# Dedication

To my Father

And my Beloved Mother

To who was beside me at all time

My husband

Dear sisters & brother

To my sons

&

To my friends Marwa & Safaa

## Acknowledgements

Special praise and thanks to Almighty **AllAH** who has led me thus far in my educational career, and for innumerable bounties.

I would like to express my gratitude and deep appreciation and recognition to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Ahmed El-Awad El-Faki**, who has provided continuous support, guidance and criticism.

My appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Warda Shakartalla**, Food Reserch Centre, for valuable advice and guidance. Special thanks to **Dr. Barka Mohmed Kabeir** for help and support.

I would like to say thank you with my pleasure to my dear friend Marwa Yagoub.

Very special thanks for everyone who helped me and supported me with samples and materials in cheese plants.

Thanks go to staff of Food Research Center for help in production and all analysis of cheese.

Special thanks and genuine gratitude are extended to my family and my husband for patience, encouragement and support during my study.

### LIST OF CONTENTS

Title		
Dedication	I	
Acknowledgments	II	
List of contents	III	
List of tables	IV	
List of figures		
Abstract		
Arabic Abstract	VII	
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		
Introduction	1	
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1 Cheese	4	
2.1.1 Historical aspects	5	
2.1.2. Definition of cheese		
2.1.3 Cheese production and consumption		
2.1.4 Cheese manufacture in the world	11	
2.1.4.1 Raw materials	20	
2.1.4.2 Method of cheese manufacturing:	14	
2.1.5 Quality control of cheese manufacturing.	17	
2.2 Cheese making in Sudan	20	
2.2.1 Introduction	20	
2.2.2. The history of cheese making in Sudan	21	
2.2.3. Varieties of Sudanese cheese	22	

Title	
	No
2.2.4. Sudanese white soft cheese Jibna Beyda	23
2.2.5 Method of manufacture of Jibna Beyda	24
2.2.6 The chemical composition of (Jibna Beyda)	28
2.2.7 The microbiology of the Sudanese white cheese	29
2.2.8 Quality control of Sudanese cheese manufacturing	30
2.2.9. Sudanese standards of white cheese	31
2.2.10. Problems facing Sudanese cheese processing	32
2.3 HACCP	34
2.3.1 Background	34
2.3.2 History of hacep	36
2.3.3 Definitions	36
2.3.4 Benefits of haccp	38
2.3.5 Developing of hacep	39
2.3.5.1 Assemble the hacep team	40
2.3.5.2 Product description and its distribution	40
2.3.5.3 Intended use description	41
2.3.5.4 A flow diagram development	42
2.3.5.5 Flow diagram verification	42
2.3.6 HACCP principles	43
2.3.6.1 Hazard analysis conduction (Principle 1)	44
2.3.6.2 Critical control points (CCPs) determination (Principle 2)	45
2.3.6.3 Critical limits establishment (Principle3)	46
2.3.6.4 Monitoring procedures establishment (Principle 4)	47
2.3.6.5 Corrective action procedures establishment (Principle 5)	50

Title		
2.3.6.6 Verification procedures establishment (Principle 6)		
2.3.6.7 Record-keeping and documentation procedures establishment	51	
(Principle7)		
2.4 HACCP in cheese	52	
2.4.1 Application of hacep system in cheese making	53	
2.4.2 Maintenance of the haccp system	55	
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS		
3.1 Materials	58	
3.1.1Cheese materials	58	
3.1.2 Sample collection		
3.1.3 Chemicals and reagents		
3.2 Methods		
3:2:1 Cheese processing		
3.2.2 Laboratory cheese processing		
3.2.2.1 Chemical analysis		
3.2.2.2 Microbiological analysis		
3.2.3 Hacep checklist	67	
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1 Hacep checklist	70	
4.1.1 Company information of processing cheese plants	70	
4.1.2 Product information in cheese processing factories		
4.1.3 Process information features in cheese processing factories		
4.1.4 Dangers, risks and preventive measures in cheese processing factories	73	

