All copy right of this manuscript is reserved to the author, and no any part or copied of it is allowed to be circulated without her permission

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my beloved mother Halima Ali and .my late father Mohammed Driff

To the naughty and sought to comfort and contentment blessed who did not spare something for a batch in the way of success, which taught me that elevated him to life with wisdom and patience to my dear father Mohammed Edriss, brothers and sisters who were at my side to add courage, and special mention of them Khalid and Abdalla Driff

To the soul Sadam Hakeen who gave every effort to ensure the success of this work, and to all the good people wherever they are and however they i dedicate .this work

Fatima

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Abdelaziz Makkawi for day to day follow up, encouragement, meticulous guidance, continuous advice and patient leadership throughout this study. I am really deficient in words to express my happiness to thanks him. Thank you very much for the continuous guidance and priceless assistance. I am extremely thankful to my co-supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Salah Eldein Sid A. Ahmed for his valuable suggestions, encouragement, assistance and helpful guidance. I wish to convey my sincere thanks and acknowledgements to co-supervisor Dr Associate Prof Nahid Gronas for her valuable support, encouragement and technical guidance during the genetic laboratory My sincere thanks to the head, of biology work. department, Central Laboratory, Ministry of Science and Communication, Sudan, Prof. Hanan Moawia Ibrahim for allowing the me to utilize the facilities of the department, and her staff for their valuable support, cooperation and assistance during the laboratory work particularly Dr. Rania, Dr. Mohammed Salih, Yussra, Omnia, Hosham and Asha I would like to express my deep thanks and appreciation to Professor. Mohammed Khair, Director of Kassala University, for his generous standby,

encouragement, financial support and endless help, and especial thanks are due to Kassala University for offering me the scholarship to study for degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Sudan University of Science Technology/College of Graduate Studies. Sincere thanks are due to my faculty and staff members of the Animal Production Department/ Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources/University of Kassala (New Halfa) particular Dr Amani A. Marouf, Dr Nimat El-jabri and Dr Mohammed Adam. I wish to express my unreserved gratitude to Professor Abdel- Wahab Hassan at the Department of Agronomy Science- Faculty of Agriculture/ University of Khartoum for his unfailing encouragement and guidance, particularly during the data analysis stages of this work. Special lout with sincere appreciation and great thanks are due to my friends Dr Rehab Musa and Dr Somia Mohammed for their generous help valuable support, encouragement and advice. I wish to convey my sincere thanks and acknowledgements to Ata EL-Hussein, Mohammed EL-awad, Hassan Ali Mohammed EL-Amin Barakat families for their unlimited support throughout the study period. Grateful thanks to Gamar Elmagzoob and his family for completing data collection and assistant in the nomads which depended on his good contacting relation with them, without them we couldn't collect data through questionnaires or blood sampling. Deep thanks to Morawan (Veterinary Clinic-North Dalta Dr. locality) and to his staff, who facilitated the contact with the nomads and with the blood samples collection .Thanks to Dr. Safsaa Hassan and her family for the unlimited support during the report period

Thanks are also extended to anyone who contributed directly or indirectly during the study period and not been mentioned. I wish to extend my gratitude to members of my family, my beloved mother, father, brothers and sisters for their moral support, prayers and encouragement. Fatima EL-sheik: thank you very much for your love, encouragement and unlimited support. Finally, I want to express my warmest thanks to my husband .Suleiman, for valuable advice and loving support

Above all, I thank the Almighty ALLAH, for giving me the .inner strength and ability to accomplish this study

ABSTRACT

This research was conducted to scrutinize phenotypic and .genotypic characterization of Erashy cattle

Hindered twenty Questionnaire (120 forms) was used to evaluate performance of Erashy cattle herd under village condition in March to August 2010. At north Delta El-gash locality in order to give a detailed picture of the Erashy cattle type and the production system under which it performs. The data were analyzed by Statistical Package .(for Social Sciences (SPSS)

The results revealed that (86.7%) of the respondents claimed that natural pasture is not available. Majority of

animal owners indicated that there is a lack of potable water and mal-distribution of its points especially in the summer. Breeding method was natural service (100%). Percentage (off-take) withdrawn from each herd is weak(4%).The majority of the respondents (80%)indicated that the age of puberty of Erashy cattle was 4 years, the age at first calving was 5 years, gestation length was(285days), first post-calving eostrus (90 days); calving interval was 1-3 years. (56.7%) of the respondents said, the fertility is medium. (60.8%) of respondents reported that lactation period of Erashy cattle type was 180 days. (28.3%) of animal owners indicated that the total milk yield per lactation period of Erashy cattle type under field condition was 800 Kg. (44.2%) of animal owners explained that daily milk yield of Erashy cattle was .((5.3Kg). Illiteracy is a major problem in the area (83.5% Body measurements data were done for fifty one cows divided into three groups on the basis of number of calving. Data was analyzed by using completely randomized design to compute analysis of variance. For meaningful comparison, least significant difference (LSD) test were performed. Analysis of variance revealed that there were no significant differences among animals under this study except some of them, like Heart girth and Pelvic width at significant differences (P<0.05). The overall averages of body measurements (cm) as height at withers, heart girth, barrel circumference, chest depth, chest skin sickness, back length, pelvic width, neck length, body length, ear length, horn length, face length,

tail length, anterior udder length, posterior udder length, udder base length, cranial teats length, caudal teats length, pin bone width, dewlap depth, height at rump, neck skin sickness, body weight were 123.4, 149.0, 203.1, 58.3, 0.58, 63.24., 34.4, 33.39, 138.43, 22.60, 20.55, 47.89, 89.33, 10.08, 16.76, 19.58, 4.53, 4.53, 14.00,

