

اقْرَأْ بِاسْمِ رَبِّكَ الَّذِي خَلَقَ(1) خَلَقَ الإِنْسَانَ مِنْ عَلَقٍ(2) اقْرَأْ وَرَبُّكَ الْأَكْرَمُ(3) الَّذِي عَلَّمَ بِالْقَلَمِ(4) عَلَّمَ الإِنْسَانَ مَا لَمْ يَعْلَمْ(5)

صَّنْ فَ اللهُ العَظَمِينَ،

سورة القلم – الآيات 1 - 5

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to:

My loving mother, the candle who lighten my life

My father, who taught me how to fight and stand up during the hard time.

My only daughter HANEEN

All my friends and for everyone who gave me his support and advice.

To those who will be happy when my dream comes true

Acknowledgement

Thanks to Allah first and last. I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Salah A. Latief for his guidance, encouragement, support, and patience. Special thanks to Dr. Gaffer Elsheikh for his valuable information.

The most special thank goes to my parents for their love, endless support and encouragement and to my husband for his support and assistance. I would like to thank all of those people who helped make this thesis possible.

Abstract

The objective of this study was to better understanding the relationship between cotton fiber length, fineness, maturity and strength.

Barakat cotton grade GB and Acala cotton grade 3SG cultivated in season (2010/2011) were used. High Volume Instrument (HVI) was used for testing fiber properties. The simple, partial and multiple correlations were applied to study the relationship between the fiber parameters. The results obtained indicated that there was a highly significant correlation between fiber maturity and strength. While there were negative correlations between fiber fineness and strength, fineness and maturity. Fiber length was positively correlated with strength and also with maturity. The stepwise regression analysis was used in order to establish a model that can predict the fiber strength.

Two models were proposed for Barakat grade GB and Acala grade 3SG:

1. Proposed model to predict fiber strength for (Barakat) was:

 $predicted\ fiber\ strength = -147.567 + 279.262*MR - 12.661*Mic$

Where; $MR \equiv Maturity$ and $Mic \equiv Micronaire$

2. Proposed model to predict fiber strength for (Acala) was:

 $predicted\ fiber\ strength = -204.560 + 239.458 * MR + 1.359 * ML$

Where; $MR \equiv Maturity$ and $ML \equiv Mean length$

المستخلص

الهدف من هذه الدراسه هو الفهم الجيد للعلاقه بين طول، نعومة ، نضوجة ومتانه شعيرات القطن .

تم استخدام عينات قطن بركات رتبة GB واكالا رتبة 3SG للموسم الزراعي 2011/2010. كما تم استخدام جهاز الـ HVI لقياس خصائص شعيرات القطن المذكورة سابقاً. تم تطبيق الارتباطات البسيطه, الجزئيه والمتعددة لدراسه العلاقه بين خصائص شعيرات القطن.

النتائج المتحصل عليها أوضحت أن هناك إرتباط عالى ودال إحصائيا بين نضوجه الشعيره ومتانتها بينما هناك إرتباطات عكسيه بين النعومه والمتانه وبين النعومه والنضوجه. طول الشعيره يرتبط إرتباطا موجبا مع النضوجه وأيضا مع المتانه. تم إستخدام تحليل الإنحدار المتدرج من أجل إنشاء نموذج يمكن أن يتنبأ بمتانه الشعيره. تم التوصل إلى نموذجين للعينتين بركات GB واكالا 3SG هما:

النموذج المقترح للتنبؤ بمتانه الشعيره في العينه بركات GB هو:

$$-147.567 + 279.262 * MR - 12.661 * Mic$$

حيث $MR \equiv \text{النصوجة}.$ و $Mic \equiv Mic$

• النموذج المقترح للتنبؤ بمتانه الشعيره في العينه اكالا 3SG هو:

$$-204.560 + 239.458 * MR + 1.359 * ML$$

حيث $MR \equiv \text{النضوجة}.$ و $ML \equiv \text{الطول}.$

Table of Contents

	Content	Page No
	Dedication	II
	Acknowledgements	III
	Abstract	IV
	Abstract in Arabic	V
	List of Contents.	VI
	List of Tables	XII
	List of Figures.	X
	Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1	Background.	2
1.2	Cotton Fiber Morphology	2
1.3	Cotton Fiber Structure	4
1.4	Properties Determine Cotton Fiber Quality and Characteristic	6
1.4.1	Fiber Length	6
1.4.2	Fiber Fineness.	7
1.4.3	Fiber Maturity	7
1.4.4	Fiber Strength	8
1.4.5	Length Uniformity and Short Fiber Content	8
1.4.6	Color Grade	9
1.4.7	Leaf Grade (Trash Content)	9

