DEDICATION

To my teachers in all fields throughout my life

With great

Appreciation

I dedicate this work

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Professor Ahmed Ali Ismail and Professor Ali Mohamed Abdelmagid for their intensive advises, encouragement and continuous support during this study which might have not been completed without their supervision.

Iam also indepted to Dr. Ahmed Hussein A/Rahman, Head Department of Tsetse and Trypanosomosis in the Central Veterinary Research Laboratories (CVRL) for his continuous follow up during this work. I would also like to thank those helpful men, Ismail and Mohamed Eltayeb from the same department in the CVRL.

My deep appreciation to Professor A/Raheem Gameel, Head Department of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum for his un-limited technical advice in the clinical-pathology and histopathology part of this study.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my teachers and colleagues in the University of Bahr-Elgazal (UBG) and the University of Nyala for their continuous follow up and encouragement during this work. Special thank to Dr. Gusai Hussein A/Samad for his valuable help in the part of blood chemistry evaluation technique in this work.

I greatly appreciate the frequent assistance afforded by the staff members and technicians of the department of Veterinary medicine, College of Veterinary medicine and Animal production, Sudan University of Science and Technology (CVMA/SUST). Hereafter a great thanks to Professor M. M. Mohamed Ahmed for reading the manuscript and Dr. Mohamed Tag-Eldin for his assistance in the statistical analysis.

The deeper more and more thanks belong to my family and all friends for their patience and help. Special thanks and appreciation to my wife and children who accompanied me through good and bad times.

ABSTRACT

The present study was designed to investigate the susceptibility of rats and donkeys to different stocks of *Trypanosoma evansi* infection in the Sudan. Two geographically different stocks of *T.evansi* were isolated from the two selected Eastern and Western camel zones of the country.

Both of these strains were found to be highly pathogenic with 100% fatality rate for both rats (10 individuals) and donkeys (4 head). However, parasitological, pathological, haematological as well as clinical differences between the two geographically different stocks were reported in this study.

Based on wet preparation diagnostic technique, parasitaemia was detectable in the blood of both kinds of infected animals within 4 days post inoculation. The course of the infection was quite variable as regards to species. It was 22 to 93 days in rats and 55 to 153 days in donkeys.

In addition to the statistically significant (p< 0.05) decrease in the red blood cells count (-38.1% to -69.2% or -58.7% to -75.5%), packed cell volume (-29.5% to -42.3% or -63%) and haemoglobin concentration (-38.5% upto -42.9%) of the infected rats or donkeys, one particular mechanism of anaemia known as erythrocyte osmotic fragility was also found to be progressively increased-particularly in the donkeys infected with *T.evansi* (Showak stock)-compared with the control group and the preinfection values. Moreover, some visual and microscopical blood disorders-such as erythrocyte granulation, rouleau formation and Methemoglobinaemia as well as lymphocytosis and excessive accumulation of fat granules were observed in these infected animals.

Fever (41°C) was observed at the onset of the disease in the infected donkeys. However, respiratory and heart rates were the most and continuously affected, fluctuating throughout the duration of the disease. This was clearly explained by the obvious gross pathological lesions found in these vital organs at necropsy (pigmentation and white spots in lung, tracheal collapse and white spots in heart).

It was concluded that both strains of *T.evansi* were highly pathogenic to rats and the donkeys. Thus the donkey may not only act as a reservoir when naturally infected with

T.evansi in the Sudan but it may die of the infection. In addition, the eastern strain (Showak-84) was more sever and more evident in the blood stream compared with the western one (Abu-Zabad-1), which was found to be hidden in the microvascular system of the tissue organs as well as other body fluids rather than blood.

