Dedication

I with my deepest gratitude and warmest affection that I would like dedicated this work To My father, mother, brothers, sisters and all my friends

With love

Acknow edgement

Praise is to Allah the almighty who gave me the health, strength and patience to complete this phase of my life. I would like to acknowledge my Supervisor Professor Mohammed Osman warag for his unlimited and scholarly guideness during the entire period of the study. I would like to extend my heartiest acknowledgement to Professor Tagelsir Ibrahim Mohamed Idries for encouraging and guiding me to accomplish my research. A special thanks to my family. No words can express how grateful I am for all the support. To my dearest father and mother, and my brothers and my sisters. I thank all members of the tissue culture laboratory especially Dr. Fakhrieldeen Awad, Fatima, Abeer. Last but not least. A special thanks to my brother and friend Bedreldeen Draj, those who supported me in respect and contributed to the completion of this work.

Hwoida

General Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the impact of the chemical fertilizers nitrogen, phosphorus, and forms of bio-stimulants mainly Argel (*Solenostemma argel* Del. Hayne) and Haza (*Haplophyllum tuberculatum L.*) at various rates on the growth attributes and quality of *Catharanthus roseus* plants under nursery conditions. Experiments were conducted in Sudan University of Science and Technology, College of Agricultural Studies, Shambat, Khartoum North, Sudan.

The study was composed of four independent trials as illustrated sequentially: Five levels of; urea 0.0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 g/plant, triple super phosphate 46% P₂O₅) 0.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 g/plant. Argel foliar and soil treatments were also evaluated. The foliar treatments were cold, hot and boiled water extracts of 10 g dry leaves of Argel per litre while the soil-dressing test was for 0.0, 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 and 20.0 g/plant dry Argel leaves per plant. The Haza foliar and soil treatments; the foliar treatments were for cold, hot and boiled water extracts of 15 g dry shoots of Haza per litre and the soil application test were for 0.0, 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 and 20.0 g/ plant dry Haza shoot treatments. The treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design where each treatment was replicated seven times. Data were collected after 4 months from treatments, analyzed and the results obtained indicated that:

The high urea doses enhanced vegetative growth parameters, while flowering and fruiting of the plant were boosted by the lower urea doses. The encouraging results obtained from urea treatments in this study elucidated an economical potential for possible large-scale production of the plant under Sudan conditions.

A general increase was obtained in growth parameters in phosphorus treated plants compared to the control. The result also showed that, the 6 g per plant phosphorus treatment was the most enhancive for vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting parameters. The improvements in growth and yield are indicators of the benefit of Phosphorus applications.

Also, there was a general increase in growth parameters in the Argel treated plants compared to the control. Except for the root fresh and dry weights, the highest values of all growth parameters were obtained from the boiled Argel water extract and the 7.5 and 10 g/plant soil dressing treatments.

Relatively, there was a general increase in growth parameters in Haza treated plants compared to the control. The highest values of all growth parameters were obtained from the boiled Haza water extract and the 7.5 and 10 g soil dressing treatments. The improvements in growth and yield are indicators of the agronomic benefit of Haza applications; a step towards organic farming.

المستخلص العام

هدفت الدراسة إلى تحديد أثر إضافة الاسمدة الكيميائية (اليوريا، الفسفور) وجرعات ومستخلصات مختلفة من المحفزات الحيوية تحديدا الحرجل والحزى على معايير النمو والإنتاجية في نبات الونكا تحت ظروف المشتل بجامعة السودان للعلوم والتكنولوجيا-كلية الدراسات الزراعية شمبات، بحري-السودان.

تتكون الدراسة من أربعة تجارب مستقله كما هو موضح بالتتابع:

خمس جرعات من اليوريا (46%) (0.0، 2.5، 5.0، 7.5 و 10جم/ النبات).

خمس جرعات من سيوبر فوسفات الثلاثي (46%) (0.0، 2، 4، 6, 6 جم/ النبات).

