

ABSTRACT

This research is an attempt to use remote sensing and GIS techniques together with field survey to investigate and acquire knowledge about status of the forest cover of ed Dallinjand Nabag forests and how to use that in managing forest in sustainable basis. And further estimate the vegetation change trends and dynamics during the period 1985-2007. The study used a multi-temporal satellite imagery of ASTER L1b 2007, Landsat TM 1997, TM 1987 and TM 1985. The study was supported by a field inventory through which data were collected and used for, imagery registration, classification processes, and structure of the correlations and discussion of the results. ERDAS Imagine, ARC/GIS, SPSS and Microsoft Excel software were been used for image processing and data analysis.

Initially, the images were been primary processed, calibrated and reprojected to the UTM zone 35, Spheroid and Datum WGS 84. Then they have been classified unsupervisedly for spectral analysis and design of the field sampling frame. Stratified random sampling design was framed for the field survey. The field data was collected through direct measurements, interviews with key persons and observation. Afterwards, supervised classification has been applied to the study imagery and forest and vegetation cover maps were produced. The classified images were then used to produce the change detection maps and indices of change dynamics. Besides that NDVI and vegetation change matrices were used for accuracy assessment and verification. Concerning the field data, mathematical models and equation have been developed to estimate the tree height, diameter and volume.

Concerning Ed Dillinj, the study area and its surrounding was initially classified in to four land use land cover classes namely; Forest land, Bare land, Rocks + Basement complex and Residential area. Then after the reserved forest was classified into two classes: forest land and bare land. The primary classification showed that the forest cover area has decreased from 1271.8 ha in 1987 to only 561.1 ha and 458.4 ha in 1997 and 2007 respectively. In the same time the bare land area has increased from 905.7 ha in 1987 to 1161.4 ha in 1997 and 1300.4 ha in 2007. Concerning the forest reservation it has been found that the forest area was 106.6 ha, 102.6 ha and 85.8 ha in 1987, 1997 and 2007 respectively. For the same period the bare land area was about 49 ha in 1987 and increased to 54.3 ha in 1997 and 67 ha in 2007. In refer to the reserved forest, the NDVI area calculated showed a great decrease between the period 1987/2007. Moreover, the change detection and matrices results had also showed a significant change of the forest cover between 1987-1997 and less ones for the period 1997-2007.

Concerning Nabag forest it has been classified into forest, shrubs + range land and bare land categories. The results indicated that the forest land area dominated by *Hashab* trees was 699.5 ha in 1985 and decreased to 434.2 ha in 1997 and 659.9 ha in 2007. For the same period the bare land area was increased from 1046.9 ha in 1985 to 1398.1 ha in 1997, but decreased by about 10% in 2007. In the other hand the shrubs and range land category has decreased from 562.6 ha in 1985 to 476.7 ha in 1997, while it was increased to nearly the third in 2007. The results also showed that the forest category NDVI has decreased by more than the third between 1985 and 1997. The negative NDVI value that represents bare land and other non-vegetated materials has showed increased from 1017.6 ha in 1985 to

1553.5 ha in 1997. The change detection assessment results showed that Nabag forest cover was changed greatly between the period 1985, 1997 and 2007.

In refer to the field survey analysis; mathematical models and functions were been structured for estimation of mean height and volume of the forest cover in the study area from the NDVI. For ed Dallinjforest the average number of trees per hectare was more than 118 trees and the average volume per hectare was estimated by 22.42 m³. Concerning Nabag forest the average number of trees per hectare was the same however, the average volume per hectare was too small (3.7 m³).

The study concluded that the forest cover of ed Dallinjand Nabag forests cover has changed greatly during the period 1985/1997 while the period 1997 to 2007 showed small change. Also, the study investigated that the use of medium multi-temporal satellite imagery for assessment and mapping forest cover change trend and dynamics in the arid land could give optimal results when the vegetation cover has high chlorophyll contents. Therefore, the study recommended using high resolution satellite imagery in such cases.

خلاصة

هذه الدراسة عبارة عن محاولة لاستخدام تقنيات الاستشعار عن بعد و نظم المعلومات الجغرافية مصحوبة بالمسح الحقلية لدراسة و استخراج معلومات عن الحالة الراهنة لغابتي الدلنج و نبق بجنوب كردفان و مدى الاستفادة منها في الادارة المستدامة للغابات. بالإضافة الى ذلك معرفة و تقييم اتجاه و ديناميكية التغير في الغطاء النباتي و الغابوي للفترة من 1985م الى 2007م. استخدمت الدراسة لذلك صور أقمار صناعية للاندسات (Landsat) و أستر (ASTER) متوسطة التمييز المكاني للأعوام 1985م، 1987م، 1997م و 2007م. دعمت الدراسة بمسح ميداني تم من خلاله جمع معلومات استخدمت في تصحيح صور الأقمار الصناعية، عمليات التصنيف، انشاء العلاقات الارتباطية و مناقشة النتائج.

