

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم Sudan University of Science and Technology College of Postgraduate Studies



Assessment of Meat Safety Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Among the Slaughterhouse Workers in ED Dueim City_ White Nile State

تقدير المعرفة بسلامة اللحوم والسلوك والممارسات الصحية من بين عمال المسلخ في مدينة الدويم — ولاية النيل الأبيض

A thesis submitted for a partial fulfillment of Award of master degree in Veterinary Preventive Medicine (MVPM)

By:

Mothafar Eltyeb Elblla Ali Elblla

B.V.M, (2009) Faculty of Veterinary Medicine University of Khartoum

Supervisor:

Professor Mohamed Abdelsalam Abdalla

Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine and Public Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan University of Science

(December 2018)

Dedication

This work is dedicated to:

To

The spirit of my Father,
, My mother,
Brothers and sisters,
Wife,
Who gave
Continuous
Support and
Encouragement
To continue my studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Mohamed Abdelsalam Abdalla and Dr. Siham for their kindness to give me a chance to spend a great period of this master course and support me throughout my study period.

My great thanks al so to Dr. Najlaa the leader of the master program in veterinary preventive medicine, also thanks to Dr. Sumaya the coordinator of the program for his guidance and closed follow up during the study courses of this program.

I would like to thanks assist of the staffs of teachers in Sudan University of Science and Technology College of Postgraduate Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine and Public Health and there for establishing MPVH course.

Lastly I would like to thank all my friends and colleagues of master program who supported me, there were an important part in the completion of this program with all forms of assistance, either to encourage or criticize.

Table of contents

Contents	Page
	No.
Dedication	I
Acknowledgement	li
Table of contents	lii
List of tables	IV
Abstract	V
Arabic abstract	Vi
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Objectives of the study	2
2.Chapter one : Literature review	3
2.1. Food safety	3
2.2. Food borne diseases	4
2.3. Food hygiene and quality of meat	6
2.4. Best Employee Work Practices.	7
2.5.knowledge ,Attitude and practice (KAP)	8
2.6. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) on food	11
Safety and Food-borne Diseases	
2.7. Impact of education of food industry personnel in	12
hygiene Matters	
3.Chapter two: Materials and methods:	14
3.1. Study area and target population	14
3.2. Study design	14
3.3. Data analysis	15
4. Chapter three: Results	16
5. Chapter four : Discussion	21
6.Conclusion	23
7.References	24
8. Appendix	33

List of tables

No. of table	Title	Page No.
1	Socio-demographic characteristics of slaughtered personnel interviewed in Eldueim slaughterhouse.	16
2	The educational level and Training of slaughtered personnel on meat safety and their professional experience.	17
3	Practices for monitoring the health status of slaughtered workers in Eldueim slaughterhouse.	18
4	Cross-tabulation of educational level against respondents' general personal hygiene and handling practices regarding meat safety.	19
5	Cross-tabulation of professional training of respondents against respondents' general personal hygiene and handling practices regarding meat safety.	20

Abstract

This cross sectional study was carried out in December 2018 in White Nile state- ED dueim city. The objective of this study was to assess of the meat safety knowledge, attitudes and practices and awareness of slaughter house workers by assessing of their educational level and professionally training and experience and personal hygiene of slaughter personnel. Good slaughter hygiene practices are mandatory to minimize chances of microbiological contamination during meat processing.

Data were collected using structured questionnaire with questions on some important meat safety cues. The numbers of the respondents interviewed were 100 workers. The results showed that strong adherence between good education and training and good hygienic practices and attitudes. The percentage of none educated workers 31% its greater than workers percentages have tertiary (diploma, university), secondary and primary level. Overall the percentage of workers was attended professional training 52% it's larger than the percentage of none trained workers 48 %, but this don't reflex on their attitudes and practices. Beside that I found the most workers have experience over five years in the field.

Generally In topic of the monitoring worker health 70% of workers have valid health certificates. But that workers don't followed by routine medical checking, also 69% of respondents don't reported illness. The workers don't wearing gloves was 72% for example to not applying basic of good manufacturing practices.

ملخص الدراسة

هذه در اسة مقطعية (مقطع زماني ومكاني محدد) أجريت في ديسمبر 2018 في ولاية النيل الأبيض في مدينة الدويم ،وذلك بهدف تقدير مدى الوعي و المعرفة بسلامة اللحوم والسلوك والممارسات الصحية لدى عمال المسلخ وذلك بمعرفة المستوى التعليمي لهم وخبرتهم العملية في مجال الذبيح والسلوك الشخصي الصحي في المسلخ. الممارسات الصحية تعتبر إلزامية لتقليل فرصة التلوث بالاحياء الدقيقة أثناء معالجة اللحوم.

البيانات جمعت بإستخدام إستبيان فيه أسئلة مهمة حول سلامة اللحوم. وقد كان عدد العمال الذين أجريت معهم المقابلات مائة عامل عامة نتيجة الدراسة أظهرت وجود علاقة قوية حول أثر التعليم والخبرات والتدريب المهنى الجيد علي الممارسات والسلوك الصحى في المسلخ وكانت نسبة العمال الأميين تقدر ب31% وهي أكثر من نسبة العمال الذين لديهم شهادات عليا (دبلوم او جامعي) و ثانوية و إبتدائية وبصورة عامه علي نطاق التدريب نسبة العمال الذين تدربوا تدريب مهني في مجال المسالخ تقدر ب52% وهي أكثر من نسبة العمال الذين لم يتدربوا وهي 84% ، لكن هذه النسبة من التدريب لم تنعكس علي سلوكهم وممارساتهم في المسلخ وايضا أظهرت الدراسة أن معظم العمال لديهم خبرة في الحقل أكثر من خمس سنوات .

