DEDICATION

To the Soul of my father

To the Soul of my mother

To my lovely husband

To my lovely daughters

To my brothers and sisters

To all my friends

With love

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I give thanks to Allah who enabled me to complete this work. I gratefully thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Awadalla Mohamed Saeed, for his help in all phases of this study, for his guidance and advice.

My thanks are also extended to my co-supervisor, Dr. Alshifa Ali Merghani, for his help in all phases of this study, for her guidance and advice.

Thanks are also extended to the managers and staff of the agricultural projects (which constituted the site of the research) for their help during the data collection phase.

The assistance received from all those who contributed to the work reported in this thesis is gratefully acknowledged.

Abstract

The main objective of the study reported in this thesis is to measure the impact of the extension programs on adoption of wheat production in Khartoum State. A conceptual model was developed as a framework for causal explanation of the impact of the extension programs and other factors on adoption of the recommended wheat production package by farmers as a principal endogenous variable affecting productivity and total production of wheat in seven selected wheat production projects. The model is focused on 10 variables (which are arranged in a logical casual order to provide for explanation of wheat production and income in Khartoum State), namely formal education, family size, age, total amount of finance received, total farmland area in possession, access to agricultural extension services, participation in project development activities, adoption of improved wheat production technology, total production of wheat in sacks, gross income from wheat production.

For purposes of the study, a stratify random sampling method was used to select one hundred and fifty respondents from total of eight hundred eighty-five farmers engaged in wheat production in seven projects, namely El Jummuia, El Isailat, Wad Ramli, El Khojalab, Dabak and Eltikaina, El Sururab all of which are located in Khartoum state. The primary data for the study were collected through use of individual interviews, using of structured questionnaires, supported by personal observation. Different statistical procedures were used in data analysis, including frequency and percentage distribution tables, t-test, correlation analysis (to identify significant correlates of the model variables), and regression analysis (for testing the postulated causal model). Regression analysis constituted the

principal procedure for extending casual explanation by means of the application of path analysis.

Comparative analysis for the seven projects involved in the study showed that El Jummuia project has succeeded to achieve greater level of adoption of the recommended technological package for wheat production. This is attributed to high level of access to extension services, and the long wheat production experience of most of the participating farmers

On the basis of the findings, the study generates a set of recommendations was drawn:

Recommendations for the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Resources and Irrigation, – Khartoum State: It is recommended that the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Resources and Irrigation, – Khartoum State provide support for the Technology Transfer and Extension Administration to enhance the capabilities of the staff and encourage greater participation in extension programs by the farmers. It is recommended that the Ministry of Agriculture adopts policies that encourage the pooling of land recourses to form larger farming units capable of adoption to improve to packages and improved land preparation practices. It is recommended that the Ministry of Agriculture adopts policies facilitate access to production inputs. It is recommended that extension administration increases the rate of farmers' participation in planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of extension programs.

مستخلص البحث

الهدف الرئيسي لهذه الدراسة هو قياس أثر البرامج الإرشادية على تبنى تقانات انتاج القمح المحسنة بولاية الخرطوم. وقد تم تطوير إطار مفهومي تسبيبي لكيفية تأثير برامج الإرشاد والعوامل الأخرى على تبني الحزم التقنية و الإنتاجية والإنتاج الكلي لمحصول القمح في المشاريع السبعة المختارة موضوع الدراسة. و يتكون هذا النموذج من 10 متغيرات تشتمل على: العمر ، التعليم، حجم الاسرة، حجم التمويل المقدم للمزارع ، المساحة الكلية للارض التي يمتلكها المزارع، الحصول على الخدمات التي يقدمها المشروع، المشاركة في النشاطات التتموية بالمشروع، الانتاج الكلى من القمح، و تبنى التقانات الزراعية لإنتاج القمح والدخل الإجمالي من إنتاج القمح. تم إختيار مائة وخمسين من المزارعين لتكوين عينة منتظمة عشوائية للدراسة من اجمالي ٨٨٥ مزارع يعملون في مجال إنتاج القمح في السبع مشاريع و هي الجموعية، العسيلات، ود رملي، الخوجلاب، دبك والتكينة، السروراب وعبد الله كدمور وجميعها تقع في ولاية الخرطوم. و تم جمع البيانات الأولية للدراسة من خلال استخدام المقابلات الشخصية، و إستبانات منظمة بالاضافة للملاحظة الشخصية. واستخدمت الحزمة الإحصائية للعلوم الإجتماعية في تحليل البيانات لتصميم جداول للتوزيع التكراري و النسب المئوية، و الإجراء اختبار (ت)، وتحليل الارتباط و وتحليل الانحدار الإختبار فروض البحث المضمنة في الأنموذج التسبيبي الذي تأسسس عليه البحث، و يشكل تحليل الانحدار الإجراء الرئيسي لتطبيق تحليل المسار.

و أظهر تحليل المقارن للمشاريع السبعة أن مشروع الجموعية نجح في تحقيق مستوى أكبر من تبني الحزم التكنولوجية الموصى بها لإنتاج القمح. ويعزى ذلك إلى المستوى العالى لتوفير خدمات الإرشاد و لهم

تجربة طويلة في إنتاج القمح لمعظم المزارعين المشاركين. على أساس هذه النتائج، خرجت الدراسة بمجموعة من التوصيات:

توصيات لوزارة الزراعة والثروة الحيوانية والري – ولاية الخرطوم: من المستحسن أن تقديم الوزارة الدعم الإدارة العامة لنقل التقانة و الإرشاد لتعزيز قدرات الموظفين و تشجيع مشاركة أكبر من قبل المزارعين في برامج الإرشاد الزراعي. من المستحسن أن تعتمد وزارة الزراعة على السياسات التي تشجع على تجميع موارد الأرض لتكوين وحدات زراعية أكبر قادرة على تبني الحزم وإعداد الأرض المحسنة. وكذلك وزارة الزراعة تتبنى سياسات تسهيل الحصول إلى مدخلات الإنتاج. وايضا إدارة الإرشاد تزيد من معدل مشاركة المزارعين في التخطيط والتنفيذ والمتابعة وتقييم البرامج الإرشادية.

CONTENTS

No	Table title	Page
	Dedication	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Arabic Abstract	v
	Contents	vii
	List of Tables	xiii
	List of Figures	xvi
	Chapter One: INTRODUCTION	
1-1	Background	1
1-2	The problem of the study	3
1-3	Objectives of the study	3
1-4	Organization of the thesis	4
	Chapter two: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2-1	Agricultural Extension Approaches in Sudan	5
2-1-1	Conventional Agricultural Extension Approach	5
2-1-2	Training and Visit System (T and V system)	6
2-1-3	Commodity Development and Production System	8
2-1-4	Integrated Agricultural Development Programmes	9
2-1-5	Integrated Rural Development Programmes	9
2-1-6	University- Organized Agricultural Extension	11
2-1-7	The agricultural extension participatory approach	11
2-1-8	The farming systems development approach	12
2-1-9	The cost-sharing approach	12
2-1-10	The educational institution approach	12
2-2	Adoption and Innovation	13
2-2-1	Diffusion	13

2-2-2	The Innovation	14
2-2-3	Adoption of Innovations	16
2-2-3-1	Rate of Adoption	16
2-2-3-2	Adopter Categories	17
2-3	Communication	19
2-3-1	Types of Communication Channels	19
2-3-2	Cosmopolite Versus Localite Channels	20
2-3-3	An Ideal Sequence of Use of Communication Channels	20
2-3-4	Communication Processes	20
2 - 4	Planning of extension Programmes	22
2-4-1	Basic steps of programme planning	23
2-5	Implementations	25
2-6	Monitoring	25
2-7	Evaluation of Extension programmes	28
2-7-1	Types of Evaluation	28
2-7-2	Basic steps in evaluation of extension programmes	30
2-7-3	Using evaluation for organizational planning and	31
	management	
2-8	Agriculture in Sudan	32
2-8-1	The land	32
2-8-2	The climate	33
2-9	Wheat Production in the World	34
2-9-1	Wheat utilization	35
2-9-2	Wheat production in the future	36
2-9-3	Yield improvement	37
2-10	Wheat Production in Sudan	38
2-11	Wheat Yield – limiting factors	40
2-11-1	Temperature	40
2-11-2	Soils	41
2-11-3	Pests and diseases	41
2-11-4	Weeds	42
2-11-5	Agronomic factors	42
2-12	Improved Wheat Production Technologies	42

