DEDICATION

I dedicatethis study to the spirits of my father for his support in

The past and i am still work with his support, to my great mother for

Her Tremendous encouragement, to my husband, my sisters, my brother

And to my beloved sons Mohamed and Mohamed

Sana

Acknowledgments

First of all my thanks and praise is due to almighty Allah for everything especially health, strength and time to complete this study. Also especial thank full ness to my supervisor prof .Mohamed Abdel Salam Abd Allah for his valuables advice, support, and continues encouragement, .Iam so proud to work with him . Sincerely thanks to my close friend Dr.Nasser Mohamed Osman for his help full, advice and encourage. A lot of thanks to my friends Sahar, Mawaheb and Khadija whom gave me a lot for nothing. My thanks also to all my colleague in general department in the ministry of animal resource and fishes in Atbara

To you all million thanks from me Sana

LIST OF CONTENTES

Content		Page			
Dedication		I			
Acknowledgement		III			
Tables of contents List of tables English abstract Arabic abstract Introduction		V VI VII 1-2			
			Objectivess		3
				Chapter one	
				Literature review	
			1-1	Food safety	4
1-2	food borne diseases	4			
1—3	Importance of Food safety	5			
1-4	Food safety approach	6			
1-5	Haccp system	6			
1-5-1	Hacep history	7			
1-5-2	Hacep plan	8			
1-5-3	Haccp principle	9			
1-5-3-1	Principle(1) conduct ahazzer analysis	9			
1-5-3-2	Principle(2) determine the critical control point	9			
1-5-3-3	Principle (3)establish critical control limit for	9			
1-5-3-4	Principle (4) establish system to monitor	9			
1-5-3-5	Principle (5) establish corrective action	9			
1-5-3-6	Principle (6) establish verification procedures	10			
1-5-3-7	Principle(7) documentation and record keeping	10			
1-5-4	Step of haccp implementation	10			
1-5-5	Hacep application in poultry industry	10			
1-5-5-1	Poultry production and process	10			
1-6	Food hygiene	11			
1-6-1	Hygienic factor in food process	11			
1-6-2	Genral hygiene principle	12			
1-6-3	Good hygienic practice	12			

1-6-4	Good manufacture practice	12		
1- 7	Prerequisite program	13		
1-7-1	Facilities	13		
1-7-2	Supplier control	13		
1-7-3	Specification	13		
1-7-4	Production equipment	1s4		
1-7-5	Cleaning and sanitations	14		
1-7-6	Personal hygiene	14		
1-7-7	Training	14		
1-7-8	Chemical control	15		
1-7-9	Receiving, storage and shipping	15		
1-7-10	Trace ability and recall	15		
1-7-11	Pest control	15		
	Chapter two			
Mate	erial and methods prosses			
2-1	Purpose	16		
2-2	Study area	16		
2-3	Study design	16		
2-3-1	Sampling and data collection	17		
2-3-2	Quatinaneair design	17		
2-3-3	Data analysis	17		
	Chapter three			
	Result			
3-1	Quality knowledge	18		
3-2	Demographic characteristic	18-19		
3-3	Food safety knowledge and attitudes	21-22		
3-4	Meat hygienic practice	26		
	Chapter four			
Discussion				
	Discussion	31-33		
4-1	Conclusion	32		
4-2	Recommendation	34		
Reference	34 -40			
Appendix		41-43		

LIST OF TABLES

Table no	tables name	Page
Table (1)	Quality knowledge of 30 producer	18
Table (2)	Sex table	19
Table (3)	Age table	19
Table (4)	Education level	19
Table (5)	Duration of work	20
Table (6)	System	20
Table (7)	Food safety knowledge of slaughter worker	22
Table (8)	knowledge of Wash hand	22
Table (9)	knowledge of Use gloves	22
Table (10)	Clean utensil and proper handle	23
Table (11)	Risk of Poultry meat	23
Table (12)	Zoonotic disease transfer by poultry meat	23
Table (13)	Implementation hygienic practice	23
Table (14)	Food safety attitudes	24
Table (15)	Protective cloth	24
Table (16)	Food hygiene training	24
Table (17)	Work health statues	25
Table (18)	In proper slaughter decries meat quality	25
Table (19)	Association of food safety attitudes and	25
	tryning	
Table (20)	Chi- square testes	26
Table (21)	Meat hygienic practice during slaughtering	27
	process	
Table (22)	Eat drink	27
Table (23)	Smoke on work	27
Table (24)	Wash hand	28
Table (25)	Thick leave permission	28
Table (26)	Use uni form	28
Table (27)	Food attitudes and knowledge association	29
Table (28)	Chi- square testes	29
Table (29)	food safety knowledge and Hygiene practice	29
	association	
Table (30)	Chi- square testes	30
Table (31)	Correlation	30