فى موضوع متابعة صحة العمال وجدنا 70% من العمال لديهم شهادات صحية ولكن هؤلاء العمال لا يجرى لهم فحص صحي دوري ولذلك نجد أن 69% من العمال لا يأخذون تقارير صحية من مكان العمل والعمال الذين لا يلبسون قفازات واقية تبلغ نسبتهم 72% وذلك مثال لعدم تطبيق الممارسات الصحية في صناعة اللحوم.

Introduction

Food safety is a significant public health concern in the world. Food borne diseases due to microbiological agents, including pathogens and biotoxins, and chemical contaminants in food represent serious threats to the health of thousands of millions of people (FAO and WHO, 2003). According to WHO, contaminated food contributed to 1.5 billion cases of diarrhea in children each year, resulting in more than 3 million premature deaths (DeWaal and Robert, 2005). The foods most frequently involved in disease outbreaks are those of animal origin especially beef, poultry, pork, milk, fish and eggs.

Strict maintenance of good practices of slaughterhouse hygiene in meat production is an important role for the prevention of microbial carcass contamination (Zweifel *et al.*, 2005).

Considering the food chain from farm to fork, food borne illness is caused by many factors. The most common reported contributing factors are insanitary food handling procedures and contamination of potentially hazardous foods with pathogens, foods from unsafe sources, leaving food at room temperatures for an extended period of time and insufficient time and/or temperature during initial cooking or reheating and contaminated equipment (Kassa *et al.*, 2010).

They must take significant steps to minimize the pathogen contamination to the minimum level in food (Medeiros *et al.,* 2004). The hands of food handlers can be vectors for the spread of food borne diseases because of poor personal hygiene or cross-contamination (Baş *et al.,* 2006). Food handlers should have excellent hygiene practice to ensure cross-contamination is reduced, thus protecting the consumers from food borne diseases (Abdul-Mutalib *et al.,* 2012). To ensure that food handlers in the slaughterhouse have the

awareness, knowledge and practice related to the correct way of handling food, training and education are essential parts of their job (Martins *et al.*, 2012). Food handlers participate in the final stage of the prevention of food borne diseases (Abdullah Sani and Siow, 2014).

Numerous studies indicated that training may increase knowledge but does not always result in behavior change (Powell *et al.*, 1997). Incentive factors and hindering factors should be considered for change practice. In contrast to food hygiene training, meat handler training represents one of the most effective strategies to maintain and mitigate food safety risks (Jianu and Goleţ, 2014). Effective food safety training from authorities as well as adequate resources will strengthen food handling and abattoir safety practices.

Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices (KAP) assessment a representative study of a specific population to collect information on what is known, believed and acted on relation to a particular topic (WHO, 2008) by using questionnaires.

KAP studies can be conducted by quantify and measure an incident through the use of questionnaires and statistical processing of the information collected. KAP assessment can generate the level of knowledge and the awareness of personal workers in food production. Thus, the KAP information should be transferred to educational training programs in order to address the lack of knowledge and increase the awareness of personal incentive roles in slaughterhouse.

Objectives of the study:

- 1. To assess awareness and the knowledge level, attitudes and practices related to meat safety among the slaughterhouse workers at Ed dueim slaughterhouse.
- 2. To show the affect of educational level and professionally experiences on hygiene practices in the slaughterhouse.

Chapter one Literature Review

2.1 Food safety

Food safety is the assurance that food will not cause any harm to the consumers when taken in its current state and as it is (FAO/WHO, 2001). Food –borne diseases and zoo noses exerts a major toll on health as thousands of millions of people fall ill and many die as a result of unsafe food. Serious outbreaks of food -borne diseases and zoonoses have been documented on every continent illustrating both their public health and social significance. Due to this, WHO (2000) recognized food safety as an essential public health priority and later on adopted the WHO global food safety strategy (WHO, 2002). According to the WHO (2000) global food safety strategy, traditional food safety management systems have not been effective in preventing food-borne diseases and zoonoses over the last decades. The strategy therefore, advocates food safety programmers based on a broader science based concept of risk assessment, risk management.

Through process control long the entire production chins and risk communication. This is farm to table approach and involves consideration of every step in the chin, the community and all actors from raw material to consumption. The strategy also advocates sustainable agriculture production systems and redirection of some of the existing approaches to ensure they meet the challenges of global food safety (WHO, 2002).

2.2 Food borne diseases:

Contaminated food and water have been known to be sources of illness in human. Food borne diseases are still among the most widespread health problems in the contemporary world. In rich and poor countries alike, they pose substantial health burdens, ranging in severity from mild indisposition to fatal illnesses (Tracy, 2011). Every year food-borne outbreaks associated with consumption of contaminated foods cause millions of cases and thousands of deaths worldwide, making food-borne illness one of the most widespread public health problems in modern society (Cagri-Mehmetoglu, 2009). For example many communicable diseases, including emerging zoonoses, are transmitted through food, and many other diseases, including cancers are associated with chemicals and toxins in the food supply.

This existing burden will be compounded by the effects of climate change which is likely to increase the incidence of foodborne diseases because of the faster growth rate of microorganisms in food and water at higher temperatures, potentially resulting in higher levels of toxins or pathogens in food (WHO, 2010).

