

To my mother,

Brothers,

Sisters,

and Wife,

with love.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My full praise to Allah for enabling me to complete my study. This is a real blessing from Him and thanks to Him in the way that suits His supreme greatness, will and power. Blessings and peace from Allah S. W. T. be upon our prophet Mohammed and all his Family and companions. My sincere appreciations go to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Azhari Abdelazim Hamada, who was a great source of inspiration and encouragement throughout the period of my study. Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to him for his systematic guidance, advice, patience, constructive criticisms and continuous supervision until the completion of the study. All his contributions are truly appreciated.

Iam also indebted to my co-supervisor, Associate professor, Eltigani Ahmmed Abu Elgasim for his valiable contributions and suggestions that added interesting new knowledge and validity to this study.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to the Agricultural Research Corporation (ARC), especially its chairman and the staff of the Department of Weed Research, especially professor Dr. A. G. E. Babiker, Dr. Dafalla Ahmmed Dawoud and Dr. Nadia Elamin Ibrahim for the extensive assistance offered throughout the duration of my study.

Thanks also go to Maize Research, Program especially Dr. Altahir Siding for his kind cooperation and his systematic guidance and advice during the study. Also I would like to express my deepest thanks to my colleague Yasir Hassan Satti Mohammed and the workers staff and the students of the Faculty of Agriculture, Dongola University for their appreciated contributions when conducting my study. I would like to express my special thanks, deepest appreciation and gratitude to my dear wife Howeida Mahy Eldeen Ali Ahmmed for her wholehearted assistance and sacrifice throughout this study. Her patience and encouragement always remained as my inspiration to complete this degree. Finally, I owe a big thank to my mother Sitana Salih Osman and my brothers and sisters for their spiritual and moral support. All of you are respected, loved and cherished for being there for me.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Dedication	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of contents	iv
List of tables	X
List of figures	XV
Arabic abstract	xvi
English abstract	xvii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Weeds: Definition, Classification and Economic Importance	6
2.2 Effect of Weeds on Maize	8
2.3 Weed Control in Maize	9
2.3.1 Prevention	10
2.3.2 Cultural methods	10
Hand weeding	11
Mechanical weeding	11
Tillage	11
Mowing and shredding	11
Burning	12
Flooding	12
Mulching (Covering the soil) and solarization	12
Crop rotation	13
2.3.3 Biological control	13

2.3.4 Chemical weed control	15
2.3.5 Integrated weed management	15
2.4 Weed control in maize	16
2.5 Soil Applied Herbicides	18
2.5.1 Factors effecting soil-applied herbicides	19
a. Edaphic factors, which include	19
Soil organic matter	19
Soil texture and structure	19
Soil pH	20
Soil moisture content	20
Nutrient status of the soil	21
b. Climatic factors	21
Moisture	21
Temperature	22
Light	22
2.5.2 The fate of soil applied herbicides	22
Adsorption	23
Photodecomposition	23
Chemical decomposition	24
Microbial degradation	24
Leaching	25
Volatilization	26
Uptake by plants	26
2.6 Selectivity of soil-applied herbicides	27
2.7 Critical periods for weed competition in maize	27
3.8 Herbicides used in the investigation	30

Atrazine 90% (W.G)	30
Dual gold 96% (E. C) (Metolachlor)	32
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	34
3.1 The Experimental Site	34
3.1.1 Climate	34
3.2 Soil Mechanical Properties	35
3.3 Land Preparation, Sowing and the Layout of the Experiments	35
3.4 Weed Survey in Maize in Dongola Area	36
3.5 Biology of Seed Germination of Some Selected Weed Species	37
3.5.1 Life cycle of some selected weed species	37
3.5.2 Laboratory experiments	38
Minimum, optimum and maximum temperatures for seed germination	39
Seed germination under simulated drought	39
Effect of depth of sowing (cm) on germination % and emergence % of some selected weed species	40
Effect of pH on germination % of some selected weed species	40
3.6 The Weed Competition	41
3.7 Herbicides Experiment	41
3.8 Data Collection	42
3.8.1 The crop	42
a. Vegetative growth parameters	42
Plant height (cm)	42
Shoot dry weight (g/plant)	42

