Acknowledgments

I thank God who helped me in completing this work and gave me a chance to be a researcher and I wish success in future studies. I am grateful to Professor Abdelhamid Ahmed Mohamed Elfadil who fully supervise the study and provided all the guidance and advice necessary for completed the work in its present form, I am also grateful to co-adviser Dr. Osman Mukhtar Osman. Many thanks and appreciation to the staff of the Ministry of Animal Resource, White Nile State, Veterinary Research Laboratory, Rabak and Veterinary Research Institute, Soba. Finally, my sincere gratitude to those who made significant contributions.

Dedication

To:

My parent
My brothers and sisters,
My colleagues and
friends and all those who
were in touch during this
work.

Motasem

Table of Contents

No.	Subject	Page
	Dedication	I
	Acknowledgement	II
	Table of contents	III
	List of tables	VI
	List of figures	VII
	Abstract	VIII
	ملخص البحث	IX
	Introduction	
	Introduction	1
	Back ground	1
	Synonyms	2
	History	2
	life cycle	3
	Justification	5
	Objectives	6
	Literature Review Chapter One	7
	Literature Review	7
1.1	Classification	7

1.2	Etiology	8
1.3	Description of paramphistoma warm	10
1.4	clinical signs	10
1.5	Diagnosis	11
1.6	Postmortem	12
1.7	Treatment	13
1.8	prevention and Control	13
1.9	Epidemiology	14
1.9.1	Geographic distribution	15
1.9.2	Previous Studies	15
	Chapter Two	30
	Materials and Methods	30
2.1	Study area	30
2.2	Study Design	31
2.3	Sample Size	31
2.4	Individual risk factors	32
2.5	Management risk factors	32
2.6	Animals and sample collection	32
	Survey of paramphistomiasis in slaughter house	32
2.7	Diagnostic techniques	33
2.7.1	Fecal Examination	33
2.7.2	Serological examinations	34
2.7.2.1	Collection and preparation of sera	34
2.7.2.2	Excretory and Secretory (E/S) products Antigen	35
2.7.2.3	Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA)	35

	Procedure of Indirect ELISA	35
2.8	Analysis of the results	36
	Chapter Three	38
3.1	Results	38
3.2	Sex of animals	39
3.3	Age of animals	39
3.4	Breed	40
3.5	Body condition	40
3.6	Grazing type	40
3.7	Source of animals	41
3.8	Water source	41
3.9	Presence of snails	42
3.10	Presence of water bodies	42
3.11	Vegetation	43
3.12	Knowledge about disease	43
3.13	Manure disposal	44
3.14	Fasciolaiasis	44
3.15	Schistosomaiasis	45
3.16	Other diseases	45
	Descriptive statistical analysis frequency tables, cross	
3.2	tabulation and association tables between the	58
	paramphistomiasis (diagnosed by ELISA) and risk factors	
3.2.1	Results	58
3.2.2	Sex of animals	58