According to what Arie et al. (2010) microbes can enter the food chain at different steps are highly versatile and can adapt to the environment allowing survival growth and production of toxic compounds and therefore Cagri-Mehmetoglu (2009) recommended to decrease food-borne illness the implementation of safe food handling practices and protection from high-risk choices throughout the entire farm-to-fork continuum with the home food preparer being the last link in this chain and ensuring washing hands with soap and water before preparing food which decreases the risk of food-borne illnesses. The FDA recommends that hands be washed with soap and warm water for at least 20 seconds before and after handling food, especially raw meat (Cagri-Mehmetoglu, 2009). Critical control points preventing food-borne illness include preventing cross- contamination from the raw products to ready-to eat, using adequate times and temperatures for cooking, avoiding recontamination after cooking by surfaces previously contaminated with the raw meat and properly chilling and storing meat after cooking (Iossaso *et al*,2012). Bruhn and Schutz(1998) failure to fully recognized the symptoms or sources of food-borne disease prevents consumers from taking corrective action, and when consumers mishandle food during preparation, the health community, food industry, regulators and the media are ultimately responsible.

Whether inappropriate temperature control, poor hygiene or another factor, the error occurs because consumers have not been informed about how to handle food the food safety message has not been delivered effectively (Bruhan, 1997).

Although acute gastrointestinal diseases are not all food-borne and food-borne diseases do not always result in acute gastroenteritis, food does represent an important vehicle for pathogens causing acute gastroenteritis (Tracy, 2011). The FAO estimated that as much as 70% of diarrheal diseases in developing countries are believed to be of food-borne origin also the World Health Organization (WHO) recognizes that food-borne diseases include a wide spectrum of illnesses which are a growing public health problem worldwide and are a major contributor to illness, compromised nutritional status, less resistance to disease and loss of productivity (Tracy, 2011).

2.3. Food hygiene and quality of meat:

According to the World Health Organization WHO (2010) "Hygiene refers to conditions and practices that help to maintain health and prevent the spread of diseases. The term "food hygiene" is used to describe the preservation and preparation of foods in a manner that ensures the food is safe for human consumption, and to prevent – as far as possible – the contamination of food.

Personal hygiene of food handlers pertains to the hygiene practices that prevent contamination food with mixing chemicals, spreading from people, pets, and pests.

Personal hygiene is performed by an individual to care for one's bodily health and wellbeing, through cleanliness. Motivations for personal hygiene practice include reduction of personal illness, healing from personal illness, optimal health, social acceptance and prevention of spread of illness to others.

Other practices are generally considered proper hygiene include washing hands regularly and especially before handling food, washing scalp hair, wearing clean clothing, cutting finger nails. Moreover, it is an important factor to be aware of dangers of cross contamination between raw and cooked food by separating raw and cooked food. Temperature and length of time should appropriate for cooking. Food handlers store food at the proper temperature.

Meat is a medium vehicle for multiplication and colonization of microorganisms particularly bacteria and contamination are the major concern associated with prevention of food borne diseases. The high level of contamination is following at any stages of the food chain. In slaughtering process, mainly during scalding, dehairing, singeing, and evisceration, chilling, cutting

and slicing (Borch et al., 1996; Berends et al., 1998; Gill et al., 2000; Kennedy et al., 2014).

The five key principles of food hygiene, according to WHO(2010) Are:

- 1. To prevent contaminating food with pathogens spreading from people, pets, and pests.
- 2. To separate raw and cooked food to prevent contaminating the cooked food.
- 3. To cook food for the appropriate length of time and at the appropriate temperature to kill pathogens.
- 4. To store food at the proper temperature.
- 5. To use safe water and raw materials.

2.4. Best Employee Work Practices:

Establishments must ensure that the facility is designed properly to provide sufficient sanitation stations, tools, gloves, equipment, etc., to allow the employees to properly conduct the

recommended procedures. It is important that the sanitizing process for all equipment (knife, steel, hook, etc.) is sufficient to effectively sanitize the equipment. If using hot water, then the establishment may need to leave the knife in the dip long enough to sanitize (180°F has been shown to take approximately

4-6 seconds, but this varies based on the level of contamination). Other options include adding a chemical sanitizer. Remember it is important that the plant be able to demonstrate proper

sanitation (Kerri and Savell et al, 2003). Also the hide removal personnel must follow procedures for hand washing, cleaning of arms and gloves based on the task being performed to prevent contamination. These practices will vary based upon

the task being done and should be monitored and evaluated on a routine basis (Kerri and Savell *et al*, 2003).

2.5. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP):

The relationship between knowledge, attitudes and practices is often explained through the (KAP). It has been traditionally assumed that knowledge is automatically translated into behavior (Glanz *et al.*, 2002).

A KAP survey is a quantitative type method by interviewing through the use a structured, standardized questionnaires and statistical method for collected information. It serves as an educational diagnosis of the community. A KAP survey is widely used to gather information through various types of cross-sectional surveys that planning public health programs.

The public health programs are implemented to improving the health of poor people across the world that depends upon adequate understanding of the socio-cultural and economic aspects of the context in countries (Launiala, 2009).

KAP study show that food handlers who have never trained in food safety related with poor knowledge of food borne illness. It is a significant positive correlation between the level of knowledge, attitudes and practices of meat handlers. Food handlers should practice all the skill and ongoing training to get more knowledge in hygiene and food safety (Powell *et al.*, 1997).

Knowledge accumulates through learning processes and these may be formal or informal instruction, personal experience and experiential sharing (Tracy, 2011). Knowledge however is not insignificant and it is found to be vital in the cognitive processing of information in the attitude-behavior relationship.

Attitudes involves evaluated concepts associated with the People think, feel and behave, it comprises a cognitive, emotional and behavioral component (Keller, J. 2007).

In health related studies, however, it has been found that knowledge is not the only factor that influences treatment seeking practice and in order to change behavior, health programs need to address a number of issues including sociocultural, environmental, economical and structural factors (Tracy 2011).

Behaviorists further add that a number of factors can influence one or more of the KAP variables such as self-esteem, selfefficacy and misconception.