.14.06, 130.78,0.56cm and 269.67kg respectively Animal's conformation data were collected from118 mature cows. The coat colors of the cattle was white, bright red brown, and multi - color, and represented 63%,5% and 32% respectively. Black eyes, black eyelids, white eyelids, brown eyelids, white eyelashes, black eyelashes, black eyelashes, black tip hair, brown hoof, black hoof, brown muzzle, black muzzle, represented (95%),54%, 33%, 13%, 68.6%, 31.4%, 70%, 40.7%59.3%, 40%and60% respectively

Dewlap is well developed in all studied animals and goes down in front of the forelegs forming few folds, and it is predominant in both sexes. Hump extended in male and restricted in female, and may be cervical or cervicothoracic. The basic temperaments of the animals .concerned were docile and aggressive

The experiment was carried to assess the effect of feed level in some productive and reproductive performance at the Department of Animal Production - Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources- (New Halfa) - Kassala .University - October 2010 to October, 2011

In this trial twenty heifers in age3-3.5 years old (settle heifers) in white color (which are assumed to be pure

Erashy) as revealed in order to obtain baseline data. The animals were divided into four groups according to the diet levels. The analyzed data revealed that the dietary level of concentrate had Significant effect (p≤0.05) on performance of experimental heifers regarding, feed intake, average growth rate, bi-weekly weight gain, total body weight gain(kg), final body weight(kg), and feed conversion efficiency

The results indicated that the diet level had significant effect on the heifer's onset puberty. Results showed strong negative correlations between age at puberty and body weight (-0.206). Diet levels effect on successful .conception occurrence

Genotypic characterization of Erashy cattle type and to assess their relationship with other breeds, at Department of Biology-Central Laboratory-Ministry of Science and Communication. The RAPD-PCR method was performed on blood samples taken from 75 animals, (25 from each of the three breeds namely Erashy, Kenana and Butana). Six arbitrary 10-mer primers were used to detect the DNA polymorphisms in the whole genome and filter paper .samples

Two hundred and twenty nine fragments were amplified using six primers. The average of genetic distances among three cattle breeds which calculated according to the RAPD bands obtained with six primers ranged from(0.59 -0.64). According to the dendrogram and cluster analysis of RAPD data the results from the phylogenetic analysis indicated that the populations of Erashy cattle breed is

more genetically closer to the population of Butana cattle .breed than to population of Kenana cattle breeds The conclusion of this study indicated that Erashy cattle may be sub-type of Butana cattle. Such high level of differentiation within the Sudanese genetic cattle population leads to the speculation that they might belong to different breeds despite their having the same name and similar morphological traits. This recommended that genetic characterization of these cattle needs to be clarified in the future by more specific molecular .techniques

مستخلص البحث

أجري هذا البحث بهدف دراسة الخصائص المظهرية و الوراثية لأبقار المودان البحث بشرق السودان

تم توزيع مائة وعشرين استمارة استبيان لملاك الحيوان (مارس-أغسطس 2010،) في محلية شمال دلتا القاش بغرض دراسة الأداء الأنتاجى والتناسلي لأبقار الايراشاى في بيئتها المحلية. البيانات المرصودة SPSS)).

أظهرت نتائج المسح الميداني أن أبقار الايراشاى هي الخيار الأول للمربين بنسبة (94.2%)، نظام الرعي السائد بالمنطقة هو النظام الرعوي التقليدي بشقيه المستقر(20%) والمترحل داخل وخارج منطقة مشروع دلتا القاش الزراعي (80%). أشار غالبية الملاك إلى أن هناك نقصا في المياه الصالحة للشرب مع سوء توزيع نقاط المياه خاصة في فصل الصيف. بينت النتائج ضعف الوعي بأهمية المعاملات اللازمة إتباعها أثناء عملية الحلب وكيفية التعامل مع الحليب، المسحوب السنوي من قطيع الأبقاربمنطقة القاش ضعيف جدا (4%).أشار (80٪) من المربين أن عمر البلوغ الجنسي لماشية الايراشاى 4 سنوات.العمر عند أول ولادة 5 سنوات. فترة الحمل (285 يوم)، أول شبق بعد الولادة 90 يوم، الفترة بين الولادات(1-3سنة).أوضح (56.7%) من اللذين تم استبانهم أن أبقار الايراشاى متوسطة الخصوبة. أشار (٪.58.3) من اللذين تم استبانهم أن أبقار الايراشاى متوسطة الخصوبة. أشار (٪.58.3) من اللذين تم استبانهم أن الإجهاض هو المرض الأول و الأكثر أهمية

