بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Sudan University of Science & Technology College of Graduate Studies

Genetic Bases of Methicillin Susceptible And Methicillin Resistant Staphylococci

A Thesis Submitted For The Fulfilment of Doctor of Philosophy In Clinical Microbiology

By: OMER Mohamed Khalil MSc. Clinical Microbiology

Supervisor Professor: Hassan Abdul Aziz Musa

Co. Supervisor: Dr. Jalal Mustafa Yousif

August 2006

.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this study.

To my mother, family and colleagues

To all those who offered me their kind assistance & support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all thanks to Allah ...

I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to my supervisor professor Hassan Abdul Aziz for his valuable supervision, encouragement and infinite support.

I also wish to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Jalal Mustafa for his valuable suggestion and close supervision and guidance throughout the study period.

I am also indepted to Dr. Maha and the staff members of T.B research center at National Health laboratory.

My appreciation to Dr. Humodi Ahmed Saeed for his valuable support and encouragement.

Finally I w ish to thank all the staff members of college of medical laboratory science.

Abstract

One thousand specimens (wound swab, urine, vaginal swab and prostatic fluid) were collected form patients attending Omdurman military hospital during the period February 2004 to October 2004. The code of each specimen, age and the gender of the patient were recorded. All the specimens were cultured on appropriate media and incubated at 37°C overnight.

Plates which showed bacterial growth were examined by Gram-stain. All isolates which showed Gram-positive spherical cells arranged in clusters or chains were subjected to subsequent bacteriological tests. The primary selected isolates were examined for catalase, bound coagulase and tube coagulase test.

Organisms showing positive results to the above tests were tested for Mannitol fermentation and sub cultured on nutrient agar for further investigations.

Production of β .lactamase enzyme in the above isolates was studied.

A total of 270 strains were recovered and confirmed to be *S.aureus*. Using eight antibiotics, Kirby-Bauer technique was adopted as the ideal method to study the antimicrobial activity of the isolates. Depending on the susceptibility testing result, forty MRSA isolates were selected in addition to forty MSSA β - lactamase producer and twenty MSSA non β .lactamase producer were randomly selected for genotyping. Wizard R genomic DNA purification kit was used to isolate the DNA. To ensure that all the strains were *S.aureus*. PCR was assayed for the amplification of *S.aureus* specific sequence gene with 30 nucleotide forward and reverse primers and 107 amplicon size. Another PCR program was adopted to isolate mecA gene

with 22 nucleotide forward and reverse primers and 532 amplicon size. All of the 270 *Saureus* isolates showed high degree of sensitivity to vancomycin (100%) and high resistance to penicillin (98.5%). For the other antibiotics the *S.aureus* isolates showed different degree of sensitivity. Methicillin 85.2%, Meropenem 80%. Amoxicillin /clavulanic acid 74% ciprofloxacin 57% gentamicin 62% and cephalexin 62%. The PCR product electrophoresis revealed a clear band of 107 amplicon size which confirmed that all the isolates were *S.aureus*. Another PCR electrophoresis product revealed a gene of 532 base pair (mecA) in 36 strains. When the result was compared with the traditional sensitivity method, it confirmed that all the 36 strains were MRSA. They expressed their resistance with both resistance to methicillin and presence of mecA gene.

The other four strains of *S.aureus* showed resistance to methicillin by the classical method but did not express mecA gene on their PCR product and those are classified as border line methicillin resistant *S.aureus* (BORSA).

Five strains from the non β .lactamase producer expressed mecA gene and resistance to methicillin.

المستخلص

جمعت (1000) عينة من قطيلة الجروح (Wound Swab) والبول (Urine) وربدة المهبل (Vaginal Swab) وسائل البروستاتا (Prostatic Fluid) من المرضى في مستشفى السلاح الطبي بأمدرمان، أبان الفترة فبراير 2004م، إلى أكتوبر 2004م. و قد تم تسجيل رقم العينة والعمر ونوع المرض؛ كذلك تم استنبات كل عينة في وسيطها الصحيح وتم تفريغها تحت درجة الحرارة 37 سنتيجريد لليلة كاملة.

فحصت الاطباق التي اظهرت نموا بائنا من اجل الصبغ الجرامي. و قد أخضعت كل المعزولات التي أظهرت خلايا مستديرة كروية إيجابية الجرام المرتبة في تلفف (Clusters) أو سلاسل، لاختبارات بكتيريولوجية تالية. و قد فُحصت المعزولات الأولية المختارة، لأجل الكاتالاز (Catalase) والخميرة المجلطة المتحدة (Bound) واختبار هذه الخميرة الأنبوبي (Tube Coaqulase Test).

