Dedication

I gift the research and simple effort

Nancy

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to convey my deep thanks to my supervisor Dr. Abdelaziz karamalla for his great assistance and the presented effort which he gave me to light way to continue my success. My great thank to my family in Habeela village for helping me in data collection. All my thanks to Bakhat- Elruda University represented by Dr. Bashir Balla and Gasim omer for quire facilitations. Also my thank extend to Suleiman Elbagir who supported me in weighting the plant samples in National Tree Seed Center, Soba. Special thanks are extending to Dr. Gammereldien AbdelRahman and Dr. Hala AbdelMageed. Finally I don't forget my colleagues, who assisted me during the field work Mohammed, Yasir and Fadwa.

List of Contents

Title	Page
Dedication	I
Acknowledgment	II
List of contents	III
List of tables	V
List of figures	VII
Abstract	VIII
Abstract (Arabic)	X
CHAPTER ONE: Introduction	
1.1 General	1
1.2 The study area	2
1.2.1 Location	2 2 2 2
1.2.2 The climate	2
1.2.3 The vegetation	
1.2.4 Soil and water sources	4
1.3 Problem statement	4
1.4 Objectives	5
CHAPTER TOW: Literature Review	
2.1 Arid and semi arid areas	6
2.2 Range management in arid and semi arid areas	6
2.2.1 General	6
2.2.2 Rangelands	7
2.2.3 The systems of production and their constraints	8
2.2.3.1 The pastoral system	8
2.2.3.2 The agropastoral systems	9
2.3 Browse utilization by ruminants animals	10
2.4 Role of browse plants in the production systems	12
2.5 Indigenous knowledge and browsing	13
2.6 Techniques for browse assessment	14
2.7 Methods of determining the weight of browse	15
2.8 The measurement of shrub forage weight	16
2.9 Potential and limitations of the use of browse in ruminant	16
feeding	
2.10 Browse management considerations	18
2.11 Important browsing trees in semi arid areas	20
2.11.1 Acacia tortilis (Seyal)	20

2.11.2 Acacia seyal (Talih)	20
2.11.3 Acacia mellifera (Kitir)	21
2.11.4 Balanites aegyptiaca (Heglig)	21
2.11.5 Faidherbia albida (Haraz)	21
2.11.6 Ziziphus spina- christi (Sidir)	22
CHAPTER THREE: Materials and Methods	
3.1 General	23
3.2 Sampling procedure	23
3.3 Measurements	23
3.4 Vegetation measurements	23
3.5 Browsing measurements	25
3.6 Socio- economic aspect	26
3.7 Methods for data analysis	26
CHAPTER FOUR: Results and Discussions	
4.1 General	27
4.2 Browse assessment	27
4.2.1 Types of trees	27
4.2.2 Trees density	30
4.2.3 Available browse	34
4.3 Ground cover assessment	36
4.3.1 Frequency	36
4.3.2 Dominance	36
4.3.3 Cover percentage	38
4.3.4 Biomass production	38
4.4 The grazing browsing ratio	41
4.5 Browse utilization	43
4.5.1 Herding practices	43
4.5.2 Livestock raised	44
4.5.3 Graze and browse availability	46
4.5.4 Water sources	49
4.5.5 Social control	49
CHAPTER FIVE: Conclusions and Recommendations	
Conclusions	52
Recommendations	53
References	54
Appendix 1	64
Appendix 2	66
Appendix 3	68
Appendix 4	69

List of tables

No	Content	Page
1	Density of trees and natural regeneration.	33
2	Average density of trees and natural regeneration for	32
	Acacia tortilis, Ziziphus spina- Christi, A.seyal and	33
	Balanites aegyptiaca.	
3	Average available browse kg/ha for all sites	35
4	Average productivity of newly growing trees along the	35
	three sites.	
5	Percentages of plant frequency at the three sites.	37
6	Ranking of species according to frequency and	37
	composition	
7	Percentage of plant cover, bare soil and litter at the three	39
	sites.	
8	Average biomass of grazing productivity (ton/ha) at the	39
	three sites.	
9	Grazing browsing ratio	41
10	Dry season browsing areas among villagers	45
11	Herd structure among nomads and villagers	45
12	The percentages of the main animals used browse trees	45
13	Shortage of fodder percentage around the village by	48
4.4	settled people	
14	Current status of browse trees as assessed by nomads	48
	and villagers	
15	Percentages of respondents according to browning	48
	seasons	
16	Percentages of water sources used by nomads and settled	51
	during browsing period	
17	Percentages of the settled group according to distance	51

	from water sources	
18	Respondents according to trees protection authority	51

List of figures

No	Content	Page
1	Schematic map of Sudan showing the study area	3
2	Acacia tortilis the main source of browse in the study area	29
3	Heavy using of newly growing Acacia tortilis by animals	32

4	The percentages of plant cover, litter and bare soil over the	40
	three sites	
5	The effect of trees' shade on the grass layer	42

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted in ELbaja area west of ELDueim in the White Nile State. The main objective of this study was to investigate the browsing as related to rangelands management aspects in the area. Three plots representing the main browse pattern were selected, each of (250m×250m), within each of these three plots, three circular samples (0.1ha each) were marked to determine tree densities and browse availability. In each plot two

transects of 100m each were located to measure vegetation attributes mainly tree density, browsing level, diameter at browsing point, available twigs numbers and available browse productivity. Beside this the quantative and qualitative assessment of herbaceous layer such as composition, frequency, density, biomass and productivity were assessed. Socio- economic investigation was conducted to collect both qualitative and quantative information. Two questionnaires were designed for nomads and settled groups. The aim was to collect information in respect to pattern of browse resource used and the associated problems. The study concluded that, *Acacia tortilis* was the most preferred browse tree but it is decreasing since its density as mature trees was higher than its natural regeneration. While, total density of natural regeneration of trees in general was reasonable compared with total trees density in the study area, nearly (2: 1) which could be accepted as a quantity to decrease along the natural selection process.