بالمنطقة. أوضح (60.8٪) من المستهدفين أن طول موسم الإدرار لدى (لأبقار الايراشاى 180 يوم. قدرت كمية الحليب خلال موسم الإدرار لدى (28.3٪)من المربين بنحو 800كجم تحت ظروف المرعى الطبيعي. أوضح (44.2٪) من المربين أن كمية الحليب المنتج خلال اليوم الواحد حوالي 5.3كجم باليوم. أوضح (44.2٪) من المربين أن العمر الأنتاجي لأبقار الايراشاى من الحليب عشرة سنوات حيث تلد البقرة الواحدة في القاش في أفضل حالاتها 5 ولدات في العمر. الأمية هي المشكلة (84)

أجريت قياسات الجسـم لإحـدى وخمسـين بقـرة فـي الفـترة مـن 28/3/2011 وزعت إلى ثلاث مجموعـات علـى أسـاس عدد الولادات.صممت التجربة باستخدام التصميم العشوائي الكامـل, ثـم حللت البيانات إحصائيا لحساب التباين لمقارنة الفروق على اقل مسـتوى من المعنويـة. كشـف تحليـل التبـاين عـن عـدم وجـود فـروق ذات دلالـة إحصائية بين الحيوانات تحت هذه الدراسة ما عدا بعـض قياسـات الجسـم، المتوسط .(20.05) (محيط الصدر، عرض الحوض)عند مستوى معنوية العام لمقاييس الجسم (سنتيمتر) كارتفاع الحـارك ,محيـط الصـدر,محيـط البطـن ,عمـق الصـدر ,سـمك جلـد الصـدر, طـول الظهـر,عـرض منطقـة البطـن ,عمـق الصـدر ,سـمك جلـد الصـدر, الوجه, الـذيل, الضـرع الأذن, القـرن, الـوجه, الـذيل, الضـرع الأمامي, الضرع الخلفي, قاعدة الضرع,الحلمة الأمامية, الحلمة الخلفيـة), عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الجلـد عرض العظمة الدبوسية, عمق اللبب,ارتفاع منطقة الكفـل, سـمك الحرف 33.4 د 33.3 د 9.58 د 35.4 د

بيانات الصفات الشكلية لأبقار الايراشاى تم جمعها من عدد 118 بقرة ناضجة. أوضحت نتائج الدراسة وجود ألوان متباينة لأبقار الايراشاى واللون الصفائد هو الأبيض بنسبة (63%)،اللون الأحمر بني بنسبة (5%) و متعددة الألوان بنسبة (32%).اللون الأسود لا يوجد إطلاقا. العيون لونها اسود بنسبة (54%)،ابيض بنسبة (33%)

بني بنسبة (13%).لون الرموش ابيض بنسبة (68%)،اسود بنسبة (13%).لون ابيض بنسبة (32 Tip hair).لون البيض بنسبة (70%) واسود بنسبة (59.%).لون المخطم اسود الحافر اسود بنسبة (59.3%)،بني بنسبة (40%).لون المخطم السود بنسبة (60%) وبني بنسبة (40%). الغبب متطور وممتد من الإمام إلى الخلف مع قلة طياته وهو سائد في الجنسين.أوضحت الدراسة إن السلالات النقية هادئة الطباع وسهلة الانقياد على عكس الهجين منها .

أجريت تجربة تقويم الأداء الأنتاجي والتناسلي لأبقار الايراشاي بمزرعة الإنتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة والموارد الطبيعية -جامعة كسلا(حلفا العديدة) في الفترة من أكتوبر 2010 حتى أكتوبر 2011.لمعرفة اثر التغذية على الأداء الأنتاجي و التناسلي لعجلات أبقار الايراشاي.لهذا الغرض جلب عدد عشرون عجلة بعمر (3-3.5)سنة،قسمت عشوائيا إلى أربعة مجموعات متساوية، تم تغذيتها بعلائق تختلف في مستويات الطاقة لمعاملات (0.05 \geq P)والبروتين. أظهرت الدراسة وجود أثرا معنويا العلائق على استهلاك الغذاء اليومي, زيادة الوزن الحي كل أسبوعين ،معدل النمو اليومي، الوزن المكتسب خلال فترة التجربة، الوزن الكلى و تحسين الكفاءة التحويلية بزيادة نسبة المواد المركزة في العليقة على .التوالي

لمعاملات العلائق- (0.05 \geq P) نتائج الدراسة دلت على وجود اثر معنوي حسب مستويات التغذية المختلفة- على ظهـور علامـات البلـوغ الجنسـي. أظهرت الدراسة وجود علاقـة ارتبـاط طرديـة قويـة سـالبة (0.206-)بيـن الوزن والعمر عند البلوغ هـذا يعنـى أن سـوء التغذيـة يـؤخر سـن البلـوغ الجنسي بشـكل ملحـوظ. تشـير نتائج الدراسـة إلـى أن نجـاح الإخصـاب الملقح في الحيوانات المعنية يتأثر بتركيز العليقة المقدمة للحيوان.