أجري الاختبار على الكائنات الحية التي أظهرت نتائج إيجابية للاختبارات المذكورة أعلاه لأجل معرفة الاختبار الكحولي المني (Mnnital fermentation) واستنبتت على اغار تغذوي (Nutrient Agar) تمهيداً لدراسات مست قبلية أخرى. وتمت أيضاً دراسة إنتاج أنزيم اللاكتميز بيتا (Lactamase enzyme β) في

المعزولات أعلاه. و قد تم استعادة 270 سلالة ونؤكد أنها عن قودية ذهبية (S.aureus)، وباستخدام ثمانية من المضادات الحيوية، ثم إتباع أسلوب كيربي – بور (Kirby - Bauer) على أنه الطري قة المثلى لدراسة النشاط المضاد للمكروب. واعتماداً على حساسية نتيجة الاختبار، تم اختيار MRSA 40 من المعزولات، بالإضافة إلى MSSA 40 من منتج β لاكتاميز و MSSA 40 من لا منتج بيتا لا كتاميز (Lactamase Producer βNon) أختبرت بطري قة عشوائية للنمط الجنسي (كتاميز (Genotype). كذك استخدمت حقية العدد لويزاد آر (Wizard R) لتن قية مجيني الحامض النووي الثنائي (DNA).

وللتأكد من أن كل السلالات كانت عن قودية ذهبية (S.aureus) فقد قيست PCR لتوسع العن قودية الذهبية لتوالي الجين المعين، مع 30 نكيوتبد (Nucleolide) أمامي وتمهيدي عكسي و 107 أمبليكون (Amplicon). وقد تم تبني برنامج (PCR) آخر لعزل جين (mecA) مع 22 نكيوتيد أمامي وتمهيدي عكسي و 532 حجم أمبلكون. وكانت السلالات العن قودية الذهبية قد أظهرت درجة عالية من الحساسية للفانكوميسين (100%) (Vancomycin) وم قاومة عالية للبنسلين (98.5%). وبالنسبة للمضادات الحيوية الأخرى، فإن سلالة العن قودية الذهبية تظهر درجات مختلفة من الحساسية – ميثيسلين (85.2%). والمنسبة المضادات الحيوية الأخرى، فإن سلالة العن قودية الذهبية تظهر درجات مختلفة من الحساسية – ميثيسلين (98.5%). وكانت بولانك (Meropenem (80%)، ميروبنيم (98%) (Amoxicillin/Calvulanic acid) (97%). وقد كشف منتج PCR Product) جينتاميسين (92%). وقد كشف منتج PCR الرحلان الكهربائي – (amplicon size) الذي أكد أن كل وسيفالبكسين 65%. وقد كشف منتج PCR أمبلكون (amplicon size) الذي أكد أن كل

المعزولات كانت عن قودية ذهبية. و قد كشف منتج PCR للرحلان الكهربائي الآخر جين لـ 532 قاعدة زوجية (mecA) في 36 سلالة وحينما قورنت النتيجة مع طريقة الحساسية التقليدية، أكدت أن كل الـ 36 سلالة، كانت (Methicillin Resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA التي عبرت عن م قاومتها مع كلاً من م قاومة الميثيلين (Methicillin) ووجد جين (mecA). وقد أظهرت السلالات الأربع للعن قودية البرت قالية (أو الذهبية) م قاومة للميثيسلين بالطري قة الت قليدية (الكلاسيكية، لكنها لم تعبر عن جين mecA في منتج التفاعل التسلسلي المحفز للبلمرة (PCR)، وتك تصنف على أنها م قاومة عن قودية ذهبية ميسيسيلنية في خط الحد الفاصل (PCR) وتك تصنف على أنها م قاومة عن قودية (هبية ميسيسيلنية في خط الحد الفاصل (S.aurous "BORSA"). و قد عبرت 5 سلالات لمنتج لاكتمايس غير بيتا (Lactamase Producer βNon وم قاومة الميثيسيلين.