Title	
4.1.5 Haccp decision tree application to each step in cheese processing factories	74
4.1.6 Features of critical limits and tolerances in cheese processing factories	75
4.1.7 Monitoring critical process parameters in cheese processing factories	75
4.1.8 Features of corrective actions in cheese processing factories	75
4.1.9 Features of verifications procedures in cheese processing factories	76
4.1.10 Features of record keeping and documentation in cheese processing factories	76
4.2 Identification of possible hazards and corresponding control measures	77
4.2.1 Raw milk	77
4.2.1.1 Chemicals analysis	77
4.2.1.2 Microbial analysis	79
4.2.2 Pasteurized milk	80
4.2.2.1 Chemical analysis	81
4.2.2.2 Microbial analysis	83
4.2.3 Curds	85
4.2.3.1 Chemical analysis	86
4.2.3.2 Microbial analysis	87
4.2.4 Cheese before storage	88
4.2.4.1 Chemical analysis	89
4.2.4.2 Microbial analysis	91
4.2.5 Cheese after storage	92
4.2.5.1 Chemical analysis	93
4.2.5.2 Microbial analysis	94

Title	Page. No	
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1 Conclusion	97	
5.2 Recommendations	97	
REFERENCE	99	
APPENDICES	114	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table No. Title	Title	Page
Table 140.	Title	No.
Table (1)	First Recorded Date for Some Major Cheese	12
	Varieties:	12
Table (2)	The chemical composition of white cheese on	32
	dry matter basis	32
Table (3)	Examples of some biological, chemical, and	1.5
	physical hazards in foods	45
Table (4)	Chemicals and microbiological analysis for	82
	raw milk	02
Table (5)	Chemicals and microbiological analysis for	85
	pasteurized milk	03
Table (6)	Chemicals and microbiological analysis for	89
	curd	09
Table (7)	Chemicals and microbiological analysis for	93
	cheese before ripening	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Fig (1)	Cheese manufacturing Process	18
Fig (2)	Jibna- Beyda preparation	25
Fig (3)	Example of decision tree for process steps	49
Fig (4)	Summary of the HACCP system, (CFIA, 2001).	56

#### **Abstract**

This study was conducted in three cheese processing factories beside other two procesery at laboratory scales to dedicate HACCP requirements in Sudanese of white cheese manufacturing. The conducted survey indicates that principle (*Product information features*) is the most applied HACCP principles in cheese processing factories (53.3%), either principles (*Process control and responsibilities for product safety feature, HACCP team feature, Dangers, Risks and preventive*) has been applied weak (45%, 36.4%, 30%), respectively. And principles (*Process information features, HACCP decision tree, Limits and tolerances, Monitoring of critical process parameters*) has been applied very weak (15%, 20%, 10%, 20%), respectively, the study reference that principles (*Corrective actions and Record keeping and documentation*) not-applied in all factories that have been studied. As general it is clear to us that the applied *HACCP* principles on cheese processing factories that have been studied was 22.37% overall.

Milk samples were collected from Khartoum and Gadarif States, Raw milk ( $A_r$  and  $B_r$ ) from Khartoum and ( $C_r$ ) from Gadarif states. Pasteurized milk ( $A_p$ ,  $B_p$ ) from Khartoum and ( $C_p$ ) from Gadarif. Curd ( $A_c$ ,  $B_c$ ) from Khartoum and ( $C_c$ ) from Gadarif, then the final product (white cheese) before storage ( $A_b$ ,  $B_b$ ) from Khartoum and ( $C_b$ ) from Gadarif, and after storage for a month ( $A_a$ ,  $B_a$ ) from Khartoum and ( $C_a$ ) from Gadarif in addition to the control sample ( $E_r$ ,  $E_p$ ,  $E_c$ ,  $E_b$ , and  $E_a$ ).