أجرى البحث في المعمل المركزي -الوحدة الجزيئية - قسم علم الأحياء وعلم المناعة وزارة العلوم والاتصالات -السودان.بغرض التوصيف الوراثي لأبقار الايراشاى ،دراسة العلاقة و التباينات الوراثية وتحديد البصمة لعدد ثلاث سلالات من الماشية المحلية السودانية وبطانة وبطانة وبطانة

استخدمت ستة بادئات عشوائية لدراسة التفاعل التضاعفي لقطع سلسلة DNA-الحمض النووي في هذه السلالات للكشف عن الأشكال المتعددة ل في الجينوم كله (عدد 75 عينة دم ،25عينة دم لكل سلالة) باستخدام مؤشر التفاعل العشوائي متعدد الأشكال RAPD الواسم الجزيئي للاناعل العشوائي متعدد الأشكال DNA).

أظهرت نتائج التجربة عدد مائتان تسعة وعشرون (229)قطعة متضاعفة RAPD (حزمة)من سلسلة الدنا.استخدمت المعلومات الناتجة من تقنية لتقدير البعد الوراثي والعلاقة بين أفراد السلالة الواحدة و السلالت الأخرى باستخدام معامل التشابه استنادا على طريقة

ورسم شجرة النسب مبنيا على التشابه (Dendrogram. وفقا ... (Dendrogram.) الوراثي و مستخدما مخطط التحليل التجميعي تراوحت درجة التشابه الـوراثي.(UPGMA cluster analysis) لطريقة بين السلالات الثلاث من (0.614 ... 0) بمعدل 0.615 . معدل قيم البعد الوراثي بين أفراد السلالة الواحدة (ايراشاى، كنانة وبطانة) كان على النحو التالي 0.81% ، 73% على التوالي . من استخدام مخطط التحليل التجميعي المبني على قيم البعد الـوراثي واستخدام طريقة في رسم شجرة نسب RAPD من المعلومات الخاصة بتقنية (UPGMA) توضح العلاقة بين السلالات الثلاث وداخلها . أظهرت نتيجة تحليل شجرة النسب أن العلاقة الوراثية بين سلالة الايراشاى و سلالة أبقار بطانة ... اقرب من العلاقة بين أبقار الايراشاى سلالة أبقار كنانة

خلصت الدراسة إلى أن أبقار الايراشاى قد تكون تحت النوع لأبقار البطانة. هذا المستوى العالي من التباين الوراثي بين إفراد النوع الواحد يؤدي إلى تكهنات بأنها قد تنتمي إلى سلالات مختلفة على الرغم من يؤدي إلى الشكلية المتماثلة المتماثلة

البحث يوصى إجراء المزيد من الدراسات باستخدام التقنيات الجزيئية الحديثة و الأكثر تحديدا ودقة لتوصيف الماشية السودانية ومن ثم إنشاء مركز لبحوث الثروة الحيوانية لتحقيق الهدف

LIST OF CON TENTS

| Pag | Title |
|-------|---|
| e. | |
| .No | |
| i | Dedication |
| ii | Acknowledgement |
| iv | Abstract |
| Vii | Arabic Abstract |
| Χ | List of contents |
| xvi | List of abbreviations |
| xviii | List of tables |
| xxii | List of figures |
| xxiv | List of images |
| 1 | CHAPTER ONE |
| 1 | 1.1INTRODUCTION |
| | |
| 4 | Justification 1.2 |
| 4 | 1.30bjectives |
| 4 | 1.3.1General |
| - | |
| _ | objectives |
| 5 | Specific 3.1.2 |
| | objectives |
| 6 | CHAPTÉR TWO |
| 6 | LITERATURE REVIEW |
| 6 | 2.1World cattle |
| | nonulation |
| 6 | population |
| O | 2.20rigin and classification of African |
| | cattle |
| 8 | Sudanese cattle types and 2.3 |
| | breeds |
| 8 | Northern Sudan Zebu 2.3.1 |
| O | |
| | cattle |

| Cattle breeds in eastern 2.3.5 | 12 |
|---|----|
| Sudan Phenotypic .2.4 | 14 |
| characterization Phenotypic characterization of cattle .2.4.1 | 15 |
| breeds Genetic .2.5 | 16 |
| characterization 2.5.1Importance of Molecular | 16 |
| studies The roles of molecular technologies in .2.5.2 | 17 |
| characterization Types of Molecular .2.5.3 | 18 |
| Markers Protein .2.5.3.1 | 18 |
| Polymorphisms DNA .2.5.3.2 | 19 |
| polymorphisms DNA Hybridization-based .2.5.3.2.1 | 19 |
| markers Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Based .2.5.3.2.2 | 20 |
| Markers Molecular Genetic Variation in .2.5.4 | 25 |
| cattle Effects of nutrition on reproduction performance of .2.6 | 29 |
| cattle Effect of concentrate- to -roughage proportions on .2.7 | 42 |
| performance CHAPTER THREE | 46 |
| MATERIALS AND .3 | 46 |
| METHODS Performance of Erashy cattle under village herd .3.1 | 46 |
| condition3.1.10rigin of Erashy cattle | 46 |