2-12-1	Crop Establishment	42
2-12-2	Sowing Date	43
2-12-3	Seed Rate	43
2-12-4	Fertilizer Requirements	44
2-12-5	Water Requirement	45
2-12-6	Weeds Control	46
2-13	Transfer of Improved Wheat Production Technology to Farmers' Fields:	47
	Chapter Three - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Research Site	48
3.2	Location	48
3.3	Climate	48
3.4	Land Forms	49
3.5	Agriculture	49
3.6	Population and their activities	51
3.7	Socio- Economic Framework of the State	52
3.8	Background of project	53
3.9	Area Production and Productivity	53
3.10	Implementation Level of Different Farming Operations	55
3.11	Varieties	57
3.12	The conceptual model of the study	58
3.13	The hypotheses	60
3.14	Population of the study	61
3.15	Sample selection procedures and sample size	61
3.16	Data collection procedure	62
3.17	Data analysis procedures	62
	Chapter Four - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Socio- economic background characteristics of	63

	respondents and farm related aspects of the sample	
4.1.1	Adoption of Wheat Production Technological Package	65
4.1.2	Wheat Productivity	65
4.1.3	Formal education	65
4.1.4	Family variables	66
4.1.5	Amount of wheat sold (in sacks)	66
4.1.6	Gross income from wheat	66
4.1.7	Total amount of finance received by respondent	66
4.2	Comparison of wheat productivity and total production	67
	in the seven projects	
4.2.1	Mean wheat production	67
4.2.2	Area under wheat	68
4.2.3	Wheat productivity (sacks/feddan)	68
4-3	t-test analysis results	68
4-3-1	Comparison between pairs of projects in terms of the	69
	Significance of differences in the magnitude of selected	
	variables years	
4.3.1.1	Sururab and Khojalab	69
4.3.1.2	Sururab and Dabak and El tikaina	71
4.3.1.3	Sururab and Abdalla Kadamoor	71
4.3.1.4	Sururab and El Jummuia	74
4.3.1.5	Sururab and Wad Ramli	76
4.3.1.6	Sururab and El Isailat	78
4.3.1.7	El Khojalab and Dabak and El tikaina	80
4.3.1.8	El Khojalab and Abdalla Kadamoor	80

4.3.1.9	El Khojalab and El Jummuia	83
4.3.1.10	El Khojalab and Wad Ramli	83
4.3.1.11	El Khojalab and El Isailat	83
4.3.1.12	Dabak and El tikaina and abdalla Kadamoor	87
4.3.1.13	Dabak and El tikaina and El Jummuia	87
4.3.1.14	Dabak and El tikaina and Wad Ramli	90
4.3.1.15	Dabak and El tikaina and El Isailat	90
4.3.1.16	Abdalla Kadamoor and El Jummuia	93
4.3.1.17	Abdalla Kadamoor and Wad Ramli	93
4.3.1.18	Abdalla Kadamoor and El Isailat	96
4.3.1.19	El Jummuia and Wad Ramli	96
4.3.1.20	El Jummuia and El Isailat	99
4.3.1.21	Wad Ramli and El Isailat	99
4-4	Results of correlation analysis	102
4-4-1	Predictors of access to agricultural extension services	102
4-4-1-2	Predictors of participation in project development activities	102
4-4-1-3	Predictors of adoption of improved wheat production technology	104
4-4-1-4	Predictors of gross income to respondent from wheat production	104
4-4-1-5	Predictors of total production of wheat in sacks	104
4-4-1-6	Predictors of total farmland area in possession	105
4-4-1-7	Predictors of family size	105
4-4-1-8	Predictors of formal education	105
4-4-1-9	Predictors of age for respondent	106
4 4 2		
4-4-2	Results of multiple regression analysis	106
4-4-2	Results of multiple regression analysis Determinants of gross income to respondent from wheat	106 106