3.2.4 Breed 59 3.2.5 Body condition 60 3.2.6 Grazing type 60 3.2.7 Source of animals 60 3.2.8 Water source 61 3.2.9 Presence of water bodies 61 3.2.10 Presence of water bodies 61 3.2.11 Vegetation 62 3.2.12 Knowledge about disease 62 3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97 Appendix 3 106	3.2.3	Age of animals	59
3.2.6 Grazing type 60	3.2.4	Breed	59
3.2.7 Source of animals 60	3.2.5	Body condition	60
3.2.8 Water source 61 3.2.9 Presence of snails 61 3.2.10 Presence of water bodies 61 3.2.11 Vegetation 62 3.2.12 Knowledge about disease 62 3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.6	Grazing type	60
3.2.9 Presence of snails 61	3.2.7	Source of animals	60
3.2.10 Presence of water bodies 61 3.2.11 Vegetation 62 3.2.12 Knowledge about disease 62 3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.8	Water source	61
3.2.11 Vegetation 62 3.2.12 Knowledge about disease 62 3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.9	Presence of snails	61
3.2.12 Knowledge about disease 62 3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.10	Presence of water bodies	61
3.2.13 Manure disposal 62 3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.11	Vegetation	62
3.2.14 Fasciolaiasis 63 3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.12	Knowledge about disease	62
3.2.15 Schistosomaiasis 63 3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.13	Manure disposal	62
3.2.16 Other diseases 63 Chapter Four 72 Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.14	Fasciolaiasis	63
Chapter Four Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.15	Schistosomaiasis	63
Discussion 72 Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97	3.2.16	Other diseases	63
Conclusion 77 Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97		Chapter Four	72
Recommendations 78 Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97		Discussion	72
Reference 79 Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97		Conclusion	77
Appendix 1 89 Appendix 2 97		Recommendations	78
Appendix 2 97		Reference	79
		Appendix 1	89
Appendix 3 106		Appendix 2	97
		Appendix 3	106
Appendix 4 112		Appendix 4	112
Appendix 5 120		Appendix 5	120

List of Tables

Table No.	Contents	Page
Table 3.1.1	Distribution of paramphistomiasis infection among	38
	156 cattle examined by fecal sedimentation test in	
	Rabak slaughterhouse	
Table 3.1.2	Summary of frequency distribution of 156 cattle	47
	from Rabak slaughterhouse examined for	
	paramphistomiasis by fecal sedimentation test	
	according to potential risk factors	
Table 3.1.3	Summary of cross tabulation for the rate of	50
	paramphistomiasis in each category of the	
	potential risk factors in 156 cattle from Rabak	
	slaughterhouse examined by fecal sedimentstion	
	test	
Table 3.1.4	Summary univariate analysis for The association	53
	between paramphistomiasis and potential risk	
	factors in 156 cattle examined at Rabak	
	slaughterhouse by fecal sedimentation test using	
	the Chi_square test	
Table 3.1.5	multivariate analysis for The association between	56
	paramphistomiasis and potential risk factors in 156	
	cattle examined at Rabak slaughterhouse by fecal	
	sedimentation test	

List of table Continued:

Table No.	Contents	Page
Table 3.2.1	Distribution of paramphistomiasis infection among	58
	156 cattle examined by ELISA test in Rabak	
	slaughter	
Table 3.2.2	Summary of cross tabulation for the rate of	65
	paramphistomiasis in each category of the	
	potential risk factors in 156 cattle from Rabak	
	slaughterhouse examined by ELISA teest	
Table 3.2.3	Summary univariate analysis for the association	68
	between paramphistomiasis and potential risk	
	factors in 156 cattle examined at Rabak	
	slaughterhouse by ELISA test using the	
	Chi_square test	
Table 3.2.4	Multivariate analysis for The association between	71
	paramphistomiasis and potential risk factors in 156	
	cattle examined at Rabak slaughterhouse by	
	ELISA test	

List of figures

Figure No.	Contents	Page
Figure 1	Planorbid snails, the intermediate host for stomach	4

	fluke	
Figure 2	Life cycle of paramphistomum	5
Figure 3	Mature Paramphistomum sp	9
Figure 4	Calf scouring due to stomach fluke infection. Note	11
	emaciated condition of animal	
Figure 5	Satallite picture for the study area, A: GeziraAbba,	30
	B:Asalaia Suger company, C: Rabak Town, D: Kenana	
	Suger company, E: Kosti town	
Figure 6	Eggs of Paramphistomum cervi (P) and Fasciola	34
	Hepatica (F)	

Abstract

A cross-sectional study was carried out on 156 cattle in Rabak, White Nile State, Sudan, during the period from marsh to June 2013. The objectives were to estimate the prevalence of paramphistomiasis in cattle and to investigate potential risk factors associated with the disease. The overall prevalence of cattle paramphistomiasis in White Nile state (Rabak) was found to be 29.5% when tested by fecal sedimentation test and 53.2 %

when tested by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) test.

