## **Table of contents:**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| الآيه  | i    |
| Dedication   | ii   |
| Acknowledgements   | iii  |
| Table of contents  | iv   |
| List Of Tables   | viii |
| List Of Figures  | ix   |
| Abstract   | X    |
| Introduction   | 1    |
| Justification  | 3    |
| Specific objectives.                                     | 4    |
| Chapter one Literature review                            | 5    |
| 1.1 Etiology   | 5    |
| 1.2 Classification and taxonomy of Babesia               | 6    |
| 1.2.1 Taxonomy of <i>Babesia</i>                         | 6    |
| 1.3 Mammals Species affected by bovid babesia            | 7    |
| 1.4 Epidemiology of bovine babesiosis                    | 7    |
| 1.5 Bovine babesiosis transmission                       | 9    |
| 1.6 Babesia life cycle stages                            | 9    |
| 1.7 Economic impact of babesiosis                        | 11   |
| 1.8 Pathogenesis and clinical signs of bovine babesiosis | 12   |
| 1.9 Gross pathology in bovine babesiosis                 | 13   |
| 1.10 Geographical distribution of bovine babesiosis      | 14   |

## **Table of contents:**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.11 Babesiosis in other animals                    | 14   |
| 1.11.1 Sheep and goat babesiosis                    | 14   |
| 1.11.2 Canine Babesiosis                            | 15   |
| 1.11.3 Equine Babesiosis                            | 16   |
| 1.12 Human Babesiosis                               | 17   |
| 1.13 Diagnosis of Bovine Babesiosis                 | 19   |
| 1.13.1 Clinical Findings                            | 19   |
| 1.13.2 Direct microscopic examination               | 20   |
| 1.13.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays       | 21   |
| 1.13.4 Serological tests                            | 21   |
| 1.13.5 Histopathology                               | 22   |
| 1.14 Babesiosis treatment                           | 23   |
| 1.15 Control of Babesiosis                          | 24   |
| 1.16 Prevalence of babesiosis from previous studies | 26   |
| Chapter Two Materials and Methods                   | 35   |
| 2.1 Study area                                      | 35   |
| 2.1.1 Topography                                    | 36   |
| 2.1.2 Climate                                       | 36   |
| 2.2 Type of study                                   | 38   |

| 2.3 Sampling methods   | 38   |
|--|------|
| Table of contents:   |      |
|  | Page |
| 2.4 Sample size  | 39   |
| 2.5 Blood samples  | 39   |
| 2.6 Microscopic blood examination                                    | 41   |
| 2.7 Indirect fluorescence immunoassay (IFA)                          | 42   |
| 2.8 Questionnaire  | 44   |
| 2.9 Tick collection.   | 44   |
| 2.10 Statistical analyses.  Chapter three Results.                   |      |
| 3.1. Distribution of total results                                   | 46   |
| 3.2. Prevalence of bovine babesiosis in relationship to risk factors | 47   |
| 3.2.1. Cattle age group  | 47   |
| 3.2.2 Cattle gander sex.   | 48   |
| 3.2.3 Cattle breed.  | 48   |
| 3.2.4 Cattle Body condition score                                    | 48   |
| 3.2.5 Tick counts  | 49   |
| 3.2.6 Previous history of disease                                    | 49   |
| 3.2.7 Source of animal   | 50   |
| 3.2.8 Grazing system.  | 50   |
| 3.2.9 Herd size.   | 50   |
| 3.2.10. Ticks problem.   | 51   |

| 3.2.11 Ticks treatment frequency | 51   |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Table of contents:               |      |
|                                  | Page |
| 3.2.12 Other animals on farm.    | 51   |
| 3.2.13 Vegetation                | 52   |
| 3.2.14 Localities                | 52   |
| 3.2.15 Treatment of disease      | 52   |
| 3.2.16 Milk production           | 52   |
| 3.3 Multivariate analysis.       | 53   |
| 3.4 Ticks.                       | 67   |
| Chapter four Discussion.         | 68   |
| Conclusions.                     | 77   |
| Recommendations                  | 78   |
| References                       | 80   |
| Appendix I                       | 97   |
| Appendix II                      | 102  |
| Appendix III.                    | 110  |
| Appendix IV.                     | 115  |