Then the chemical and microbial analysis of these samples were carried out, to Identification of possible hazards and corresponding control measures and the results of the chemical analysis as follow, Highest rates of moisture for all samples as follows (87.2%), (84.2%), (79.6%), (60.0%), (59.89%) for  $C_r$ ,  $C_p$ ,  $B_c$ ,  $A_b$  and  $A_a$  respectively. And ash ratios recorded (5.16%), (5.0%), (5.0%), (1.96%), (1.6%), (0.85%) for  $C_a$ ,  $C_b$ ,  $B_b$ ,  $C_c$ ,  $A_p$ , and  $C_r$  respectively. The highest percentages of protein were (24.5%), (24.0%), (24.0%), (22.02%), (20.5%), and (12.6%) recorded by  $E_c$ ,  $A_c$ ,  $A_p$ ,  $A_r$ ,  $E_b$ , and  $B_a$  respectively. The highest percentages of fat were (28.75%), (25.81%), (25.0%) (24.5%), (6.3%), and (6.3%), for  $B_a$ ,  $A_p$ ,  $A_r$ ,  $E_b$ ,  $E_c$ , and  $A_c$  respectively, the acidity of all samples were (0.81) (0.25), (0.25), (0.20), and (0.18) for  $C_b$ ,  $C_a$ ,  $B_c$ ,  $E_p$ , and  $A_p$  respectively. The highest readings of pH were (6.54), (6.53), (6.50), (6.45), and (5.55), recorded  $B_p$ ,  $C_r$ ,  $B_c$ ,  $E_b$ , and  $B_a$  respectively.

The highest total bacterial count was recorded by sample  $B_r$  (5.3x10<sup>5</sup>),  $B_p$  was (6.10<sup>3</sup>),  $B_c$  was (5.8x10<sup>3</sup>), sample  $B_b$  (6.0x10<sup>4</sup>), and sample  $B_a$  (9.5x10<sup>5</sup>). The highest level of coliform was (2x10<sup>2</sup>) in sample  $B_r$ , pasteurized milk samples were confirmed completely free of this type of bacteria, sample  $B_b$  was (1.80x102), and sample  $B_a$  reading was 11. The highest reading for *E. Coli* for sample  $B_r$  (2.0x10<sup>3</sup>), and the pasteurized milk, Curd, and Cheese before storage readings recorded that there is no presence of E. Coli, and sample Ba reading was 3. *Staphylococcus* bacteria was recorded in sample  $B_r$  (1.2x10<sup>2</sup>), pasturized milk recorded (0), curd result was (-ve), sample  $B_b$  was (5.0x10<sup>3</sup>), and the reading (5.0x10<sup>2</sup>) was recorded by sample  $B_a$ . The yeasts and molds were not recorded in raw and pasteurized milk samples.  $B_c$  was (5.0x10<sup>3</sup>), sample  $C_b$  was (7.0x10<sup>2</sup>), sample  $C_a$  reading was (9.0x10<sup>4</sup>). *Salmonella* results recorded negative readings (-ve) in Raw and Pasteurized milk, but samples  $C_c$ ,  $C_b$ ,  $C_a$  gave positive reading (+ve).

#### الخلاصة

أجريت هذه الدراسة في ثلاثة مصانع للجبنة البيضاء، بالإضافه لمعملين متخصصين في صناعة الجبنة البيضاء لقياس مدى تطبيق نظام الهاسب في بعض مصانع الجبنة البيضاء في السودان، تشير الدراسة إلى أن مبدأ (المعلومات الخاصة بالمنتج) هو الأكثر تطبيقاً في مبادئ نظام تحليل المخاطر في مصانع الجبن البيضاء (53.3٪)، أما المبادئ (أساسيات التحكم وعمليات سلامة المنتج، مميزات أفراد فريق ال HACCP ،تقييم المخاطر الاجراءات الوقائية) تم تطبيقها بنسب ضعيفة بلغت (45٪، 36.4٪) على التوالي والمبادئ (ميزات العمليات الانتاجية، شجرة اتخاذ قرارات HACCP ، المقدرة والاحتمال، مراقبة السيطرة في النقاط الحرجة) تم تطبيقها بصورة ضعيفه جدا بنسبة (15٪، 20٪، 10٪، 20٪) على التوالي. كما اوضحت الدراسة أن (الإجراءات التصحيحية وحفظ السجلات والوثائق) غير مطبقة في جميع المصانع التي تم دراستها . بصوة عامة يتضح لنا من خلال الدراسة أن تطبيق مبادئ نظام تحليل المخاطر في مصانع الجبن التي تم دراستها كان بنسبة (75.7٪) بصورة عامه.