1.5	Physical Properties	10
1.5.1	Color	10
1.5.2	Tensile Strength	10
1.5.3	Elastic Recovery	10
1.5.4	Elongation at Break	10
1.5.5	Specific Gravity	10
1.5.6	Moisture Regain (%)	10
1.5.7	Effect of Heat	10
1.5.8	Effect of Sun Light.	11
1.5.9	Effect of Age	11
1.6	Chemical Properties	11
1.6.1	Effect of Acids	11
1.6.2	Effect of Alkalis	11
1.6.3	Effect of Organic Solvent	11
1.6.4	Effect of Insects	11
1.6.5	Effect of Micro-organism	11
1.7	Factors Affecting Cotton Quality	12
1.7.1	Weather Conditions	12
1.7.2	Soil	12
1.7.3	Humidity and Temperature	12
1.7.4	Irrigation	13
1.7.5	Diseases	14
1.7.6	Harvesting and Picking	14

1.7.7	Ginning	14
	Chapter Two: Literature Review	
2.1	Introduction	16
2.2	Analysis of Previously Reported Studies	16
2.3	Summary	26
2.4	Scope and Objective of Present Work	27
Chapt	ter three: Methods used to Assess (Measuring) Cotton Fiber Properties and Quality	
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Conventional Methods	29
3.2.1	Measurement of Fiber Length	29
3.2.1.1	Comb Sorter Method	29
3.2.1.2	Fibrograph Method	30
3.2.2	Measurement of Fiber Fineness	32
3.2.2.1	Micronaire Method	32
3.2.2.2	Fineness and Maturity Tester (FMT)	33
3.2.2.3	Gravimetric Method	34
3.2.3	Measurement of Fiber Maturity	34
3.2.3.1	The Caustic Soda Swelling Method	34
3.2.3.2	Micronaire Method	35
3.2.3.3	Shirley Fineness and Maturity Tester (FMT)	35
3.2.4	Instruments Used to Measure Fiber Strength	36
3241	Pressley Tester	36

3.2.4.2	Stelometer	37
3.3 properti	Modern Instruments used to Measure Cotton Fiber es	38
3.3.1	Introduction	38
3.3.2	High volume Instrument (HVI)	38
3.3.2.1	System Modules	40
3.4	Measurement of Quality Characteristics of Cotton Fibers using HVI	41
3.4.1	Measurement of Fiber Length	41
3.4.2	Fiber Strength	42
3.4.3	Micronaire (Mic)	42
3.4.4	Maturity Index (Mat)	42
3.5	Advanced Fiber Information System (AFIS)	42
3.5.1	The Uster® AFIS PRO2 Modules	44
3.5.1.1	Measurement of Fiber Length	44
3.5.1.2	Measurement of Fiber Fineness	45
3.5.1.3	Measurement of Fiber Maturity	45
	Chapter Four: Sudanese Cotton	
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Sudanese Cotton Production	47
4.3	Sudanese Cotton Varieties	49
4.3.1	Extra Fine Count Cotton	49
4.3.2	Medium Count Cottons	50
4.3.3	Coarse Count Cottons	50

4.4	Sudanese Cotton Classification	50
4.4.1	Steps of Classification in Sudan	50
4.4.2	Classification of Seed Cotton	51
4.4.3	Classification of Cotton Lint	51
4.5	Sudanese Cotton Grading.	51
4.5.1	Port Sudan Grading Factors	52
	Chapter Five: Experimental Design	
5.1	Introduction	55
5.2	Material	55
5.3	Methods and Techniques.	55
5.3.1	Simple Correlation	57
5.3.2	Partial Correlation	57
5.3.3	Multiple Correlations	57
5.3.4	Regression	58
5.4	Results and Discussion.	59
5.4.1	Simple Correlation Results for Barakat and Acala	59
5.4.2	Partial Correlation Results for Barakat Grade GB and Acala Grade 3SG	60
5.4.3	Multiple Correlations	64
5.4.3.1	Multiple Correlations for Barakat Grade GB	64
5.4.3.2	Multiple Correlations for Acala Grade 3SG	65
5.4.4	Regression Analysis	66
5.4.4.1	The Proposed Model for Barakat grade GB	66
5.4.4.2	The Proposed Model for Acala grade 3SG	69