## **List of Tables**

| Page  |
|---|
| Table 3.1: Distribution of babesia species on defferent administrative units and sub- |
| units from East Darfur State46  |
| Table 3.2.1: Summary of frequency for the distribution of 300 cattle examined for     |
| babesiosis in East Darfur State according to potential risk factors54                 |
| Table 3.2.2: Cross tabulation for the prevalence of bovine babesiosis and potential   |
| risk factors in 300 cattle examined by microscope in East Darfur State57              |
| Table 3.2.3: Summary of univariate analysis for potential risk factors of bovine      |
| babesiosis in 300 cattle examined in East Darfur state using the Chi- square test60   |
| Table 3.2.4. : Multivariate analysis of bovine babesiosis and poten- tial risk        |
| factors in 300 cattle examined in East Darfur State64                                 |
| Table 3.2.5: Final Multivariate analysis of bovine babesiosis and potential           |
| riskfactors in 300 cattle examined in East Darfur State                               |
| Table 3.4.1 Proportion of different tick species collected from cattle herds in East  |
| Darfur State67  |
|   |

# List of figures

|  | Page     |
|--|----------|
| Figure 2.1.1: East Darfur State- map.                                    | 37       |
| Figure 2.5.1: collection of blood in blood sampletube with (EDTA)        | 40       |
| Figure 2.5.2: Disposable syringe putted italic, Serum in epindorff tubes | 40       |
| Figure 2.6.1: <i>B. bigemina</i>   | 41       |
| Figure 2.7.1: Immunofluorescence reactivity of <i>B. bovis</i> infected  | d bovine |
| erythrocytes with antisera against B.bovise                              | 43       |

# Dedication

This work is dedicated to my beloved mother, father, brothers and sisters and to my friends and teachers

## **Acknowledgements:**

Firstly, pries to almighty Allah for giving me the strength and stamina to finish this work.

There are so many people who I would like to thank for giving me the opportunity to do this survey, for making my dream come true. I hope I won't forget any of them here.

Having said this, I would like to thank my supervisor professor Abdelhamid Ahmed Mohamed Elfadil for his advise, direction, dedication and continuous interest and constructive criticism in reviewing the dissertation. Also I thank my co-advisor Dr.Magdi Badawi for his big role in the laboratory analysis from whom I learned a lot from his advises and comments regarding my work.

My thanks may extend to all employees of the Ministry of Animal Resources of East Darfur State (El Daeine) and Veterinary Hospital staff for assistance during the survey.

Special thanks to my brother Yasser Bakhit for financial and moral support. There are also some people who have a role in the success of this work and of course I'm very grateful to them, Dr.Mahmod Senine, Dr.Elamir Jaafar Saad, Dr.Yasser Yosif Shoayb, Dr,Ammr Abdalrahmane, Dr.khalifa Bakhit. Dr.Mohsin Mohammed, Dr. Mubarak Ahmed.

I thank all the farmers and owners in the field who have co-operated in this survey, without whose help I could not have taken all the samples.

#### **Abstract:**

A cross-sectional study was conducted during period which extended from April to June 2011, to determine the prevalence of *Babesia* species in cattle and to identify risk factors for babesiosis infection in cattle in five localities of Eas Darfur State. A total of 300 cattle in 27 herds were included in the study. Samples were randomly collected from selected animals, indigenous (Baggara) and cross breed cattle of both sexes. A percentage of 10 % of the cattle were positive for Babesia bigemina by microscopic examination of the Giemsa stained blood smears, while 8 % were sero-positive for *Babesia bovise* by indirect fluorescent antibody test. The overall prevalence was 18%. In univariate analysis the Chi-square results showed that there is significant association (p-value < 0.25) between various risk factors and disease .The prevalence of bovine babesiosis infection according to age of cattle was 5.7% in animals less than 2 years, 17.0% in animals from 2 to 4 years and 26.3% in animals more than 4 years (p-value 0.002). The prevalence of bovine babesiosis infection according to breed of animals was 18.9% in indigenous Baggara breed which is higher than 5.3% in cross breed (p-value = 0.135). As for body condition the prevalence was 3.4% in good body condition and 19.6% in poor body condition (p-value=0.032). Our study showed that a significant association was observed between the infection and ticks count on the animals: (p - value = 0.001). Regarding previous history of disease, the prevalence of babesiosis infection was 31.2% in previously affected animals and 16.4% in not previously affected animals (p - value = 0.039). Considering grazing system, the prevalence was 9.0% in nomadic system, 14.8% in stationary system and 23.7% in semi nomadic system (p - value = .009). According to the geographical areas the prevalence was 27.0% in Assalaya, El Deain 22.4%, Abu Jabra 22.0%, El Fardous

