

Dedication

To the soul of my deceased father,
To my dear mother, to my wife, children,
brothers and sisters.

Acknowledgements

All praise is due to Allah. The Almighty for enabling me to achieve this academic taske Prof. Mohamed Bakriand Dr. Ienas Ahmed who supervised the study through the different stage, giving their valuable advice and help so kindly.

Thanks also are extended to the British Council in Sudan, their forum and web sites related to their pages on Facebook and others, also, Embassy of The United States of America in Khartoum, Sudan, Public of Affaire Officer Eric Johnston, Caroline Schneider the Webinar. Sudan University of Science and Technology SUST Journal of Educational Sciences for published my two manuscripts.

I want to thank Sudanese Help Organization Development (SHOD) for their assistance. In addition, all Social Networks Sites and their communities, whose participated by point of views. My thanks ate due to Ahfad University for Women UPP students who participated in the pretest and posttest.

Abstract

This study aimed at examining using the potentialities of social networks in developing university students' reading comprehension. It also aimed to draw the attention to the importance of Social Networks as a new medium for teaching and education in general. This study adopted of a descriptive and experimental methods. An inferential statistical "chisquare" was codedto analyze data collected. A questionnaire carefully constructed, and then distributed among the teachers which comprised fifty (50) teachers at universities level who were randomly selected. in the first step and inferential statistical method "T-test" was used to code and analyze the data collected. The test was carefully constructed, and then it was distributed among the students which comprised twenty (20) students of the first class at the university level who were randomly selected. The researcher used Statistical Packages for Social Sciences(SPSS) program to analyze the data. The study showed that: Social Networks have a great role in enhancing the skills of reading comprehension. Social Networks help students to address their difficulties and problems of reading comprehension. The thesis is concluded in some recommendations and suggestions for further studies.

Abstract

Arabic Version

المستخلص

هدفتهذه الدراسة إلى دراسة استخدام إمكانات الشبكات الاجتماعية في تطوير فهم القراءة لدى الطلاب الجامعيين، وهدفت ايضا لتوجيه الانتباه إلى أهمية الشبكات الاجتماعية كوسيلة جديدة للتدريس والتعليم بشكل عام . استخدم الباحث المنهجان الوصفي والتجريبي "مربع-كاي"-chi" "square رمزة لتحليل البيانات التي تم جمعها: وقد تم تصميم الاستبانة بعناية ، ثم تم توزيعه الي خمسين معلمًا في الجامعات . وتم استخدام اختبار "T-test" لرمز وتحليل البيانات وتموضع الاختبار بعناية ، ثم تم توزيعه بين الي عشرين طالبة من المستوي الأول الذين تم اختيار هم عشوائياً . استخدم الباحث برنامج الحزم الإحصائية للعلوم الاجتماعية (SPSS) لتحليل البيانات وأظهرت الدراسة أن : الشبكات الاجتماعية لها دور كبير في تعزيز مهارات القراءة والفهم . وتساعد الشبكات الاجتماعية الطلاب على التعامل مع صعوباتهم ومشاكل القراءة والفهم . اختتمت الأطروحة في بعض التوصيات والمقترحات لمزيد من الدراسات المستقبلية.

Table of Contents

Topic	Pages
Dedication	Ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Abstract in Arabic	V
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Figures	xii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0	Background of the Study	1
1.1	Statement of the Study Problem	2
1.2	Questions of the Study	3
1.3	Hypotheses of the Study	3
1.4	Objectives of the Study	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	4
1.6	Limits of the Study	4
1.7	Summary of the Chapter	5

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND PREVIOUS STUDIES

2.0 Introduction	6
2.1 Overview	6
2.2 Use of the Internet	9
2.3 Usability Evaluation of Messenger Applications for	10
Android Phones	
2.4 The Structure of Social Networks	10
2.5 Using mobile instant messaging to leverage learner	12
participation and transform pedagogy	
2.6 Facebook	13
2.6.1 What is Facebook?	14
2.6.2 Who started Facebook?	14
2.6.3 History of Facebook	15
2.7 History of WhatsApp	24
2.7.1 Educational benefits of the WhatsApp platform	26
2.8 LinkedIn	27

2.9 Twitter	28
2.9.1 Twitter space crawl	29
2.10 New Tools for Distance Learning	30
2.11 Using mobile instant messaging to leverage learner	31
participation and transform pedagogy	
2.12 Social Networking	32
2.13 The value of Online Social Network for Academic	35
Purposes	
2.14 Using Facebook in Education	36
2.15 How to Use Facebook as tool in Teacher Education	37
2.16 Strategies for Online Reading Comprehension	38
2.17 Traditional Reading (in school)	38
2.17.1 Online Reading	38
2.18 Reading Strategies	39
2.18.1 Definition of Reading	39
2.18.2 Pre-Reading	40
2.19 Pre-Reading Strategies	45
2.20While reading	45
2.20.1Brainstorming	46
2.20.2 Concept or mind mapping	46
2.21 Post-reading	46
2.21.1 How to Use the Strategy	47
2.22 How to Conduct Reading	48
2.23Types of Reading	49
2.23.1 Intensive Reading	49
2.23.2 Silent Reading	49
2.23.3 Loud Reading	49
2.23.4 Extensive Reading	50
2.23.5 Skimming	50
2.23.6 Scanning	50
2.24 Integrating Strategies	51
2.25 Previous studies	53
2.25.1Effects of Social Networking on Adolescent	53
Education	
2.25.2 Facebook off Limits? Protecting Teachers' Private	56
Speech on Social Networking Sites	
2.25.3 Social Networking Tools for Teacher Education	57
2.25.4 Exploring Facebook and WhatsApp As	59
Supporting Social Network Applications For	