Number of leaves/plant	43
Leaf area index/ m <sup>2</sup>	43
Number of internodes/plant	43
Days to 50% tasseling	43
b. Yield components parameters	44
Ear length (cm)	44
Number of ears/plant	44
Number of kernels/ear	44
Number of rows/ear	44
Ear weight (g)	44
100 kernel weight (g)	44
Grain yield (g)/plant	45
Total grain yield (kg/fed)	45
3.8.2 Weeds	45
Effect of herbicides on weeds	45
3.9 Effect of Herbicides Residues on Subsequent Crops in Crop Rotation	46
3.10 Statistical Analysis	46
3.10.1 Individual and combined analysis of variances	46
3.10.2 Mean separation	47
3.10.3 Coefficient of variation (C. V)	47
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	49
4.1 Weed Survey in Maize in Dongola Area	49
4.2 Biology of Seed Germination of Some Selected Weed	
Species	54

	4.2.1 Life cycle of some selected weed species	54
	4.2.2 Laboratory experiments	54
	Minimum, optimum and maximum temperatures for seed germination	54
	Seed germination under simulated drought	57
	Effect of depth of sowing (cm) on germination % and emergence % of some selected weed species	59
	Effect of pH on germination % of some selected weed species	61
	4.3 Weed Competition Experiment	63
	4.3.1 Effect on plant height (cm)	63
	4.3.2 Effect on shoot dry weight (g)/plant	66
	4.3.3 Effect on number of leaves/plant	69
	4.3.4 Effect on leaf area index per m <sup>2</sup>	69
	4.3.5 Effect on number of ears/plant	73
	4.3.6 Effect on number of kernels/ear	76
	4.3.7 Effect on number of rows/ear	76
	4.3.8 Effect on ear weight (g)	77
	4.3.9 Effect on 100 kernel weight (g)	81
	4.3.10 Effect on grain yield (g)/plant	84
	4.3.11 Effect on total grain yield (kg/fed)	84
	4.3.12 Effect on number of internodes/plant	90
	4.3.13 Effect on ear length (cm)	91
	4.3.14 Effect on days to 50% tasseling	92
	4.3.15 The correlations between total grain yield and its components for duration of weed interference	93
a. For	r weed free for X weeks	03

b. For weedy for X weeks	93
4.4 The Herbicides Experiment	97
4.4.1 Effect of herbicide treatments on parameters of maize (Z. mays L.)	97
Plant height	97
Shoot dry weight	100
Number of leaves per plant	103
Leaf area index	103
Number of ears per plant	106
Number of kernels/ear	109
Number of rows/ear	109
Ear weight (g)	110
100 kernel weight (g)	113
Grain yield (g)/plant	115
Total grain yield (kg / fed.)	115
Ear length (cm)	118
Number of internodes/plant	118
4.4.2 The correlations between total grain yield and its	
components in the herbicides experiment	119
4.4.3 Effect of herbicides on weeds	122
Weed biomass (g/m²)	122
Percentage weed control	124
a. Graminae weeds	124
b. Broad-leaved weeds	127
c. Total weed control	130
4.5 Effect of herbicides residues on subsequent crops in crop rotation	133

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	134
5.1 Weed survey in maize in Dongola area	134
5.2 Biology of seed germination of some selected weed species	134
5.2.1 Life cycle of some selected weed species	134
5.2.2 Laboratory experiments	135
Minimum, optimum and maximum temperatures for seed germination	135
Seed germination under simulated drought	136
Effect of depth of sowing (cm) on germination % and emergence % of some selected weed species	136
Effect of pH on germination % of some selected weed species	137
5.3 Weed competition experiments	137
5.4 The herbicides experiment	140
5.4.2 Effect of herbicides on weeds	142
5.5 Effect of herbicides residues on subsequent crops in crop rotation	143
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	144
REFERENCES	147
APPENDICES	162

### LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.
Table 1: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean field density (number/m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Lebeb	50
Table 2: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U)%, mean field density (number/m²) m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Artigasha	50
Table 3: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean field density (number/m²) (MFD) and relative abundance for most common weeds at Marawarty	50
Table 4: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean field density (number/ m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Binna	51
Table 5: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean ield density (number/ m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Magasir	52
Table 6: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean field density (number/m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Nawa	53
Table 7: Field frequency (F) %, uniformity (U) %, mean field density (number/m²) (MFD) and relative abundance (RA) for most common weeds at Elmasakeen	53
Table 8: Minimum, optimum and maximum temperatures (°C) for germination of two weed species	55
Table 9: Effect of temperatures (12 hr light+12 hr dark) on seed germination %of <i>A. viridis</i> L. and <i>S. arvensis</i> L. for 7 days	55
Table 10: Effect of depth of sowing (cm) on emergence % of <i>A. viridis</i> L. and <i>S. arvensis</i> L. For 2 weeks	60

