DEDICATION

This study is dedicated to the soul of my father my mother and my family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Sid Ahmed El-Shafie to his assistance, support and encouragement.

Appreciation is extended to Dr. Ahmed

Gamal the general manager of animal resources in the River Nile State for nomination to this study.

I also wish to express my thanks to the staff of the college of veterinary medicine and animal production, Sudan university of science and technology. And my thanks to Dr. Abd Elbagi Ahmed for his help in statistical analysis.

CONTENTS

| Dedication |
|------------------------------------|
| Acknowledgments |
| ii |
| Contents |
| iii |
| List of table |
| .vi |
| List of figures |
| vii |
| Abstract |
| viii |
| Arabic abstract |
| X |
| CHAPTER ONE: |
| INTRODUCTION |
| 1 |
| CHAPTER TWO: |
| LITERATURE REVIEW |
| 3 |
| 2.1 Live stock population in Sudan |
| 3 |
| 2 .2 Types of Sudanese sheep |
| .3 |
| 2 .3 Male genital organs |
| 4 |
| 2 .3 .1 Scrotum |
| 4 |
| 2 . 3. 2Testicles |
| .4 |
| 2 . 3.3 Epididymis |
| .4 |

| 2 .3 .4 Vas deferens |
|---|
| .5 2 .3 .5 Urethra |
| .5 |
| 2 .3 .6 Penis |
| .5 |
| 2 .3 .7 Accessory genital glands |
| .6 |
| 2 .4 Castration |
| .6 2 .5 Method of castration |
| 7 |
| 2 .5 .1The open technique |
| 2 . 5 . 2 The closed technique |
| 7 |
| 2 . 5. 3 Bloodless castration |
| 2 . 6 Kunan method |
| 2 . 7 Effect of kunan |
| .9 |
| 2.8 Some methods practiced to benefit the animals overall10 |
| 2.8.1 Dehorning and desbuding |
| 10 |
| 2.8.2 Tail docking |
| 10 |
| 2 . 9 Agricultural products and by-products in Sudan |
| 2.10 Molasses as livestock feed |
| 11 |
| 2 . 11 Agro-industrial by-products in animal feed |

| CHAPTER THREE: |
|---|
| MATERIALS AND METHOD |
| 13 |
| 3 . 1 Experimental animals |
| .13 |
| 3 . 2 Pre-experimental feeding |
| 13 |
| 3 . 3 Experimental procedure |
| 3 . 4 Kunan procedure |
| .13 |
| 3 .5 Feed and feeding |
| .14 |
| 3 . 6 Experimental feeding |
| 14 |
| 3 .7 Live weight and growth |
| .14 |
| 3 . 8 Feed intake |
| 16 |
| 3 . 9 Health care |
| .16 |
| 3.10 Slaughter procedure and slaughter data |
| 16 |
| 3.11 Samples for chemical analysis and quality determination 17 |
| 3 . 11 . 1 Protein fraction |
| .18 |
| 3 . 12 Water holding capacity |
| 3 . 13 Statistical procedure |
| ·-· |
| CHAPTER FOUR: |
| RESULT |
| 4.1 Feed lot performance |
| 2.1 Feed for performance |
| |

| 4.2 Feed intake and feed conversion efficiency |
|--|
| 21 |
| 4.3Gut fill |
| 31 |
| 4.4Carcass yield and carcass performance |
| .31 |
| 4.5 Body components of experimental animals |
| 4.6 Whole sale cuts yield |
| 4.7 Meat chemical composition |
| 4.8 Meat quality attributes |
| 33 |
| 4.9 Body measurement |
| .33 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: |
| DISCUSSION |
| .34 5.1 Foodlat norformana |
| 5.1 Feedlot performance |
| 5.1.1 Live weight growth |
| 34 |
| 5.1.2 Feed intake |
| 5.1.3 Feed conversion ratio |
| 35 |
| 5.2 Slaughter and carcass characteristics |
| .36 |
| 5.2.1 Slaughter and carcass weight |
| .36 |
| 5.2.2 Dressing out percentage |
| 5.2.3 Body components |

| 5.2.4 Carcass characteristics |
|------------------------------------|
| .39 |
| 5.3 Meat chemical composition |
| .40 |
| 5.4 Meat quality |
| 41 |
| 5.4.1 Water holding capacity (WHC) |
| .41 |
| 5.4.2 PH value |
| .42 |
| REFERENCES |
| 43 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Tble (1): Percentage of ingredients and chemical composition of |
|---|
| experimental ration |
| Table (2): Feedlot performance of experimental sheep |
| Table (3): Slaughter weight |
| Table (4): Yield of whole sale cuts (as % of cold side weight) 24 |
| Table (5): Carcass performance |
| Table (6): Body components (as % of empty body weight) 26 |
| Table (7): Meat chemical composition, water-holding capacity and pH |
| values |
| Table (8): Body measurements |



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (1): Mean weekly feed intake of kunanned and intact lambs 29

Figure (2): Mean weekly live weights of kunanned and intact lambs .. 30

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to investigate the effect of kunan on growth rate, feed intake, live body measurements, carcass characteristics and meat quality of Sudanese desert sheep. Fourteen male lambs of Sudanese desert sheep with average weight of 14.46 Kg and with average age of about seven months were allotted randomly to two equal groups.