| History and Description of Erashy cattle .2 .3 | 3.1 |
|--|-------------------|
| Study design .3 .3 Study .4 .3 | 3.1 |
| populati Sample size .5 .3 Data collection .6 .3 Data analysis .7 .3 Physical .2 | 3.1 3.1 3.1 |
| characterizati Site of the 2.1 | |
| resear | 3 .3 3 |
| conditi Experimental .3.1 | |
| Site of experiment and experimental .3.2 | |
| peri Housing and .3.3 | |
| | .3 |
| Experimental diets (Feed and feeding .3.1.3 | |
| Data recorded .3.7 Data analysis .3.8 Genotypic .3 | 3 .3 |
| characterizati Experimental .3.4 | |
| Anima Collection of Blood, 3.4 | |

| 58 | 3.4.3. Extraction of DNASamples |
|----------|--|
| 61 62 | DNA Electrophoresis .3.4.3 Photography of .3.4.4 |
| 62 | DNA Quantification and purity of .3.4.5 |
| 62 | DNA |
| | RAPD-PCR Reaction .3.4.6 |
| 62 | (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD .3.4.6.1 |
| 62 | Primers used in RAPD .2 .3.4.6 |
| 63 | (Preparation of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR .3.4.6.3 |
| 64 65 | Optimization of RAPD condition .3.4.6.4 PCR program and temperature .3.4.6.5 |
| 65 | profile Electrophoresis of PCR .3.4.6.6 |
| 66 | products Visualization, scoring and .3.4.6.7 |
| 66 | photography Data .3.4.7 |
| 68 68 | analysis CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS Physical characters of Erashy cattle .4.1.1 |
| 68 | type Color .4.1.1.1 |
| 69 | pattern Body .4.1.1.2 |
| 70 | conformation Basic .4.1.1.3 |
| 70 | temperament Body measurements of the .4.1.2 |
| | animals |

| The result of the survey .4.1.3 | 87 |
|---|-----|
| data General .4.1.3.1 | 87 |
| information Different management .4. 4.1.3.2 | 97 |
| practices Reproductive .4.1.3.3 | 104 |
| performance Productive .4.1.3.3.4 | 111 |
| performances The results of Erashy cattle Performance under 4.1.4 | 114 |
| research condition Feed lot performance .4.1.4.1 | 116 |
| data Feed .4.1.4.1 | 116 |
| intakeFeed Conversion.2 .4.1.4 | 116 |
| efficiency Gain in body.1.4.3. 4 | 116 |
| weight Initial and final body .1.4.3.1. 4 | 116 |
| weight Total live weight.1.4.3.2. 4 | 116 |
| gain Biweekly body weight.1.4.3.2. 4 | 117 |
| gain Average daily growth.1.4.3.3. 4 | 117 |
| rate Effect of feed level on reproductive .4.1.4.4 | 117 |
| performance Effect of feed level on heifer pubertal .4.1.4.4.1 | 117 |
| development | 118 |
| heifers | |

| The results of genotypic .4.1.5 | 119 |
|--|-----|
| characterization Primer .4.1.5.1 | 119 |
| Screening Genetic Variation and .4.1.5.2 | 119 |
| Phylogeny Level of polymorphism as reveled by RAPD .4.1.5.2.1 | |
| markers within | 119 |
| breeds Level of polymorphism within Erashy cattle as .4.1.5.2.1.1 | |
| reveled by RAPD markers | 121 |
| Level of polymorphism within Kenana cattle as .4.1.5.2.1.2 | |
| reveled by RAPD markers | 121 |
| cattle | 121 |
| Level of polymorphism within Butana cattle as 4.1.5.2.1.2 | 121 |
| reveled by RAPD markers cattle | |
| Level of polymorphism as reveled by RAPD .4.1.5.2.2 | 121 |
| markers Unique markers and fingerprints revealed by .4.1.5.3 | 122 |
| RAPD Genetic distances between local breeds as revealed .4.2.4.1 | 125 |
| by RAPD Genetic distances within local .4.2.4.2 | 126 |
| breeds Genetic relationship between and within the local cattle.4.2.5 | 130 |
| breeds Cluster analysis of RAPD data between the local .4.2.5.1 breeds | 130 |
| Cluster analysis of RAPD data within the local .4.2.5.2 | 131 |
| breeds CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION | 135 |