World Health Organization (2010) introduced simpler, more generally applicable and essential food safety messages or principles linked to behaviors. If adopted and practiced, these messages will reduce the probability of food borne illness. The core messages of the five keys to safer food are (1) keep clean; (2) Separate row and cooked; (3) cook thoroughly; (4) keep food at safe temperatures; and (5) Use safe water and raw materials.

On the other hands Byr et al,(2007)developed a food safety knowledge into five concepts or keys inspired by WHO(2010), which are cross contamination prevention/disinfection procedures; safe times/temperatures for cooking/storing foods; groups at greatest risk for food borne disease; food that

increase risk of food borne disease; and food borne disease pathogens.

Across sectional study by Maryam *et al.*, (2010) from school of veterinary medicine, Shiraz University, Iran the evaluated the knowledge, attitudes and practices of workers in meat processing plant. The results indicated that there was an acceptable level of knowledge, excellent attitudes and poor practices towards food hygiene measures. The study also showed lack of knowledge about microbial food hazards and negative correlation between knowledge and practices, attitudes and practices.

Study done by Siow and Norrakiah (2011) in Malaysia to evaluate the level knowledge, attitudes and practices among food handlers. The study revealed that the respondents share a good knowledge on personal hygiene and definition of foodborne diseases (93.85%) and poor knowledge on food storage and preparation temperature (28%) and they showed good attitudes in food handling.

Studies have found that food safety training is positively associated with self-reported changes in food safety practices (Clayton *et al.*, 2002). Other studies found that training helps to improve the overall employee knowledge about food safety Castello *et al.*, 1997.

Another study by Sufen Liu *et al.*, (2015) from china evaluated the knowledge, attitudes and practices of food safety among risk factors contributing to food-borne disease out breaks. The majority of respondents did not know the maximum stored time at room temperature, they have positive attitudes about food safety and training, and there was significant variance among different food establishments, different ages and different times of training.

A recent study by Ola (2014) in Khartoum state showed that television and radio are the most important sources of information for the consumers and there was a direct relationship between the internet and the level of consumer's knowledge, al so the degree of knowledge of each individual has strong link with his life style.

Other studies by Khalid (2016) in Khartoum state found that there was a need for more education to the consumers about food safety and food-borne diseases.

2.6. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) on food Safety and Food-borne Diseases

A study to evaluate knowledge, attitudes, and behavior concerning food-borne diseases and food safety issues amongst formal food handlers conducted in Italy found that the majority of food handlers who had attended a training course had knowledge and appositive attitude toward food-borne diseases control and preventive measures (Tracy 2011). The positive attitude was not supported when asked about self-reported behaviors and when observed during food preparation for practice of hygienic principles (Tracy, 2011).

On other hand Abdalla *et al.*, (2009) considering food handling personal play important role in ensuring food safety throughout the chain of food production and storage, although there are also many gaps in food safety knowledge and practices that may result in food-borne diseases according to (Eduarda *et al.*,2007).

Food safety experts have identified the most common foodhandling mistakes made by consumers at home. These mistakes include serving contaminated raw food, cooking or heating food inadequately, allowing 12 hours or more between preparations and eating, and having a colonized person handle implicated food or practice poor hygiene. The same factors were identified in mishandling associated with specific 1997)so the authors suggested that pathogens. (Bruhan emphasis should continue on improving knowledge and control of foodborne diseases amongst food handlers (Angelillo et al., 2000), these included the perception that unsafe food is a personal health threat, the perception that one could do something about the threat (self-efficacy), and the motivation to maintain good health (Robert et al., 1993) so recent survey studies pinpointing the need for training and education of food handlers in public hygiene measures and revealed a general lack of knowledge of microbiologic food hazard, refrigerator temperature ranges, cross contamination and personal hygiene (Bas et al., 2006).

2.7. Impact of education of food industry personnel in hygiene Matters:

Educational materials may not be effective if they are designed without looking at the worksite social, physical, and environmental factor surrounding the target audience. Food safety education is most likely to be effective when it is designed specifically for the audience (workers) and the particular hazard of interest (Nieto- Montenegro *et al.*, 2005) so requires a re-examination of food safety educational messages to conform epidemiological changing of food borne illnesses and the increase in knowledge concerning emerging food borne pathogens to ensure that the guidance given to consumers is appropriate for controlling pathogens that are prevalent in the food supply chain (Jevsnik *et al.*, 2008).

Also research is needed to establish reliable and valid evaluation measures for five behavioral constructs which are practice personal hygiene, cook foods adequately, avoid crosscontamination, keep foods at safe temperatures, and avoid food from unsafe sources. If evaluation instruments focus on these five behavior areas, the result will be more easily summarized across food safety education programs for consumers (Lydia *et al.*, 2001) because at the end of the day the best ways to manage risk of food-borne illness to promote safer handling of food at the consumer end of the food chin are communication and consumer education (Patil *et al.*, 2005). Education of food industry personal in hygiene matters is recommended for improving safer food handling practices (Tracy, 2011).

Media presentation can motivate people to listen and change behavior because consumers need to understand how to protect themselves through kitchen and personal hygiene, including thoroughness and frequency of hand washing, temperature control, and safe food choices such as foods processed by heat or energy pasteurization (Bruhan, 1997). Educational material regarding Good Housekeeping Practice should be available to the general public from many sources. Only safety —conscious consumers can become active partners within the food safety circle (Jevsnik *et al.*, 2008).

Chapter two Materials and Methods

3.1. Study area and target population:

Ed Dueim city it is big city in the White Nile is one of the 18 states of Sudan. The city lies between longitudes 32°19' east and Latitude 14°0' north, and it's about 129 km from the Khartoum (google map).