Table 11: Effect of depth of sowing (cm) on germination % and emergence % of <i>A. viridis</i> L. and <i>S. arvensis</i> L. For 6 days	60
Table 12: Influence of duration of weed interference on plant height (cm) during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	65
Table 13: Influence of duration of weed interference on plant height (cm) during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	65
Table 14: Influence of duration of weed interference on shoot dry weight (g)/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	68
Table 15: Influence of duration of weed interference on shoot dry weight (g)/plant during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	68
Table 16: Influence of duration of weed interference on leaf area index /m² during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	72
Table 17: Influence of duration of weed interference on leaf area index $\mbox{/m}^2$ during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	72
Table 18: Influence of duration of weed interference on number of ears/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	75
Table 19: Influence of duration of weed interference on number of ears/plant during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	75
Table 20: Influence of duration of weed interference on ear weigh (g)/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	80
Table 21: influence of duration of weed interference on ear weight (g)/plant during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	80
Table 22: Influence of duration of weed interference on 100 kernel weight (g) during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	83
Table 23: influence of duration of weed interference on 100 kernel weight (g) during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	83
Table 24: Influence of duration of weed interference on total grain yield (kg/fed) during winter seasons (2002, 2003)	89
Table 25: influence of duration of weed interference on total grain vield (kg/fed) during summer seasons (2003, 2004)	80

Table 26: The correlations between total grain yield (kg/fed) and all the parameters during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004) for weedy for x weeks	95
Table 27: The correlations between total grain yield (kg/fed) and all the parameters during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004) for weed free for x weeks	96
Table 28: Effect of herbicide treatments on plant height (cm) during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	99
Table 29: Effect of herbicide treatments on shoot dry weight (g)/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	102
Table 30: Effect of herbicide treatments on leaf area index during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	105
Table 31: Effect of herbicide treatments on number of ears/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	108
Table 32: Effect of herbicide treatments on ear weight (g)/plant during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	112
Table 33: Effect of herbicide treatments on 100 kernel weight (g) during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	114
Table 34: Effect of herbicide treatments on total grain yield (kg/fed) during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	116
Table 35: The correlations between total grain yield (kg/fed) and all the parameter during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004) for control	121
Table 36: Effect of herbicide treatments on wood hiomass (a/m²)	123

during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	
Table 37: Effect of herbicide treatments on percentage graminae weeds control during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	126
Table 38: Effect of herbicide treatments on percentage broad-leaved weeds control during winter seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	129
Table 39: Effect of herbicide treatments on percentage weed control during winter Seasons (2002, 2003) and summer seasons (2003, 2004)	132
LIST OF FIGURES	
	Page
	No.
Figure 1: Effect of temperatures (24 hr dark) on seed germination %	
of Amaranthus viridis L. for 7 days	56

Figure 2: Effect of temperatures (24 hr dark) on seed germination %	
of <i>Sinapis arvensis</i> L. <i>for 7 days</i> Figure 3: Effect of osmotic pressure on seed germination % of	56
Amaranthus viridis L. at30 °C for 2 weeks Figure 4: Effect of osmotic pressure on seed germination % of	58
Sinapis arvensis L. at 20 °C for 2 weeks Figure 5: Effect of pH on seed germination% of <i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	58
L. at30 °C for 7 daysFigure 6: Effect of pH on seed germination% of <i>Sinapis arvensis</i>	62
L. at20 °C for 7 days	-
Figure 7: Effect of weed competition on total grain yield (kg/fed)	62
during winter seasonsFigure 8: Effect of weed competition on total grain yield (kg/fed)	88
during summer seasonsFigure 9: Effect of weed competition and total grain yield (kg/fed)	88
during winter seasons and summer seasons	117

## بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

# الحشائش فى الذرة الشامية (الاهمية والمكافحة) بالتركيز على الولاية الشمالية-السودان خلاصة الاطروحة

اشتمل هذا البحث على مسح جميع الحشائش التى تنمو مع محصول الذرة الصفراء (Zea mays L) وتجارب لمعرفة بيولوجية إنبات ودورة حياة بعض بذور الحشائش السائدة في المنطقة بالاضافة الى تجارب حقلية ومسوحات.