معاملات الحرجل رش وإضافة التربة. معاملات الرش كانت من مستخلصات (0.0, 5, 0.1, 10, 5, 0.0) والشاهد. أما معاملات (0.0, 5, 0.0, 5, 0.0, 10, 0.0, 10, 0.0) اضافة التربة فكانت (0.0, 5, 0.0, 5, 0.0, 10, 0.0, 0.0)

معاملات الحزى رش وإضافة للتربة. معاملات الرش كانت من مستخلصات (0.0, 5, 10, 51, 10, 20جم/ النبات) من أوراق وسيقان الحزى في لتر من الماء المغلي، والشاهد. أما معاملات إضافة التربة فكانت (0.0, 5, 10, 5, 10, 20جم/ النبات).

صممت المعاملات بنظام التصميم العشوائي الكامل والتي فيها كررت المعاملات سبع مرات في كل التجارب.

رصدت النتائج بعد مرور 4 أشهر من بداية معاملات التجربة، حللت البيانات إحصائياً باستخدام جهاز الحاسوب ورصدت النتائج كما موضح أدناه:

أثبتت النتائج أن الجرعة العالية من النتروجين حفزت قياسات ومعايير النمو الخضري بينما الجرعات المنخفضة من اليوريا حفزت نمو الازهار والثمار أعطت تحسناً في النمو النتائج المشجعة التي حصلت عليها في هذه التجربة نتيجة لاستخدام اليوريا أظهرت المقدرات الاقتصادية لإمكانية الإنتاج الموسع للونكا تحت ظروف السودان.

أظهرت النتائج زيادة عامة في معايير النمو في النباتات المعاملة بالفسفور مقارنة بالشاهد. ، القيم العالية لكل معايير النمو تمت الحصول عليها من المعاملة 6جم/ النبات. التحسن الملحوظ في معايير النمو تدل على أهمية إضافة سماد الفسفور.

أدت معاملات الحرجل إلى زيادة عامه في كل معايير النمو المختبرة مقارنة بالشاهد.

أظهرت نتائج معاملات الحزى زيادة عامة في كل معابير النمو المختبرة مقارنة بالشاهد، التحسن الملحوظ في معايير النمو مؤشرات الفائدة الحقلية للحزى كخطوة إلى الأمام للزراعة العضوية.

Table of contents

Title	Page				
	No.				
Approval page	I				
Dedication	II				
Acknowledgement					
English Abstract	IV				
Arabic Abstract					
Table of contents					
List of Tables	VII				
List of Figures Chapter One	VIII				
Chapter One Introduction and Objectives					
1.1. Introduction	1				
1.2. Objectives	2				
Chapter Two	3				
Literature Review					
2.1. The Periwinkle plants	3				
2.1.2. Classification of <i>Catharanthus Roseus</i>					
2.1.3. Vernacular name					
2.1.4 Ecology and distribution					
2.1.5 Description					
2.1.6 Environmental requirements					
2.1.7 Propagation					
2.1.8 Cultural practices					
2.1.9 Harvest					
2.1.10 Active ingredients	7				
2.1.11 Alkaloid content	8				
2.1.12 Bio-synthesis of Catharanthus alkaloid	9				
2.1.13 Occurrence of alkaloid					
2.1.14 Functions of alkaloids					
2.1.15 The ornamental value					