The target population of this study was the workers at Edduiem abattoir, the workers population consists of veterinarians and all the staff of workers in different stages of processing meat.

3.2. Study design:

Cross sectional study was conducted in December 2018, in Ed dueim abattoir by using a questionnaire based on interview and designed to obtain the demographic characteristic of respondents and educational level beside professional experiences and training and the affect that on their practices and attitudes in the work. Good practices and attitudes its strong indicator for awareness of personnel.

Face —to-face questionnaire was used to collect information about knowledge, attitudes and practices of the target population regarding food safety.

Questionnaired people were composed of 100 workers selected randomly who directly involved in slaughtering process in the abattoir.

In the knowledge part, there were close-ended questions emphasizing personal hygiene, cross contamination, microbiological food hazards and specific food-borne disease. Subsequent part of the questionnaire was dealing with the attitudes of the respondents about various hygienic measures

for food safety. The handlers were asked to indicate their level of agreement to the statements. Practices of food workers were assessed by their self-reported hygienic behaviors in the last part of the questionnaire.

3.3. Data analysis:

Statistical Methods:

The use of comparative analytical method using the SPSS statistical program based on descriptive statistics and comparative and association hypothesis tests (0.05 sig. level), to demonstrate the differences in food safety knowledge, attitude, and practice among worker in slaughterhouses.

The test was used for Chi-square test to study the hypothesis which states there are no significant differences in (food safety knowledge, attitude, and practice) among worker in slaughterhouses with respect to their gender, age, education level nor occupation.

Chapter three

Results

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of slaughter personnel interviewed in the Eldueim abattoir.

Characteristic/Category	Proportion of abattoirs respondents (%)
Age group	
21-30yrs	16
31-40yrs	41
41-50yrs	17
>50yrs	26
Marital status	
Single	21
Married	79

The results of the social-demographic information about slaughter personnel interviewed from the Ed dueim abattoir has showed in Table 1 most of workers (41%) their age between 31-40 years old. While 26% of respondents were over 50 years old (p<0.05). The percentage of married worker is 79% it was greater than single peoples 21%.

Table 2.The educational level and Training of slaughter personnel on meat safety and their professional experiences in Eldeium slaughterhouse.

Characteristic/Category	Proportion of abattoirs respondents (%)
Educational Level	
None	31
Primary	26
Secondary	18
Tertiary	25
Professional training	
Yes	52
No	48
Professional experience	
<2 yrs	20
2-5 yrs	36
>5 yrs	44

Knowledge:

Most of workers was none educated (31%) and it was greater than tertiary, secondary and primary (25%, 18% and 26% respectively) p<0.05. That indicated for decreased their awareness about food safety knowledge. Overall the percentage of workers was attended professional training was (52%) it's bigger than a percent of none trained workers 48 % (p<0.05) .The greater proportion of workers experiences category 44% refer to (over 5 years).

Table 3. Practices for monitoring the health status of workers in

Characteristic/Category	Proportion of abattoirs respondents (%)
Valid Health Certificate	
Yes	70
No	30
Report illness	
Yes	31
No	69
Action/Treatment	
Self-medication	10
Clinic/Hospital	90

Eldeium slaughterhouse.

Practices:

Table 3 showed that a greater percent of respondents had valid health certificates (70%), while (30%) of workers haven't certificates. Beside that a bigger numbers of respondents don't reported illness (69%) whereas the majority of them (90%) received treatment for their illness in clinics or hospitals. This study showed that there is no any type of monitoring of workers health except health certificates and the follow system by checking examination was not applied in the slaughterhouse.

Table 4. Cross-tabulation of educational level against respondents' general personal hygiene and handling practices regarding meat safety in Eldeuim slaughterhouse.

Characteristic/attitude	•				Total proportion	p- value
	Tertiary	Secondary	Primary	None	(%)	
Reporting illness						
Yes	32	11.1	50	25.8	31	0.044
No	68	88.9	50	74.2	69	
Washing of work						
Daily	56	22.2	42.3	32.3	39	0.052
After two days	16	16.7	34.6	16.1	21	
More than two days	28	61.1	23.1	51.6	40	
Wearing gloves						
Yes	32	0	50	22.6	28	0.003
No	68	100	50	77.4	72	

Attitudes:

In reporting illness attitude that table 4 showed the all category of educational level was high percentage of unreported illness workers (69%). While was (31%) of workers are reporting illness. This theory was Cleary happened in none educated and secondary level workers (74.2%, 88.9%) respectively (p<0.05). Educated worker in tertiary (32%) have more awareness to reported illness more than no educated workers (25.8%) (p<0.05).

All workers washed their clothes without any type of disinfectant (water and soap). Most of them washed after more than two days (40%), and some of them after 2 days (21%), and part of workers washed daily (39%). Educational level was significantly different from washing clothes and wearing gloves attitude.

Table 5. Cross-tabulation of professional training of respondents against respondents' general personal hygiene and handling practices regarding meat safety.

	Profess	ndents sionally ed (%)			
Characteristic/attitude	Yes	No	p-value	OR	Total (%)
Reporting illness					
Yes	42.3	18.8	0.011	3.178	31
No	57.7	81.2			69
Washing of work					
clothes	51.9	25	0.008		39
Daily	11.5	31.2			21
After two days	36.5	43.8			40
More than two days					
Wearing gloves					
Yes	38.5	16.6	0.015	3.125	28
No	61.5	83.3			72

The table 5 showed the respondents attended professionally training and reported illness was 42.3% and the respondents un attended training and no reported illness was 81.2% (p<0.05). also workers wearied gloves and attended training was 38.5%. While workers no trained and un wearied gloves was 83.3%.attendending professional training was significantly different from Reporting illness, Washing of work clothes and Wearing gloves attitude.

