Acknowledgement

I would like to express my thanks to my supervisor Dr. Abd El Moniem Mohamed El Shiek for his encouragement and guidance throughout the course of this study.

My thanks are also expressed to my co-supervisor.

El Hag Hamed A/Aziz for his assistance, helpful comments in conducting this study.

My sincere thanks and also indebted to the ABS for providing financial support for my study, and training center Staff for their help.

It is difficult to acknowledge all the individuals who helped me, but special appreciation should be expressed to those who encouraged and assisted me in education.

I am grateful to the Staff of the ABS- Kuku Branch for their unforgettable help.

Thanks and deepest gratitude go to my late father and mother for their lovely teaching, to love people and also to my family.

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of the study is to analyze and study ways and means by which the amount of vegetable exports could be increased and the role of ABS in this respect.

To achieve this purpose, the study used two types of data, the first one is based on interviewing vegetable producers who are engaged in vegetable exports financed by ABS in El Saliet Agricultural scheme – Eastern Nile locality – Khartoum State, season 2002/2003, and the second type of data is secondary data collected from various publications, including AOAD, ABS and others.

However, budget analysis, descriptive statistics, regression analysis, Cobb-Douglas productions function in logarithmic form were used for analysis. The analysis showed the constraints facing the production of vegetable for exports, which are summarized in production costs, which revealed that, the cost of material inputs represent the highest share relative to the total cost of production for both crops (melon and green bean). Packing materials and air transport represent the highest costs of marketing.

The loans given by ABS to vegetable production were very small compared to actual farmer need (cost of production and marketing), and also poor market information abroad.

The budget analysis showed that the export of vegetables was more profitable to producers.

Regression analysis using Cobb-Douglas production function that considers the factors affecting the quantity of vegetable exports (during 20 years (1982-2001), indicates that, total production, the quantity of local consumption, and the export price (FOB price) were significant factors in explaining the variation of quantities of vegetable exports.

And also the result, revealed that credit or ABS services to vegetable producers was the insignificant factor in explaining the variation of quantity exported.

Finally, the study recommended that:-

- The ABS should give more efforts to provide enough amounts of credit to vegetable producers for covering agricultural inputs, cultural practices, and other services needed in reasonable quantities at proper time for production of exported vegetables.
- The government should reduce taxes on the horticultural products and minimize customs regulation.
- To find suitable ways for reducing cost of air transport and study of possibility of employing sea transport.
- To develop means of information about markets abroad and making it available for producers and exporters.

الخلاصة

هدفت الدراسة الى بحث طرق وكيفية زيادة كمية الصادر من الخضراوات ودور البنك الزراعي السوداني فيها.

لتحقيق هذا الهدف استخدمت الدراسة المصادر الأولية التي جمعت خلال مقابلات ميدانية بمنطقة السليت (مشروع السليت الزراعي) – محلية شرق النيل – ولاية الخرطوم.

والمعلومات الثانوية جمعت من المطبوعات المتعددة أمثال المنظمة العربية للتتمية الزراعية البنك الزراعي السوداني والمصادر الاخرى.

لتحليل البيانات تم اسخدام نموزج (كوب دوقلاس) في صورتها الخطية . أوضح التحليل المعوقات التى تواجه انتاج الخضر للصادر وتتلخص في تكاليف الانتاج التى وضحت ان تكلفة المدخلات العينية هي الاعلى مقارنة بالتكلفة الكلية للانتاج من كل المحاصيل الزراعية (الشمام والفاصوليا الخضراء). بالاضافة الى ذلك فان تكاليف مواد التعبئة و الشحن الجوى تمثل تكاليف رئيسية للتسويق الخارجي.

تمويل البنك الزراعي السوداني لمنتجي الخضر ضعيف مقارنة بالاحتياجات المزارع (تكاليف الانتاج والتسويق) وكذلك ضعف المعلومات للسوق العالمي، أوضح التحليل الميزانية أن انتاج الخضر للصادر يحقق ربحية وعائدات مجزية للمزارعين.

أوضح تحليل دالة الإنتاج بأن العوامل تأثيراً على كمية الصادر من الخضر هي إجمالي الانتاج، كمية المستهلك محلياً واسعار الصادرات خلال ٢٠ سنة (الموسم ١٩٨٢–٢٠٠١) . أيضاً أوضحت نتائج الدراسة بأن نسبة تمويل البنك الزراعي ليس له تأثير على كمية الصادر.