| Phenotypic .5.3 | 135 |
|--|------------|
| Physical characters of Erashy cattle type (Color .5.1.2 | 135 |
| (pattern Body .5.1.2 | 136 |
| conformation Basic .5.1.3 | 137 |
| temperamen Body measurements of the .5.1.4 | 137 |
| The result of the survey .5.1.5 | 144 |
| data General information .5.1.5.3 | 144 |
| Management and .5.1.5.2 | 148 |
| practices 5.1.5.3Reproductive | 153 |
| performance Productive .5.1.5.4 | 158 |
| Feed lot performance of Erashy heifers under research .5.1.6 | 160 |
| condition Feed Conversion .5.1.6.3 | 160 |
| efficiency Gain in body .5.1.6.2 | 161 |
| weigh Biweekly body weight .5.1.6.3 | 162 |
| gair 5.1.6.4. Average daily growth | |
| rate | 163 |
| 5.1.6.5. Effect of feed level on reproductive performance: Effect of feed level on heifer pubertal 5.1.6.5. | 163 163 |
| developmen | |
| 2Effect of feed levels on conception rate in .5.1.6.5 | 164 |

| heifers | |
|--|-----|
| Genotypic characteristics .5.2 | 164 |
| Optimization of Condition for RAPD .5.2.1 | 165 |
| Analysis Optimization for Annealing .5.2.2 | 166 |
| Temperature Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) .5.2.3 | 166 |
| Analysis Polymorphic .5.2.4 | 170 |
| Fragment Genetic Variation and .5.2.5 | 170 |
| Phylogenetic CHAPTER SIX | 174 |
| Conclusion | 174 |
| | 175 |
| 6.2.Recommendation | |
| English .6.3 | 178 |
| references 6.4.Arabic | 216 |
| references Appendixes | 217 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AOADA | rab Organization for Agriculture Development |
|-----------|---|
| .A.D | .Anno Domini |
| .AnGR | .Animal Genetic Resources |
| .ANOVA | .Analysis of variance |
| .AP | .Arbitrary Primed |
| .B.C | .Before Christ |
| .bp | .base pair |
| .CD | .Chest depth |
| .ARDKS | .Animal Resources Department Kassala State |
| .BL | .Body length |
| .CL | .Corpus length |
| .CP | .Crude protein |
| | Domestic Animal Diversity Information Service |
| .DAF | .DNA Amplification Fingerprinting |
| DAGRIS Do | mestic Animal Genetic Resources Information |
| | .System |
| .DBS | .Drop blood sample |
| .DNTPs | .Dry mater |
| .DNA | .Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| .EL | .Ear length |
| | 22 |

| .FAO | .Food and Agriculture Organization |
|--------|--|
| .FL | .Face length |
| .GDP | .Gross domestic product |
| .HG | .Heart girth |
| .HL | .Horn length |
| .HRP | Height at rump |
| .HC | .Hump circumference |
| .HW | .Height at withers |
| .MAAP | .Multiple Arbitrary Amplicon Profiling |
| .MOARF | .Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries |
| .Ne | .Effective population size |
| .NL | .Neck length |
| .PBW | .Pin bone width |
| .PCR | .Polymerase chain reaction |
| .PW | .Pelvic width |
| .QTN | .Quantitative Trait Nucleotides |
| .RAPD | .Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA – RCR |
| .RCLB | .Red Cell Lysis Buffer |
| .RFLPs | .Restriction fragment length polymorphism |
| .SCAR | Sequence characterized amplified regions for |
| | amplification of specific band. |
| .SDS | .Sodium Di Sulphate |
| .SSR | .Simple Sequence Repeats |
| .TW | .Tail width at base |
| .TBE | .Tris Boric Acid EDTA |
| .TDN | .Total Digestible Nutrients |
| .TL | .Tail length |
| .TW | .Thigh width |
| .UV | .Ultra violet |
| .VNTR | .Variable number tandem repeat |
| .WCLB | .White Cell Lysis Buffer |

LIST OF TABLE

| Tabl | Title | Pag |
|-------|--|-----------------|
| е | | e. |
| : 2.1 | Countries by Cow | .No 6 |
| : 3.1 | numbers Ingredients (%) and chemical composition of | |
| | experimental | 54 |
| : 3.2 | Sampling locality of the breed types under | 57 |
| : 3.3 | study The base sequences and length of (10-mer) RAPD | |
| | primers used for detected polymorphism within | 63 |
| : 3.4 | local breeds The component of each PCR reaction in RAPD | 64 |
| : 3.5 | analysis Showed PCR-RAPD program me for all samples | 65 |
| : 4.1 | under study. Frequency of Erashy coat | 68 |
| : 4.2 | color Frequency of Erashy coat | 69 |
| : 4.3 | color Summary of analysis of mean linear body | |
| | measurement (in centimeters) of Erashy cattle | 73 |
| :4.4 | type observed in this study Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| | according to sex in El-gash | 88 |
| : 4.5 | Table 4.5: Frequency distribution of animal | |
| | owners according to age in El-gash | 88 |
| : 4.6 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| 24 | according to educational level in El-gash | 89 |
| | | |