في البدء تم تصحيح الصور و اعادة توجيهها للنظام العالمي للاحداثيات (UTM) المجال 35 و المسح الجيولوجي للعالم 84 (WGS 84). ثم من بعد تم تصنيف الصور تصنيفاً غير مراقباً لاجراء الاختبارات الطيفية و تصميم نظام العينة الحقلية. تم اختيار النظام الطبقي ذات العينة العشوائية لجمع المعلومات الحقلية. تم جمع المعلومات الحقلية عن طريق المسح المباشر، المقابلات مع المسؤولين و الملاحظة. و من بعدها تم تصنيف صور الاقمار الصناعية تصنيفاً غير مراقب و انتاج الخرائط و المعلومات الخاصة بالغطاء النباتي و الغابي و ديناميكية التغير. مع ذلك تم استخدام مؤشر الفرق في الغطاء النباتي (NDVI) و متطابقات التغير في الغطاء النباتي لتقييم صحة المعلومات و تحقيقها. بخصوص المعلومات الحقلية بعضها تم حسابها مباشرةً و البعض الاخر أستخلصت بعد تطوير بعض النماذج و المعادلات الرياضية. تم كل ذلك باستخدام برامج ERDAS Imagine 9.1, ARCGIS 9.2, SPSS and Excel.

بالنسبة للدلنج في البدء تم تصنيف و دراسة الغطاء النباتي و الغابوي و استخدامات الأرض لغابة الدلنج المحجوزة و المنطقة ما حولها. بناءً على ذلك تم تقسيمها الى أربعة أقسام هي: غابات، أرض جرداء، منطقة صخور و أحجار و منطقة سكنية. في المرحلة التالية تم تقسيم غابة الدلنج المحجوزة الى قسمين هما: منطقة غابات و أرض جرداء. نتائج التصنيف أظهرت أن الغطاء الغابوي العام لمنطقة الدلنج قد تقلصت من 1271 هكتار في العام 1987م الى 561 هكتار و 458 هكتار للأعوام 1997م و 2007م على التوالي. في الوقت نفسه زادت مساحة الأرض الجرداء من 905 هكتار في العام 1987م الى 1300.4 هكتار في العام 2007. بالنسبة لغابة الدلنج المحجوزة أظهرت النتائج أن مساحة الغابة قد تقلصت من 106 هكتار في العام 1987م

الى 85 هيكتار في العام 2007م. لتأكيد ذلك فان نتائج تحليل مؤشر الفرق العام للغطاء النباتي قد أظهر ذات التقلص في الغطاء النباتي بين العام 1987م و 2007م.

بالنسبة لغابة نبق فان نتائج الدراسة إشارة الى تقلص مساحة الغابة من 699 هيكتار في العام 1985م الى 434 هيكتار و 659 هيكتار للأعوام 1997م و 2007م على التوالي. و كان ذلك مصحوباً بزيادة في مساحة الأرض الجرداء من 1046 هيكتار في العام 1985م الى 1398.1 هيكتار في العام 1997م. تأكيداً لذلك فان مؤشر الفرق في الغطاء النباتي أظهر تقلص في المساحة التي تمثل الغطاء الغابي الى أكثر من الثلث في الفترة 1985م الى 2007م. في الوقت ذاته أشار الى زيادة المساحة التي تمثل الأرض الجرداء من 1017 هيكتار في العام 1985م الى 1553 هيكتار في العام 1997م.

بخصوص المسح الميداني فقد تم تطوير علاقات و نماذج رياضية لحساب ارتفاع الأشجار و حجم الأخشاب. بالنسبة لغابة الدلنج أظهرت نتائج التحليل أن متوسط عدد الأشجار في الهكتار تساوي 118 شجرة, بينما بلغ متوسط انتاج الخشب للهكتار 22.42 م³. بالاشارة الى غابة نبق فان مساحتها كانت كبيرة نسبياً لذلك تطوير معادلات و نماذج رياضية لتقدير ارتفاعات الأشجار و الحجم بالنسبة لشجرة الهشاب السائدة في الغابة. بناءً عليه فقد تم تقدير متوسط عدد الأشجار في الهكتار بما يساوي 118 شجرة, بينما بلغ متوسط انتاج الخشب للهكتار 3.7 م³. بالاضافة الى ذلك فقد تم تطوير علاقة لتقدير حجم الخشب لأشجار الهشاب من مؤشر الفرق للغطاء النباتي.