واخيراً خلصت الدراسة الى التوصيات الآتية:-

- ان يقوم البنك الزراعي السوداني بتوفير التمويل اللازم لمنتجي الخضر لتغطية كل تكاليف المدخلات الزراعية ، العمليات الزراعية، والخدمات الاخرى في الوقت المناسب لانتاج الخضر للصادر.
 - أن تقوم الحكومة بتقليل الضرائب المنتجات البستانية وتخفيف الإجراءات الجمركية.
- إيجاد طرق لتقليل تكلفة الشحن الجوى وكيفية وصول كل المعلومات عن السوق العالمي للمنتجين والمصدرين للخضر والفواكه.

TABLE of CONTENTS

| | Page | 2 |
|--|---------------------|-----|
| Dedication | | |
| Acknowledgement | I | |
| English abstract | Ι | I |
| Arabic abstract | Ι | II |
| List of contents | Γ | V |
| List of tables | 7 | /II |
| List of figures | Ι | X |
| List of Appendices | Π | X |
| Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviat | ions I | X |
| Chapter one | 1 | |
| 1- Introduction | 1 | |
| 1.1 : The agricultural sector in Sudan | 1 | |
| 1.2 : The agricultural Bank of Sudan | (ABS) 3 | 1 |
| 1.3 : Vegetables and fruits production | in Khartoum State 6 | |
| 1.4 : The problem Statement | | |
| 10 | | |
| 1.5 : Objectives of the study | | |
| 12 | | |
| 1.5.1: The main objective | 1 | 2 |
| 1.5.2 :Sub – objectives | 1 | 2 |
| 1.6: Hypotheses of the study | 1 | 2 |
| 1.7: Methodology and Conceptual Fra | ame work 1 | 3 |
| Chapter Two | 1 | 5 |
| 2- Literature Review | 1 | 5 |
| 2.1: The production, harvesting and p | rocessing 1 | 5 |
| 2.2: export capacity of vegetables gro | wn 1 | 6 |
| 2.3: The need for credit | 1 | 6 |

| 2.4: The formal credit | 17 |
|--|----|
| 2.5: The informal credit | 18 |
| 2.6: Vegetables marketing | 18 |
| 2.7: The social factors | 19 |
| 2.8: Marketing of agricultural products. | 19 |
| Chapter Three | 20 |
| 3-The production f vegetables for exports in Khartoum | |
| State, season 2002/2003 | 20 |
| 3.1: Cultural practices and inputs | 20 |
| 3.2: The cost of production for producers | 20 |
| 3.2.1: The cost of cultural practices | 23 |
| 3.2.2: Inputs costs | 23 |
| 3.2.3.1: Melon profitability | 25 |
| 3.2.3.2: Green beans profitability | 25 |
| 3.3.1: The cost of production of principal crops for producers | |
| who are exporters at the same time, season 2002/2003 | 26 |
| 3.3.2: The profitability of principal crops (melon and green bean) | 26 |
| 3.4:The cost and profitability to the exporters only | 29 |
| 3.4.1: The marketing costs | 29 |
| 3.4.2: The purchasing price of principal crops | 30 |
| 3.4.3 : Air freight costs | 30 |
| 3.4.4: Exports cost and the margins of exported crops | 32 |
| 3.5: Exporting and competing countries with Sudan | 34 |
| 3.5.1:Markets for Sudanese fresh vegetables | 34 |
| 3.5.2: Possible markets for Sudanese vegetables | 35 |
| 3-5-2-1:Western Europe markets | 35 |
| 3-5-2-2: The Middle East markets and Gulf area | 36 |
| 3-6: Agencies involved in Exporting vegetables | 37 |
| 3-6-1: Sudanese Agricultural Producers Company | 37 |

| 3-7: The role of credit | 38 |
|---|----|
| Chapter Four | 42 |
| 4-1: Results and Discussions of the Research Finding | 42 |
| 4-2: Factors affecting the quantity of vegetables exports | 43 |
| 4-3: Regression Results Discussions | 43 |
| 4-3-1: The quantity of vegetables exports | 44 |
| 4-3-2: The total annual production | 44 |
| 4-3-3: The quantity of domestic consumption | 45 |
| 4-3-4: The FOB price | 46 |
| 4-3-5: The ABS services to vegetables producers | 46 |
| Chapter Five | 50 |
| 5: Summary, Recommendations, and Constraints | |
| and Limitations of the study | 50 |
| 5-1: Summary of the study | 50 |
| 5-2: Recommendations of the study | 52 |
| 5-3: Constraints and Limitations of the Vegetable Exports sector. | 53 |
| 5.4.Constraints and Limitations of the study. | 54 |
| 5-5 Recommendations of Further Research. | 54 |
| The bibliography | 55 |
| | |

