom $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th series and $^{40}$ K as derived using GANT DRCFs
and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in foodstuff samples
Table (32): Absorbed dose rates in air at 1 m height (nGy/h) due to gamma emitting nuclides from <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series and <sup>40</sup> K as derived using UNSCEAR DRCFs
and Annual effective dose ( $\mu$ Sv/y) in food stuff samples

### LIST OF FIGUERS

Figure (2.1): The uranium ( $^{238}$ U), thorium ( $^{232}$ Th), and ac	tinium ( <sup>235</sup> U) decay series
9	

Figure (3.1:) Study area (North and South Kordofan States, Sudan) display
sampling locations
Figure (4.2): Average activity concentrations of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series, <sup>137</sup> Cs
and <sup>40</sup> K in Soil samples from North kordofan
Figure (4.3): Average activity concentrations of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K
in Soil samples from South Kordofan
Figure (4.4): Average activity concentrations of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th series and $^{40}$ K
in Soil samples from South Kordofan
Figure (4.5): Average activity concentrations of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K in rock samples
Figure (4.6): Average activity concentrations of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th series
and <sup>40</sup> K in Rocks samples
Figure (4.7): Average activity concentrations of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K
in Foodstuff samples44
Figure (4.8): Average activity concentrations of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th series and $^{40}$ K
in foodstuff samples
Figure (4.9): Predictive map for Absorbed Dose (displays values between 18.5 and 48.2)47
Figure (4.10): Predictive maps of <sup>238</sup> U
Figure (4.11): Predictive maps of <sup>232</sup> Th
Figure (4.12): Predictive maps of ${}^{40}$ K
Figure (4.9): Relative contribution of <sup>238</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th and <sup>40</sup> K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as calculated using different DRCF in soil samples-North kordofan

Figure (4.10): Relative contribution of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th series and $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed	dose rate
in air as calculated using different DRCF in Soil samples -North Kordofan	55

Figure (4.11): Relative contribution of $^{238}$ U, $^{232}$ Th and $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed	dose rate in ai	ir as
calculated using different DRCF in soil samples -South Kordofan		56

Figure (4.12): Relative contribution of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th series and <sup>40</sup>K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as calculated using different DRCF in Soil samples -South Kordofan ....... 56

Figure (4.13): Relative contribution of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as 

Figure (4.14): Relative contribution of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as 

- Figure (4.15): Relative contribution of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as
- Figure (4.16): Relative contribution of  $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K to the total absorbed dose rate in air as

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to assess of the natural environmental radioactivity level and evaluation doses calculations .Total 114 Samples were collected contain soil rock and some foodstuff from different locations around South and North Kordofan states. The radioactivity concentration of  $^{238}$ U  $^{232}$ Th  $^{40}$ K and  $^{137}$ Cs have been determined using  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometry NaI(Tl) detector .moreover the absorbed dose rates ate in air at a height of 1m from the ground was calculated using four sets of dose rate conversion factors and the corresponding annual effective dose were estimated the average value of  $^{238}$ U  $^{232}$ Th  $^{40}$ K and  $^{137}$ Cs in the soil samples from South Kordofan were found to be 22.83  $\cdot$  25.11  $\cdot$  284.31 and 0.28Bq.k<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

For South Kordofan. Activity concentrations <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs in the soil samples, were came out to be 22.08, 28.99, 319.16 and 1.38Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Predictive maps were constructed for both area for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and absorbed dose using GIS program which showed a trend of increase to the Maintains area for soil Sample. Corresponding, for Foodstuff the average activity concentration of natural nuclides <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K fallout nuclide<sup>137</sup>Cs was 4.6, 4.4 and 326Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Also activity concentrations of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K in rocks samples was 23.56, 29.57 and 330.58 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The obtained results were found to be less than the corresponding global values reported in the UNSCEAR publications for normal background areas.