In the current study, univariate analysis using the Chi- square, with a confidence interval of 95% at a p-value of ≤ 0.25 was used potential risk factors associated identify with sedimentation test-positivity for paramphistomiasis infection in cattle. Significant risk factors associated with fecal sedimentation positive in the univariate analysis were found to be breed (X2 =4.437, p = 0.035), body condition (X2 = 6.918, p = 0.009), grazing type (X2 = 6.367, p = 0.012), snail presence (X2 = 10.6, p = 0.001), water bodies (X2 = 2.934, p = 0.231), knowledge of owner about disease (X2 = 1.656, p = 0.198), manure disposal (X2 = 3.508, p = 0.06), and other disease (X2 = 4.468, p =0.035). Significant risk factors associated with being ELISA positive in the univariate analysis were found to be sex (X2 =2.725, p = 0.112), water source(X2 = 5.166, p = 0.076), vegetation(X2 = .428, p = 0.064), manure disposal (X2 = .428) 4.646, p = 0.031), shitiosoma (X2 = 1.782, p = 0.182), and Other diseases (X2 = 2.311, p = 0.128).

The multivariate analysis, using logistic regression, with a confidence interval of 95% and a p- value of \leq 0.05 was used to assess the association between identified significant risk factors in the univariate analysis in combination towards a positive fecal sedimentation test status for paramphistomiasis in cattle. The analysis showed an association between being fecal sedimentation test positive status for paramphistomiasis infection

in cattle and breed(Exp (B) = .565, p = 0.035), body condition (Exp (B) = .1.5, p = 0.009),), grazing type (Exp (B) = 2.07, p = 0.012), snail presence (Exp (B) = .092, p = 0.001), and other disease (Exp (B) = 2.17, p = 0.035), For ELISA, the analysis showed an association between being ELISA positive status for paramphistomiasis infection in cattle and cattle drink from river (Exp (B) = .1.365, p = 0.023),, and manure disposal. (Exp (B) = .477 , p = 0.031).

ملخص الدراسة

أجريت دراسة مقطعية علي 156 راس من الابقار في ولاية النيل الابيض (ربك), خلال الفترة التي امتمت من مارس الي يونيو 2013 والهف منها هو تقدير معمل انتشار موض دودة الكوش في الابقار والتحقق من عوامل الخطر المرتبطة بانتشار موض دودة الكرش وكانت نسبة المرض 29% اختبرت باختبار ترسيب البراز. و 53 % اختبرت باختبار المقايسة المناعية بالانزيم . المرتبط

بلستخدام مربع كلي للتحليل وحيد المتغير حيث كانت العوامل هي: السلالة حالة الهمة المرتبطة بالموض باختبار ترسيب البراز في التحليل وحيد المتغير حيث كانت العوامل هي: السلالة حالة الجسم نوع المرعي مصدر ماء الشرب وجود قواقع وجود البرك معرفة الماك بالموض باختبار الزالة الروث والصابة بالامراض الاخري كما تم العثور علي عوامل الخطر الايجابية الهامة المرتبطة بالموض باختبار المقايسة المناعية بالانزيم المرتبط حيثكانت لعوامل هي: الجنس مصدر ماء الشرب الحشائش الزالة الروث موض الشتيوسوما لصابة بالامراض اخري باستخدام تحليل الانحدار 0.05≥ p- value of اظهر وجود ارتباط ايجابي باستخدام تحليل الانحدار 50.05 السلالة وحالة الجسم وحالة الجسم ونوع المرعي () ولمائة بامراض المؤسل الموجود القواقع () ولمائة بامراض اخري () كما اظهر التحليل وجود ارتباط بين مرض دودة الكرش و مصدر مياه الشرب خاصة الشرب من النهر () كما اظهر التحليل وجود ارتباط بين مرض دودة الكرش و مصدر مياه الشرب خاصة الشرب من النهر

() وازالة الروث