خلصت الدراسة الى وجود تغير في الغطاء النباتي و الغابوي للدلنج و نبق و كان ذلك بصورة كبيرة للفترة 1985م الى 1997م و أقل للحقبة 1997م الى 2007م. من جهة أخرى فقد أظهرت الدراسة أن استخدام صور الأقمار الصناعية ذات التمييز المكاني المتوسط في دراسة التغير النباتي و الغابوي في المناطق الجافة تعطي نتائج جيدة في حالة النباتات التي تحتوي على نسبة عالية من الكلوروفيل, بينما تكون النتائج ضعيفة عكس ذلك. عليه توصي الدراسة باستخدام صور الأقمار الصناعية ذات التمييز المكاني العالي في مثل هذه الحالات.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

“Every editor and compiler whatever the nature of the book on which he is working inevitably consults his friends and associates (Milton, 2005)”.

The completion of this thesis could not be possible without the vital support from Professor Dr. Elmar Csaplovics from TU Dresden Germany who's not only served as main supervisor but also encouraged and challenged me throughout my academic program. With a deep sense of gratitude, I wish to express my sincere thanks to him and his staff.

I would like to acknowledge and extend my heartfelt gratitude to the co-supervisors Prof. Dr. Abdelhafeez Ali Mohamed and Dr. El-Abbas Doka M. whom guided me throughout the dissertation process.

I would like send my grateful acknowledgment to German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for the financial support of this research.

It is a pleasure to express my gratitude wholeheartedly to Dr. Ismail M. Fangama for the help and inspiration he extended to me and my family.

Collective and individual acknowledgments are also owed to my colleagues at College of Forestry and Range Science and Sudan University of Science and Technology.

I would like to extend my thanks to ed Dallinjforest department and Ed Dibaibat forest rangers and workers for their assistant during the field work.

I wish to thank MSc Mohammed Hamid for the support in statistical analysis and formulation of the models and equations.

I wish to thank all my colleagues at TU Dresden for their cooperation and assistance.

I would like to thank Mr. Roger McCoy who offered me the book of “Field Methods in Remote Sensing” which assisted me much in the field work.

I would to express my thanks to Mr. Gerd Wuesteney for his assistance and encouragement during my research.

Special thanks to the Sudan Remote Sensing Authority for the provision of data and support.

Where would I be without my family? I am grateful to my wife Bashra for the inspiration and moral support she provided throughout my research work and her patience and take care for our children. Without her loving support and understanding I would never have completed my present work. Particularly, I owe to my sons Algazooly and Albahi whom lost me so much during my research. I also deeply thanks sister-in-law Hikma for her much support to my family.

I am very glad and owed to share this moment of happiness with my father and mother, brothers, sisters and the great family whom rendered me enormous support during the whole tenure of my research and academy.

Finally, I would like to thank all whose direct and indirect support or helped me completing my thesis, as well as expressing my apology for that I could not mention personally.

Sincerely Yours

Mahgoub

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my wife and sons, my parents, brothers and sisters; and to the spirit of my brother Imam who was lost due to Darfur crises.

Table of Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgment	VI
Dedication	VIII
List of Tables	XIV
List of Figures	XVI
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	XVIII
Chapter I Introduction	1
1.Introduction	2
2. Location	6
3. Problem Statement	7
4. Research Objectives	9
5. Justification	9
5.1 General Indicators	10
6. Research Hypothesis	11
7. Research Methodology	11
7.1 Field Methods	11
7.1.1 Sampling Design	11
7.1.1.2 Sampling Intensity	11
7.2 Data Acquisition and Processing	11
Chapter II Literature Review	13
1. Introduction	14
2. Sudan Forest Resources	15
2.1 Forest Policy and Land Tenure	18
2.2 Sudan Energy and Wood Consumption	19
3. Remote Sensing and Forest Management	20
4. Sustainable Forest Management (SFM)	20

