

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH MARCH

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	45	M	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
2	51	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
3	49	F	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7
4	38	M	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5
5	68	F	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.8
6	80	F	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
7	54	F	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
8	55	F	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-07	0.5-0.5	0.5-0.7	0.61	0.61	.61
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.7	0.65	0.67	.65

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH APRIL

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	47	M	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6
2	50	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
3	35	F	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
4	62	M	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.7
5	40	F	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6
6	55	M	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
7	25	M	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
8	72	M	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
9	47	F	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
10	56	F	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.8
11	53	M	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
12	32	F	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.8	0.4-0.7	0.5-0.7	.61	.59	.60
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.7	.56	.66	.63

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH MAY

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	22	F	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5
2	41	F	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5
3	26	M	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
4	32	M	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
5	69	F	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8
6	30	M	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
7	44	F	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.4-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.7	.58	.57	.58
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.7	0.4-0.9	0.5-0.8	.58	.62	.58

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH JUNE

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	51	F	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7
2	38	F	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6
3	43	F	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6
4	33	M	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
5	52	M	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6
6	60	M	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8
7	47	M	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7
8	34	M	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6
9	49	F	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7
10	34	F	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
11								
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.4-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.56	.59	.63
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.62	.66	.64

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH JULY

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	43	F	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6
2	30	M	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
3	29	M	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
4	48	F	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
5	42	F	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
6	57	M	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.7
7	54	M	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7
8	58	F	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8
9	75	M	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8
10	55	M	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
11	60	F	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Di.58stil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.59	.62	.58
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.6-0.8	0.5-0.9	0.5-0.8	.61	.66	.65

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH AUGUST

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	30	M	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
2	34	M	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
3	40	M	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6
4	39	F	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
5	28	M	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5
6	42	F	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6
7	57	F	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
8	25	F	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.7	0.5=0.6	0.5-0.7	.55	.55	.56
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.4-0.7	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.7	.56	.60	.57

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH SEPTEMBER

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	56	M	0.7	0.8	0.78	0.7	1.0	0.7
2	34	M	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
3	61	M	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
4	59	M	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
5	44	F	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
6	47	M	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
7	54	F	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7
8	50	F	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
9	43	M	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
10	64	M	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8
11	68	M	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6
12	56	F	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.8	0.6-0.8	0.6-0.8	.65	.69	.67
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.6-0.8	0.6-1.0	0.6-0.8	.70	.75	.67

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH OCTOBER

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	33	F	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8
2	45	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
3	41	M	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
4	39	M	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
5	57	M	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7
6	42	M	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
7	66	F	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
8	65	M	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8
9	40	F	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5
10	55	M	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8
11	50	M	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
12	37	M	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.63	.64	.62
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.62	.66	.65

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH NOVEMBER

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	32	F	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
2	45	M	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.7
3	54	F	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
4	29	F	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7
5	27	M	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
6	66	F	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8
7	30	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.6
8	25	F	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.7	.60	.61	.61
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.7	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.60	.63	.65

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT

DATA SHEET

SONOGRAPHIC COMPARISON OF INTIMA MEDIA THICKNESS IN DIFFERENT SEGMENTS COMMON CAROTIDS IN PAKISTANI POPULATION

NAME: DR. MUHAMMAD MUMTAZ MIRAJ
SESSION: 2007-2009
UNIVERSITY: SUST
MONTH DECEMBER

S. NO	AGE	SEX	IMT RCCA			IMT LCCA		
			Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Middle	Distil
1	48	M	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
2	48	F	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
3	31	M	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5
4	59	M	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8
5	68	M	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8
6	40	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5
7	63	F	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
8	52	M	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7
9	37	M	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
10	45	M	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7
11	55	F	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6
12	34	M	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7
13								
14								

TOTAL NO OF PATIENTS SCANNED:

		Range			Mean		
		Prox	Middle	Distil	Prox	Mid	Distil
IMT(RCCA)	RT	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.8	.60	.58	.61
IMT(LCCA)	LT	0.5-0.7	0.5-1.0	0.5-0.8	.57	.63	.64

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT