

قال تعالى:

﴿ وَيَسَأَلُونَكَ عَنِ الرُّوحِ قُلِ الرُّوحُ مِنَ أَمْرِ رَبِّى وَمَا الرُّوحُ مِنَ أَمْرِ رَبِّى وَمَا أُوتِيتُم مِّنِ الْعِلْمِ إِلاَّ قَلِيلاً ﴾ أوتِيتُم مِّن الْعِلْمِ إِلاَّ قَلِيلاً ﴾

صدق ألله ألعظيم

سورة الإسراء ﴿85﴾

Dedication

Who haven't spared me any time and efforts to
encourage me, help me and provide me with their
fruitful advice, I pray to Allah to enable me to repay
them for their kind act.
To my source of Joy, my brothers and sweety sister.
To my dearest friends,
And
To whom I love

To my lovely parents......

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, praise to Almighty Allah, who gave me the strength and patience to achieve this work.

Gratefully, I respect and thankfully I acknowledge the generous support of my supervisor **Dr. Wafa Ibrahim Elhaj** and appreciate her great true efforts.

I appreciate greatly the time, patience, effort, critical comments, invaluable advice, and discussion the concerning my study, offered which inspired, motivated me, and contributed the mendously to the progress of this research.

My thanks are extended to the director and all members of Khartoum Teaching Hospital and microbiology lab department in Sudan University of Science and Technology.

I would like to express my deepest sincere appreciation to **Prof. Humodi Ahmed Saeed** Dean of faculty of graduated studies and scientific research.

My sincere thanks to **Prof. Osman Taha Ahmed** (The head master of Sudanese Medical Specialties Council).

Also I would like to thanks **Prof. Elhaj Abdalmhmod Mansour** who stood beside me, offering me their help and providing me with their fruitful advice.

Special thanks to the staff of medical microbiology in public health laboratory and **Ustaz Kalid Abdalla Anan**.

My unlimited thanks to all subjects who have been the back bone of this study.

Lastly, offer my regard and appreciation to all those who supported me to achieve this work.

Abstract

During the period from April to October 2012, two hundred participants from Khartoum Teaching Hospital (KTH), (medical and non-medical staff) were enrolled in this study to detect the presence of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) nasal carriage and to detect Methicillin resistant gene (mecA), out of them 10(58.8%) were male and 7(41.1%) female, their age range from 21-66 years with mean 1.35.

Two hundred nasal swabs were collected and investigated by standard cultural, and molecular methods, Standard Kirby Bauer method was used to detect methicillin resistant.

Out of the total, *S. aureus* was isolated from 43(21.5%) medical staff only among them 17(39.5%) were methicillin resistant.

Most of the isolates were from age group 21-36 years and more frequent in male $\{10(58.8\%)\}$, there were insignificant relation between the sexes (p=.732), age (p=.060) with regard to the MRSA nasal carriage.

Molecular characterization with PCR revealed that among total (17) isolates of MRSA only 2(11.7%) possess mecA gene.

الخلاصة

خلال الفترة من ابريل الى اكتوبر 2012م، شارك 200 من الطاقم الطبي والغير طبي في مستشفى الخرطوم التعليمي، في هذه الدراسه للكشف عن وجود المكورات العنقودية الذهبية المقاومة للميثيسيلين المحموله في الأنف والكشف عن جين مقاومة الميثيسيلين (أ).

شملت هذه الدراسه 10(58.8%) من الذكور و 7 (41.1%) من الإناث تتراوح أعمارهم بين 21 الى 66

عاما ومتوسطهم 1.35.

تم جمع 200 مسحة من الأنف ،وتم فحصها عن طريق التزريع القياسي, الكشف الجزيئي ومعيار كيربي باور للكشف عن مقاومة الميثيسيلين.

من المجموع الكلي تم عزل 43 (21.5%) من البكتريا العنقودية الذهبية والتي عزلت من الطاقم الطبي فقط، من بينهم 17(3.95%) كانت مقاومة للميثيسيلين. وقد لوحظ ان معظم العزلات كانت في الذكور 38.8% بين الفئة العمرية 36 عاما، كما بين عدم وجود علاقه ذات دلالة احصائية بين الجنس (p=.732)، العمر (p=.060) والمكورات العنقودية الذهبية المقاومة للميثيسيلين.