4.1 Definitions and Contexts	20
4.2 General Objectives and Goals of SFM	21
5. Forest Management and Ecosystem Challenges.....	22
6. Forest Management Questions vs. Remote Sensing.....	24
7. Remote Sensing.....	24
7.1 Remote Sensing Definitions	24
7.2 Remote Sensing Applications in SFM.....	26
7.3 Remote Sensing Research Approaches.....	27
7.3.1 Experimental Approach	28
7.3.2 Normative Approach.....	28
7.3.3 Technological Approach.....	28
7.4 Fundamental Concepts in Remote Sensing	28
7.4.1 The Pixel	28
7.4.2 Spectral Response	29
7.4.3 Resolution	30
7.5 Remote Sensing Models in Forestry	31
7.5.1 Physical Model	31
7.5.2 Empirical Model	31
7.6 Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System	32
8. Criteria and Indicators for SFM.....	33
8.1 Criteria and Indicators for SFM by FAO.....	33
8.2 Criteria and Indicators for SFM by CCFM.....	34
9. Imagery and Forest Classification	34
10. Forest Resource Variables Estimation	37
10.1 Forest Inventory Variables.....	38
10.1.1 Forest Cover Types	39
10.1.2 Under-Story.....	39

10.1.3 Biomass	39
10.1.4 Volume and Growth Assessment.....	39
11. Change Detection	40
11.1 Forest Change Detection.....	40
11.2 Change Detection Procedure.....	41
Chapter III The Study Area.....	44
1. Location	44
2. Ecology, Rainfall, Topography and Soil	45
2.1 Ecology	45
2.2 Rainfall	45
2.3 Topography and Soil.....	47
3. Geology	48
4. Vegetation	48
5. Agriculture	50
Chapter IV The Methodology	52
1. Introduction	52
2. Field Method	53
2.1 Sampling Frame	53
2.2 Area of Sample Plot.....	53
2.3 Sample Size	54
3. Image Data Processing and Analysis	57
3.1 Image Acquisition	57
3.1.1 ASTER Overview	57
3.1.2 Thematic Mapper (TM)	57
3.2 Image Primary Processing	61
3.2.1 Image Registration	61
3.2.2 Image Enhancement	62

3.2.3 Image Mask Model	62
3.3 Image Classification	64
3.3.1 Supervised Classification	64
3.3.2 Signature Evaluation	65
3.3.3 Contingency Matrix	67
3.3.4 Univariate Statistical Analysis	68
3.4 Classification Outputs	70
3.5 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)	71
3.6 Change Detection	75
3.7 Field Inventory	75
Chapter V The Results	84
1. Imagery Classification	84
1.1 ed Dallinj Land Use/ Land Cover	85
1.2 ed Dallinj LULC NDVI	87
1.3 ed Dallinj Reserved Forest	88
1.4 ed Dallinj Reserved Forest NDVI	90
1.5 ed Dallinj Change Detection	92
1.6 Nabag Forest Classification	94
1.7 Nabag Forest NDVI	96
1.8 Nabag Change Detection	99
2. Field Inventory	99
2.1 ed Dallinj Reserved Forest	101
2.2 Nabag Forest	101
Chapter VI Discussion	104
1. Introduction	104
2. ed Dallinj LULC Status 1987-2007	104
3. ed Dallinj Reserved Forest Cover 1987-2007	106

4. ed Dallinj LULC Change Dynamics 1987-2007	109
5. ed Dallinj Reserved Forest Change Dynamics 1987-2007	110
6. Nabag Forest Cover Change Dynamics 1985-2007	112
7. The Field Inventory	116
Chapter VII Conclusion and Recommendations	119
1. Conclusion	119
2. Recommendations	121
References	123
Web and Online Sources	135
Annexes	137

List of Tables

Total volume of woody vegetation and annual allowable cut	16
Forest cover and change in the East-Sahelian Africa	17
Sudan wood demand projection towards 2020	19
Terra ASTER Characteristics	60
Landsat TM Characteristics	60
AMP resampling process report of TM data	61
Spectral profile tabular data for ed Dallinj ASTER 2007	66
Spectral profile tabular data for Nabag ASTER 2007	67
Contingency matrix for ed Dallinj training pixels	68
Contingency matrix for Nabag training pixels	68
Linear model for Hashab height estimation	79
Logarithmic model for estimation of pixel volume from NDVI	81
Sample plot mean volume and NDVI	82
ed Dallinj LULC supervised classification 1987/1997 & 2007	85
LULC NDVI 1987, 1997 & 2007	88
Unsupervised classification ed Dallinj reserved forest 1987,1997 & 2007	90
ed Dallinj reserved forest NDVI 1987,1997 & 2007	91
Categories of supervised classification of Nabag forest 1985,1997 & 2007	95
Nabag forest NDVI statistics summary	98
Nabag forest NDVI 1985,1997 & 2007	98
ed Dallinj reserved forest No of trees, mean DBH, H and volume	101
Number of trees and Volume per sample plot for Nabag forest	102
ed Dallinj NDVI value t-test	108
Vegetation change matrix of ed Dallinj LULC 1987-1997	109
Vegetation change matrix of ed Dallinj LULC 1997-2007	110
Vegetation change matrix of ed Dallinj reserved forest 1987-1997	111