ملخص

صمم هذا البحث لدراسة قابلية الحمير وفئران التجارب للاصابه التجريبيه بالطفيلي T.evansi المسبب لمرض الجفار في الإبل بالسودان. تم عزل عينه لهذا الطفيلي من جمل مصاب بمنطقة الشوك في شرق السودان و عينه أخرى من جمل آخر مصاب بمنطقة أبوزبد في غرب البلاد. أظهرت العينتان امراضيه عاليه في الحيوانات المحقونه بالطفيلي بمعدل وفاه 100% مع وجود فرق في بعض الخواص الطفيليه والمرضيه والتغيرات الدمويه بين المجموعات المحقونه بكل عينه على حده. وتموت الفئران المصابه خلال 1 إلى 3 شهور بعد الحقن بينما تموت الحمير المصابه خلال 2 إلى 5 شهور.

عند فحص مسحة دم سائله بسيطه فان فترة الحضانه لهذه الطفيليات تراوحت بين 2 إلى 4 أيام بعد حقن الحمير والفئران في الوريد أو الغشاء البريتوني على الترتيب أما عند فحص دم مركز بالطرد المركزي لأنابيب شعيريه فان فترة الحضانه لا تتجاوز 3 أيام بعد الحقن.

في الحمير تظهر الحمى $(41^{\circ}C)$ في بداية المرض فقط بينما يستمر معدل التنفس ومعدل النبض في التأثر بحيث ترتفع ثم تتخفض لتعود إلى الطبيعي في شكل أمواج متكرره حتى نهاية الدراسه.

تحدث الاصابه نقصان واضح في عدد كريات الدم الحمراء والنسبه المئويه لتراكم هذه الكريات الدم (PCV%) وتركيز الهيموغلوبين في الدم كما لوحظت زيادة واضحه في هشاشة كريات الدم الحمراء. كل هذا من دلالات فقر الدم في الحيوانات المصابه. أضف إلى ذلك تغيرات مجهريه وعيانيه في دم الحيوانات المصابه منها تراص كريات الدم الحمراء على شكل عمله حديديه مرصوصه (rouleau formation) وتحبب هذه الكريات (erythrocyte granulation) وتحبب هذه الكريات (Methemoglobinaemia) أحيانا بالاضافه إلى زيادة تواجد حبيبات الدهن في الدم.

هذا وقد خلصت هذه الدراسه إلى أن عينتي الطفيل المستخدم في هذا البحث ممرضه جدا وقاتله للحمير والفئران وعليه فان الحمير قد لا تكون مجرد خازن للاصابه الطبيعيه بطفيلي T.evansi للحمير والفئران وعليه فان الحمير قد لا تكون مجرد خازن للاصابه الطبيعيه بطفيلي بل قد تكون الاصابه قاتله مما يلعب دور كبير في وبائية مرض الجفار خاصة وأن الحمير غالبا ما ترافق مرحات الإبل في السودان. كما وأن العتره الشرقيه (Showak-84) أكثر ضراوة وتواجدا في الدم المحيطي مقارنة بالعتره الغربيه (Abu-Zabad-1) التي تتواجد بكثافه داخل الأنسجه العضويه وغيرها من سوائل الجسم المختلفه.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Dedication	I
Acknowledgement	II
Abstract	III
Arabic abstract	\mathbf{V}
List of contents	VI
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	XI
List of Plates	XII
Chapter one: Introduction and objectives	
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. The objectives	3
1.2.1. Over all objectives	3
1.2.2. Specific objectives	3
Chapter two: Literature review	
2.1. The history of <i>Trypanosomes</i>	5
2.2. Definition	5
2.3. Classification	6
2.4. Morphology, life cycle and biology of <i>Trypanosomes</i>	10
2.4.1. Morphology	10
2.4.2. Motility	10
2.4.3. Life cycle and multiplication	10
2.4.4. Biology	11
2.5. Transmission	11
2.5.1. Method of transmission	13
2.5.1.1. Cyclical or biological transmission	13
2.5.1.2. Mechanical transmission	13
2.5.1.2.1. Tabanids in Sudan	14
2.5.1.3. Other methods of transmission	14
2.5.1.3.1. Vampire bats (<i>Desmodes rotundus</i>)	14
2.5.1.3.2. Coitus	15
2.5.1.3.3. Ingestion	15
2.5.1.3.4. Transplacental transmission	15
2.5.1.3.5. Iatrogenic means	15
2.5.1.3.6. Ticks	15
2.6. Pathogenesis	16
2.6.1. Clinical signs	17
2.6.2. Postmortem signs	17
2.6.3. The haematological features of trypanosomosis	17
2.6.4. Trypanosomosis of Laboratory animals	18