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate current situation level of good hygienic practice through knowledge, practice and attitudes of the HACCP application inbroiler marketing chain in Atbara locality. The study cover (30) producers and (30) slaughter workers. Questionnaire data about producers were collected by direct interview. The study mentioned that the producers had open system were 60% while the rest (40%) had semi close system. Data showed 46,7% of responders had high knowledge, 36,7% had middle knowledge and 16,3% had low knowledge that indicate majority of them they had an acceptable knowledge about quality as base for the HACCP but they need to increase knowledge of GMP, HAS and HMS by ongoing training on poultry production cycle. Also the questionnaire data about food safety, attitude and meat hygienic practice during slaughtering process illustrate that the responder whom had good knowledge were 60% while whom had excellent attitude in various states in food safety were 86.7%, but there were poor hygienic practice among slaughter workers because only 22% of responder wash hand before and after work, also 63,3% eat and drink during work and 43,3% smoke. They need more training in personal hygiene food safety, also they must apply their knowledge and attitudes in work practice, so this study showed there were not association between food safety knowledge and attitudes .concequal no correlate. Finally we had to have pre requesting program in each abattoir operator in production and in locality becauseIf industry Atbara as primary step the without **HACCP**management program is used the prerequisitemanagement program, this will likely result in a waste ofresources and money, and might cause resistance forfuture HACCP system implementations.

الخلاصة

الغرض من هذه الدراسة هو دراسة المستوي الحالي للوضع الصحي الممارس في سلسلة تسويق لحوم الدجاج اللاحم بمحلية عطبرة ولاية نهر النيل من خلال المعرفة والنشاط والسلوك المتبع للوصول الى نظام تحليل المخاطر وتحديد النقاط الحرجة (الهسب). استهدفت الدراسة عدد (30) من المنتجين و(30) من عمال الذبيح من خلال لقاءات شخصية واستبيانات اسفرت المعلومات التي تم جمعها من المنتجين ان 60% منهم يعمل بنظام التقليدي المفتوح في حين ان 40% يعمل بنظام شبة المغلق كما وان مدي معرفتهم بنظم الجودة الغذاء تتراوح 46,7 و36,7% و16,4% جيدة وسط ومتدنية على التوالي ويحتاجون للمزيد من التدريب المتواصل في نظم ادارة الجودة و نظم الاشتراطات الصحية والتقييم الصحي في كل مراحل انتاج و صناعة الدواجن للمواكبة وتطوير العمل واهتم الجزء الاخر من الاستبيان بمدي معرفة العمال بنظم سلامة الغذاء والسلوك المتبع من خلال ممارسات الصحية بالإضافة اثناء عملية الذبيح ووحدت الدراسة ان 60% منهم يمتلكون معرفة مرضية في حين ان 86.7%منهم يتبعون سلوك ممتاز ولكنهم لا يطبقون الاشتراطات الصحية في التعامل مع اللحوم إلا بنسبة 22%,حيث نجد ان 63.7% و و 43% يأكلون ويشربون ويدخنون إثناء ساعات العمل على التوالي وبالتالي يحتاجون للمزيد من التدريب والالمام بضرورة فن النظافة الشخصي في صحة وسلامة الغذاء. مما يدعم ما وصلت الية الدراسة من عدم وجود علاقة بين المعرفة والسلوك و التطبيق للمعرفة. واخيرا لابد من تبنى وتشجيع تطبيق نظامالاولي في كل مراحل انتاج وتصنيع الدواجن بمحلية عطبرة كأساس اولى لتطبيق نظام الهسب لاحقا وهو الغرض الاولى لهذه الدراسة.