

# **Dedication**

To my parents,  
To anyone who taught  
me a letter,  
To my friends,  
And to all my family.

# **Acknowledgements**

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Abdelmoneim Adam Mohamed Sulieman for his support and guidance. Without his help this work could not have been accomplished.

I also would like to thank Ms. Nada Abbas Ahmed (SAEC) for her invaluable comments on the work and for being a member of examination committee. My thanks also go to Alzaytouna specialist hospital and Alamal National hospital staff for their help.

Finally, I would like to sincerely thank my family for their consistent mental support, my wife for her unselfish help in the past years.

# Contents

No.	Item	Page No.
	Dedication.....	I
	Acknowledgements .....	II
	Contents.....	III
	List of tables .....	VII
	List of figures .....	VIII
	Abstract [English] .....	X
	Abstract [Arabic] .....	XI
	Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1	Historical background .....	2
1.2	Radiation risk .....	5
1.3	Radiation dose optimization .....	6
1.4	Statement of the problem .....	6
1.5	Objectives of the study .....	7
1.6	Thesis outline .....	7
	Chapter Two: Theoretical Background	
2.1	CT scan.....	10
2.1.1	Principles of CT .....	10
2.1.2	Principles of MSCT .....	11
2.1.2.1	MSCT detectors.....	12

2.1.2.2	MSCT Era.....	13
2.2.2.3	MSCT data acquisition.....	14
2.1.3	16-Channel (16-slice) Scanners and more.....	16
2.1.4	MSCT concepts: Differences between MSCT and SSCT.....	<b>19</b>
2.2	Optimization of MDCT technique.....	19
2.2.1	CT parameters.....	19
2.2.1.1	Tube potential (kVp).....	19
2.2.1.2	Tube current – Time product (mAs). .....	20
2.3	Radiation dose units .....	20
2.3.1	Effective dose .....	21
2.4	CT dose measurements .....	23
2.4.1	CT parameters that influence the radiation dose.....	23
2.4.2	CT dose descriptors .....	24
2.4.3	Computed tomography dose index (CTDI) .....	25
2.4.4	Dose-length product (DLP) unit (mQy-cm) .....	30
2.4.5	Effective dose.....	32
2.5	Equipment-related factors .....	32
2.5.1	Beam filtration .....	32
2.5.2	Beam shaper .....	33
2.5.3	Beam collimation .....	34
2.5.4	Detector array .....	37

2.5.5	Data acquisition system (DAS) .....	39
2.5.6	Spiral interpolation.....	40
2.5.7	Adaptive filtration .....	40
2.5.8	Overranging .....	40
2.5.9	Devices for automatic dose control .....	42
2.5.9.1	Longitudinal dose modulation (LDM) .....	43
2.5.9.2	Angular dose modulation (ADM) .....	43
2.5.9.3	Temporal dose modulation (TDM) .....	44
2.5.10	Dose display .....	45
2.6	Application-related factors .....	45
2.6.1	Brook's formula .....	46
2.6.2	Scan parameters .....	47
2.6.2.1	Tube current – Time product (Q) .....	47
2.6.2.2	Tube potential (kVp) .....	48
2.6.2.3	Slice collimation (hcol) and Slice thickness (hrec) .....	51
2.6.2.4	Pitch .....	52
2.6.2.5	Gantry rotation time .....	53
2.6.2.6	Object diameter (d) or patient (m) .....	53
2.6.3	Examination parameters .....	55
2.6.3.1	Scan length (L) .....	55
2.6.3.2	Number of scan series (nser) .....	55

2.6.3.3	Number of rotation in dynamic CT studies .....	56
2.7	Patient dose from CT: previous studies .....	56
Chapter Three: Materials and methods		
3.1	Materials and Methods.....	63
3.2	CT machine .....	63
3.3	Patients' data .....	63
3.4	CT dose measurements .....	64
3.5	Radiation dose optimization steps .....	64
3.6	Cancer risk estimation .....	65
Chapter Four: Results		
	Results .....	68
Chapter Five: Discussion		
5.1	Discussion .....	75
5.2	Conclusion .....	81
5.3	Recommendations .....	81
5.4	Suggestions for future studies .....	81
	References .....	82
	Appendixes.....	89

## List of tables

Table	Item	Page No.
2.1	Tissue Weighting Factors.....	22
3.1	Radiation risk for adults and workers.....	66
4.1	Adult patients' data (Sex, No per hospital).....	68
4.2	Pediatric patients' data (Sex, No per hospital) .....	69
4.3	Doses of adults; Mean $\pm$ sd and range (min-max) in the parenthesis.....	70
4.4	Doses of adults from Alzaytouna hospital; Mean $\pm$ sd, and range (min-max) in the parenthesis.....	71
4.5	Doses of pediatrics from Alzaytouna hospital; Mean $\pm$ sd, and range (min-max) in the parenthesis.....	72
4.6	Doses of adults from Al-Amal hospital; Mean $\pm$ sd, and range (min-max) in the parenthesis.....	73
5.1	Comparison of patient dose during CT with previous studies...	76