The cover percentage under trees was dominated by *Eragrostis spp*, while the herbaceous biomass production is considered reliable (0.24 ton/ha) compared with open rangelands (0.5 ton/ha). Grazing browsing ratio found to be (1:2) which reflected good tree coverage, as this is affected by the percentage of tree shade on the herbaceous layer.

The water sources were the main factor among pastoralist to determine the utilization of browse resources during the summer period. This period considered the best browse seasons because the fodder trees was available for livestock in villages while herbaceous layer disappear.

The *Acacia tortilis* is endangered by excessive cutting that are practiced by the people in the area.

The study recommended that there is great importance to keep balance for the natural regeneration, according to the current status and need to sustain the *Acacia tortilis* trees because it is a preferred and important browse tree in the area. Conservation and protection of the ground cover is required because it represent the source of fodder and keep the browse graze ratio within ecological stability. The study proved that the social control is still effective as related to natural resources protection and should be further supported for future conservation.

Extension is required in the area to increase the awareness among the people towards cutting of trees and to involve them in the management process.

الخلاصة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمنطقة الباجا- غرب الدويم بولاية النيل الابيـض والهـدف الرئيسي منها هـو اسـتقراء معلومـات عـن الرعـي الشـجرى وعلاقتـة بـادارة المراعـي. تـم اختيـار ثلاثـة مواقع تمثـل انمـاط الرعـي الشـجرى وتـم تحديـد مساحات كعينه (م 250×250م). وداخل كل مـن هـذه المسـاحات تـم تحديـد ثلاثة عينات دائرية مساحة كل منها (0.1 هكتار). في كل مساحة عينة تم وضـع عدد اثنين قاطع بطول 100 متر وذلك لقياس مكونات الغطاء النباتي الذي ضم كثافه الاشجار, قطر الفرع عند نقطـة القضـم, عـدد الفـروع المتـاحه للرعـي, الانتاجيه العلفيه الشجريه بجانب التقديرات الكميـة والنوعيـة للغطـاء العشـبي مثل تركيب النباتات, التردد, التغطية والانتاجية. كـذلك اجـرى مسـح اقتصـادي اجتمـاعي لجمـع معلومـات مـن خلال اسـتخدام عـدد اثنيـن اسـتبيان لتغطيـة

المجموعـات الرعويـة للرحـل والمسـتقرين لمعرفـة انمـاط واسـتغلال مـوارد الرعى الشجري والمشاكل المتعلقة بها.

من اهم نتائج الدراسة ان شجرة السيال من اكثر الاشجار المفضلة للرعب الشجرى, لكنها متناقصة وذلك لان كثافة الاشجار اعلى من النمؤات الطبيعية.اما كثافة الاشجار بصورة عامه فهى جيدة اذا ما قورنت بنسبة النمؤ الطبيعي للاشجار (2:1) مما يعنى فرصة تناقصها مقبولة خلال عمليات الاختيار الطبيعي للاشجار.

نسبة الغطاء النباتي تحت الاشجاريسوده نبات البنو بينما الانتاجية النباتية تعتـبر مناسـبة (0.24 طـن/ هكتـار) عنـد مقارنتهـا مـع المرعـي المفتـوح (0.5 طـن/ هكتار). وجدت الدراسة ان نسبة العلف الشجري للعشـبي (2:1) وهـذا يعنـي ان هنالك نسبة غطاء شجري مناسبة خصوصا وان الانتاج العشبي في المراعي الشجرية تحكمة نسبة ظل الاشجار.

تعتبر مصادر المياه من العوامل الطبيعية والمحددة وسط الرعاه لاستخدام موارد الرعى الشجرى اثناء فترة الصيف لانها تعتبر من افضل مواسم الرعى الشجرى التى توفر العلف بصورة متاحة للحيوانات خصوصا للمستقرين بالقرى.

شجرة السيال من الاشجار المهددة لتعرضها للقطع المستمر من قبل السـكان المحليين.

اوصت الدراسة ان هنالك ضرورة للمحافظة على النمـؤات الطبيعيـة لاشـجار السيال حسب الوضع الحالى لها بغرض الاستدامة لانها المفضلة للحيوان وذات اهمية للرعى الشجرى فى المنطقة بالاضافة الى ضرورة المحافظـة والحمايـة للغطاء النباتى لانه يمثل مصدر للعلف ويحافظ على معدل الرعى الشجرى من اجل صيانة واستقرار البيئـة. كمـا وجـدت الدراسـة ان حمايـة النظـام المحلـى للاشجار ما زال لـه تـاثير وتوصـى بـدعم هـذا الاتجـاه لخدمـة المحافظـة علـى المـوارد وادارة المراعـى بصـورة مسـتدامة. الخـدمات الارشـادية مطلوبـة بالمنطقة لزيادة الوعى بالنسبة للسكان اتجاه التعامل مع الاشجار, واستيعابهم في عملية الادارة.