2.6.5. Equine trypanosomes	19
2.6.5.1. Dourine	20
2.6.5.2. Mal de caderas	21
2.6.5.3. Surra	21
2.7. Trypanosoma evansi	23
2.7.1. Origin	23
2.7.2. Distribution	24
2.7.3. Hosts	24
2.7.4. Morphology of <i>T.evansi</i>	25
2.7.5. Transmission of <i>T.evansi</i>	26
2.7.6. Pathogenicity of <i>T.evansi</i>	27
2.7.6.1. Blood chemistry	28
2.8. Diagnosis of trypanosomosis	29
2.8.1. Identification of the agent	29
2.8.1.1. Direct examination techniques	29
2.8.1.2. Concentration techniques	30
2.8.1.3. Biological techniques	31
2.8.1.4. Detection of trypanosomal antigen	31
2.8.1.5. Detection of trypanosomal DNA	31
2.8.2. Serological tests	31
2.8.3. Biochemical tests	32
2.8.3.1. Formal-gel test	32
2.8.3.2. Mercuric chloride test	32
2.9. Diagnosis of <i>T.evansi</i>	33
2.10. Control	35
2.10.1. Control of <i>T.evansi</i>	35
2.10.1.1. Chemotherapy	35
2.10.1.2. Chemoprophylaxis	36
Chantan Thuasa Matarial and mathada	
Chapter Three: Material and methods 3.1. Experimental animals	38
3.1.a. <i>Rats</i>	38
3.1.b. The donkeys (asses)	40
3.1.b.1. Preinfection screening of the experimental donkeys	40
3.1.b.1.1. Blood parasite	40
3.1.b.1.2. Gastro-intestinal parasite	40
3.1.b.1.3. Others diseases	40
3.1.b.2. Pre-nfection treatment	40
3.2. Blood sampling	41
3.2.a. In rats	41
3.2.b. In donkeys	43
3.3. The preinfection data to be collected	43
3.3.a. In rats	43

3.3.b. In donkeys	43
3.3.b.1. Clinical parameters	43
3.3.b.2. Parasitological examination	43
3.3.b.3. Blood haemograme	43
3.3.b.3.1. Packed red Cells Volume (PCV%)	43
3.3.b.3.2. Haemoglobin concentration (Hb)	44
3.3.b.3.3. Blood cells count	44
3.3.b.3.4. Erythrocyte indices	45
3.3.b.3.5. Erythrocyte Fragility	45
3.5. The parasite (Trypanosoma evansi)	48
3.5.1. The survey	48
3.5.1.1. Showak-84 stock	48
3.5.1.2. Abu-Zabad-1 stock	50
3.5.1.3. Parasite species confirmation	52
3.6.2. The experimental infection	54
3.6.2.a. Infection of rats	54
3.6.2.b. Infection of the donkeys	54
3.6.3. Parameters to be assessed	54
3.6.3.a. rats	54
3.6.3.a.1. Clinical signs	54
3.6.3.a.2. Parasitaemia	54
3.6.3.a.2.1. Parasite detection	54
3.6.3.a.2.2. Parasite count	55 55
3.6.3.a.2.2.1. The wet film parasite count method	55 57
3.6.3.a.2.2.2. Parasite count in haemocytometer	57 57
3.6.3.a.3. Necropsy	57 59
3.6.3.a.4. Stabilate preservation	58 58
3.6.3.b. donkeys	58
3.6.3.b.1. Clinical signs 3.6.3.b.2. Parasitaemia	58
3.6.3.b.3. Postmortem (Necropsy)	59
3.7. Statistical analysis	59 59
3.7. Statistical analysis	3)
Chapter four: Results	
4.1. The Preinfection data	60
4.1.1. The results of the preliminary screening of the	
experimental animals	60
4.1.1.a. Rats	60
4.1.1.b. The donkeys	60
4.1.2. Treatment response	60
4.1.3. Haematological and some clinical values and	60
parameters 4.1.4. Mean erythrocyte osmotic fragility of the preinfected	OU
experimental and control donkeys	62
experimental and control dollkeys	02