2.1.16 Traditional medicinal uses of Periwinkle				
2.2 Plant macro nutrients				
2.2.1 Nitrogen				
2.2.2 Phosphorous				
2.3. Plant bio-stimulants	15			
2.3.1. Argel (Solenostomma argel)				
2.3.1.2 Origin and geographic distribution	19			
2.3.1.3 Botanical description	19			
2.3.1.4 Ecology	19			
2.3.1.5 Propagation and planting	20			
2.3.1.6 Harvesting	20			
2.3.1.7 Uses	20			
2.3.1.8 Phytochemical properties				
2.3.2 Haza (Haplophyllum tuberculatum)				
2.3.2.1 Botanical description				
2.3.2.2 Uses	24			
Chapter Three	26			
General Materials and Methods				
3.1 The plant material				
3.2 Experiments				
3.2.1 The response to urea applications				
3.2.2 The response to phosphorus applications	25			
3.2.3 The response to foliar and soil dressing with Argel	25			
3.2.4 The response to foliar and soil dressing with Haza	26			
3.3 Experimental design	26			
3.4 Replications	26			
3.5 Data collection	26			
3.6 Data analysis				
References				
Chapter Four	36			
Response of Periwinkle (<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>) to urea applications				

Chapter Five		
Growth and flowering responses of Periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus)		
to fertilization with triple super phosphate		
Chapter six		
Responses of Periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus) to soil and foliar		
applications of Haza (Haplophyllum tuberculatum)		
Chapter seven		
Stimulation of Periwinkle's (Catharanthus roseus) growth and		
alkaloids by soil and foliar applications of Argel (Solenostemma argel		
Del. Hayne)		

List of Tables

Paper	Table	Title	Page
No.	No.		No.
1	1	The differences and similarities between the genera Vinca, Catharanthus and Amosonia	4
2	1	Impact of urea treatments on vegetative growth	42
		attributes of Periwinkle plants.	
	2	Impact of urea treatments on the number of flowers and	43
		fruits and the weight of seeds per periwinkle plant	
	3	Impact of urea treatments on periwinkle shoot fresh and	44
		dry weights	
3	1	Impact of triple superphosphate treatments on vegetative	52
		growth attributes of Periwinkle plants	
	2	Impact of triple superphosphate soil applications on	53
		number of flowers, fruits and seed weight of Periwinkle	
		plants	
	3	Impact of triple superphosphate soil applications on	54
		shoot fresh and dry weights of Periwinkle plants	
4	1	Impact of Haza soil dressings on growth attributes of	65
		Periwinkle plant.	
	2	Impact of Haza soil dressing on number of fruits, weight	67
		of seeds and alkaloids content.	
	3	Impact of foliar applications of Haza extracts on	70
		vegetative growth attributes of Periwinkle plant.	
	4	Impact of Haza extracts on Periwinkle's number of	72
		fruits, seed weight and alkaloids content.	
	1	Impact of Argel treatments on vegetative growth	84
		attributes of Periwinkle plant.	
5	2	Impact of Argel soil dressing on number of fruits,	83
3		weight of seeds and alkaloids content.	
	3	Impact of foliar applications of Argel extracts on	88
		vegetative growth attributes of Periwinkle plant.	
	4	Impact of Argel extracts on Periwinkle's number of	90
		fruits, seed weight and alkaloids content.	

List of Figures

Paper	Figure	Title	Page
No.	No.		No.
1	1	Impact of Haza soil applications on height of Periwinkle	63
		plant.	
	2	Impact of Haza soil applications on the number of branches per Periwinkle plant.	64
	3	Impact of Haza soil applications on the number of flowers per Periwinkle plant.	66
	4	Impact of Haza foliar applications on height of Periwinkle plants.	68
	5	Impact of Haza foliar applications on the number of branches in Periwinkle plant.	69
	6	Impact of Haza foliar applications on the number of flowers in Periwinkle plant.	71
2	1	Impact of Argel soil applications on height of Periwinkle plant.	81
	2	Impact of Argel soil applications on the number of branches per Periwinkle plant.	82
	3	Impact of Argel soil applications on the number of flowers per Periwinkle plant.	84
	4	Impact of Argel foliar applications on height of Periwinkle plant.	86
	5	Impact of Argel foliar applications on the number of branches in Periwinkle plant.	87
	6	Impact of Argel foliar applications on the number of flowers in Periwinkle plant.	89