The respondents attending professional training were more wiliness to report illness and wearing gloves (3.1 times) more than no attending professional training. Generally result showed strong adherence between good education and training and good hygienic practices and attitudes, this study show poor and unsatisfactory awareness and KAP levels.

Chapter four

Discussion

Personal hygiene practices investigated in this study included wearing of protective clothing, cleaning and disinfection of working clothes. These practices are considered as mandatory preventative measures which have to be implemented during the slaughter process to reduce chances of cross contamination (Nel *et al.*, 2004, Wambui, *et al.*, 2017).

But this study showed that all workers washed their clothes without any type of disinfectant (water and soap) at more than two days (40%). In reporting illness attitude table 4 showed that the all category of educational level was high proportion to unreported illness (69%). All category showed high percent to unwearied gloves specially in none educated and secondary (77.4%) that was disagreed with the study showed Procedures should be available to ensure that all maintenance employees, equipment, tools, etc. are cleaned and sanitized or used in designated areas to control contamination. These procedures should address proper dress (frocks, boots, and gloves) (Kerri and Savell, 2003).

In table 1 the research don't study the age and marital status that was just for showing . it's doesn't like the other studies according to considerable depot of empirical studies, demographic characteristics of consumers, especially like gender, age and levels of education and income, influence the consumer attitudes towards food safety (Robert *et al.*, 1993, Julie, 1995, Wilcock *et al.*, 2004).

The results table 5 was agreed with study showed food hygiene training, meat handler training represents one of the most effective strategies to maintain and mitigate food safety risks (Jianu and Gole, 2014).

The study showed there was no specific place to wash hands in the slaughterhouse, and major of the workers washed their hands in their houses and washed hands with water without soup in the abattoir. Reports indicated that simple act of washing hands with soap and water reduces the incidence of diarrhea caused by shigella and other causes by up to (35%) WHO (1999).

In this study monitoring the health status of slaughtered persons (Table 3) was recorded and this important to do for pre-employment health assessment for food handlers and inclusion of routine salmonella screening session at least every year (Harker, 2001, Haileselassie *et al.*, 2013, Abd-Elaleem *et al.*, 2014).

An increase in meat safety knowledge can be seen increasing along the educational levels and also as the professional years of experience increases. This result in agreement with previous studies showed high level of knowledge that associated with workers who had better education (Jianu et al., 2014, Talaeia et al., 2015, Ababio, et al., 2016).

Conclusion

This study showed poor and unsatisfactory awareness of KAP levels among the slaughterhouse workers, also underlines the link between educational level and professional training on level of knowledge and personal hygiene practices regarding meat safety.

Recommendations

- Therefore, proper training, monitoring and educating slaughter personnel will help to assure that the workers are provided with good quality wholesome meat all the times.
- Routine inspections by responsible authorities are also advisable to assess compliance with the standards and requirements according to the rules and regulations for safer meat processing in abattoirs.
 - Based on the outcome of this study, public education and enlightenment regarding the risk associated with noncompliance with abattoir laws, particularly to the abattoir workers, should be routinely practiced through mass media.
 - Similarly, future educational programs in the mode of the spread of pathogens, zoonotic diseases, and personnel to carry out meat inspection should be properly taken into account for an effective compliance with abattoir laws.
 - However, a proper motivation of the workers toward maintaining a positive attitude and good practice regarding compliance with abattoir laws as well as enforcing all the existing laws governing the abattoir operation in the country should be encouraged.

• It is also recommended that future research should focus on the determination of KAP and the level of compliance with abattoir laws in both licensed and unlicensed slaughterhouses across the State.

References

- **Ababio PF. Taylor, KDA, Swainson M**. *et al.*,**(2016).** Effect ofgood hygiene practices intervention on food safety insenior secondary schools in Ghana. *Food Control*; 60: 18-24.
- **Abd-Elaleem. R. Bakr, WMK, Hazzah, WA.** *et al.*,(**2014**)Assessment of the personal hygiene and thebacteriological quality of butchers' hands in someabattoirs in Alexandria, Egypt. *Food Control*; 41:147-150.
- **Abdul-Mutalib, N.A; Abdul-Rashid, M.F; Mustafa, S., Amin-Nordin, S; Hamat, R.A; Osman, M. (2012).** Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding food hygiene and sanitation of food handlers in Kuala Pilah, Malaysia. *Food Control* 27, 289–293. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.04.001
- **Abdullah Sani, N; Siow, O.N**.(2014). Knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers on food safety in food service operations at the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Food Control* 37, 210–217. doi:10.1016/j.food control .2013.09.036
- **Abdalla, M. A.; Suliman, S.E. and Bakhiet. A.O. (2009).** Food safety knowledge and practices of street foodvendors in Atbara City (Naher Elneel State Sudan). *African Journal of Biotechnology*. Vol 8 (24), pp. 6967-6971.
- Angelillo, I.F; Viggiani, N.M.; Rizzo, L. and Bianco, A. (2000). Food Handlers and Food-borne Diseases: Knowledge, Attitudes and Reported Behavior in Italy. *Journal Food Protection*. Vol 63(3).
- Arie,H.;Havelaar;Brul,S.;Jong,A.;Jong,R.;Marcel,H.;Benno, H. and Kuile, T.(2010). Future Challenges to Microbial Food Safety. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. Vol 139, Supplement, PpS79–S94.

Bas, M.; Ersun, A.S. and Kivanc, G. (2006) The evaluation of food hygiene knowledge, attitudes, and practices of food handlers' in food businesses in Turkey. Food Control. Vol 17(4). pp 317-322.