Table of Contents

	Page
Dedication	i
Acknowledgment	ii
Abstract	iii
Abstract in Arabic	V
Table of Contents	vii
List of tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
Introduction	xiv
Chapter One Introduction & Literature Review	
1-1 Staphylococcus aureus	1
1-2 Classification	3
1-3 Cell Structure	3
1-3-1 Genome	4
1-3-2 Plasmids	4
1-3-3 Bacteriophage	4
1-3-4 Cell Membrane	5
1-4 Penicillin binding protein	5
1-5 Cell Wall	5
1-6 Antigenic structure of <i>S.aureus</i>	6
1-6-1 Protein A	7
1-6-2 Antigen D	7
1-6-3 S.S antigens	7
1-6-4 NSS antigens	7
1-6-5 Ribitol techoic acid antigen	7
1-7 Phage Typing	7
1-8 Antimicrobial resistance transfer and spread of resistance.	9
1-9 Mechanism of antimicrobial resistance in the Staphylococci	9
1-9-1 Inactivation Mechanism	9
1-9-2 By pass mechanism	9
1-9-3 Target site alteration	10
1-9-4 Efflux mechanism	10
1-9-5 sequestration	10
1-10 Staph.aureus resistance to beta Lactam antibiotic	10
1-11 Beta Lactamase	11
1-11-1 Resistance mediated by beta Lactamase	11

1-12 Methicillin Resistance	12
1-13 Function of penicillin binding protein	13
1-13-1 Border line resistant <i>Staph-aurous</i> (BORSA)	13
1-13-1 MODSA	13
1-14 Multiple drug resistance	14
1-15 Beta Lactam antibiotics	14
1-15-1 Cell wall inhibitors	15
1-15-2 Penicillins	15
1-15-3 Cephalosporins	16
1-15-4 Glycopeptide	16
1-15-5 Vancomycin	16
1-16 Inhibitors of nucleic acid synthesis	17
1-17 Protein synthesis inhibitors	18
1-18 Carbapenems	19
1-19 Epidemiology of S.aureus carriage	19
1-20 Carriage of MRSA	20
1-20-1 Epidemiology of MRSA	21
1-21 Antimicrobial susceptibility of MRSA Isolated from	21
different specimens	
1-22 The Molecular basis of pathogen city	23
1-22-1 Evasion of host defenses	23
1-22-2 Tissue Invasion	23
1-22-3 Accessory genetic elements and virulence genes	24
1-22-4 Regulation of virulence	24
1-23 Application of molecular typing techniques in prevention	24
of nosocomial S.aureus infection	
1-24 Laboratory Diagnosis	25
1-25 Identification of <i>S.aureus</i>	26
1-25-1 Slide Coagulase test	26
1-25-2 Tube coagulase test	27
1-25-3 DNASE and heat stable nuclease	27
1-26 Antimicrobial susceptibility tests	27
1-26-1 The disk diffusion tests	27
1-26-2 the modified Kirby-Bauer methods	28
1-26-3 Antimicrobial disks	28
1-26-4 Mac Far land turbidity standard	28
1-27 Molecular tests	28
1-28 Methicillin susceptibility testing	29

1-29 DNA Extraction	31
1-30 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	31
Objectives	33
Chapter Two	
2- Material & Method	34
2-1 Material	34
2-1-1 Study area	34
2-1-2 Study population	34
2-1-3 Sample size	34
2-1-4 Sterilization	34
2-1-5 Preparation of Media	34
2-1-6 Control Organisms	35
2-2 Methods	35
2-2-1 Collection of the specimens	35
2-2-2 Morphology and culture characteristics	35
2-2-3 Primary Identification	35
2-2-4 Secondary Identification of <i>S.aureus</i> .	36
2-3 Catalase Test	36
2-4 Coagulase Test	36
2-4-1 Slide Coagulase Test	36
2-4-2 Tube Coagulase	37
2-5 Detection of β . Lactamase production	37
2-6 Disk diffusion susceptibility testing	38
2-6-1 Turbidity Standard – 0.5 McFarland	38
2-6-2 Inoculum Preparation	38
2-6-3 Inoculation	38
2-6-4 Disk application	39
2-7 Isolation of genomic DNA	39
2-8 PCR Amplification of <i>S.aureus</i> specific sequence gene	40
2-9 PCR Amplification of MecA gene	40
2-10 PCR Reaction mixture for MecA & <i>S.aureus</i> specific	41
sequence gene	
2-11 Thermo cycler program for MecA & S.aureus specific	41
sequence gene	
2-12 Preparation of agarose gel	41
2-13 Electrophoresis	42
Chapter Three	

3- Results	45
Chapter Four	
4- Discussion	75
Recommendations	84
References	86
Appendix	99