4-4-2-2	Determinants of total production of wheat in sacks	107
4-4-2-3	Determinants of adoption of improved wheat production technology	110
4-4-2-4	Determinants of total amount of finance received by respondent	110
4-4-2-5	Determinants of participation in project development activities	113
4-4-2-6	Determinants of access to agricultural extension services	113
4-4-2-7	Determinants of total farmland area in possession	116
4-4-2-8	Determinants of Family size	116
4-4-2-9	Determinants of formal education	116
4-4-3	Revised causal of the impact of extension programs	120
	on adoption of improved wheat production	
	technology in Khartoum state.	
	Chapter Five - SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND	
	RECOMMENDATIONS	
5-1	Summary of the Research	125
5.2	Conclusions	126
5.3	Recommendations	132
5-3-1	Recommendations for the Ministry of Agriculture,	132
	Animal Resources and Irrigation, – Khartoum State	
5-3-2	Recommendations to the Technology Transfer and	133
	Extension Administration – Khartoum State	
7.2.2		10.1
5-3-3	Recommendations for the Boards of administration of	134
	Agricultural Projects in Khartoum State	
	Bibliography	135
	Annandiy	139
	Appendix	139

LIST OF TABLES

No	Table title	Page
3-1	Area, production and productivity of wheat in the	54
	schemes and the sector	
3-2	Level of Implementation of Wheat Farming Operations	56
	in the Schemes	
3-3	Area and productivity of wheat Varieties	57
3-4	sample selection procedure	62
4-1	Mean Farmers' Scores on Research Variables by Project	64
4-2	Descriptive statistics on wheat productivity and related variables in the seven projects	67
4-3	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and El Khojalab Projects	70
4-4	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and Dabak and El tikaina	72
	Projects	
4-5	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and Abdalla Kadamoor	73
	Projects	
4-6	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and El Jummuia Projects	75
4-7	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and Wad Ramli Projects	77
4-8	t-test Analysis for El Sururab and El Isailat Projects	79
4-9	t-test Analysis for El Khojalab project and Dabak and El	81
	tikaina projects	
4-10	t-test Analysis for El Khojalab project and Abdalla	82
	Kadamoor projects	
4-11	t-test Analysis for El Khojalab project and El Jummuia	84
	projects	
4-12	t-test Analysis for El Khojalab project and El Wad Ramli	85

	projects	
4-13	t-test Analysis for El Khojalab project and El Isailat	86
	projects	
4-14	t-test Analysis for Dabak and El tikaina project and	88
	Abdalla Kadamoor projects	
4-15	t-test Analysis for Dabak and El tikaina project and El	89
	Jummuia projects	
4-16	t-test Analysis for Dabak and El tikaina project and Wad	91
	Ramli projects	
4-17	t-test Analysis for Dabak and El tikaina project and El	92
	Isailat projects	
4-18	t-test Analysis for Abdalla Kadamoor project and El	94
	Jummuia projects	
4-19	t-test Analysis for Abdalla Kadamoor project and Wad	95
	Ramli projects	
4-20	t-test Analysis for Abdalla Kadamoor project and El	97
	Isailat projects	
4-21	t-test Analysis for El Jummuia project and Wad Ramli	98
	projects	
4-22	t-test Analysis for El Jummuia project and El Isailat	100
	projects	
4-23	t-test Analysis for Wad Ramli project and El Isailat	101
	projects	
4-24	Matrix of inter-correlations between variables in the	103
	conceptual causal model of the study	
4-25	Multiple regression of gross income to respondent from	108

	wheat production	
4-26	Multiple regression of total production of wheat in sacks	109
4-27	Multiple regression of adoption of improved wheat	111
	production technology	
4-28	Multiple regression of Total amount of Total amount of	112
	finance received by respondent by respondent	
4-29	Multiple regression of participation in project	114
	development activities	
4-30	Multiple regression of access to agricultural extension	115
	services	
4-31	Multiple regression of total farmland area in possession	117
4-32	Multiple regression of family size	118
4-33	Multiple regression of formal education	119
4-34	Results of the impact of extension programs on	122
	adoption of improved wheat production technology	
	in Khartoum state	

LIST OF FIGURES

No	Figure title	Page
3-1	Conceptual Frame work	59
4.1	Revised casual model of the impact of extension programs on adoption of improved wheat production technology in Khartoum State	121