Bruhn, C.M. (1997)Consumer concerns:motivation to action. *Emerg Infect Dis.* Vol 3(4): 511–515. **Bruhn, C.M. and Schutz, H., G.** (1998). Consumer food safety knowledge and practices.

Borch, E.; Nesbakken, T.; Christensen, H. (1996). Hazard identification in swine slaughter with respect to foodborne bacteria. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 30, 9–25.

Berends, B.R.; Van Knapen, F.; Mossel, D.A.A.; Burt, S.A.; Snijders, J.M.A. (1998). Salmonella spp. on pork at cutting plants and at the retail level and the influence of particular risk factors. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. 44, 207–217. doi:10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00122-6.

Byr -Bredbenner, C.; Wheatly, V.; Schaffner, D.; Bruhn, C.; Blalock, L. and Maurer, J. (2007). "Development and implementation of a food safety knowledge instrument", *Journal of Food Science Education*, Vol. 6, pp. 46-55.

Harker C.(2001) Pre-employment health assessments for foodhandlers: A survey of occupational physicians in thefood industry. *Occup Med*; 51: 332-335.

Haileselassie M, Taddele H, Adhana K, et al., (2013) Foodsafety knowledge and practices of abattoir and butcheryshops and the microbial profile of meat in Mekelle City, Ethiopia. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed; 3: 407-412.

- Harris K.B and Savell J.W (2003) Best Practices for Beef Slaughter. Department of Animal Science Texas A&M University November 20, 2003 Version, Texas.
- Cagri-Mehmetoglu, A. (2009) Public perception of food handling practices and food safety in Turkey. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment* Vol.7 (2): 113 116.
- Clayton, D.A.; Griffith, D.J.; Price, P. and Peters, A.C.(2002). Food handlers' beliefs and self-reported practices. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 12: 25-39.
- Costello, C;Gaddis, T; Tamplin, M; and Morris, W. (1997). Evaluating the effectiveness of two instructional techniques for teaching four food safety principles to quick service employees. *Journal of Foodservice Systems*, 10(1), 41-50.
- **DeWaal, C.S; Robert, N,(2005). Global Local**: Food Safety Around the World. *Center for Science in the Public Interest, Washington, D.C.*
- Eduarda Gomes-Neves; Ana,A.C; Elisabete, R. and Carla,S.C.(2007) Food handling: Comparative analysis of general knowledge and practice in three relevant groups in Portugal. *Food control*.Vol (18).
- **Gill** C.O; Dussault, F; Holley, R.A; Houde, A; Jones, T; Rheault, N; Rosales, A; Quessy, S; (2000), Evaluation of the hygienic performances of the processes forcleaning, dressing and cooling pig carcasses at eight packing plants. Int. *J. Food Microbiol.* 58, 65–72. doi:10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00294-4
- Glanz, K; Lewis, F. M. &Rimer, B. K. (2002). Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory Research and Practice. San Francisco: Wiley and Sons.

Google map

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO), (2001). Codex General Principles of Food Hygiene.

FAO, WHO,(2003). Assuring Food Safety and Quality: Guidelines for Strengthening National Food Control Systems. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations World Health Organization, Rome.

Haileselassie, M; Taddele, H; Adhana K; et al.,(2013) Foodsafety knowledge and practices of abattoir and butcheryshops and the microbial profile of meat in Mekelle City, Ethiopia. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 3: 407-412.

Harker, C.(2001) Pre-employment health assessments for foodhandlers: A survey of occupational physicians in thefood industry. *Occup Med* .51: 332-335.

Jianu, C; Goleţ, I; (2014). Knowledge of food safety and hygiene and personal hygiene practices among meat handlers operating in western Romania. *Food Control* 42, 214–219. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.02.032.

Jevsnik,M; **Hlebec,V. and Raspor,P**.(**2008**) Consumers' Awareness of food safety from shopping to eating. *Food Control*.Vol 19(8).

Julie, A. (1995). Food safety knowledge and practices of consumers in the U.S.A. *Journal of Consumer Studies & Home Economics*. Vol 19(2).Pp 119-134.

Kassa, H.; Silverman, G.S.; Baroudi, K.(**2010**). Effect of a Manager Training and Certification Program on Food Safety and Hygiene in Food Service Operations. Environ. *Health Insights* 4, 13–20.

Kennedy, T.G.; Giotis, E.S.; McKevitt, A.I. (2014). Microbial assessment of an upward and downward dehiding technique in a commercial beef processing plant. *Meat Sci.* 97, 486–489. doi:10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.03.009.

Keller, J. (2007) *Attitude Is Everything*: Change Your Attitude...and You Change Your Life! Book.

KHALID,E.(2016) Knowledge, Attitudes and Practice of food Safety among workers at the federal ministry of animal resources in Sudan. Sudan University for Science and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine.

Launiala, **A**. (2009). How much can a KAP survey tell us about people's knowledge, attitudes and practices? Some observations from medical anthropology research on malaria in pregnancy in Malawi. *Anthropology Matters* 11.

Losasso,C.; Cibin,V.;Cappa and V.;Roccato, A.,(2012) Food safety and nutrition: Improving consumer behavior. *Food control* Vol.23(1)

Lydia, C.; Medeiros, Virginia; N., Hillers; Patricia A. Kendall and April Mason. (2001). Food Safety Education: What Should We Be Teaching to Consumers? *Journal of Nutrition Education*. vol 33(2).pp 108–113

Martins, R.B.; Hogg, T.;Otero, J.G., (2012). Food handlers' knowledge on food hygiene: The case of a catering company in Portugal. *Food Control* 23, 184–190.doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.07.008.