الكشف الجزيئي بواسطه التفاعل البلمري المتسلسل ال 17 مكورات عنقودية ذهبية مقاومة الميثيسيلين (أ).

Table of Contents

Item No.	Contents	Page No.
	الآيه	I
	Dedication	II
	Acknowledgment	III
	Abstract (English)	IV
	Abstract (Arabic)	V
	List of contents	VI
	List of tables	IX
	List of figure	Х
	Chapter One	
	Introduction	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Rationale	3
1.3	Objectives	3
1.3.1	General objective	3
1.3.2	Specific Objectives	3
	Chapter Two	
	Literature review	
	Literature review	4
2.1	History of Staphylococcus aureus	4
2.2	Definition and Classification of Staphylococcus aureus	4
2.3	Morphology and cultural characters	5
2.4	Biochemical Characters	6
2.5	Virulence factors	6
2.5.1	Structural components	6
2.5.2	Enzyme production	7
2.5.3	Toxins productions	8

2.6	Pathogenicity	10
2.6.1	Pyogenic infections	10
2.6.2	Toxic Mediated Infection	11
2.7	Staphylococcs aureus nasal carriage	12
2.8	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	12
2.9	Mechanism of methicillin resistance	13
2.10	Hospital and Community associated MRSA	14
2.11	Back ground studies	14
2.12	Laboratory Diagnosis of S. aureus Infections	15
2.12.1	Culture	16
2.12.2	Tube Coagulase Test	16
2.12.3	Slide Coagulase Test	16
2.12.4	DNase and Heat –Stable Nuclease Tests	17
2.12.5	Latex Aggulitination Tests	17
2.12.6	Molecular Tests	17
	Chapter Three	-
	Materials and methods	
3	Materials and methods	19
3.1	Study design	19
3.2	Study area	19
3.3	Study population	19
3.3.1	Inclusion criteria	19
3.3.2	Exclusion criteria	19
3.4	Study duration	19
3.5	Sample size	19
3.6	Sampling technique	19
3.7	Data collection method and tools	19
3.8	Ethical clearance	19

3.9.1	Specimens 1	
3.9.2	Collection of specimens	20
3.9.3	Culture of specimens.	20
3.9.4	Identification of mannitol fermenter colonies	20
3.9.4.1	Colonial Morphology	20
3.9.4.2	Gram stain	20
3.9.4.3	Biochemical tests	20
3.9.4.3.1	Catalase Test	20
3.9.4.3.2	Coagulase Test	20
3.9.4.3.3	DNAse Test	20
3.9.4.4	Methicillin Susceptibility Testing	21
3.10	Quality controls	21
3.11	Mec A gene detection	21
3.11.1	DNA Extraction	21
3.11.2	Measurement of DNA concentration by reader	21
3.11.3	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	21
3.11.3.1	PCR Amplification	21
3.11.3.2	Agarose Gel preparation and analysis	22
3.12	Data analysis	23
	Chapter Four	,
	Results	
4	Results	24
	Chapter Five	
	Discussion- Conclusion- Recommendations	
5.1	Discussion	28
5.2	Conclusion	29
5.3	Recommendations	29
	References	30
	Appendixes	36

Tables No.		Page
		No.
Table (1)	Sample Preparation for using PCR.	22
Table (2)	PCR program used for Amplification of mecA gene.	22
Table (3)	Relation between S. aureus nasal carriage and certain	27
	factors (sex, age).	
Table(4)	Contents of kit (MuRSAflux)	45

Figures No.		Page
		No.
Figure (1)	Frequency of S. aureus nasal carriage among study	25
	group.	
Figure (2)	Frequency of S. aureus among study group according to	26
	their sex.	
Figure (3)	Frequency of S. aureus among study group according to	27
	their age group.	
Figure (4)	Catalase reaction left positive reaction, right negative	37
	reaction.	
Figure (5)	Slide coagulase test right positive, left negative.	37
Figure (6)	Growth of S. aureus in DNAse medium show positive	37
	result with clear zone around the colonies.	
Figure (7)	Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) media. Right S. aureus	38
	shows Mannitol fermente.left Control negative	
	organism.	
Figure (8)	Staphylococcus aureus under microscope x100	38
	objective.	
Figure (9)	Growth of MRSA versus 5µg methicillin disk (M) on	39
	Muller Hinton Agar plates after overnight incubation.	
Figure (10)	Photo showed 2.5% agarose gel for PCR amplification	40
	of mec A gene.	