Chapter Six: Conclusion and Recommendation

6.1	Conclusion.	73
6.2	Recommendation	74
	References.	76
	Appendix A	80
	Appendix B.	102
	Appendix C	107

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	Title	Page No
4.1	Cultivated Area and Production in Sudan during the Last Three Seasons	48
5.1	Fiber Properties for Barakat Grade GB.	56
5.2	Fiber Properties for Acala Grade 3SG	56
5.3	Correlation Coefficients between Fiber Properties for Barakat Grade GB Variety	60
5.4	Correlation Coefficients between Fiber Properties for Acala Grade 3SG Variety	60
5.5	Partial Correlation for Barakat Grade GB	62
5.6	Partial Correlation for Acala Grade 3SG.	63
5.7	Variables Entered/Removed for Barakat Grade GB	67
5.8	Model Summary for Barakat Grade 3SG	67
5.9	ANOVA Table for Barakat Grade GB	68
5.10	Coefficients for Barakat Grade GB.	68
5.11	Variables Entered/Removed for Acala Grade 3SG	70
5.12	Model summary for Acala Grade 3SG.	70
5.13	ANOVA Table for Acala Grade 3SG	71
5.14	Coefficients for Acala Grade 3SG.	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Figure Title	Page No
1.1	Different Stages of Cotton Flower	3
1.2	Cotton Fiber Structure	4
1.3	Microscopic View of Cotton Fiber.	5
1.4	Chemical Structure of Cotton Fiber	5
1.5	Cotton Fiber Cross Sections Showing Fiber Perimeter and Differences in Wall Area that Lead to Differences in Fiber	8
2.1	Functional Dependence of Fineness on Wall Thickness at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60) µm	17
2.2	Relationship between Fineness and Maturity Ratio at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60) µm	17
2.3	Functional Dependence of Maturity Ratio on Wall Thickness at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 µm)	18
2.4	Demonstration of Inverse Relationship between Fineness and Maturity Ratio at Constant Micronaire	18
2.5	Dependence of Micronaire on Wall Thickness at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 µm	19
2.6	Relationship between Micronaire and Maturity Ratio at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60) µm	19
2.7	Relationship between Micronaire and Fineness at Six Perimeter Values (35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 µm)	20

2.8	Regression of Fineness versus Maturity Ratio (N = 305)	21
2.9	Regression of Micronaire versus Fineness (N = 305)	21
2.10	Regression of Micronaire versus Maturity Ratio (N = 305)	22
2.11	Regression of Fineness and Maturity Ratio for Perimeters (<i>P</i>) between 50 to 52 μm and 56 to 58 μm, respectively	22
2.12	Regression of Micronaire and Fineness for Perimeters (<i>P</i>) between 50 to 52 μm and 56 to 58 μm, respectively	23
2.13	Regression of Micronaire and Maturity Ratio for Perimeters (<i>P</i>) between 50 to 52 μm and 56 to 58 μm, respectively	23
2.14	Demonstration of the Fit of the Experimental Data(N = 305) by Diagnostic Model between Fineness/Perimeter and Maturity Ratio x Perimeter.	24
2.15	Demonstration of the Fit of the Experimental Data (N = 305) by Diagnostic Model between Micronaire and Maturity Ratio x Perimeter.	24
2.16	Demonstration of the Fit of the Experimental Data (N = 305) by Diagnostic Model between Micronaire and Fineness/Perimeter.	25
3.1	Comb Sorter Staple Array	30
3.2	Digital Fibrograph, Model 530	31
3.3	Fibrosampler for the Preparation of the Specimens	32
3.4	Micronaire Tester	33
3.5	Resistance to Airflow as a Measure of Fineness	35

3.6	Clamps)	37
3.7	Stelometer Cotton Fiber Strength Tester	38
3.8	HVI 1000	40
3.9	The Fibrogram	41
3.10	Basic AFIS Schematic	43
3.11	The Uster® AFIS PRO2	44