List of Tables

	Page
Table1: PCR Reaction mixture for the amplification of mecA gene.	43
Table2 : Thermal cycler protocol for mecA gene Amplification.	45
Table3 : Thermal gene protocol for <i>S.aureus</i> specific gene amplification.	45
Table4 : Interpretation of the inhibition zone diameter.	47
Table5: Correlation between specimen, gender and incidence of infection.	48
Table6 : Correlation between age group and incidence of infection.	49
Table7 : Frequency of <i>S.aureus</i> Isolate.	62
Table8 : Antimicrobial activity of MRSA Isolate.	68
Table9 : Frequency of multi drug resistance in MRSA.	68
Table10 : Methicillin Ciprofloxacin crosstabulation.	68
Table11: Methicillin Amoclan crosstabulation.	69
Table12: Methicillin Meropenem crosstabulation.	69
Table13: Methicillin gentamricn crosstabulation.	69
Table14: Methicillin Cephalexin crosstabulation.	67
Table15: Methicillin Penicilin crosstabulation.	67
Table16 : Correlation between the activity of the antibiotics to the gender.	68
Table17 : Correlation between the activity of the antibiotics to the isolates.	69

List of Figures

Figures	Page
Fig1: Incidence of wound infection among different age groups.	50
Fig2: Incidence of urine infection among different age groups.	51
Fig3: Incidence of infection of the vagina among different age	52
groups.	
Fig4: Incidence of prostates infection among different age	53
groups.	
Fig5: Incidence of <i>S.aureus</i> Isolate indifferent sites.	54
Fig6: Susceptibility of <i>S.aureus</i> Isolate to different antibiotics.	55
Fig7: Incidence of MRSA in different sites.	56
Fig8: Susceptibility of MRSA isolates to different antibiotics.	57
Fig9: Frequency of MRSA Resistance.	58
Fig10: MRSA Resistance Pattern in Wound.	59
Fig11: MRSA Resistance Pattern in Urine.	60
Fig12: MRSA Resistance Pattern in Prostate.	61
Fig14: S.aureus genomic DNA	70
Fig15: The existenc of 107 bp <i>S.aureus</i> specific gene.	71
Fig 16: Single PCR showing the existence of 532 mecA gene.	72
Fig17: The existenc of 107 bp <i>S.aureus</i> specific gene and 532	73
mecA gene.	
Fig18: The existenc of 107 bp <i>S.aureus</i> specific gene and 532	74
mecA gene.	

Introduction

This research was planned and carried out about *Staphylococci* for several reasons.

First, for more than a century *Staphylococci* remain of major concern and the most common cause of nosocomial infections. The increasing frequency of multiresistant *Staphylococci* as a cause of infections in both hospitalized and, increasingly, non hospitalized individuals have drawn the attention of physicians to understand the pathophysiology, disease spectrum, and management of *Staphylococcal* infections.

Second, the large amount of information about *Staphylococci* has become available in recent years.

The molecular biology revolution has led to a new understanding of the molecular basis of the pathogenesis of *Staphylococcal* infections. These new methods also provide techniques to aid in the study of the epidemiology of infections and provide a diagnostic method, and management of *Staphylo coccal* infection.

Beta lactam antibiotics are the preferred drugs for serious *S.aureus* infections. However since the introduction of methicillin into clinical use, the occurrence of methicillin resistant. *S.aureus* (MRSA) strains have increased steadily, and nosocomial infections caused by methicillin Resistant *S.aureus* became a significant epidemiological problem world wide.

In addition bacterial genes are constantly mutating. Some strains genetic make up will give them a slight advantage when it comes to fighting off antibiotics. So when weaker strains encounter antibiotics, they die while these naturally resistant strains may prove harder to kill.

The advice from doctors who prescribe antibiotics is always to complete the entire course an advice which many of us ignore. When patient don't complete the course, there is a chance that most of the organisms will be killed but not all of them and the one that survive are of course likely to be those that are most resistant to antibiotics. Over time, the bulk of the *staphylococci* Strains will carry resistance gene and further mutations may only add to their survival ability.

Strains that carry two or three resistance genes will have extraordinary powers of resistance to antibiotics.

Patients are at higher risk than normal of picking up a *staph*. Infection on the wards. Because the population in hospital tend to be older, more sicker and weaker than the general population making them more vulnerable to the infection. MRSA infections can prove difficult to treat because they are resistant to treatment, making them more dangerous than a simple case of *staph*.

Doctors are very worried about what the future holds for MRSA. The number of reports of MRSA infections rises year by year and the spectrum of the organism resistant to all antibiotic is approaching. Vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* has acquired resistance to a drug considered the last line of defense, when all other antibiotics have failed. Therefore rapid and accurate identification of *S.aureus* and its methicillin susceptibility pattern has important implications for therapy and management of both colonized and infected patients.