Medeiros, L.C; Hillers, V.N; Chen, G; Bergmann, V.; Kendall, P.; Schroeder, M., (2004). Design and development of food safety knowledge and attitude scales for consumer food safety education. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 104, 1671–1677. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2004.08.030.

Maryam Ansari-Lari, M.; Soodbakhsh, S., and Lakzadeh, L. (2010). Knowledge, attitudes and practices of workers on food hygienic practices in meat processing plants in Fars, Iran. *Food Control*, 21, 260e263. Materials for Hispanic workers in the mushroom industry.

Nel, S; Lues, JFR; Buys, EM; *et al.*,(2004) The personal and general hygiene practices in the deboning room of high throughput red meat abattoir. *Food Control*; 15: 571-578.

Nieto-Montenegro, S.; Brown, J.L. and Luke, F. (2005) Using the Health Action Model to plan food safety educational Materials for Hispanic workers in the mushroom industry. *Food Control.* Vol (16)

Ola ,E. (2014) Assessment of Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of consumers in Khartoum state regarding Food Safety. Sudan University for Science and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine.

Powell, S.C; Attwell, R.W; Massey, S.J (1997). The impact of training on knowledgeand standards of food hygiene Eth a pilot study. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.* 7, 329–334.

Patil, Sumeet R.; Cates, Sheryl; Morales and Roberta. (2005) Consumer Food Safety Knowledge, Practices, and Demographic Differences: Findings from a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Food Protection*, Volume 68(9), pp. 1884-1894(11).

Robert, B.; Elisabeth, S.; Gordon, L. and Eric O. (1993). Food

safety: An application of the health belief model. *Journal of Nutrition education*. Vol 25,(1).pp 17-24.

SOW OI NEE AND NORRAKIAH ABDULLAH SANI
(2011) Assessment of Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP)
Among Food Handlers at Residential Colleges and Canteen

Among Food Handlers at Residential Colleges and Canteen Regarding Food Safety, *Sains Malaysiana* 40(4): 403–410

Sufen liu et al., (2015) Knowledge, attitude and practices of food safety amongst food handlers in the coastal resort of Guangdong, China. *Food Control* .47. 457e461

Talaeia, **M**; **Holakouie-Naienib K**; **Foroushanib**, **AR**; *et al.*,(2015) Knowledge, attitude and practice of people about food borne outbreak in Isfahan city, Iran. *J Food Safety Hygiene* 1: 1-7.

Tracy, P. (2011). Assessing the Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Street Food Vendors in the City of Johannesburg regarding Food Hygiene and Safety. etd.uwc.ac.za

WHO, (1999) Reports indicated that simple act of washing hands with soap and water reduces the incidence of diarrhea caused by shigella.

World Health Organisation WHO(2000). Foodborne Disease: Focus on Health Education.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO), (2001). Codex General Principles of Food Hygiene.

WHO, (2002) global food strategy.

FAO and WHO. (2003). Assuring food safety and quality: Guidelines for strengthening national food control systems. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization.

WHO, (2008). Advocacy, communication and social control for TB control. A guide to developing knowledge, attitude and practice surveys. Switzerland.

World Health Organization (WHO) (2010). Five keys to safer food manual. (http://www.krt.gov.sd/khartoumen.php.www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/consumer/travellers ch.pdf).

Wilcock, A.; Pun, M.; Khanona, J. and Aung, M. (2004). Consumer attitudes, knowledge and behavior: a review of food safety issues. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. Vol 15,(2).

Wambui J; Karuri E; Lamuka P; et al., (2017) Good hygiene practices among meat handlers in small and medium enterprise slaughterhouses in Kenya. Food Control; 81: 34-39.

Zweifel, C., Baltzer, D., Stephan, R.,(2005). Microbiological contamination of cattle and pig carcasses at five abattoirs determined by swab sampling in accordance with EU Decision 2001/471/EC. *Meat Sci.* 69, 559–566.

Appendix

Assessment of meat safety knowledge, attitudes and practices among slaughter house workers in ED dueim_ White Nile (questionnaire)

Date	
Slaug	hter house type
1-Nar	ne of person surveyed (optional)
•••••	
2-Тур	es of workers?
•••••	
3-In v	vhich age group:
0	20-30
0	31-40
0	41-50
0	>50
4-Ma	rital status:
0	Single
0	Married
0	Divorced
5- Edı	ucational level:
0	None
0	Primary
0	Secondary
0	Tertiary
6-Wh	ats your tribe name?

7-	Υ	our	prof	fessi	ional	experie	nces:
•	•	- -	P. O.			CAPCILE	

7-You	ir professional experiences:
0	<2 yrs
0	2-5 yrs
0	>5 yrs
8-Do	you have a professional training?
0	Yes
0	No

9-Numbers of training session received:

0	None
0	<2
0	2-5
0	>5

10-Last training session:

o None o <2 yrs ago o 2-5 yrs ago o >5 yrs ago

11- Do you have a valid health certificates?

o Yes o No

o None
 Every month
 Every 6 month
 Annually
13-Do you reported illness?
o Yes
o No
 Sometimes
14- Action and treatment
 Self medication
 Traditional healers
 Pharmacy
Clinic /hospital
15-How many times you are disinfecting your work clothes?
Daily
 After 2 days
After 3 days
16- How many times you are disinfecting of contact surfaces?
 Always
 Sometimes
17-Frequency of wearing gloves:

12-Ckecking by medical examination:

o Always

Never

Sometimes

18- How many times you are disinfecting your equipments?	
0	Always
0	Sometimes
0	Never
19-Ro	utine inspection by responsible authorities:
0	Always
0	Sometimes
0	Never
20 -Ho	w you are washing your hands?
•••••	
21-Are you drying your hands?	
0	Yes
0	No
22-If y	es what are you using?