تم تجميع عينات الدراسة من و لايتى الخرطوم (A,B) والقضارف (C)، وهذه العينات  $A_c$ ,  $B_c$ , and  $C_p$ )، الخثرة  $(A_r,B_r,and\ C_r)$ ، الخثرة  $(A_r,B_r,and\ C_r)$ ، الخثرة  $(A_r,B_r,and\ C_r)$ ، والمنتج النهائى (الجبنة البيضاء) قبل التخزين  $(A_b,B_b\ and\ C_b)$  وبعد التخزين لمدة شهر  $(C_c\ C_r,E_p,E_c,E_b,and\ E_a)$ .

وبعد ذلك أجريت التحاليل الكيميائية والميكروبية لهذه العينات، حيث كانت نتائج التحاليل الكيميائية كالآتى:

أعلى نسب رطوبة لكل العينات سجلت كالآتي (%٨٧.٢)، (%٨٤.٢)، (%١٦%)، (%١٦%)، (%١٠٠%)، (%١٠٠%)، (%٥.١٦) نصب الرماد سجلت (٢٠.٠%) Cr, Cp, Bc, Ab على التوالي. ونسب الرماد سجلت Cr, Cp, Bc, Ab على Cr, Cp, Cr, Cr,

 $C_{b}$ , التوالي، الحموضة لكل العينات فكانت (۰.۱۸)، (۰.۲۰)، (۰.۲۰)، (۰.۲۰)، (۱.۲۰) للعينات كانت (۲.۱۸)، (۲.۲۰)، (۲.۲۰)، (۲.٤٥)، ( $^{\circ}$ 7.8°)،  $^{\circ}$ 0,  $^{\circ}$ 1,  $^{\circ}$ 1,  $^{\circ}$ 2,  $^{\circ}$ 3,  $^{\circ}$ 3,  $^{\circ}$ 4,  $^{\circ}$ 5,  $^{\circ}$ 6,  $^{\circ}$ 6,  $^{\circ}$ 7,  $^{\circ}$ 9,  $^{$ 

أما نتائج التحليل الميكروبي فسجلت النتائج الاتية، العينة  $B_c$  ( $6.0x10^3$ ) سجلت اعلى واءات لل Total Bacterial Count ا،  $B_c$  كانت ( $6.0x10^3$ ) واعلى مستوى  $B_c$  ( $6.0x10^3$ ) اما العينة  $B_c$  فسجلت العينة  $B_c$  فسجلت العينة  $B_c$  الما العينة  $B_c$  فسجلت العينة واعلى مستوى ( $6.0x10^4$ ) اما العينة  $B_c$  فسجلت اللبن المبستر والخثرة لله Coliform كان في العينة  $B_c$  حيث اعطت قراءة ( $2x10^2$ )، اما عينات اللبن المبستر والخثرة ( $B_c$ ,  $B_c$ ) فقد اكدت النتائج خلوها تماما من هذا النوع من البكتيريا، اما العينة  $B_c$  فكانت قراءتها  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  العينة  $B_c$  فقد اعطت قراءة  $B_c$  وعينات اللبن المبستر والخثرة والجبنة قبل التخزين ( $2.0x10^3$ )، أما العينة  $B_c$  فقد اعطت قراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينة  $B_c$  كانت القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ )، أما الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ )، أما الخثرة فقد سجلت نتيجة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) بواعينة  $3.0x10^2$  والعينة  $3.0x10^2$  والعينة  $3.0x10^2$  وأما اللبن الخام والمبستر فقد كانت ( $3.0x10^2$ )، والعينة المراءة العينة  $3.0x10^2$  كانت القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ )، والعينة ما الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ )، والعينة تراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) ما اللبن الخام والمبستر فقد كانت ( $3.0x10^2$ )، نتائج ال  $3.0x10^2$  والعينات كان ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) ما اللبن الخام والمبستر فقد كانت ( $3.0x10^2$ )، والعينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) ما الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) ما الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) ما الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات القراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في الغراءة العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في حين أنها أعطت نتائج ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في الغراءة الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في العينات الغراءة العينات الغراءة الغراءة العينات الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في حين أنها أعطت نتائج ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في الغراءة ( $3.0x10^2$ ) في الغراءة الغراء