4.2. Postinfection results	62	
4.2.a. Results of rats	62	
4.2.a.1. Clinical signs of the infected rats		
4.2.a.2. Parasitaemia development in the infected rats		
4.2.a.3. The haematological changes of the infected rats		
4.2.a.3.1. Some microscopical and visual abnormalities		
of the tail blood of the infected rats	66	
4.2.a.3.2. Packed cell volume (<i>PCV</i> %)	69	
4.2.a.3.3. Red blood cells (<i>RBCs</i>) count of the infected rats	71	
4.2.a.3.4. White Blood Cells (WBCs) counts of the infected rats	71	
4.2.a.4. The survival period of the infected rats	75	
4.2.a.5. Posmortem findings of the infected rats	77	
4.2.a.6. Impression smears	77	
4.2.b. Results of the donkey	78	
4.2.b.1. Clinical signs of the infected donkeys	78	
4.2.b.1.1. Changes in some clinical parameters of the		
infected donkeys	78	
4.2.b.1.2. General body condition	78	
4.2.b.2. Parasitaemia development in the infected donkeys	85	
4.2.b.3. The haematological changes of the infected donkeys	87	
4.2.b.3.1. Some microscopical and visual abnormalities of		
the blood of the infected donkeys	87	
4.2.b.3.2. Packed cell volume (<i>PCV</i> %)	91	
4.2.b.3.3. Haemoglobin concentration of the infected		
donkeys	94	
4.2.b.3.4. Red blood cells (<i>RBCs</i>) count of the infected		
donkeys	97	
4.2.b.3.5. White Blood Cells (WBCs) of the infected		
donkeys	97	
4.2.b.3.6. The erythrocyte osmotic fragility (<i>EOFT</i>)	99	
4.2.b.4. The survival period of the infected donkeys	102	
4.2.b.5. Postmortem findings of the infected donkeys	102	
4.2.b.5.1. Impression smears	106	
Discussion	107	
Conclusion	112	
Recommendations	113	
References	114	

LIST OF TABLES

3.1. Ration ingredient of the experimental rats	39
3.2. The serial dilution and concentrations of 1% NaCl	
in Distilled Water	46
3.3. Parasitaemia estimation	56
3.4. Trypanosomes counting stain	57
4.a.1. Some haematological values of non-infected rats	
(mean of 5 rats each)	61
4.b.1. The preinfection data of the donkeys that infected with	
Showak stock <i>T.evansi</i>	61
4.b.2. The preinfection data of the donkeys that infected with	
Abu-Zabad stock <i>T.evansi</i>	61
4.b.3. Some preinfection clinical parameters of the experimental	
donkeys (mean of four animals)	61
4.b. Some haematological and physiological values of normal adult of	lonkey
based on Rushton, (1979).	•
614.a.2. RBCs counts in rats infected with either Showak or Abu-Zaba	ad
T.evansi stocks.	73
4.a.3. WBCs counts in rats infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad	l
T.evansi stocks	73
4.a.4. Time to death of individual rats infected with either Showak or	
Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	76
4.b.4. PCV % in donkeys infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad	
T.evansi stocks.	92
4.b.5. Multiple comparisons of PCV% in donkeys infected with eithe	r
Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	92
4.b.6. Hb. Content in donkeys infected with either Showak or Abu-Za	bad
T.evansi stocks.	95
4.b.7 Multiple comparisons of Hb content in donkeys infected with	
either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	95