لحصر الحشائش فى محصول الذرة الصفراء بمنط قة دن قلا بالولاية الشمالية اجرى البحث فى جزر مختلفة لتحديد الحشائش الشائعة او السائدة والتى ترافق هذا المحصول. والحشائش السائدة تمثلت فى النجيل، السعدة ، التمليكة، الرجلة، العدار، لسان طير كبير، لسان طير صغير والدفرة.

اتضح من البحث أن الحشائش السائدة فى لبب، بنا، م قاصر والمساكين عبارة عن حشائش عريضة الأوراق بينما الحشائش السائدة فى ارت قاشة، مروارتى وناوا عبارة عن حشائش ضي قة الاوراق وهذا يرجع الى استعمال مبيدات الحشائش الحولية العريضة فى جزر لبب, بنا, م قاصر والمساكين بينما استعمال المبيدات المذكورة قليل فى الجزر الأخرى.

لمعرفة بيولوجية إنبات ودورة حياة بعض بذور الحشائش السائدة فى المنطقة تم اجراء دراسة لحشيشتى لسان الطير الكبير والفجيلة وأوضحت الدراسة بان دورة حياة الحشيشتين تتم فى 99 و 101 يوم على التوالى، بالاضافة الى اجراء بعض التجارب المعملية التى اوضحت الاتى:-

- 1) درجة الحرارة المثلى للإنبات هى 30°م (24 ساعة ظلام) ودرجة الحرارة 30/20 م (24 ساعة ظلام) ودرجة الحرارة 30/20 م (12 ساعة ضوء+12 ساعة ظلام) للسان الطير الكبير 21 ساعة ظلام) للفجيلة 20°م (12 ساعة ظلام) و 20°م (12 ساعة ضوء + 12 ساعة ظلام) للفجيلة Sinapis arvensis L
  - 2) هاتان الحشيشتان لهما مدى حرارى واسع للانبات وهو (20 40) للسان طير كبير  $A.\ viridis\ L$  .
  - 3) انبات بذور هاتين المشيشتين يوقل بزيادة الضغط الاسموزي والعكس صحيح.

- 4) النسبة المئوية لانبات وظهور هاتين المشيشتين فوق سطح التربة تـ قل بزيادة عمق زراعة بذورهما والعكس صحيح.
  - 5) هاتان الحشيشتان تفضلان الاراضى الحامضية وال قلوية للانبات.

اجريت ثلاث تجارب حقلية, تجربة منافسة الحشائش (1), تجربة منافسة الحشائش (11) وتجربة مبيدات الحشائش في محصول الذرة الصفراء خلال موسمى الشتاء وموسمى الصيف للاعوام 2002، 2003 و 2004 بمنط قة ا قجادد قلا بالولاية الشمالية.

ول قد اظهرت هذه التجارب النتائج التالية:-

فى التجربة الاولى والثانية قد اوضحت النتائج ان عدم مكافحة الحشائش ادى الى ن قص معنوى فى انتاجية محصول الذرة الصفراء وتراوح هذا الذقص ما بين 58 – 62% فى الموسمين الشتويين وبين 67 – 79% فى الموسمين الصيفيين.وان هذا الذقص مرتبط بفترة المنافسة الحرجة بين المحصول والحشائش اى انه كلما نقصت هذه الفترة كلما زادت الانتاجية والعكس صحيح.

كذلك اظهرت الدراسة ان الفترة الحرجة لمنافسة الحشائش فى محصول الذرة الصفراء تتراوح ما بين 2 و 8 اسابيع من الزراعة فى الموسمين الشتويين وما بين 2 و 9 اسابيع من الزراعة فى الموسمين الصيفيين.

ايضاً اظهر البحث بان المحصول م قاوم نسبياً للحشائش فى الموسم الشتوى عنه فى الموسم الصيفى وذلك لنمو المحصول نموا قويا فى الشتاء.

فى التجربة الثالثة وجد ان استخدام الجرعة العالية من الأترازين والجرعة العالية من دول قولد وخليط الجرعة المتوسطة من الأترازين مع الجرعة العالية لدول قولد اعطى اعلى زيادة معنوية فى الناتج الكلى للذرة الصفراء وكان مشابهاً للعزيق اليدوى خلال الموسمين الشتويين و الموسمين الصيفيين م قارنةً بالشاهد.