18.9% and Bahr Elarab 9.0% (p-value = 0.059). The multivariate analysis of presumed risk factors revealed age of the animals as the major risk factor associated with positivity of disease (p-value = 0.006). The current study also illustrated that ticks count on the animals was significantly associated with babesiosis in cattle (p-value = 0.013). Grazing system was significantly associated with a lower risk of infection with *Babesia species* in cattle (p-value=0.047) than that associated with age and ticks count. Seven tick species were found on cattle in the study area belong to the genera *Amblyomma*, *Boophilus*, *Rhipicephalus* and *Hyalomma*.

## ملخص البحث

أجريت دراسة مقطعية خلال الفترة التي امتدت من إبريل الي يونيو ٢٠١١ ، لتحديد مدي انتشار انواع البابيزيا في الأبقار وتحديد عوامل الخطر المرتبطة بعدوى بابيزيا الأبقار في خمس مناطق من ولاية شرق دارفور. وقد أدرجت في الدراسة مجموعة ٣٠٠ بقرة من ٢٧ قطيع . تم جمع عينات عشوائيه من الحيوانات المحددة ، واخذت عينات من الأبقار المحليه (البقارة) والأبقار الهجين من كلا الجنسين . كانت نسبة ١٠% من الأبقار إيجابية للتوأمية البابيزيه بواسطة الفحص المجهري لمسحات الدم المصبوغة بالجيمسا بينما ٨% إيجابية مصلية للبابيزيا البقريه بواسطة إختبار التألق المناعي الغير مباشر للأجسام المناعيه، نسبة الإنتشار العام للمرض ١٨%. في التحليل وحيد المتغير أظهرت نتائج إختبار مربع كاي أن هناك علاقة وثيقه (-p value < 0.25) بين مختلف عوامل الخطر والمرض ، وإنتشار عدوى داء البابيزيا في الأبقار وفقا للعمر ٧,٥% في الحيوانات اقل من ٢ سنه ،١٧,٠٠% في الحيوانات من ٢ الي ٤ سنوات ، و٢٦,٣% في الحيوانات أكبر من ٤ سنوات ( p-(value=0.002. وكان معدل إنتشار عدوى داء البابيزيا البقري وفقا لسلالة الحيوانات ١٨,٩ % في سلالة أبقار البقارة المحلية وهي نسبة أعلى من السلاله الهجين ٣٠٥% (-p-) value = 0.135). أما بالنسبة لحالة الجسم كان معدل الإنتشار 7,7% في حالة الجسم الجيد و 7,7% في حالة الجسم الهزيل (p-value=0.032) . وأظهرت دراستنا وجود علاقة ذات دلالة إحصائية بين العدوى وعدد القراد على الحيوانات: (p-value = ٠,٠٠١). النظر في نظام الرعي ، كان الإنتشار ٩,٠% في نظام البدو الرحل، ١٤,٨ % في النظام الثابت و ٢٣,٧ % في النظام شبه الرحل (p-value= ٠,٠٠٩ ). وفقا للمناطق الجغرافيه كان الإنتشار ٢٧,٠% في عسلايه ، الضعين ٢٢,٤% ، أبو جابره ٢٢,٠% ، الفردوس p-value = ۰,۰٥٩) % ۹,۰ وبحر العرب ٩,٠٠).

كشف التحليل متعدد المتغيرات عوامل الخطر المفترضة وعمر الحيوانات من العوامل الرئيسية المرتبطة بإيجابية المرض (p-value = 0.006). كذلك الدراسة الحالية توضح أيضا أن أعداد القراد على الحيوانات بإيجابية المرض (p-value = 0.006). نظام الرعي أرتبط معنويا مع إنخفاض لها إرتباط معنوي مع داءالبابيزيا في الماشية (p-value=0.013). نظام الرعي أرتبط معنويا مع إنخفاض خطر الإصابه مع أنواع البابيزيا في الأبقار (p-value= , , , ٤٧). تم العثور علي سبعة أنواع من القراد علي الماشية في منطقة الدراسة تنتمي إلي أجناس Rhipicephalu Boophilus ، Amblyomma و Hyalomma.