## List of figures

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1.1	Generations of CT and tube motions.....	3
2.1	SSCT & MSCT arrays.....	12
2.2	Flexible uses of detectors in 4 slice MSCT scanners.....	15
2.3	Diagrams of various 16-slices detector designs (in z-direction)	16
2.4	Diagram of various 64-slice detector designs (z-direction)...	17
2.5	Section of a 16-slice detector with scatter removal septa.....	18
2.6	Radiation quantities and units.....	23
2.7	Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDI) .....	26
2.8	The average level of the total dose profile (MSAD).....	28
2.9	A profile of radiation dose delivered during a single CT scan	29
2.10	Dose length product (DLP) in CT.....	31
2.11	Dose profile in free air in Single, dual& 4 slice CT scanners	35
2.12	Comparison between simultaneous scanning of 4- slices SSCT	36
2.13	Detectors array in different CT manufacturers (16-slice)....	38
2.14	Detector arrangement of 64-slice scanners.....	39
2.15	Relationship between pitch and slice thickness.....	41
2.16	Automatic exposure control (AEC) .....	42
2.17	Longitudinal dose modulation.....	43
2.18	Angular dose modulation (ADM) .....	44
2.19	Temporal dose modulation (TDM) .....	44
2.20	Voltage dependence of patient dose (CTDIw) and detector signal.....	50

2.21	Voltage dependence of contrast-to noise ratio squared (CNR2) at constant patient dose (CTDIw) for different types of detail	50
2.22	Increased dose-length product due to overbeaming (OB) and overranging (OR) effects for a typical MSCT scanner.....	51
2.23	For MSCT systems that employ multi-point spiral data interpolation (z-filtering), image noise changes with pitch unless effective mAs is held constant (a.) .....	53
4.1	Comparison of patients' doses.....	72

## **ABSTRACT**

Computed Tomography (CT) is a diagnostic imaging modality giving higher patient dose in comparison with other radiological procedures, so the calculation of patient dose in CT exams is very important. CT improved the diagnosis many of the diseases. The increasing use of CT in the Sudan in recent years is what has to think in the attempt to reduce the exposure of the patient and that the risks known to the X-ray. This study aimed to measure the radiation dose and estimating the risks resulting from exposure to X-rays during the imaging by CT scan.

A total of 130 patients were examined in two hospitals using two spiral CT scans 64 slices (Alamal National and Alzaytouna specialist hospitals in the period (March 2011-June 2011). The average age of the samples was  $45\pm18$  years. The mean effective dose for Al-amal National hospital was  $17.4\pm12.7$  mSv,  $22.9\pm14.3$  mSv and  $2.4\pm0.9$  mSv for the chest, abdomen and brain examinations, respectively. The mean effective doses for Al-Zaytouna specialist hospital were  $26.3\pm7.8$  mSv,  $47.6\pm33.0$  mSv, and  $3.7\pm1.5$  mSv for the chest, abdomen and brain, respectively. The dose of this study is relatively higher compared to previous studies locally and internationally. This can be attributed to lack of training in CT dose optimisation and CT modality. The study showed the urgent need to review and evaluation of dose and also the need for continuous training of workers in this field and establishing the diagnostic reference level in the Sudan.

## الملخص

الأشعة المقطعيّة هي تقنية تصوير طبي ، عند إستخدامها تعطي جرعة إشعاع عالية للمرضى بالمقارنة مع الفحوصات الإشعاعية الأخرى، لذلك حساب الجرعات الإشعاعية للمرضى مهم جدا. كما أن الأشعة المقطعيّة زادت من المقدرة على تشخيص كثير من الأمراض. زيادة إستخدام الأشعة المقطعيّة في السودان في السنوات الأخيرة حفز الباحثين لخفض الجرعة الإشعاعية والخطر الإشعاعي المصاحب.

هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى قياس الإشعاع وتقدير الخطر الإشعاعي الناجم عن فحوصات الأشعة المقطعيّة للأجهزة ذات الأربعه وستون شريحة.

تم فحص 130 مريضا بكل من مستشفى الأمل الوطني و مستشفى الزيتونة التخصصي في الفترة من مارس وحتى يونيو 2011.

بلغ متوسط العمر للمرضى ( $45 \pm 18$ ) سنة. وبلغ متوسط الجرعة الفعالة ( ملي سيفرت) في مستشفى الأمل الوطني ( $17.4 \pm 12.7$ ,  $22.9 \pm 14.3$  and  $2.4 \pm 0.9$  ) لكل من فحوصات الصدر والبطن والرأس على الترتيب، كما بلغ متوسط الجرعة الفعالة( ملي سيفرت) في مستشفى الزيتونة التخصصي ( $26.3 \pm 7.8$   $47.6 \pm 33.0$ ,  $3.7 \pm 1.5$ ) لكل من فحوصات الصدر والبطن والرأس على الترتيب.

أظهرت هذه الدراسة أن المرضى يتعرضون لجرعة من الإشعاع أعلى من الدراسات السابقة محلياً وعالمياً. يعزى ذلك إلى قلة التدريب في مجال أمثلة الجرعة الإشعاعية للمرضى وكذلك لنوع الأجهزة. كما بينت الدراسة الحاجة الماسة لتدريب العاملين ووضع مستوى مرجعي للجرعة الإشعاعية بالسودان.