Vegetation change matrix of ed Dallinj reserved forest 1997-2007.....	112
Vegetation change matrix of Nabag forest 1985-1997.....	113
Vegetation change matrix of Nabag forest 1997-2007.....	114

List of Figures

The study area	46
ed Dallinj rainfall 1971-2004.....	47
ed Dallinj confidence interval calculation	55
ed Dallinjsample size calculation	55
Nabag confidence interval calculation	56
Nabag sample size calculation	56
ed Dallinjand Nabag multi-temporal satellite imagery.....	58
ed Dallinjgraphical mask model function.....	62
Methodological framework of the research	63
ed Dallinjspectral profile plot for ASTER 2007	65
Nabag spectral profile plot for ASTER 2007	66
Univariate analysis of ed DallinjASTER 2007.....	69
Univariate analysis of Nabag ASTER 2007	70
ed Dallinj LULC supervised classification and NDVI 1987-2007.....	72
Nabag supervised classification and NDVI 1985-2007	73
ed Dallinj and Nabag NDVI 1985-2007	74
ed Dallinj change detection 1987-2007	76
Nabag change detection 1985-2007.....	77
Linear model for estimation of Hashab height	80
Logarithmic model for estimation of Hashab volume from NDVI	82
ed Dallinj LULC classification 1987-2007.....	86
ed Dallinj LULC categories 1987, 1997 & 2007.....	87
ed Dallinj NDVI value ranges 1987, 1997 & 2007	88
ed Dallinj reserved forest classification 1987-2007	89
ed Dallinj reserved forest NDVI 1987-2007.....	91
ed Dallinj reserved forest NDVI value ranges 1987-2007	92

ed Dallinj change detection 1987-2007	93
ed Dallinj LULC change dynamics 1987/1997/2007	94
Nabag forest supervised classification 1985/1997/2007	97
Nabag forest NDVI 1985, 1997 & 2007	98
Nabag forest change dynamics 1985-2007	100
ed Dallinj LULC 1987/1997/2007	105
ed Dallinj forest NDVI linear regression	106
ed Dallinj reserved forest NDVI linear regression	107
ed Dallinj reserved forest change trend 1987-1997	111
ed Dallinj reserved forest change trend 1997-2007	112

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

AOI: Area of Interest

APM: Automatic Point Measurement

ASTER: Advanced Space-borne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer

B: Blue

CCFM: Canadian Council of Forest Ministers

CRS: Creative Research Systems

DBH: Diameter at Breast Height

DRC: Diameter at Root Collar

EOS: Earth Observation System

Equ.: Equation

ERSDAC: Japan's Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center

ETM: Enhanced Thematic Mapper

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation

FF: Form Factor

FFS: Fast Facts of Sudan

FNC: Sudan Forest National Corporation

FPCS: Forests Products Consumption Survey

FRA: Forest Resources Assessment of FAO

G: Green

GCP: Ground Control Point

GDP: Gross Domestic Products

GIS: Geographical Information System

GPS: Geographical Positioning System

H: Height

ha: hectare

LULC: Land Use Land Cover

NASA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDVI: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NFRI: National Forest Resource Inventory
NIR: Near Infrared
PCA: Principal Component Analysis
R: Red
SFM: Sustainable Forest Management
SKRDP &NSKRDP: South Kordofan Range Management Strategy Study & Khor
Abu Habil Basin Planning and Water Development
SRAAD: Sudan Resource Assessment and Development Project
Std.: Standard Deviation
SUST: Sudan University of Science and Technology
SWIR: Short wave Infrared
TIR: Thermal infrared
TM: Thematic Mapper
TU Dresden: Technical University of Dresden
USGS: United State Geological Survey
UTM: Universal Transfer Mercator
VNIR: Visible Near Infrared
WGS: World Geological Survey
WHO: World Health Organization