English Learning In Higher Education	
2.26 Summary of the chapter	62
CHAPTERTHREE:	
METHODOLOGY	
3.0 Introduction	63
3.1 Method	63
3.2 Sample of the Study	64
3.3 Validity of the Tools	64
3.3.1 Validity of the Test	64
3.4 The Contents of the Tools	64
3.4.1 The Contents of the Test	64
3.4.2 The Content of the Questionnaire	64
3.5 Checking instrument Validity	65
3.6 Checking instrument Reliability	65
3.7 Research Procedures of Data Collection	65
3.7 Summary of the Chapter	67
CHAPTER FOUR:	
DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND	
DISCUSSIONS	
4.0 Introduction	68
4.1 Applying Inferential Statistics	91
4.1.1 Hypotheses of the Study	91
4.2 Hypotheses Testing	91
4.3 Summary of the Chapter	91
CHAPTER FIVE:	
MAIN FINDINGS, CONCLUSION,	
RECOMMENDATIONS AND	
SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES	02
5.1 Main Findings	92
5.2 Recommendations	93
5.3 Suggestions for Further Studies	94
5.4 Summary of the Chapter	94
Bibliographies	T 45 -
APPENDICES	126
AppendixOne:ReadingComprehension Text	127
Appendix Two: Questionnaire	130
Appendix Three: Jury of Judgments	132

List of Tables

No.	Topic	Pages
(3.1)	Reliability	66
(4.1)	Frequencies of the Pretest and Posttest	69
(4.2)	Statistic of the Pretest and Posttest	69
(4.3)	T-Test of the Pretest and Posttest	70
(4.4)	Paired Samples Correlations	70
(4.5)	Paired Samples Test	70
(4.6)	Descriptive Statistics of the Pretest and Posttest	71
(4.7)	Case Processing Summary of the Pretest and Posttest	71
(4.8)	Frequency of Posttest	71
(4.9)	Frequency of Pretest	72
(4.10)	Case Processing Summary of the Questionnaire	73
(4.11)	Reliability Statistics of the Questionnaire	73
(4.12)	Summary Item Statisticsof the Questionnaire	74
(4.13)	Using social networks are developing English reading?	74
(4.14)	Social networks are productive online aid.	75
(4.15)	Do you think students at university use online social network?	76
(4.16)	Students at university well had known how to use social network.	77
(4.17)	Students at university spend most of the time in Social network.	78
(4.18)	Do you think that students miss use social networks?	79
(4.19)	Social Network is a seminal future aid in enhancing teaching is it.	80
(4.20)	Teachers must use modern technology in pedagogic.	81
(4.21)	Many teachers teach don't know how to use social network.	82
(4.22)	Social networks are the future of language teaching and other science.	83
(4.23)	Are students use social network able to predict meaning from the text?	84
(4.24)	Do you observe that students find difficulties in using social network?	85
(4.25)	Students find no difficulties in using social network.	86
(4.26)	Are students use social network able to infer meaning from	87

	the text?	
(4.27)	Social networks are give students motivate in reading	88
	comprehension?	
(4.28)	The subjects results in the pre-test	89
(4.29)	The subjects results in the post-test	90

List of Figures

No.	Topic	Pages
(4.1)	Frequency of Pretest	72
(4.2)	Frequency of Posttest	73
(4.3)	Frequency of Using social networks are developing English reading?	75
(4.4)	Frequency of Social networks are productive online aid.	76
(4.5)	Frequency of Do you think students at university use online social network?	77
(4.6)	Frequency of Students at university well had known how to use social network.	78
(4.7)	Frequency of Students at university spend most of the time in Social network.	79
(4.8)	Frequency of Do you think that students miss use social networks?	80
(4.9)	Frequency of Social Network is a seminal future aid in enhancing teaching is it.	80
(4.10)	Frequencyof Teachers must use modern technology in pedagogic.	82
(4.11)	Frequency of Many teachers teach don't know how to use social network	83
(4.12)	FrequencyofSocial networks are the future of language teaching and other science.	84
(4.13)	Frequency of Are students use social network able to predict meaning from the text?	84
(4.14)	Frequency of Do you observe that students find difficulties in using social network?	86
(4.15)	Frequency of Students find no difficulties in using social network	87
(4.16)	Frequency of Are students use social network able to infer meaning from the text?	88
(4.17)	Frequency of Social networks are give students motivate in reading comprehension?	89

List of Figures

No.	Topic	Page
(2.1)	Facebook	13
(2.2)	Social Media	24
(2.3)	Twitter	28