| : 4.7 | area Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
|-----------|---|----|
| | according to marital status in the El-gash | 89 |
| :4.8 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| | according to family size in the Elgash | 90 |
| :4.9 | (History of Erashy cattle possession (years | 91 |
| 4.10 | Showed Criteria of Breed | 92 |
| : 4.11 | selection Showed breeds order | 92 |
| : 4.12 | preference Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to herd | 93 |
| | type | |
| 4.13 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to number of cow male in the | 94 |
| 4.14 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to their income | 95 |
| 4.15 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to Livestock production | 96 |
| 4.16 | Distance that animal's cross/day in nomadic type | 96 |
| : 4.17 | ((km Percentage of working capacity of different | |
| : | management | 97 |
| | practices | |
| 4.18 | Percentage of working capacity of different 25 | |

| : | management | 98 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| | practices | |
| 4.19 | Percentage of working capacity of different | |
| : | management | 99 |
| | practices | |
| 4.20 | Production related breeding management | 100 |
| : 4.21 | practices Milk production related management practices | |
| : | according to animal owners in Elgash | 101 |
| 4.22 | Dry period of Erashy cattle type in | 102 |
| : 4.23 | days Milk allowed for infant in | 101 |
| : 4.24 | bound Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to annual withdrawn from the | 103 |
| | herd | |
| 4.25 | Age at withdrawn from Erashy cattle according | 103 |
| : | to animal | |
| 4.26 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| : | according to Erashy | 104 |
| | cattle in Age at puberty (years) in the | |
| 4.27 | Frequency distribution of animal owners | |
| | according to age at | 105 |
| | first calving /years of Erashy cattle in the | |
| 4.28 | area Gestation length/months of Erashy cattle | |
| : | according to animal | 105 |
| 26 | | |

owners in Elgash Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.29 according to First post-calving eostrus in days 106 .. Erashy cattle type in Elgash area Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.30 106 according to Calving interval/years of Erashycattle type in Elgash area Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.31 according to fertility level of Erashy cattle type in 107Elgash area Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.32 according to causes of low fertility of Erashy 107 cattle in Elgash area..... Frequency 107 4.33 distribution of animal owners according to pregnancy usually occurs after mating of Erashy 4.33 cattle in Elgash Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.34 according to Causes of delayed next pregnancy 108in Elgash area Time length of bull usage for 109 4.35mating/years Prevalent diseases as reported by animal 109 4.36 Abortions number per years in the according to 4.37 animal owners in Elgash 100 Abortions causes according to animal 100 4.38owners Frequency distribution of animal owners 4.39

| 111 | according to lactation period/days of Erashy | : |
|-----|---|---------|
| | cattle type in Elgash area Frequency distribution of animal owners | 4.40 |
| 112 | according to lactation yield milk (lbs) of Erashy | : |
| | cattle type in Elgash area. Frequency distribution of animal owners | 4.41 |
| 113 | according to daily milk yield/pound of Erashy | : |
| | cattle type in Elgash area Frequency distribution of animal owners | 4.42 |
| 114 | according to useful | : |
| | life of Erashy cattle in Elgash | |
| | Mean value for Erashy heifers' performance fed | 4.43 |
| 115 | diets containing different levels of protein and | : |
| | energy over 48 week | |
| 118 | Effect of nutrition level on onset of puberty in | |
| | heifers | 4.44 |
| | (weeks) | : |
| | Percentage of successful conception of Erashy | 4.45 |
| 118 | heifer's type according to the diet | : |
| | List of six primers amplified and total number of | 4.46 |
| 120 | fragments (means) of each primer in three | : |
| | Number of bands generated and percentage | 4.47 |
| 122 | polymorphism within cattle breeds as revealed | : |
| 124 | Unique marker revealed by | 4.48 |
| | Average pair wise Genetic distance matrix | |
| | among the indigenous Sudanese cattle breeds, | : 28 |

| | (1979) | and Li | Nei a | ling | acco | computed | |
|------|----------|------------------|----------|---------|--------|------------|-----|
| | RAPD | from | taining | ient | coeff | similarity | 125 |
| | Markers | 1 | | | | | |
| 4.50 | | in Erash | | | d gene | Calculated | |
| : | btained | bands o | RAPD I | ing to | accor | breeds | |
| | and Lei | d on Nei | s based | ix prir | with | | 127 |
| 4.51 | | coef n Kenan | | | | Calculated | |
| : | btained | bands o | RAPD I | ing to | accor | breeds | |
| | and Lei | d on Nei | s based | ix prir | with | | 128 |
| 4.52 | | coef in Butan | | | | Calculated | |
| : | btained | bands o | e RAPD I | ing to | accor | breeds | |
| | and Lei | d on Nei | s based | ix prir | with | | 129 |
| | ficients | coef | | | | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Page. | | | | | | | | Title | Figur |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|------|---------|------|-------------------------|-------|
| .No | | | | | | | | | е |
| 56 | A flow | chart s | showir | ng the | step | s follo | owed | to identify Sudanese | : 3.1 |
| 30 | three | loc | cal | bre | ed | of | : | Sudanese | |
| 71 | Height | at | withe | rs ir | | m in | | cattle e calving | : 4.1 |
| 71 | Heart | girth | in | cm | | the | | stages calving | : 4.2 |