One group was kunanned and the other group was left without kunan . All animals were offered a concentrate diet (10.97~% crude protein and 15.70~% metabolisable energy) adlibitum .

Kunan increased the feed consumption , kunanned lambs had significantly (P<0.01) more feed intake than entire lambs .

Kunan had no significant (P>0.05) effect on growth rate , feed conversion ratio and slaughter weight . Live animal measurements were almost the same for the two groups .

Body components expressed as percentages of empty body weight showed no significant effects of kunan with the exception of lungs and trachea and skin which were significantly (P<0.05) heavier for entire males than kunanned lambs, while the latter had significantly (P<0.05) heavier heart than the former.

The proportion of the various wholesale cuts expressed as percentages of cold side weight were not significantly different between the two sex

groups.

Entire lambs had significantly (P<0.05) higher fat percentage , while kunanned lambs had significantly (P<0.01) higher bone percentage than entire lambs .

Kunan affected the meat chemical composition, where fat percentage was significantly (P<0.01) increased in entire lambs while moisture and protein percentages were not significantly different. There was a tendency for kunanned lambs to exhibit higher percentages compared with entire males . Entire lambs had significantly (P<0.01) lower percentages in both sacroplasmic and myofibrillar proteins .

Water holding capacity was studied . Differences due to kunan were observed among the two treatment groups . Kunanned lambs had significantly (P<0.01) lower water holding capacity and had significantly (P<0.01) higher pH value than entire lambs .

ملخص الأطروحة

أجريت الدراسة للبحث عن أثر الكنان في الضأن الصحراوي على

النمو اليومي، إستهلاك الغذاء، قياسات الجسم، مكونات الذبيحة و التركيب الكيميائي للحم.

شملت الدراسة عدد 14 رأس من الضأن الصحراوي بمتوسط وزن

إبتدائي 14.46كجم، ومتوسط أعمارها حوالي 7 أشهر وزعت عشوائياً إلى

مجموعتين متساويتين (أ) و (ب) إحتوت كل مجموعة على عدد 7 من الحملان.

المجموعة (أ) بدون كنان لها بينما تركت المجموعة (أ) بدون كنان .

أعطيت الحملان في المجموعتين عليقة مركزة تحتوي على 10.97 بروتين

خام و 15.70 طاقة مهضومة (جدول 1).

وجد أن هنالك أثرٌ معنوي للكنان على إستهلاك الغذاء ، حيث سحلت

الحملان في المجموعة (ب) زيادة (P < 0.01) في إستهلاك العليقة ، بينما لم يكن للكنان أثرٌ معنوي (P > 0.05) على معدل النمو اليومي ، كفاءة التحويل الغذائي و نسبة التصافي للوزن الحي عند الذبح . كما كانت قياسات الجسم

متقاربة في المجموعتين.

الحمالان في المجموعة (أ) لها نسبة دهون مئوية أعلى (P < 0.05) ،

بينماسجلت الحملان في المجموعة (ب) نسبة عظم مئوية أعلى (P < 0.01) من المجموعة الأخرى .

أظهر التحليل الكيميائي للحم أن للكنان أثرُ معنوي ، حيث كانت النسبة المئوية للدهن زائدة (P < 0.01) في لحم الحملان في المجموعة (أ)، بينما الفرق في

النسبة المئوية للرطوبة والبروتين لم يكن معنوياً على الرغم من زيادتهما في لحم

الحملان في المجموعة (ب) . سجلت الحملان في المجموعة (ب) نسبة بروتين - ساكروبلازمي و مايوفاييري- أعلى (P < 0.01) من المجموعة الأخرى .

لوحظ أن للكنان أثرُ معنوي على قابلية حفظ الماء و على الأس الهيدروجيني

الحم، حيث كان الأس الهيدروجيني للحم الحملان في المجموعة (ب) أعلى

من المجموعة (أ) ، بينما سجلت الأخيرة قابلية حفظ ماء أعلى (P < 0.01

. P < 0.01 من المجموعة الأخرى (P < 0.01