The absorbed dose rate in air at a height of 1m from the ground was calculated using four sets of dose rate conversion factors and the corresponding annual effective dose was estimated. On the average, the values obtained were: 25.60 · 25.26 · 26.57 and 29.61 nGy.h<sup>-1</sup> for soil samples North Kordofan · 26.08 · 28.05 · 32.88 and 31.00 nGy.h<sup>-1</sup> for soil samples South Kordofan · 28.80 · 28.42 · 33.95 and 33.34 nGy.h<sup>-1</sup> for rock samples · 5.53 · 5.48 · 5.00 and 6.16 nGy.h<sup>-1</sup> for Foodstuff samples · and annual effective dose were: 31.20 · 30.99 · 32.60 and 41.72 µSv.y<sup>-1</sup> for the soil samples South Kordofan · 37.16 · 34.42 · 38.53 and 39.81 µSv.y<sup>-1</sup> for the soil samples South Kordofan 38.19 · 34.88 · 39.60 and 40.92 µSv.y<sup>-1</sup> for rock samples and 7.89 · 6.72 · 6.13 and 7.56 µSv.y<sup>-1</sup> for Foodstuff samples · respectively for DRCFs (MC · MCNP · GEANT and UNSEAR). These values lie within the areas very close worldwide range for high background radiation. The obtained data can be used in baseline data for any future studies for the establishment of radiation map for Sudan.

#### المستخلص

وباستخدام نظام المعلومات الجغرافية (GIS) تم إعداد خرائط توضيح التراكيز يورانيوم ، ثوريوم ، بوتاسيوم وكذلك الجرعة الاشعاعية الممتصة التي أظهرت ازدياد في اتجاه المناطق الجبلية .ايضاً بالنسبة للعينات الغذاء الرئيسي وجدت تراكيز العناصر يورانيوم ، ثوريوم ، بوتاسيوم وخالي من سيزيزم علي التالي 4.67، 4.69 و326 علي التوالي وكذلك للعينات الصخور وجد 28.56، 29.57 و330.58 علي التوالي .من هذه النتائج وجد أن التركيز الاشعاعي لتلك النظائر هو اقل من متوسط العالمي ، كما في أدبيات لجنة الامم المتحدة العلمية للوقاية من أثار الإشعاعات الذرية .

تم حساب الجرعة الاشعاعية الممتصة في الهواء على ارتفاع 1م من سطح الارض وذلك باستخدام مجموعة من ثوابت تحويل معدل الجرعة (DRCFs) ، كما تم حساب الجرعة الفعالة السنوية ، حيث وجد ان متوسط النتائج الجرعة الممتصة هو:(DRCFs) ، 25.26 و 26.61 لوينات التربة شمال كردفان ، 26.08 و 26.01 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان ، 26.08 و 28.42 ، 28.80 و 26.51 لعينات التربة منال كردفان ، 28.53 ، 28.42 ، 28.80 و 28.51 لعينات التربة جنوب كردفان ، 28.80 ، 28.42 ، 28.53 ، 28.55 و 28.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان ، 26.08 الصخور و 32.53 ، 34.42 ، 20.55 و 21.51 لعينات التربة جنوب كردفان ، 28.80 ، 28.42 ، 28.50 و 25.51 لعينات المحور و 25.5 ، 25.51 لعينات التربة جنوب كردفان ، 28.80 ، 28.42 ، 28.80 و 26.51 لعينات المحور و 25.53 ، 25.45 ، 25.50 و 21.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 37.56 ، 28.53 ، 28.55 و 28.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 37.50 ، 28.55 و 28.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 37.50 ، 28.55 و 28.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 37.50 ، 25.55 و 28.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 37.50 ، 25.55 و 28.55 ، 28.55 و 27.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 57.50 ، 25.55 و 27.51 لعينات التربة شمال كردفان 57.50 ، 25.55 ، 28.55 ، 28.55 ، 28.55 ، 25.

العالمي ذات الخليفة الاشعاعية نتائج البحث التي تم الحصول عليها يمكن استخدامها كبيانات اساسية لبناء خرطة اشعاعية للسودان مستقبلا.