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1. Classification of trypanosomes	9
1.2. Classification of Vectors	12
1.3. The phylogenetic of <i>T.evansi</i>	23
3.1. The history of the Eastern Sudan area parasite stock	49
3.2. The history of the Western Sudan area parasite stock	51
4.a.1.1. Mean parasitaemia levels in rat infected with Showak or Abu-Zab	oad
T.evansi stocks.	64
4.a.1.2. Parasitaemia levels of rats infected with Showak stock.	65
4.a.1.3. Parasitaemia levels of rats infected with Abu-Zabad stock	65
4. a.2.1 PCV % in rats infected with <i>T.evansi</i> .	70
4. a.2.1. Percent increase or decrease in RBC counts from pre.i.v. in rats	
infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	74
4.a.2.2. Percent increase or decrease in WBC counts from pre.i.v. in rats	
infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	74
4.b.1.1. Changes in the clinical parameters in the infected donkeys with st	ocks
of T.evansi.	80
4.b.1.2. Mean % increase or decrease in clinical parameters from the pre.i	.v. of
infected donkeys.	81
4.b.2.1. Mean parasitaemia levels in donkeys infected with either Showak	or
Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	86
4.b.2.2. Comparison of mean parasitaemia levels in donkeys and rats	
infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	86
4.b.3.1. PCV% in donkeys infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad	
T.evansi stocks.	93
4.b.3.2. Mean Hb content in donkeys infected with either Showak or	
Abu-Zabad T.evansi stocks.	96
4.b.3.3. Percent increase or decrease in RBC counts from pre.i.v. in donk	ceys
infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	98
4.b.3.4. Percent increase or decrease in WBC counts from pre.i.v. in donk	ceys
infected with either Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks.	98
4.b.4.1. Mean erythrocyte fragility in donkeys infected with Showak	
Stock.	100
4.b.4.2. Mean erythrocyte fragility in donkeys infected with Abu-Zabad	
Stock.	100
4.b.4.3. Erythrocyte osmotic fragility in donkeys infected with either	
Showak or Abu-Zabad <i>T.evansi</i> stocks during different weeks p.i.	
compared with the preinfection corpuscular fragility.	101

LIST OF PLATES

1.1. The socio-economic importance of the donkey	
In the Sudan	4
3.1. Rat-tail blood sampling	42
3.2. The serial <i>%NaCl</i> concentration for the erythrocyte	
fragility test of the blood infected with <i>T.evansi</i>	47
3.3. Thin Giemsa's stained blood film of infected rat with <i>T. evansi</i>	
Showing hyperparasitaemia	53
4.a.1 <i>T.evansi</i> infected blood of rat showing rouleaux	67
4.a.2. Erythrocyte aggregation in the infected blood showing	
agglutinated parasites (T.evansi) surrounding leukocytes	68
4.b.1.1. Two donkeys showing weakness and dullness due to <i>T.evansi</i> Infection	82
4.b.1.2. Excessive emaciation in addition to characteristic weakness of	
the hind quarter affecting the movement of an infected animal with	
T.evansi	83
4.b.1.3. A recumbent before death due to <i>T.evansi</i>	
(Abu-Zabad stock) infection	84
4.b.1.4. An infected donkey with <i>T.evansi</i> showing convulsions before	
death	84
4.b.2.1. Blood of donkeys showing rouleau formation due to	
T.evansi infection	88
4.b.2.2. Erythrocyte aggregation in the blood of infected donkey with	
T.evansi.	89
4.b.2.3. Buffy coat preparation of an infected blood of donkey with	
T.evansi revealing excessive accumulation of fat granules	90
4.b.3.1. An infected donkey with <i>T.evansi</i> showing spleenomegaly	
and tracheal collapse	104
4.b.3.2. Melanene infiltration in the lumen of the oesophagus at necropsy	
of an infected donkey with <i>T.evansi</i>	104
4.b.3.3. The liver of an infected donkey with <i>T.evansi</i> looked black	
due to excessive haemorrhage spots	105
4.b.3.4. Pigmented lung with atrophied epical loops, in addition to	
white spots in the heart of an infected donkey with <i>T.evansi</i>	105