LISTS OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 1-1 Contribution of Agricultural Sector to GDP | |
| for 1992-1999 (SD . Million) | 2 |
| 1.2: Contribution of the main agricultural crops export | |
| earnings of the Sudan (1992-1997) | 2 |
| 1.3: Types and quantities of horticultural exports for the | |
| period 1995-1998 | 3 |
| 1.4: Loans provided by ABS (1998 – 2002) (thousand Dinars) | 6 |
| 1.5: Types and amounts of loans provided by ABS | |
| during 1998 –2002 (thousand Dinars) | 6 |
| 1.6: Horticultural crops, exports from Khartoum State | |
| from 1997-2001 in M.T. | 8 |
| 1.7: Melon exported during 97-2001 in M.T. | 8 |
| 1.8: Green bean exported during 97-2001, in M.T. | 9 |
| 1-9: Mango exported during 97-2001 in M.T. | 9 |
| 1-10:Vegetables and fruits imported during 1997-2001 in M T | 9 |
| 1-11:Vegetables and fruits Arab Countries imported | |
| during 1997-2001 MT | 10 |
| 3-1 Total cost of production of vegetable crops per feddan | |
| in SD. For Season 2002/2003 | 22 |
| 3-2 Percent of total cost per feddan for melon crop | 22 |
| 3-3 Percent of Total cost per feddan for green Beans crop | 24 |
| 3-4 Percent the profitability of principal crops (Melon and | |
| green Beans) per feddan for producers only | 26 |
| 3-5 Total cost of production and marketing of | |
| vegetables per feddan, season 2002/2003 in M.T. | |
| and in SD (for producers who are also exporters) | 27 |
| 3-6 The profitability of production of vegetable crops | 28 |

| 3-7 Total costs and net return for vegetables exporters | |
|---|----|
| SD. Per M.T., season 2001/2002 | 29 |
| 3-8 Summary of Gross Margins of Horticultural Exports in SD/M T | 33 |
| 3-9 The position of the Sudan Relative to its Main competitors | 36 |
| 3-10 The Main producing countries in the world | |
| and production duration. | 37 |
| 3-11-Percent of loans given to farmers (customers) | |
| by ABS – Kuku branch during 10 years (1993—2002) (1000SD). | 39 |
| 4-1 Coefficient | 43 |
| 4-2 ANOVA table (Regression analysis). | 43 |
| | |

List of figures

| 3-1 The cost of cultural practices and inputs for Melon | |
|--|----|
| and Green Bean crops production (1000 SD). | 25 |
| 3-2 The cost of cultural practices, input and Marketing cost | |
| (Export Costs) for melon and green beans crop | 28 |
| 3.3 The cost of purchasing and marketing of vegetables | |
| and fruits exported during 2001/2002 (1000SD) | 31 |
| List of Appendices : | |
| 3-1 Percent of financing vegetable production for exports | 40 |
| during 10 years (1993-2002) by ABS Kuku branch | |
| 4-1 Quantity of vegetable exports in M T (1992-2001) | 47 |
| 4-2 Total annual production (1000 M T). | 48 |
| 4-3 FOB price (million SD). | 48 |
| 4-4 Amount of credit provided to vegetable | |
| producers by ABS (Million SD.) for 10 years (1992-2001) | 49 |
| List of Abbreviations : | |
| ABS : Agricultural Bank of Sudan | |
| AOAD : Arab Organization for Agricultural Development | |
| FAO :Food and Agriculture Organization | |
| FOB :Free On Board | |
| GDP : Gross Domestic Products | |
| M C :Marketing Cost | |
| MoA:Ministry of Agriculture | |
| MPT:Master Plan Team | |
| MT:metric tons | |
| NR: Net Return | |
| SD : Sudanese Dinar | |
| SPSS: Statistical Programme for Social Sciences | |

TC: Total Cost

SR: Sales Return