

## List of Figures

<b>Fig (1.1):</b> Side Tracking (Hughes, 1995) .....	2
<b>Fig (1.2):</b> Comparison Between Conventional and Slim Hole Well (Slim hole Analysis, 2015) .....	2
<b>Fig (2.1):</b> Type of Well Profile (Rabia H) .....	13
<b>Fig (2.2):</b> Build-Up &Hold (Baker Hughes, 1995) .....	13
<b>Fig (2.3):</b> Build-Up &Hold Type Well;R <Total Target Displacement ( Directional Drilling Training Manual ,1996 ).....	14
<b>Fig (2.4):</b> Build-UP &Hold Type Well ; R > Total Target Displacement ( Directional Drilling Training Manual ,1996 ).....	15
<b>Fig (2.5):</b> Whipstock (Rabia H).....	17
<b>Fig (2.6):</b> PDM BHA with Bent sub (Rabia H).....	18
<b>Fig (2.7):</b> Flush and Spiral Drill Collars ( Directional Drilling Training Manual ,1996).....	20
<b>Fig (2.8):</b> Deposition of Cutting in inclined well (Ozbayoglu et al , 2010) (Mesfin et al, 2014).....	23
<b>Fig (2.9):</b> Stress State Around the Well.....	25
<b>Fig (2.10):</b> Wellbore Stress State Decomposition .....	25
<b>Fig (3.1):</b> Design and Analysis Flow Chart.....	49
<b>Fig (4.1):</b> Explain Build Hold Profile .....	52
<b>Fig (4.2):</b> General Data .....	53
<b>Fig (4.3):</b> Survey Data.....	53
<b>Fig (4.4):</b> Well Profile 2D from Landmark.....	54
<b>Fig (4.5):</b> Well Profile 3D from Compass.....	54

<b>Fig (4.6):</b> Dogleg Severity.....	55
<b>Fig (4.7):</b> Inclination with Measure Depth.....	55
<b>Fig (4.8):</b> Azimuth with Measure Depth.....	56
<b>Fig (4.9):</b> Casing, Whipstock.....	56
<b>Fig (4.10):</b> Casing, Milling Bit, and Whipstock Model Parts.....	57
<b>Fig (4.11):</b> Casing Milling With Baker Milling Bit Shape.....	58
<b>Fig (4.12):</b> Wellbore Editor.....	60
<b>Fig (4.13):</b> String Editor.....	61
<b>Fig (4.14):</b> BHA Model .....	61
<b>Fig (4.15):</b> Describe BHA.....	62
<b>Fig (4.16):</b> Apparent BHA analysis data .....	62
<b>Fig (4.17):</b> Displacement Along Distance from Bit.....	63
<b>Fig (4.18):</b> Side Force vs Distance from Bit.....	64
<b>Fig (4.19):</b> Present Fluid Editor .....	66
<b>Fig (4.20):</b> Circulating System Data-Surface Equipment.....	67
<b>Fig (4.21):</b> Circulating System –Mud Pumps .....	67
<b>Fig (4.22):</b> Operation Data.....	67
<b>Fig (4.23):</b> Surge Closed End (1) .....	68
<b>Fig (4.24):</b> Swab Closed End (2) .....	69
<b>Fig (4.25):</b> Cutting Transport Data with Flow Rate (0.4732 m <sup>3</sup> /min).....	69
<b>Fig (4.26):</b> Cutting Transport Data with Flow Rate (0.9085 m <sup>3</sup> /min).....	70
<b>Fig (4.27):</b> Cutting Transport Result for Flow Rate (0.4732 m <sup>3</sup> /min).....	71
<b>Fig (4.28):</b> Cutting Transport Result for Flow Rate (0.9085 m <sup>3</sup> /min).....	71

<b>Fig (4.29):</b> Cutting Transport Volume vs. Hole Angle Result with Different Pump Rate (100,150,200,250,300 gpm) .....	72
<b>Fig (4.30):</b> Program Face.....	73
<b>Fig (4.31):</b> Input Data in Fraction Pressure Model.....	74
<b>Fig (4.32):</b> Input Data in Mohr-Coulomb Collapse Model .....	74
<b>Fig (4.33):</b> Results of Solution for Fracturing Gradient Model (1) .....	75
<b>Fig (4.34):</b> Results of Solution for Fracturing Gradient Model (2).....	75
<b>Fig (4.35):</b> Results of Solution for Mohr-Coulomb Collapse Model (1) .....	76
<b>Fig (4.36):</b> Results of Solution for Mohr-Coulomb Collapse Model (2).....	76
<b>Fig (4.37):</b> Results of Solution for Mohr-Coulomb Collapse Model (3) .....	77
<b>Fig (4.38):</b> Wellbore Stability Curve.....	77
<b>Fig (4.39):</b> Torque Drag Setup Data.....	78
<b>Fig (4.40):</b> Mode Data-Normal Analysis.....	79
<b>Fig (4.41):</b> Torque Drag Effective Tension Graph Result.....	80
<b>Fig (4.42):</b> Torque Drag Normal Torque Graph Result.....	80