ايضاً وجد ان استخدام المبيدات ومخاليطها اعطت أقل نقص معنوى فى الوزن الجاف للحشائش خلال الموسمين الشتويين والموسمين الصيفيين مقارنة بالشاهد. وبت قييم اثر المبيدات فى مكافحة الحشائش اظهرت الدراسة فعالية جيدة فى مكافحة الحشائش الحشائش الحولية وبخاصة الجرعات العالية للمبيدين والجرعات المختلفة للخليط بين المبيدين الاترازين ودول قولد.

### **ABSTRACT**

A weed survey in maize (*Zea mays* L.) was carried out in different islands in Dongola Area namely Lebeb, Artigasha, Marawarty, Binna, Magasir, Nawa and Elmasakeen to determine the most common and prevalent weed species associated with maize. The most common and prevalent weed species associated with maize in these islands were found to be *Cynodon dactylon* L., *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Gynandropsis gynandra* L. Brig., *Portulaca Oleraceae* L., *Sorghum arundinaceum*, *Amaranthus viridis* L, *Amaranthus graecizans* L. and *Echinochloa colona* Link.

In general, the weed flora of Lebeb, Binna, Magasir and Elmasakeen was dominated by broad- leaved weeds whereas that of Artigasha, Marawarty and Nawa were dominated by graminae weeds. This result could be attributed to the use of broad-leaved weed herbicides like 2, 4- D which kill only broad-leaved weeds in Artigasha, Marawarty and Nawa while in the other islands the use of the mentioned herbicides is rare. Also this result could be attributed to the variation of soils and the different crops which sown in the North Sudan.

Biology of seed germination of some selected weed species was studied to determine their life cycles and to study the effect of temperature, simulated drought, depth of sowing and pH on their germination. The weed species were *Amaranthus viridis* L, and *Sinapis arvensis* L., the field experiments which were conducted explained that, their life cycles are 99 and 101 day, respectively. However, the laboratory experiments results showed the following:

1) The optimum temperature for seed germination is 30 °C (24 hr dark) and the alternative temperature 30/20°C (12 hr light/12hr dark) for *Amaranthus viridis* L. and 20°C (24 hr dark) and 20°C (12hr light/12 hr dark) for *Sinapis arvensis* L..

- 2) These weeds have a wide range of temperatures for germination,
- $(20-40^{\circ}\text{C})$  for A. viridis L and  $(10-40^{\circ}\text{C})$  for S. arvensis L.
- 3) Seed germination for the two weed species decreased with increasing osmotic pressure and vice versa, as there is a negative correlation.
- 4) Seed germination and emergence for the two weed species decreased with increasing depths of sowing and they can be controlled effectively by plowing them at least 4 cm soil depth.
- 5) These two weed species prefer acidic and alkaline soils for germination.

Three field experiments were conducted, included two sets of competition experiments (the first set involved weed free subplots for X weeks after sowing and kept weed free till harvest, while the second set involved weedy subplots for X weeks after sowing and left weedy till harvest) and a herbicide experiment in maize (*Zea mays* L.). The experiments were conducted for two consecutive winter and two consecutive summer seasons of the years 2002, 2003 and 2004 at Agja-Dongola-Northern State-Sudan-located within latitude 16° and 22° N, and longitude 20° and 32° E.

Results obtained from the first and second experiments indicated that, unrestricted weed growth reduced significantly maize total grain yield by 58 - 62% in both winter seasons and by 67 - 79% in both summer seasons, and this reduction mainly affected by the duration of weed-infested period, whereas the maize total grain yield increased when the duration of weedy-period was decreased.

From the two sets of experiments was found that, the critical period for weed competition in maize according to this investigation was between 2 and 8 weeks after planting in both winter seasons and between 2 and 9 weeks after planting in both summer seasons. Also

results indicated that, the maize is relatively more tolerant to weeds in the winter season than in the summer season because the growth of the crop is very good in the winter season.

Results obtained from the third experiment showed that within the three herbicides the best weed control was achieved by Atrazine at its highest rate, Dual gold at highest rate and the tank mixtures of Atrazine at intermediate rate with Dual gold at highest rate in both winter seasons and both summer seasons and they gave maize total grain yield comparable to full season weed free treatment.

The use of herbicides and their tank mixtures significantly reduced weed biomass in both winter seasons and both summer seasons as compared to full season weedy treatment.