| 72 | Berrnal | circumf | erences | | | in calvin | | : 4.3 |
|----|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------------------|--------|
| 74 | Chest | depth | in | cm | | the | | : 4.4 |
| 75 | Chest | skin | sicknes | s i | n | cm in | stages three | : 4.5 |
| | | | | | | in | | : 4.6 |
| 76 | Pelvic | width | | cm | in | calving | stages three | : 4.7 |
| 77 | Neck | length | in | | cm | in | stages calving | : 4.8 |
| 78 | Body | length | in | cm | in | the | stages calving | : 4.9 |
| 78 | Ear ler | igth in | cm be | etwee | n th | e three | stage calving | : 4.10 |
| 79 | Horn I | ength | in cm | in | | three | | : 4.11 |
| 79 | Face le | ngth in | cm b | | | ne three | | : 4.12 |
| 80 | Tail len | igth in | cm be | etwee | | e three | stages calving | : 4.13 |
| 81 | Anterior | udder | length | in | | in the | stage calving | : 4.14 |
| 81 | Posterio | r udder | length | i in | | in the | stage calving | : 4.15 |
| 82 | Udder | base le | ngth in | cm | | three st | stag ages of | : 4.16 |
| 83 | Cranial | teats le | ength ir | n cm | | three st | _ | : 4.17 |
| 83 | Caudal | teats le | ength ir | n cm | | three st | _ | : 4.18 |
| 84 | Pin bo | ne widt | h in | cm | | three sta | calving ages of | : 4.19 |

| 8 | 35 | Dewla | p dep | oth in | cm | at | | calv stages | | : 4.20 |
|---|----|-------|--------|----------|---------------|--------|-------|----------------|-----|--------|
| _ | _ | | | | | | | calv | _ | |
| 8 | 35 | Heigh | t at | rump i | n cm | | | stages | | : 4.21 |
| 8 | 36 | Neck | skin t | hickness | s in c | | | calv stages | | : 4.22 |
| 8 | 37 | Body | weigl | nt / | kg a | at | | calv stages | _ | : 4.23 |
| | | | | | | | | calv | ing | |
| | | | | | polyn | • | | | | |
| | | | | • | with | | - | | | |
| | | | 250 | cattle | breed | | | | | |
| | | | | agrose | | | gel). | | | |
| | | | | Amplifi | cation | | with | | | |
| | | | | primer | 0 | PC-2 | ,(Fig | | | |
| | | | | 4.24a) | | | and | | | |
| | | | | OPC1(f | ig4.24b |). | M: | | | |
| | | | | molecu | lar size | e ma | irker | | | |
| | | | | RAPD | 100k polyn | • | | | | |
| | | | | identify | with | Ker | nana | | | |
| | | | 250 | cattle | breed | (1 | L.5% | | | |
| | | | | agrose | | | gel). | | | |
| | | | | Amplifi | cation | | with | | | |
| | | | | primer | OPC- | 13, | (Fig | | | |
| | | | | 4.25a) | and | (| OPC- | | | |
| | | | | 1(fig4.2 | 25b). | | M: | | | |
| | | | | | lar size | e ma | rker | | | |
| | | | | | 100k | | | | | |
| | | | | RAPD | polyn | • | | | | |
| | | | | identify | with | Bu | tana | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| 249 | cattle breed (1.5% |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| | agrose gel). |
| | Amplification with |
| | primer OPC-5, (Fig |
| | 4.25a) and OPE- |
| | 18(fig4.25b). M: |
| | molecular size marker |
| | 100bp ladder RAPD profile shows |
| | example of unique |
| 249 | positive marker (UMP) |
| | and unique negative |
| | marker (UNM) of |
| | primer OPC-13 for |
| | Erashy cattle |
| | breed |
| | |
| | Dendrogram showing |
| | genetic relatedness |
| | among three |
| 130 | indigenous Sudanese |
| | cattle breeds (Erashy, |
| | Butana and Kenana) |
| | based on RAPD data |
| | constructed by |
| | unweighted pair-group |
| | method using |
| | arithmetic averages |

((UPGMA Dendrogram showing 132 relationship within 25 4.25 individuals of Erashy cattle breed generated by UPGMA method based **RAPD** on analysis Dendrogram showing 133 relationship within 25 4.26 individuals of Butana cattle breed generated **UPGMA** method by **RAPD** based on .analysis Dendrogram showing 4.27 134 relationship within 25 individuals of Kenana cattle breed generated by UPGMA method based **RAPD** on

.analysis

List of images

| Page. | Title | Image |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| . No 11 | Kenana cattle breed- | : 1.1 |
| 14 | bull Butana cattle breed- | : 1.2 |
| 14 | bull Butana cattle breed- | : 1.3 |
| 19 | cow Erashy cattle breed- | : 1.4 |
| 19 | bull Erashy cattle breed- | : 1.5 |
| 20 | cow Cross Erashy | : 1.6 |
| 20 | heifer El-gash cattle types | : 1.7 |
| | breed | |